Michael Nattinger 907075 2267

Page | My Status # \$\frac{1}{2} \text{mod} \text{ } \text{ }

(b) $P(Z=z) = P(X^{2} \le z) = \begin{cases} 0, z \le 0 \\ P(-\sqrt{z} \le x \le \sqrt{z}), z \ge (0,1) \\ P(-1 \le x \le \sqrt{z}), z \ge (1,1) \end{cases}$ $= 6(-00,0) \cdot F(z) = 1/2 \times 2/2 \times 2/2$

Note: (2) of is a single point so, given the pdf is continuous, we can choose to either group it with 243/2 or 0, and the answer is undruged.

Michael Nattinger 907075 2267 Page 2 2) (a) Propose: $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |ay|^{i}$ Proc unbiased: $\hat{E}\hat{\theta} = \hat{E} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |ay|^{i}$; $\hat{z} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{E} |$ EB= 8 50 8 is an unbiased estimator (b) Find P(474| Y \(\) for Y \(\)

Let Loy Y \(\) \ | = ρ(x;>log(y) | x; ε | 0) = [0] - [log(y]), | (x; ε 0) = [0] - [log(y]), | Φ(0) = [0] = . In a single point in given the place a commen we are besigned while house it with 2 1/2 is a out the chance it whence it

Michael Nattinger 907075 2267

Page 3

Alone Blown LEE wills

- 3) (a) The sum of independent random namolis is normal with $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2$ where $\chi_1 \sim N(\mu_1 \sigma_1^2) \chi_2 \sim N(\mu_2 \sigma_2^2) \chi_3 \sim N(\mu_2 \sigma_3^2) \chi_4 \sim N(\mu_2 \sigma_3^2) \chi_5 \sim N(\mu_2 \sigma_3^2) \gamma_5 \sim N(\mu_2 \sigma_3^2) \gamma$ Therefore, Xnx ~ N (Mx, 52), Ynx ~ N (per, Ty). Thus, IN N(Mx+My, Tx + Ty).
 - (b) It is known that B~N(MxxMy, \(\frac{1}{n_x} + \frac{1}{n_y}\) for some mx + my. The null hypothesis is flut MxtMy = 0. The alternative is that \(\mu_x + My \neq 0\).

Propose T= (\hat{\the} -0) / \text{\$\int_ny}. We will reject it |T| > + where + is the 1-\frac{\pi}{2} quantile of N(0,1).

P(ITI > + | Ho) = P(T> + 1Ho) + P(-TG+ | H.)

```
Michael Nottmm
     907075 2267
   Page 4
(a) \pi t (y) = \begin{cases} 0.2, & y = 1 \\ 0.6, & y = 2 \\ 0.2, & y = 0 \end{cases}
             E(Y) = 0.2(1) + 0.6(2) + 0.2(0)
= 0.2 + 1.2 = 1.4.
(p) Architectures were the
        \pi(y|x) = (0.7, x=2, y=1)
                                 0.3, x=1, y=2
0, x=2, y=0
0.4, x=4, y=1
                              \begin{cases}
0.45 & x=4, & y=2 \\
0.45 & x=4, & y=2 \\
0.15 & x=4, & y=0 \\
5h0 & x=0, & y=1 \\
49/70 & x=0, & y=2 \\
17/10 & x=0, & y=0
\end{cases}
  E[Y|X] = 0.7(1) + 0.3(2) + 0 = (.3), x=2
0.4(1) + 0.45(2) + 0 = 1.3, x=4
\frac{5}{70}(1) + \frac{1}{70}(2) + 0 = \frac{101}{70}, x=0
E[E[Y|X]] = 1.3(0.1) + 1.3(0.2) + \frac{10}{70}(0.7) = \frac{140}{100} = 1.4
      => E[E[YIX]] = E[Y] so we have shown LIE holds.
```

Michael Nattonger 907075 2267 Page 5 5) (a) $l_{1}(x | \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} log (1-p_{1}-p_{2})^{2(x-2)} p_{1}(x-1) p_{2}(x-2)$ = $\sum_{j=1}^{2} 1(x-0)^{j} (1-p_{1}-p_{2}) + 1(x-1) log (p_{1}) + 1(x-1) log (p_{2})$ $\frac{(b)_{02} \frac{\partial \ln 2}{\partial p_{1}}}{\frac{\partial \ln 2}{\partial p_{2}}} = \frac{1(x=0)}{\frac{1-p_{1}-p_{2}}{1-p_{1}-p_{2}}} + \frac{1(x=1)}{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}} = 0 = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{2} \cdot 1(x=0)\right) \left(\frac{1-p_{1}-p_{2}}{p_{2}}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \cdot 1(x=0)\right) \left($ Clearly there conditions hold it p= (\$ 1(x=1)/n, p= (\$1(x=1))/n. $(C) = \frac{1}{10} \frac{\log (f + \ln)}{2} = \frac{2(x_2)^2 - \frac{1}{(x_2)} - \frac{1}{(x_3)}}{\frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{10}}$ $(C) = \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} = \frac{2(x_2)^2 - \frac{1}{(x_3)}}{\frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{10}}$ $(C) = \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{$