

Macro PS1

Michael B. Nattinger

March 22, 2021

1 Question 1: Exercise 8.1

The first order conditions to the Pareto problem is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta u'(c^1) &= \lambda \\ (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) &= \lambda \\ \Rightarrow \theta u'(c^1) &= (1 - \theta)w'(c^2).\end{aligned}$$

From envelope conditions, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}v'_\theta(c) &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial c^1}{\partial c} + (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) \frac{\partial c^2}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial(c^1 + c^2)}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) = (1 - \theta)w'(c^2).\end{aligned}$$

Now we will think about concavity. This is slightly more involved as the envelope theorem holds only for the first derivative.

Define the compact set $B(c) = \{x = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : c_1 + c_2 \leq c, c_1 \geq 0, c_2 \geq 0\}$. Define on this set the function $V(x) = \theta u(c^1) + (1 - \theta)c^2$. Then, observe that $v(c) = \max_{x \in B(c)} V(x)$. Since u, w are continuous, V is continuous so it achieves its maximum on the compact set $B(c)$. Define $X(c)$ as the corresponding argmax - since V is strictly concave, it achieves its max at a unique point. Now, let $c, C \geq 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda v(c) + (1 - \lambda)v(C) &= \lambda V(X(c)) + (1 - \lambda)V(X(C)) \\ &\leq V(\lambda X(c) + (1 - \lambda)X(C)) \\ &\leq v(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)C).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $v(c)$ is concave.

2 Question 2: Exercise 8.3

2.1 Part A

A competitive equilibrium is a set of prices $\{Q_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ and allocations $\{c_t^1, c_t^2\}_{t=0}^\infty$ such that both consumers optimize (maximize the sum of discounted utility) and markets clear ($c_t^1 + c_t^2 = y_t^1 + y_t^2 = 1 \forall t$).

2.2 Part B

Agent i solves the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{c_t^i\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t^i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t c_t^i \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t y_t^i \end{aligned}$$

Denoting the Lagrange multiplier of agent i as μ_i , first order conditions take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta u'(c_t^i) &= \mu_i Q_t \\ \Rightarrow \frac{u'(c_t^1)}{u'(c_t^2)} &= \mu_1 / \mu_2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right hand side is independent of t , and since the total endowment of the economy is also constant (1), the consumption of each agent must also be constant for all time, i.e. $c_t^1 = c^1, c_t^2 = c^2$. Market clearing also implies $c^1 + c^2 = 1$.

Moreover, the first order conditions also yield the following:

$$\beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1}^1)}{u'(c_t^1)} = \frac{Q_{t+1}}{Q_t}$$

Constant consumption implies that $Q_{t+1} = \beta Q_t$. We can normalize $Q_0 = 1$ and then we have that $Q_t = \beta^t$. Now we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t c^1 &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t^i \\ \frac{c^1}{1 - \beta} &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta^3} \\ \Rightarrow c^1 &= \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^3}, \\ c^2 &= \frac{\beta - \beta^3}{1 - \beta^3}. \end{aligned}$$

2.3 Part C

We can price the asset p^A using $Q_t = \beta^t$:

$$\begin{aligned} p^A &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^t}{20} \\ &= \frac{1}{20(1 - \beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Question 3: Exercise 8.4

3.1 Part I

3.1.1 Part A

A competitive equilibrium is a set of prices $\{Q_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and allocations $\{c_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ such that agents optimize and markets clear ($c_t(s^t) = d_t(s^t)$).

I will quickly derive first order conditions that will help us later.
The agent maximizes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \\ \text{s.t. } & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} Q_t(s^t) c_t(s^t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t(s^t) d_t(s^t) \end{aligned}$$

FOC (lagrange multiplier μ):

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^t \pi_t(s^t) u'(c_t(s^t)) = \mu Q_t(s^t) \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{\beta^t \pi_t(s^t) u'(c_t(s^t))}{u'(c_0(s_0))} = Q_t(s^t) \end{aligned}$$

$$(0.95)^t \pi_t(s^t) (d_t(s^t))^{-2} = Q_t(s^t) \quad (1)$$

We can use the above expression to price claims in the sections that follow. First, note that $c_t \leq d_t \Rightarrow c_t = d_t$ will maximize utility. Also, note that we are interested in prices in terms of the period 0 good, i.e. we have assumed $Q_0(s_0) = 0$. Note finally that $u'(c_0) = u'(d_0) = u'(1) = 1$.

