

Modular Forms (mod p) and Galois Representations

Benedict H. Gross

This is a continuation of the paper *Algebraic Modular Forms* ([G]); we will continue to use the same notation and conventions. Let G be a reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{Q} , with the property that every arithmetic subgroup Γ of $G(\mathbf{Q})$ is finite (equivalent conditions are given in [G, Prop. 1.4]). For simplicity, we will assume that G is an inner form of a split group over \mathbf{Q} , so that the L -group of G defined in [G, §13] is just \hat{G} , the split dual group over \mathbf{Z} . We will also assume that there is a cocharacter $\eta: \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \hat{T}$ satisfying the condition [G, (13.5)]:

$$\langle \eta, \alpha \rangle = 1$$

for all simple roots α of \hat{T} with respect to \hat{B} . We fix η once and for all.

Our aim in this paper is to review the theory of modular forms (mod p) on G described in [G, §9]. We will then discuss the action of the Hecke algebra on the finite-dimensional space M over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ of modular forms of a fixed weight and level. Unlike the case of characteristic zero (see [G, Prop. 6.11]), M need not be a semisimple Hecke module. However, to each simple submodule $N \subset M$, we hope to associate a Galois representation

$$\rho_N: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \hat{G}(k),$$

where k is an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. The representation ρ_N should satisfy certain local properties, which are specified in Chapter 1. In Chapter 2, we discuss a particular example, with $\hat{G} = G_2$ and $p = 5$, which was studied by D. Pollack and J. Lansky.

In Chapter 3, we establish some general results on local Galois representations

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \hat{G}(k).$$

Received 10 June 1998. Revision received 8 July 1998.

For example, we show that the inertia group always maps to a Borel subgroup, and that the niveau of the characters of the tame inertia group (cf. [S2, §1.7]) divide the order of an element in the Weyl group $W(\hat{T}, \hat{G})$ of \hat{G} . In Chapter 4, we apply these results to the Galois representations associated to ordinary modular forms (mod p).

1 Galois representations associated to modular forms (mod p)

Let G be a reductive group over \mathbf{Q} , with the hypotheses made in the introduction. Let p be a prime where G is split over \mathbf{Q}_p , and let K_p be a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. Let

$$K_p \rightarrow G(p)$$

be the reduction homomorphism, and let W be an irreducible representation of the finite group $G(p)$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Let

$$K = \prod_{\ell \neq p} K_\ell \times K_p$$

be an open compact subgroup of $G(\hat{\mathbf{Q}})$.

The group K acts on the vector space W via the maps

$$K \rightarrow K_p \rightarrow G(p) \rightarrow GL(W).$$

We define the vector space $M = M(K, W)$ of modular forms of level K and weight W (mod p) by

$$M = \{f: G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\hat{\mathbf{Q}}) \rightarrow W: f(gk) = k^{-1}f(g) \text{ for all } k \in K\}.$$

Some motivation for this definition is given in [G, §8–9], where $G(p)$ is denoted $\overline{G}(p)$ and W is denoted \overline{W} . Since the double coset space

$$G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\hat{\mathbf{Q}}) / K$$

is finite [G, Prop. 4.3], and the representation W is finite-dimensional, M is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

Let \mathcal{H} denote the Hecke algebra of functions

$$f: \prod_{\ell \neq p} G(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$$

which are

$$bi - \prod_{\ell \neq p} K_\ell\text{-invariant}.$$

This acts linearly on the space M , by the formula of [G, (6.6)]. Let $N \subset M$ denote a nonzero simple submodule, which exists whenever $M \neq 0$ (as M is finite-dimensional). Let

$$E = \text{End}_{\mathcal{H}}(N),$$

which is a finite field of characteristic p . We fix an embedding of E into k , an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

If ℓ is a prime, where K_ℓ is hyperspecial, the local Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_ℓ of K_ℓ -bi-invariant functions on $G(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ is abelian, and is contained in the center of \mathcal{H} . Hence the simple submodule N gives rise to a homomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ -algebras:

$$\varphi_\ell: \mathcal{H}_\ell \rightarrow E \rightarrow k.$$

Since $p \neq \ell$ and we have fixed the cocharacter η of \hat{T} , the homomorphism φ_ℓ has Satake parameter (see [G, §16])

$$s_\ell(N) \text{ in } \text{Cl}(\hat{G})(k).$$

Here $\text{Cl}(\hat{G})$ is the affine scheme (over \mathbf{Z}) of semisimple conjugacy classes in \hat{G} , which is isomorphic to the quotient of \hat{T} by the finite Weyl group $W(\hat{T}, \hat{G})$.

