

# Econometrics HW3

Michael B. Nattinger\*

December 7, 2020

## 1 7.28

### 1.1 Part A

	Edu	Exp	Exp^2/100	Constant
Coefficient	0.14431	0.042633	-0.095056	0.53089
Robust SE	0.011726	0.012422	0.033796	0.20005

### 1.2 Part B

The derivative of log wage with respect to education is  $\beta_1$  and the derivative of log wage with respect to experience is  $\beta_2 + \beta_3 \text{exp}/50$  so  $\theta = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2 + \beta_3 \text{exp}/50}$ . Therefore, for 10 experience, our estimate implied by our regressions is the following:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \text{exp}/50} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{0.1443}{0.0426 - 0.0951(10)/50} \quad (2)$$

$$= 6.109 \quad (3)$$

### 1.3 Part C

We can find the asymptotic standard error as the square root of the asymptotic variance of the  $\hat{\theta}$  estimator, which we can calculate through the delta method:

$$s(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{g'(\beta)'Vg'(\beta)},$$

---

\*I worked on this assignment with my study group: Alex von Hafften, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I have also discussed problem(s) with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.

where  $V$  is the asymptotic covariance matrix of the non-intercept coefficients, and  $g(\beta) = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \exp/50}$ . Then,

$$g'(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \exp/50} \\ \frac{-\hat{\beta}_1}{(\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \exp/50)^2} \\ \frac{-\hat{\beta}_1 \exp/50}{(\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3 \exp/50)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can calculate an estimate for  $s(\hat{\theta})$  by plugging in OLS estimates of  $\beta$  and our robust standard error matrix we used in Part A. Our 90% CI is  $[\hat{\theta} - 1.645s(\hat{\theta}), \hat{\theta} + 1.645s(\hat{\theta})]$ .

#### 1.4 Part D

Our computed  $\hat{\theta}$ ,  $s(\hat{\theta})$ , and confidence interval are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} &= 6.109 \\ s(\hat{\theta}) &= 1.6178 \\ CI &= [4.4912, 7.7269] \end{aligned}$$

.

## 2 8.1

Let  $\beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2]$  be the CLS estimator of  $Y = X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e$  subject to the constraint that  $\beta_2 = 0$ . From definition (8.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \arg \min_{\beta_2=0} (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} &= (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda'(\beta_2 - 0) \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= -2X_1'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) \\ \Rightarrow X_1'Y &= (X_1'X_1)\beta_1 \\ \Rightarrow \beta_1 &= (X_1'X_1)^{-1}X_1'Y. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 8.3

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &= \arg \min_{\beta_1 = -\beta_2} (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} &= (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda'(\beta_2 + \beta_1) \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= -2X_1'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= -2X_2'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= (X_1 - X_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 + X_2\beta_1) \\
\Rightarrow \beta_1 &= -\beta_2 = ((X_1 - X_2)'(X_1 - X_2))^{-1}(X_1 - X_2)'Y
\end{aligned}$$

### 4 8.4(a)

Let  $Z = X$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \arg \min_{\beta=0} (Y - X\beta - \alpha)'(Y - X\beta - \alpha) \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} &= (Y - X\beta - \alpha)'(Y - X\beta - \alpha) + \lambda'(\beta) \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= -\vec{1}'(Y - X\beta - \alpha) \\
\Rightarrow \alpha &= \frac{1}{n}\vec{1}'Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i Y_i
\end{aligned}$$

### 5 8.22

#### 5.1 Part A

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\beta} &= \arg \min_{2\beta_2 = \beta_1} (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} &= (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda'(2\beta_2 - \beta_1) \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= -2X_1'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= -2X_2'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + 2\lambda \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= (2X_1 + X_2)'(Y - X_12\beta_2 - X_2\beta_2) \\
\Rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_2 &= ((2X_1 + X_2)'(2X_1 + X_2))^{-1}(2X_1 + X_2)'Y \\
\Rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_1 &= 2\tilde{\beta}_2
\end{aligned}$$