3.1.2 Part B

Using equation (1), we can price the claim. $c_5 = d_5 = 0.97 * 0.97 * 1.03 * 0.97 * 1.03 = 0.968$. $\beta^5 = 0.774$. $\pi_t(s^t) = 0.8 * 0.8 * 0.2 * 0.1 * 0.2 = 0.00256$. Therefore, $Q_5 = (0.774)(0.00256)(0.968)^{-2} = 0.00211$.

3.1.3 Part C

Using equation (1), we can price the claim. $c_5 = d_5 = 1.03 * 1.03 * 1.03 * 1.03 * 0.97 = 1.092$. $\beta^5 = 0.774$. $\pi_t(s^t) = 0.2 * 0.9 * 0.9 * 0.9 * 0.1 = 0.01458$. Therefore, $Q_5 = (0.774)(0.01458)(1.092)^{-2} = 0.00946$.

3.1.4 Part D

The price is the sum of the prices and endowments across states and time:

$$\begin{aligned} P^e &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} d_t(s^t) Q_t(s^t) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s^t} (0.95)^t \pi_t(s^t) (d_t(s^t))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

3.1.5 Part E

The price is the sum of the prices and endowments across state histories at time 5, conditional on the state at time $t = 5$ being $\lambda_5 = 0.97$:

$$P^5 = \sum_{s^5 | s_5 = 0.97} (0.95)^5 \pi_5(s^5) (d_5(s^5))^{-1}$$

3.2 Part II

3.2.1 Part F

A recursive competitive equilibrium is a pricing kernel $\{q_t(s^t|s_{t+1})\}_{t=0}^\infty$ and decision rules $c(s_t, a_t), a_{t+1}(s_t, a_t)$ such that agents optimize $(v(s_t, a_t) = \max_{c, a_{t+1}} u(c) + \beta E[v(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1})])$ and markets clear $c_t = d_t, a_t = 0 \forall t$.

3.2.2 Part G

The natural debt limit for a state in the future $A_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1})$ is the maximum amount one can repay eventually, i.e. present discounted value of future income. It takes a recursive form:

$$A(s_t) = d_t + \beta \sum_{s_{t+1}} Q(s_{t+1}|s_t) A(s_{t+1})$$

3.2.3 Part H

In each period, the agent solves the following maximization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_t(s^t), \{a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1})\}} & E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t(s^t)) \\ \text{s.t. } & c_t(s^t) + \sum_{s_t} a_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}) q_t(s^t, s_{t+1}) \leq d_t(s^t) + a_t(s^t) \end{aligned}$$

Taking first order conditions, we have the following:

$$q_t(s^t, s_{t+1}) = \beta \frac{u'(c_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}))}{u'(c_t(s^t))} \pi(s_{t+1}|s^t)$$

Since the endowments are governed by a Markov process, and since we know that the feasible allocations satisfy $c_t \leq d_t \Rightarrow c_t = d_t$ optimizes utility, we can rewrite the first order conditions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} q_t(s^t, s_{t+1}) &= \beta \frac{u'(d_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1}))}{u'(d_t(s^t))} \pi(s_{t+1}|s_t) \\ &= \beta \left(\frac{d_t(s^t)}{d_{t+1}(s^t, s_{t+1})} \right)^2 \pi(s_{t+1}|s_t) \\ &= \beta (\lambda_{t+1}(s_{t+1}))^{-2} \pi(s_{t+1}|s_t) \\ &= q_t(s_t, s_{t+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The above expression is our pricing kernel.

Finally, since we know $c_t(s^t) = d_t(s^t)$, it must immediately hold by induction that $a_t(s^t) = 0$.

3.2.4 Part I

We can use our pricing kernel to price this bond.

$$\begin{aligned} p^b(s_t) &= \sum_{s^{t+1}} \sum_{s^{t+2}} \beta^2 (\lambda_{t+1}(s_{t+1}))^{-2} \pi(s_{t+1}|s_t) (\lambda_{t+2}(s_{t+2}))^{-2} \pi(s_{t+2}|s_{t+1}) \\ \Rightarrow p^b(\lambda_t) &= \begin{cases} (0.95)^2 ((0.97)^{-2} (0.8) (0.97^{-2} (0.8) + (1.03)^{-2} (0.2)) + (1.03)^{-2} (0.2) ((0.9) (1.03)^{-2} + (0.1) (0.97)^{-2})) \\ (0.95)^2 ((0.97)^{-2} (0.1) (0.97^{-2} (0.8) + (1.03)^{-2} (0.2)) + (1.03)^{-2} (0.9) ((0.9) (1.03)^{-2} + (0.1) (0.97)^{-2})) \end{cases} \\ p^b(\lambda_t) &= \begin{cases} 0.96, \lambda_t = 0.97 \\ 0.83, \lambda_t = 1.03 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$