We say, after Serre, that a representation

$$\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{G}(k)$$

is completely reducible provided the following condition holds: Whenever the image $\rho(\Gamma)$ is contained in a parabolic subgroup \hat{P} of \hat{G} , it is contained in a Levi factor \hat{L} of \hat{P} . When $\hat{G} = \text{GL}_n$, completely reducible representations correspond to semisimple Γ -modules of rank n over k .

Conjecture 1.1. Associated to a simple submodule $N \subset M$, there is a continuous, completely reducible Galois representation

$$\rho_N: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \hat{G}(k),$$

which satisfies the following properties.

(a) For every prime $p \neq \ell$, where K_ℓ is hyperspecial, the representation ρ is unramified at ℓ , and any Frobenius Fr_ℓ maps to an element whose semisimple part $\rho_N(\text{Fr}_\ell)_{ss}$ has class $s_\ell(N)$ in $\text{Cl}(\hat{G})(k)$.

(b) The semisimple part $\rho_N(\text{Fr}_\infty)_{ss}$ of the image of any complex conjugation maps to the class of the involution $\eta(-1)$ in $\text{Cl}(\hat{G})(k)$.

Condition (b) asserts, when $p \neq 2$ and the group G is semisimple, that complex conjugation maps to the most negative involution in \hat{G} . By our hypothesis that G is an inner form of a split group, the opposition involution of the Dynkin diagram of G is trivial. This implies that $\eta(-1)$ has Brauer trace equal to $-\text{rank}(\hat{G})$ on the adjoint representation $\text{Lie}(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} .

For inner forms G of $\text{GL}(2)$, Conjecture 1.1 can be proved using methods of Serre [S]. In this case, the representation ρ is uniquely determined. In general, we can ask: How unique is the representation ρ , conjectured to exist in 1.1?

Proposition 1.2. The simple submodule N determines the kernel of the representation ρ_N , and so determines the fixed field $L \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ of the kernel. The field L is a finite, normal extension of \mathbf{Q} with Galois group a subgroup of $\hat{G}(k)$. \square

Proof (following a letter of Serre). Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be two representations associated to N , with fixed fields L_1 and L_2 . To show that $L_1 = L_2$, we consider the composite extension $L = L_1 L_2$, which is fixed by the kernel of

$$\rho_1 \times \rho_2: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \hat{G}(k) \times \hat{G}(k).$$

Consider the representation

$$\rho_1: \text{Gal}(L/L_2) \rightarrow \hat{G}(k).$$

The Frobenius elements at unramified primes in $\text{Gal}(L/L_2)$ map to unipotent classes in $\hat{G}(k)$. Indeed, they have the same semisimple parts as for ρ_2 , and ρ_2 is the trivial representation of $\text{Gal}(L/L_2)$. Hence the image of ρ_1 is a finite, unipotent subgroup $\Gamma \subset \hat{G}(k)$.

We now invoke a result of Borel and Tits [BT], which associates to Γ a canonical parabolic subgroup $\hat{P} \subset \hat{G}$ with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\hat{G}(k)}(\Gamma) &\subset \hat{P}(k), \\ \Gamma &\subset R_u(\hat{P})(k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$ normalizes $\text{Gal}(L/L_2)$, the image of ρ_1 is contained in $\hat{P}(k)$. By complete reducibility, the image of ρ_1 is contained in a Levi factor $\hat{L}(k)$ of $\hat{P}(k)$.

But $\hat{L} \cap R_u(\hat{P}) = 1$, so $\Gamma = 1$, and ρ_1 is trivial on $\text{Gal}(L/L_2)$. This shows that $L_2 \supset L_1$. Reversing the roles and considering the representation

$$\rho_2: \text{Gal}(L/L_1) \rightarrow \hat{G}(k),$$

shows that $L_1 \supset L_2$. Hence $L_1 = L_2$, as claimed.

We note that there may be more than one $\hat{G}(k)$ -conjugacy class of homomorphisms $\rho: \text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q}) \hookrightarrow \hat{G}(k)$, which have the same local data in $C^\ell(\hat{G})(k)$. When there is a single class, we predict that the image is contained in a conjugate of the finite group $\hat{G}(E)$.

