Macro PS5

Michael B. Nattinger*

March 1, 2021

1 Question 1

The planner solves the following optimization problem:

$$\max_{x_t, \pi_t, i_t} \frac{1}{2} E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (x_t^2 + \alpha \pi_t^2)$$

s.t. $\sigma E_t \Delta x_{t+1} = i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^n$,
and $\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t$

We consider the primal approach:

$$\max_{x_t, \pi_t} \frac{1}{2} E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (x_t^2 + \alpha \pi_t^2)$$
and $\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t$

$$\mathcal{L} = E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left[(1/2) \beta^t (x_t^2 + \alpha \pi_t^2) + \lambda_t (\pi_t - \kappa x_t - \beta \pi_{t+1} - u_t) \right]$$

$$\beta^t x_t = \lambda_t k$$

$$\beta^t \alpha \pi_t + \lambda_t - \beta \lambda_{t-1} = 0, t \ge 1$$

$$\beta^t \alpha \pi_t + \lambda_t = 0, t \ge 1$$

$$\alpha \kappa \pi_t + \Delta x_t = 0, t \ge 1$$
$$\alpha \kappa \pi_0 + x_0 = 0.$$

In class, at this point we defined $\hat{p}_t := p_t - p_{-1}$. However, in this question we are asked about commitment with the timeless perspective. Following Woodford (1999), we define $p_{-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p}_t = p_t$. Therefore, we can proceed just as we did in class without having to carry around the hats on p_t . Note that now, following class, we also $x_{-1} := 0$. Then, we can combine our above two equations into one that holds for all t:

$$\alpha \kappa \pi_t + \Delta x_t = 0.$$

Since the above holds for all t, it follows that $-\alpha \kappa p_t = x_t$ for all t, which can be easily shown via induction.

^{*}I worked on this assignment with my study group: Alex von Hafften, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I have also discussed problem(s) with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, Katherine Kwok, and Danny Edgel.

We can plug this into our constraint, the NKPC curve:

$$p_{t} - p_{t-1} = -\alpha \kappa^{2} p_{t} + \beta E_{t} p_{t+1} - \beta p_{t} + u_{t}$$

$$-\beta E_{t} p_{t+1} = (-1 - \alpha \kappa^{2} - \beta) p_{t} + p_{t-1} + u_{t}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{t} p_{t+1} \\ p_{t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 - \alpha \kappa^{2} - \beta & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{t} \\ p_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u_{t}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{t} p_{t+1} \\ p_{t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 - \alpha \kappa^{2} - \beta & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{t} \\ p_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u_{t}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{t} p_{t+1} \\ p_{t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \kappa^{2} + 1 & -\frac{1}{\beta} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_{t} \\ p_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\beta} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} u_{t}$$

We can find the eigenvalues of the matrix above, that satisfy the following:

$$-\beta\lambda^2 + (1 + \alpha\kappa^2 + \beta)\lambda - 1 = 0$$

This has two roots, one above and one below 1 in magnitude, corresponding to the fact that we have one state and one control variable. WLOG let $\lambda_1 > 1$. By using the quadratic formula and multiplying the roots you can easily show that $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \beta^{-1}$.

We can now write the equation in the following way:

$$-\beta(1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L)L^{-1}p_t = u_t$$

$$(\beta \lambda_1 - \beta L^{-1})(1 - \lambda_2 L)p_t = u_t$$

$$(1 - \beta \lambda_2 L^{-1})(1 - \lambda_2 L)p_t = \lambda_2 u_t$$

$$(1 - \lambda_2 L)p_t = \lambda_2 (1 - \beta \lambda_2 L^{-1})^{-1} u_t$$

We are given that $u_t \sim iid(\bar{u}, \sigma^2)$. We then rewrite the above equation as the following:

$$p_{t} = \lambda_{2} p_{t-1} + \lambda_{2} E_{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\beta \lambda_{2})^{j} u_{t+j}$$

$$p_{t} = \lambda_{2} p_{t-1} + \lambda_{2} \left(u_{t} + \bar{u} \frac{\beta \lambda_{2}}{1 - \beta \lambda_{2}} \right), \qquad (1)$$

$$x_{t} = \lambda_{2} x_{t-1} - \lambda_{2} \alpha \kappa \left(u_{t} + \bar{u} \frac{\beta \lambda_{2}}{1 - \beta \lambda_{2}} \right). \qquad (2)$$

The equations (1),(2) determine the dynamics of the price level and output gap.

2 Question 2

Under discretion, we have that $\alpha \kappa \pi_t + x_t = 0$ in each period. We also know that NKPC holds:

$$\pi_{t} = \kappa x_{t} + \beta E_{t} \pi_{t+1} + u_{t}$$

$$= -\alpha \kappa^{2} \pi_{t} + \beta E_{t} \pi_{t+1} + u_{t}$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} E_{t} \pi_{t+1} + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} u_{t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} E_{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \right)^{j} u_{t+j}$$

$$= \frac{u_{t}}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} + \frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} \frac{\bar{u}}{1 - \frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}}}$$

$$\pi_{t} = \frac{u_{t}}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} + \frac{\beta \bar{u}}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2} - \beta},$$

$$x_{t} = -\alpha \kappa \frac{u_{t}}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2}} - \alpha \kappa \frac{\beta \bar{u}}{1 + \alpha \kappa^{2} - \beta}.$$

3 Question 3

Under the inflation targeting rule, $\pi_t = 0$ and our NKPC curve states the following:

$$x_t = -\frac{u_t}{\kappa}$$
.

4 Question 4

Under output targeting rule, $x_t = 0$ and our NKPC curve states the following:

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t$$
$$= E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j u_{t+j}$$
$$= u_t + \frac{\beta \bar{u}}{1 - \beta}.$$

5 Question 5

We can consider the welfare implications of the two regimes to determine the optimal policy. Under inflation targeting, our welfare losses are the following:

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2}E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \frac{u_{t}^{2}}{\kappa^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\kappa^{2}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} E[u_{t}^{2}]$$
$$= \frac{\bar{u}^{2} + \sigma^{2}}{2\kappa^{2}(1-\beta)}.$$

Under discretion, our welfare losses are the following:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}^{D} &= \frac{\alpha(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})}{2} E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left(\frac{u_{t}}{1 + \alpha\kappa^{2}} + \frac{\beta \bar{u}}{1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta} \right)^{2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})}{2} E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[\frac{u_{t}^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}} + \frac{2\beta \bar{u}u_{t}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)} + \frac{\beta^{2}\bar{u}^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)^{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left[\frac{\bar{u}^{2} + \sigma^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}} + \frac{2\beta \bar{u}^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)} + \frac{\beta^{2}\bar{u}^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)^{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})}{2(1 - \beta)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}} + \frac{2\beta}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)} + \frac{\beta^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)^{2}} \right) \bar{u}^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})^{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2(1 - \beta)} \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + \alpha\kappa^{2}} + \frac{2\beta}{1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta} + \frac{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})\beta^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2} - \beta)^{2}} \right) \bar{u}^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{(1 + \alpha\kappa^{2})} \right] \end{split}$$

- 6 Question 6
- 7 Question 7