## 5.2 Part B

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) &= 2\sqrt{n}((2X_1 + X_2)'(2X_1 + X_2))^{-1}(2X_1 + X_2)'e \\
&= 2\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_i (2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})^2\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_i (2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})e_i \\
&\Rightarrow N\left(0, \frac{E[(2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})^2 e_i^2]}{E[(2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})^2]^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

## 6 9.1

Let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the OLS regression of  $y$  on  $X$ . Similarly consider the regression with the restriction  $\beta_{k+1} = 0 := \tilde{\beta}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\beta} &= \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1]'([\vec{0}_k 1](X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1'])^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1]\hat{\beta} \\
&= \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1}. \\
\tilde{\epsilon} &= y - X\tilde{\beta} \\
&= \hat{\epsilon} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) \\
\Rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} &= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'X'X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) - \hat{\epsilon}'X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) - (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'X'\hat{\epsilon} \\
&= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1](X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\
&= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1](X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_k 1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\
&= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\
&= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the adjusted R-sq for unrestricted and restricted regressions,  $R_{k+1}^2, R_k^2$ . Define  $E := \frac{1}{n-k-1}(y_i - \bar{y})^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{k+1}^2 > R_k^2 &\iff 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}}{E} > 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n-k}\tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon}}{E} \\
&\iff \frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} < \frac{1}{n-k}\tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} \\
&\iff (n-k-1)(\tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} - \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}) > \tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} \\
&\iff \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{s^2[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}} > 1 \\
&\iff \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})^2} > 1 \\
&\iff \left| \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})^2} \right| > 1 \\
&\iff |T_{k+1}| > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

## 7 9.2

### 7.1 Part A

$\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2$  are OLS estimates of the coefficients, so  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \rightarrow_d N(0, V_1)$ ,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_2) \rightarrow_d N(0, V_2)$  where  $V_j = E[x_{j,i}x'_{j,i}]^{-1}E[x_{j,i}x'_{j,i}e_{j,i}^2]E[x_{j,i}x'_{j,i}]^{-1}$ .

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i}x'_{1,i})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2,i}x'_{2,i})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,1}e_{i,1} \\ x_{i,2}e_{i,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,1}e_{i,1} \\ x_{i,2}e_{i,2} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d N \left( 0, \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

By CMT,  $\sqrt{n}((\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2) - (\beta_1 - \beta_2)) \rightarrow_d N(0, V_1 + V_2)$ .

### 7.2 Part B

We have a multidimensional restriction so the test statistic we should use for  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2$  is the Wald statistic  $W_n = n(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)'(\hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2)^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)$ .

### 7.3 Part C

Since  $\hat{V}_j \rightarrow_p V_j$ , from (a)  $W_n \rightarrow_d \chi_k^2$ .

## 8 9.4

### 8.1 Part A

$$P(W < c_1 \cup W > c_2) = P(W < c_1) + P(W > c_2) \rightarrow_p F(c_1) + (1 - F(c_2)) = \alpha/2 + \alpha/2 = \alpha.$$

### 8.2 Part B

This is a bad test because if  $W < c_1$  then  $\theta$  is very close to 0. If the null hypothesis is true then drawing a  $W < c_1$  is just a draw of  $\theta$  near its true mean, 0. We should not be rejecting the null in this case. Rejecting in this case results in a loss of power.

## 9 9.7

We are testing the null hypothesis of  $20 = 40\beta_1 + 1600\beta_2 \Rightarrow 1/2 = \beta_1 + 40\beta_2$ . We can define  $\theta = \beta_1 + 40\beta_2 - 1/2$ . Then, under the null hypothesis,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, V)$  where  $V = (1 \ 40) V_\beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 40 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $V_\beta$  is the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\beta$ . To test the hypothesis, we can calculate  $\hat{\theta}$  by plugging in our OLS estimates of  $\beta$ , and plug in our OLS estimates of the covariance matrix  $\hat{V}_\beta$ , and then the SE of our test is  $se = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}}{n}}$ , our test statistic is  $t = \frac{\hat{\theta}}{se}$ . We can then reject the null hypothesis if  $|t| > q_{1-\alpha/2}$  where  $q_{1-\alpha/2}$  is the  $1 - \alpha/2$  quantile of a standard normal, and  $\alpha$  is the size of the test.