2 An example

In this section, we assume that G is the anisotropic form of the exceptional group of type G_2 over \mathbf{Q} . Then G may be given explicitly as the automorphism group of the \mathbf{Q} -algebra of Cayley's octonions.

The dual group \hat{G} is the split group G_2 over \mathbf{Z} , and the cocharacter η is uniquely determined by its inner product with the simple roots for \hat{T} with respect to \hat{B} .

The group G is split over \mathbf{Q}_p for all primes p , and we fix a hyperspecial subgroup K_p so that $K = \prod K_p = \underline{G}(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}) \subset G(\hat{\mathbf{O}})$, where \underline{G} is the model over \mathbf{Z} discussed in [G2, §4]. In the example, we take $p = 5$ and let W be the irreducible representation of $G_2(5)$ of dimension $5^6 = 15,625$, which is the reduction of the Steinberg representation (mod 5), and has highest weight $\mu = 4\omega_1 + 4\omega_2$.

By computations of Lansky and Pollack, the space $M(K, W)$ defined in §1 has dimension 1 over $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$. Hence $M = N$ is a simple module for the unramified Hecke algebra

$$\bigotimes_{\ell \neq 5}^{\wedge} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} = \mathcal{H}$$

with endomorphism ring $E = \mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$. Lansky and Pollack computed the action of \mathcal{H}_2 and \mathcal{H}_3 on N , and found the characteristic polynomials of $s_2(N)$ and $s_3(N)$ in $\text{Cl}(G_2)(5)$ acting on the 7-dimensional representation of $G_2(5)$ over $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$:

$$x^7 - x^6 - x^5 + 2x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 + x - 1 = 0 \quad \text{for } s_2,$$

$$x^7 - 2x^6 - x^4 + x^3 + 2x - 1 = 0 \quad \text{for } s_3.$$

Conjecture 1.1 predicts the existence of an extension L of \mathbf{Q} , which is Galois and totally complex, with

$$\rho_N: \text{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q}) \hookrightarrow G_2(5).$$

The extension L should be unramified outside of 5, and the primes 2 and 3 should have behavior predicted by the polynomials for s_2 and s_3 . Presumably, the representation ρ_N is surjective; we will return to this question in §5.

3 Local Galois representations

The material in this section is independent of the theory of modular forms (mod p), and we will change the notation slightly.

Let p be a prime, and let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Let \hat{G} be a connected, reductive group over k ; since the field k will be fixed throughout the section, we will write \hat{G} for the discrete group $\hat{G}(k)$. Our aim is to study continuous, local Galois representations

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p) \rightarrow \hat{G}. \quad (3.1)$$

We first recall some of the structure of the local Galois group $D = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{O}_p}/\mathbf{O}_p)$. Let I be the inertia subgroup of D , and let I_w be the wild inertia subgroup of I . Both are normal subgroups of D , and we have isomorphism (see [S3, Ch. IV])

$$D/I \simeq \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, \text{ generated by } \text{Fr}_p,$$

$$I_t = I/I_w \simeq \prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbf{Z}_\ell(1),$$

where I_t is the tame quotient, and Fr_p acts on I_t by multiplication by p .

Proposition 3.2. The image $\rho(I)$ of the inertia subgroup is contained in a Borel subgroup \hat{B} of \hat{G} . \square

Proof. Since I_w is a pro- p -group, $\rho(I_w)$ is a finite p -group. Hence $\rho(I_w) = \Gamma$ is a unipotent subgroup of \hat{G} .

Let \hat{P} be the canonical parabolic subgroup which Borel and Tits associate to Γ [BT]. Since I_w is normal in D , we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho: D & \rightarrow & \hat{P} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ I_w & \rightarrow & R_u(\hat{P}). \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

Let \hat{L} be a Levi factor of \hat{P} . Taking the quotient of (3.3) by $R_u(\hat{P})$, we obtain a partial semisimplification of ρ :

$$\rho_s: D/I_w \rightarrow \hat{L}. \quad (3.4)$$

The image $\rho_s(I_t)$ is cyclic, of order prime to p . Hence it is generated by a semisimple element a in \hat{L} , and is contained in a maximal torus \hat{T} of \hat{L} . Let \hat{B}_L be a Borel subgroup of \hat{L} containing \hat{T} , and let \hat{B} be the inverse image of \hat{B}_L under the map

$$\hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{P}/R_u(\hat{P}) = \hat{L}.$$

Then \hat{B} is a Borel subgroup of \hat{G} containing the image $\rho(I)$.

