

# Econometrics HW5

Michael B. Nattinger\*

October 7, 2020

## 1 Question 1

1.1  $a_n = 1/n$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N$  be the smallest integer such that  $N > 1/\epsilon$ . Then,  $|a_n - 0| = 1/n < \epsilon \forall n > N$ .

1.2  $a_n = \frac{1}{n} \sin(n\pi/2)$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N$  be the smallest integer such that  $N > 1/\epsilon$ . Then,  $|a_n - 0| = |\frac{1}{n} \sin(n\pi/2)| \leq \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon \forall n > N$ .

## 2 Question 2

2.1 Does  $X_n \rightarrow_p 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N$  be the smallest integer such that  $N > \epsilon$ . Then, for  $n > N$ ,  $P(|X_n| \geq \epsilon) = 2/n$  so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n| \geq \epsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2/n = 0$ , so  $X_n \rightarrow_p 0$ .

2.2 Calculate  $E(X_n)$ .

$$E(X_n) = -n(1/n) + 0(1 - 2/n) + n(1/n) = 0.$$

2.3 Calculate  $Var(X_n)$ .

$$Var(X_n) = E(X_n^2) - E(X_n)^2 = (n^2)(1/n) + (0^2)(1 - 2/n) + (n^2)(1/n) - 0^2 = 2n.$$

2.4 Calculate  $X_n$  for the next distribution.

$$E(X_n) = (0)(1 - 1/n) + (n)(1/n) = 1.$$

2.5 Conclude that . . . .

Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 = 1$ . Now let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - 0| < \epsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n$  for  $n > N$  where  $N$  is the smallest integer such that  $N > 1/\epsilon$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - 0| < \epsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n = 0$  so  $X_n \rightarrow_p 0$ , yet  $E(X_n) \rightarrow 1$ .

---

\*I worked on this assignment with my study group: Alex von Hafften, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I have also discussed problem(s) with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.

### 3 Question 3

#### 3.1 Show that $\bar{Y}^*$

$$E(\bar{Y}^*) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i E(Y_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mu = \frac{\mu}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = \mu.$$

#### 3.2 Calculate $Var(\bar{Y}^*)$

$$Var(\bar{Y}^*) = \frac{1}{n^2} Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 Var(Y_i) = \sigma_Y^2 \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \text{ where } \sigma_Y^2 \text{ is the variance of each draw of } Y.$$

#### 3.3 Show the first sufficient condition.

$$\text{Let } \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \rightarrow 0. \text{ Let } \epsilon > 0. \text{ By Chebyshev's inequality, } P(|\bar{Y}^* - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma_Y^2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2}{n^2 \epsilon^2} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ so } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{Y}^* - \mu| \geq \epsilon) = 0.$$

#### 3.4 Show the second sufficient condition.

$$\text{Now, let } \max_{i \leq n} w_i/n \rightarrow 0. \text{ Let } \epsilon > 0. \text{ By Chebyshev's inequality, } P(|\bar{Y}^* - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma_Y^2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2}{n^2} \leq \frac{\sigma_Y^2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \max_{j \leq n} w_j}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma_Y^2 \max_{j \leq n} w_j \sum_{i=1}^n w_i}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma_Y^2 \max_{j \leq n} w_j}{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

### 4 Question 4

#### 4.1 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$

Assuming the moment exists, by the WLLN  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \rightarrow_p E[X_i^2]$ .

#### 4.2 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3$

Assuming the moment exists, by the WLLN  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^3 \rightarrow_p E[X_i^3]$ .

#### 4.3 $\max_{i \leq n} X_i$

We cannot say anything using WLLN or CMT.

#### 4.4 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2$

Assuming the necessary moments exist, by WLLN,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \rightarrow_p E[X_i^2]$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow_p E[X_i]$  so by continuity and the CMT,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)^2 \rightarrow_p Var(X_i)$ .

#### 4.5 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$

Assuming the moments exist, by WLLN,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \rightarrow_p E[X_i^2]$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow_p E[X_i]$  so by continuity and the CMT,  $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \rightarrow_p E[X_i^2]/E[X_i]$ .

#### 4.6 $\mathbb{1}(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$

By WLLN,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow_p E[X_i]$  so by CMT  $\mathbb{1}(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) \rightarrow_p \mathbb{1}(E[X_i] > 0)$  unless  $E[x_i] = 0$  in which case the indicator function is not continuous at that point, and CMT cannot be applied.

## 5 Question 5

Note that  $\hat{\mu} = \exp(\log(\hat{\mu})) = \exp(\log((\pi_{i=1}^n X_i)^{1/n})) = \exp((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i))$ . Due to continuity of  $\log$ ,  $\exp$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , the WLLN and CMT gives us  $\hat{\mu} = \exp((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)) \rightarrow_p \exp(E(\log(X_i))) = \mu$ .

## 6 Question 6

6.1 Find the natural moment estimator for  $\mu_k$

Define  $\hat{\mu}_k := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$ . By WLLN this is a consistent estimator for  $\mu_k$ .

6.2 Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_k - \mu_k)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Assuming the necessary moments exist, by CLT  $\text{Var}(X_i^k) = E(X_i^{2k}) - (E(X_i^k))^2$  so  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_k - \mu_k) \rightarrow_d N(0, \mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2)$ .

## 7 Question 7

7.1 Find a consistent estimator

By continuity, assuming the moment exists,  $\hat{m}_k = (\hat{\mu}_k)^{1/k}$  is a consistent estimator for  $m_k$ .

7.2 Find the distribution

Using the delta method,  $\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{m}_k) - m_k) \rightarrow_d N(0, V)$  where  $V = ((1/k)(\mu_k)^{\frac{1-k}{k}})^2(\mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2) = \frac{1}{k^2} \mu_k^{2\frac{1-k}{k}} (\mu_{2k} - \mu_k^2)$ .

## 8 Question 8

8.1 Use the Delta Method

Using the Delta Method,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \rightarrow_d N(0, V)$  where  $V = 4\mu^2 v^2$ .

8.2 What happens when  $\mu$  is 0?

If  $\mu = 0$  we get a degenerate normal with no variance; in the limit the distribution collapses into a unit point mass at 0.

8.3 Improve your answer.

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{\mu} \rightarrow_d N(0, v^2) \Rightarrow \sqrt{n}\hat{\mu}/v \rightarrow_d N(0, 1) \Rightarrow n\hat{\mu}^2/v^2 \rightarrow_d \chi_1^2 \Rightarrow n\hat{\beta} \rightarrow_d v^2 \chi_1^2$$

8.4 Why do we get this difference?

It seems to me that, when  $\beta = 0$ , the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  converges to 0 at a rate of  $n$  rather than a rate of  $\sqrt{n}$ . So, by checking the convergence at the rate of  $\sqrt{n}$  we find that  $\hat{\beta}$  has already converged to 0, though if we check the convergence at the rate of  $n$  we find a distribution at that rate, which takes the form of a scaled  $\chi_1^2$ .