

# Macro PS2

Michael B. Nattinger

March 31, 2021

## 1 Question 1

### 1.1 Part A

The equilibrium is a set of prices  $R$  and allocations  $c^h, c^l$  such that the allocations solve the agents' problem and markets clear.

Agents maximize utility subject to the budget constraint and the endogenous debt constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{it}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & c_{it} + b_{it+1} = e_{it} + R_t b_{it} \\ & \text{and } b_{it+1} \geq -\phi. \end{aligned}$$

This constraint will bind on the low types, which will determine their consumption via their budget constraint. The high types will follow their Euler equations.

Market clearing is the following:  $b_{it} + b_{jt} = 0$ . If we let  $R = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{9}{10}$ ,  $\phi = \frac{5}{1+R}$  then the agents will choose the efficient allocation.

The Euler for the high type is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} u'(c^h) &= \beta R u'(c^l) \\ \frac{1}{c^h} &= \frac{\beta R}{c^l} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $c^h = 10$ ,  $c^l = 9$  satisfies the Euler under the interest rate given above.

We also need to check feasibility: From market clearing  $c_h = 15 - \phi(1 + R) = 10$ ,  $c_l = 4 + \phi(1 + R) = 9$ . Thus, this satisfies the budget constraints with equality. By Walras' law the aggregate resource constraint also clears. Therefore, the constrained efficient allocation holds as an equilibrium of the environment described.

### 1.2 Part B

A second outcome arises from this setup: autarky. If  $\phi = 0$ ,  $c^h = e^h$ ,  $c^l = e^l$ ,  $R = \frac{e^l}{\beta e^h}$  then the high type's Euler is still satisfied, and budget constraints are satisfied trivially, and finally the resource constraint clears by Walras' law.

## 2 Question 2

### 2.1 Part A

In this scenario, if the agent defaults then they can still save. They will choose the optimal savings:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_s \log(e^h - s) + \beta \log(e^l + Rs) + \beta^2 \log(e^h - s) + \beta^3 \log(e^l + Rs) + \dots \\
 & \max_s \frac{\log(e^h - s) + \beta \log(e^l + Rs)}{1 - \beta^2} \\
 & \frac{1}{e^h - s} = \frac{\beta R}{e^l + Rs} \\
 & e^l + Rs = \beta R e^h - \beta R s \\
 & s = \frac{\beta R e^h - e^l}{R + \beta R} \\
 & \Rightarrow V^d(h) = \frac{\log\left(\frac{R e^h + e^l}{R + \beta R}\right) + \beta \log\left(\frac{e^l \beta R + \beta R e^h}{1 + \beta}\right)}{1 - \beta^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2 Part B

A competitive equilibrium with not-too-tight constraints is an allocation  $c^l, c^h$  and set of prices  $R$  and constraint  $\phi$  such that agents optimize, markets clear, and the not-too-tight constraint is satisfied. Agents solve the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max_{c_t^i, B_t^i} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^t \log(c_t^i) \\
 & \text{s.t. } c_t^i + B_{t+1}^i = e_t^i + R B_t^i \\
 & \text{and } B_{t+1}^i \geq -\phi.
 \end{aligned}$$

The not-too-tight constraint is the following:

$$\frac{\log(c^h) + \beta \log(c^l)}{1 - \beta^2} = V^d(h)$$

Market clearing is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c^h + c^l &= e^h + e^l \\
 B^h + B^l &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3 Part C

The constraint on borrowing is going to bind on the low type, i.e.  $c^l = \phi(1 + R) + e^l \Rightarrow c^h = e^h - \phi(1 + R)$ . We can plug this into our not-too-tight constraint:

$$\frac{\log(e^h - \phi(1 + R)) + \beta \log(\phi(1 + R) + e^l)}{1 - \beta^2} = V^d(h) \tag{1}$$

The high type is not constrained so their Euler equation must hold:

$$\frac{1}{e^h - \phi(1 + R)} = \frac{\beta R}{e^l + \phi(1 + R)} \tag{2}$$

Our constraint equation (1) and Euler (2) yield 2 equations in 2 unknowns which we can solve for  $R, \phi$  which yield the equilibrium.

## 2.4 Part D

We can use (2) to solve for  $\phi$ :

$$e^l + \phi(1 + R) = \beta R e^h - \beta R \phi(1 + R)$$

$$\phi = \frac{\beta R e^h - e^l}{(1 + \beta R)(1 + R)}$$

Rewriting (1) we get the following:

$$\log(e^h - \phi(1 + R)) + \beta \log(\phi(1 + R) + e^l) = \log\left(\frac{R e^h + e^l}{R + \beta R}\right) + \beta \log\left(\frac{e^l \beta R + \beta R e^h}{1 + \beta}\right)$$

$$\log\left(e^h - \frac{\beta R e^h - e^l}{(1 + \beta R)}\right) + \beta \log\left(\frac{\beta R e^h - e^l}{(1 + \beta R)} + e^l\right) = \log\left(\frac{R e^h + e^l}{R + \beta R}\right) + \beta \log\left(\frac{e^l \beta R + \beta R e^h}{1 + \beta}\right)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{e^h + e^l}{(1 + \beta R)}\right) + \beta \log\left(\frac{\beta R e^h + \beta R e^l}{(1 + \beta R)}\right) = \log\left(\frac{R e^h + e^l}{R + \beta R}\right) + \beta \log\left(\frac{e^l \beta R + \beta R e^h}{1 + \beta}\right)$$

It is clear that  $R = 1$  satisfies the above equation. Then,  $\phi = \frac{\beta e^h - e^l}{2(1 + \beta)}$ . Note that autarky,  $\phi = 0, R = \frac{e^l}{\beta e^h}$  also satisfies the equilibrium.

## 2.5 Part E

In class we solved the autarky case so I will jump to the solution in that case:  $(c^h, c^l) = (10, 9)$ . For the same calibrations for the model in this question we have  $c^h = e^h - \phi(1 + R) = 15 - \frac{0.5(15) - 4}{1.5} = 12 + \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $c^l = 19 - c^h = 6 + \frac{1}{3}$ . This model yields less consumption smoothing compared to autarky.

## 2.6 Part F

The larger the punishment, the more consumption smoothing we can sustain.