We now consider the partial semisimplification ρ_s of ρ constructed in (3.4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_s: D/I_w & \rightarrow & \hat{L} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ I_t & \rightarrow & \hat{T}. \end{array}$$

Let a be a generator of the cyclic group $\rho_s(I_t)$ in \hat{T} .

Proposition 3.5. There is an element n in the normalizer of \hat{T} in \hat{L} , which satisfies

$$nan^{-1} = a^p. \quad \square$$

Proof. The cyclic group $\langle a \rangle$ in \hat{T} is normalized by $g = \rho_s(\text{Fr}_p)$, and $gag^{-1} = a^p$. Hence the tori \hat{T} and $g^{-1}\hat{T}g$ both centralize a , and are maximal in the connected reductive group $Z_{\hat{L}}(a)^0 \subset \hat{L}$. They are therefore conjugate by an element $z \in Z_{\hat{L}}(a)^0$: $z\hat{T}z^{-1} = g^{-1}\hat{T}g$. The element $n = gz$ in \hat{L} lies in the normalizer of \hat{T} , and satisfies $nan^{-1} = a^p$.

We say the local representation ρ is *regular* if a is a regular, semisimple element in \hat{L} : $Z_{\hat{L}}(a)^0 = \hat{T}$. In this case, the element n constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.5 is uniquely determined in the quotient group $N_{\hat{L}}(\hat{T})/\hat{T} = W(\hat{T}, \hat{L})$, and ρ determines a unique element (up to conjugacy) in the Weyl group of \hat{L} . Note that this Weyl group is a subgroup of the Weyl group of \hat{G} .

Corollary 3.6. If the element n of Proposition 3.5 has order f in the quotient group $W(\hat{L}, \hat{T}) = N_{\hat{L}}(\hat{T})/\hat{T}$, then

$$a^{p^f-1} = a \quad \text{in } \hat{T}. \quad \square$$

We now study, in more detail, the case when $f = 1$, so n can be chosen to lie in \hat{T} .

Proposition 3.7. The following conditions are all equivalent.

- (a) The element n in Proposition 3.5 can be chosen to lie in \hat{T} .
- (b) $a^{p-1} = 1$ in \hat{T} .
- (c) The homomorphism $\rho_s: I_t \rightarrow \hat{T}$ factors as $\rho_s = \bar{\lambda} \circ \omega$, where $\omega: I_t \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$ is the fundamental character giving the Galois action on the p -th roots of unity in $\bar{\mathbf{O}}_p$, and the map $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \rightarrow \hat{T}$ is given by a class $\bar{\lambda}$ in $X_*(\hat{T})/(p-1)X_*(\hat{T})$. \square

Proof. The first two conditions are clearly equivalent, and show $\rho_s(I_t)$ is cyclic of order dividing $p-1$. Hence ρ_s , restricted to I_t , factors through the quotient $I_t \xrightarrow{\omega} (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$, and a homomorphism $\bar{\lambda}: (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \rightarrow \hat{T}$ is given by a cocharacter (mod $(p-1)$).

When the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.7 hold, we say ρ has niveau 1. One simple situation in which ρ is regular, of niveau 1, is the following proposition.

Proposition 3.8. Assume that the finite unipotent group $\Gamma = \rho(I_w)$ contains a regular unipotent element of \hat{G} . Then ρ is regular, of niveau 1. \square

Proof. When Γ contains a regular element, the canonical parabolic subgroup which Borel and Tits associate to Γ is a Borel subgroup \hat{B} of \hat{G} . Hence $\rho: D \rightarrow \hat{B}$ and $\rho_s: D/I_w \rightarrow \hat{T}$. In this case, a is clearly regular, and n lies in \hat{T} .

4 Ordinary modular forms (mod p)

In this section, we use the general results on local Galois representations to predict the restriction of the representation ρ_N to a decomposition group at p , when G is simply connected and the simple module $N \subset M$ is ordinary at p .

The prediction involves the irreducible representation W of $G(p)$, used to define the space $M = M(K, W)$. We first recall the parameters of irreducible representations of $G(p)$ when G is simply connected, which are due to Chevalley [C] and Steinberg [St]. A good exposition of this theory can be found in [B].

Proposition 4.1. Assume that G is simply connected and split over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, of rank r . Then there are p^r distinct, irreducible representations W of $G(p)$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, parametrized by the cocharacters λ in $X_\bullet(\hat{T})$ which satisfy $1 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq p$ for all simple roots α of \hat{T} with respect to \hat{B} . \square

Proof. We fix $T \subset B \subset G$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Then Chevalley proved that for each dominant weight μ of T , there is an irreducible algebraic representation W_μ of G with highest weight μ for B . Steinberg showed that the restriction of W_μ to $G(p)$ is irreducible if and only if $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle \leq p - 1$ for all simple coroots α of T . Our parameter λ is simply $\mu + \eta$, where η is half the sum of the positive roots for T with respect to B . (Note that η is equal to the cocharacter of \hat{T} introduced earlier.)

Although it is not needed in what follows, we make a few remarks about the irreducible representations W_λ of $G(p)$. The trivial representation has parameter $\lambda = \eta$, and the Steinberg representation has parameter $\lambda = p\eta$. Very little is known about the character of W_λ , or even the dimension of W_λ , except in the case when λ lies in the small alcove:

$$\langle \lambda, \beta \rangle \leq p, \quad (4.2)$$

where β is the highest root of \hat{T} with respect to \hat{B} . In this case, W_λ is the reduction (mod p) of the corresponding irreducible representation V_λ for G in characteristic zero, and the character of W_λ on T is given by Weyl's character formula:

$$\text{char}(t \mid W_\lambda) = \sum \text{sign}(\sigma) t^{\sigma\lambda} / \sum \text{sign}(\sigma) t^{\sigma\eta}.$$

In general, if $\lambda = \mu + \eta$ is a weight of T , which satisfies $1 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq p$ for all simple roots α of \hat{T} with respect to \hat{B} , let V_λ be the irreducible representation of G over \mathbf{Q}_p with highest weight μ . Since K_p is compact, there are \mathbf{Z}_p -lattices in V_λ which are stable under K_p . One can choose a stable lattice V_λ^0 so that the $G(p)$ -module V_λ^0/pV_λ^0 has a unique simple

quotient, isomorphic to W_λ (see [B]). When λ is in the small alcove, or λ is the parameter of the trivial or Steinberg representation, V_λ^0/pV_λ^0 is irreducible and isomorphic to W_λ .

The choice of V_λ^0 gives a lattice inside the \mathbf{O}_p -vector space $M(K, V_\lambda)$ of modular forms of level K and weight V_λ for G . This space has two equivalent definitions (see [G, §8–9]):

$$\begin{aligned} M(K, V_\lambda) &= \left\{ F: G(\hat{\mathbf{O}})/K \rightarrow V_\lambda: F(\gamma g) = \gamma F(g) \text{ for } \gamma \text{ in } G(\mathbf{O}) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ f: G(\hat{\mathbf{O}}) \backslash G(\hat{\mathbf{O}}) / \prod_{\ell \neq p} K_\ell \rightarrow V_\lambda: f(gk_p) = k_p^{-1} f(g) \text{ for } k_p \text{ in } K_p \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The first admits an action of the \mathbf{O}_p -Hecke algebra of K in $G(\hat{\mathbf{O}})$, and the second allows us to define the lattice $M(K, V_\lambda^0)$ consisting of those f with values in V_λ^0 . The \mathbf{Z}_p -Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ of

$$\prod_{\ell \neq p} K_\ell \text{ in } \prod_{\ell \neq p} G(\mathbf{O}_\ell)$$

acts on $M(K, V_\lambda^0)$, but the Hecke algebra of K_p in $G(\mathbf{O}_p)$ does not act on this lattice. The homomorphism of $G(p)$ -modules

$$V_\lambda^0/pV_\lambda^0 \rightarrow W_\lambda$$

gives a linear map of \mathcal{H} -modules (see [G, §9]):

$$M(K, V_\lambda^0)/pM(K, V_\lambda^0) \rightarrow M(K, W_\lambda). \quad (4.4)$$

Now let $\tilde{N} \subset M(K, V_\lambda)$ be a simple Hecke submodule, and let \tilde{E} be the center of the endomorphism ring of \tilde{N} . Then \tilde{E} is a finite extension field of \mathbf{O}_p , and the local Satake parameter $s_p(\tilde{N})$ lies in $\hat{T}/W(\tilde{E})$. We say \tilde{N} is *ordinary* if there is a representative \tilde{s}_p for $s_p(\tilde{N})$ in $\hat{T}(\tilde{E})$ such that the quotient

$$\tilde{s}_p/\lambda(p)$$

lies in the maximal compact subgroup of $\hat{T}(\tilde{E})$ (see [G, §19]). Let $\tilde{N}_0 = \tilde{N} \cap M(K, V_\lambda^0)$, and let N be the image of \tilde{N}_0 in $M(K, W_\lambda)$ under (4.4). We say N is ordinary at p if it is nonzero, and arises from the reduction of an ordinary module \tilde{N} as above.

Conjecture 4.5. Assume that the simple \mathcal{H} -submodule $N \subset M(K, W_\lambda)$ is ordinary at p . Then the restriction of the global Galois representation ρ_N to a decomposition group at p has niveau 1, and the restriction of ρ_s to I_t factors as $\bar{\lambda} \circ \omega$, where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the image of λ in $X_\bullet(\hat{T})/(p-1)X_\bullet(\hat{T})$. \square

We note the image of λ in $X_\bullet(\hat{T})/(p-1)X_\bullet(\hat{T})$ is almost enough to determine the restricted dominant weight λ , which satisfies $1 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq p$ for all simple α . Only the cases where $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = p$ need to be distinguished. Perhaps in the former case, the action of wild inertia on the simple root space U_α in U^{ab} is *peu ramifiée*, as a Kummer extension of $\mathbf{O}_p(\mu_p)$, in the sense of [S4, p. 186].

5 An example, revisited

In the example of §2, $W = W_\lambda$ with $\lambda = 5 \cdot \eta$ the parameter of the Steinberg representation. In this case, the map

$$M(K, V_\lambda^0)/5M(K, V_\lambda^0) \rightarrow M(K, W_\lambda)$$

is an isomorphism. Both spaces have dimension 1 over $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$, as 5 is not a torsion prime for G_2 , and

$$M(K, V_\lambda) \simeq V_\lambda^{\underline{G}(\mathbf{Z})}$$

has dimension 1 over \mathbf{O}_5 . The simple module $N = M(K, W_\lambda)$ is ordinary at 5, so we predict that the restriction of

$$\rho_N : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{O}}/\mathbf{O}) \rightarrow G_2(5)$$

to a decomposition group at 5, takes values in a Borel subgroup \hat{B} . Here we even predict that

$$\rho_s = \bar{\lambda} \circ \omega = \bar{\eta} \circ \omega$$

on all of D/I_w , so that the fixed field of the kernel of ρ_s is $\mathbf{O}_5(\mu_5)$, and the characters of D/I_w on the representation

$$\rho_s : D/I_w \rightarrow G_2(5) \rightarrow GL_7(5)$$

are $\{\omega^3, \omega^2, \omega, 1, \omega^{-1}, \omega^{-2}, \omega^{-3}\}$.

Finally, since $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 5$ for both simple roots α , we predict that the finite group $\rho(I_w) \subset \hat{U}$ contains regular unipotent elements, and so has exponent 25. If this is the case, the global representation is surjective.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank J.-P. Serre, J. Lansky, and D. Pollack for their help.

References

- [B] A. Borel, "Properties and linear representations of Chevalley groups" in *Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **131**, Springer, Berlin, 1970, 1–55.
- [BT] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Eléments unipotents et sous-groupes paraboliques de groupes réductifs, I*, Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 95–104.
- [C] C. Chevalley, *Classification des groupes de Lie algébriques*, Institut Henri Poincaré Notes, Paris, 1956–1958.
- [G] B. H. Gross, *Algebraic modular forms*, to appear in Israel J. Math.
- [G2] ———, *Groups over \mathbf{Z}* , Invent. Math. **124** (1996), 263–279.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Two letters on quaternions and modular forms (mod p)*, Israel J. Math. **95** (1996), 281–299.
- [S2] ———, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 259–331.
- [S3] ———, *Local Fields*, trans. M. J. Greenberg, Grad. Texts in Math. **67**, Springer, New York, 1979.
- [S4] ———, *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$* , Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 179–230.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *Representations of algebraic groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **22** (1963), 33–56.

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA