# Macro PS4

Michael B. Nattinger\*

October 1, 2020

# 1 Question 1

## 1.1 State and solve the SPP

The social planner maximizes total utility subject to the resource constraint. This problem is represented as:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_i^{t-1}, c_i^t, i \in \mathbb{N}} U(c_1^0) + \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} U(c_t^t, c_{t+1}^t) \\ \text{s.t. } (1+n)c_t^t + c_t^{t-1} &\leq (1+n)w_1 + w_2 \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

The resource constraint inequality will bind as utility is strictly increasing in consumption. Then, we can substitute  $c_t^t = w_1 + (w_2 - c_t^{t-1})/(1+n)$  into our optimization problem, as well as our functional form for utility, and we are left with the following:

$$\max_{c_i^{t-1}, i \in \mathbb{N}} \ln c_1^0 + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \ln (w_1 + (w_2 - c_t^{t-1})/(1+n) + \ln c_t^{t-1}$$

Taking first order conditions with respect to  $c_t^{t-1}$ ,  $\frac{-1}{(1+n)w_1+w_2-c_t^{t-1}}+\frac{1}{c_t^{t-1}}=0$   $\Rightarrow c_t^{t-1}=\frac{w_1(1+n)+w_2}{2}, c_t^t=\frac{w_1(1+n)+w_2}{2(1+n)} \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

#### 1.2 Set up the competitive equilibrium

The competitive equilibrium is a set of allocations and prices such that agents optimize and markets clear. Note that I will write agents' budget constraints as binding as utility is strictly increasing in consumption. The first old agent solves the following:

$$\max_{c_1^0} \ln c_1^0$$
s.t.  $p_1 c_1^0 = p_1 w_2 + \bar{M}_1$ .

<sup>\*</sup>I worked on this assignment with my study group: Alex von Hafften, Andrew Smith, and Ryan Mather. I have also discussed problem(s) with Emily Case, Sarah Bass, and Danny Edgel.

All other agents live for 2 periods, so they instead solve:

$$\max_{\substack{c_t^t, c_{t+1}^t, M_{t+1}^t \\ \text{s.t. } p_t c_t^t + M_{t+1}^t = p_t w_1 \\ \text{and } p_{t+1} c_{t+1}^t = (1+z) M_{t+1}^t + p_{t+1} w_2.}$$

In the competitive equilibrium, the goods market and money market clear:

$$(n+1)c_t^t + c_t^{t-1} = (n+1)w_1 + w_2,$$
  
$$(1+n)^t M_{t+1}^t = (1+z)^{t-1} \bar{M}_1,$$

where the money supply is the amount given to the initial old, growing exogenously at a rate of (1+z) in each period thereafter.

## 1.3 Solve for an autarkic equilibrium

Money has no value in this equilibrium. Thus, our agents' problems change to be the following:

$$\max_{c_1^0} \ln c_1^0$$
s.t.  $c_1^0 = w_2$ .

All other agents live for 2 periods, so they instead solve:

$$\max_{c_{t}^{t}, c_{t+1}^{t}} \ln c_{t}^{t} + \ln c_{t+1}^{t}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{t}^{t} = w_{1}$   
and  $c_{t+1}^{t} = w_{2}$ .

In the competitive equilibrium, the goods market and money market clear:

$$(n+1)c_t^t + c_t^{t-1} = (n+1)w_1 + w_2,$$
  
$$(n+1)^t M_{t+1}^t = (1+z)^{t-1} \bar{M}_1,$$

Thus, 
$$c_t^t = w_1, c_t^{t-1} = w_2, M_{t+1}^t = (1+z)^{t-1} \bar{M}_1/(n+1)^t \ \forall t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

### 1.4 Solve for a steady state (non-autarkic) monetary equilibrium

The initial old's consumption is determined by their budget constraint:  $c_1^0 = w_2 + \frac{\bar{M_1}}{p_1}$ . For all other agents, we can solve for  $c_t^t, c_{t+1}^t$  as a function of  $M_{t+1}^t$  and prices using their budget constraints:

$$c_{t}^{t} = w_{1} - \frac{M_{t+1}^{t}}{p_{t}}$$

$$c_{t+1}^{t} = (1+z)\frac{M_{t+1}^{t}}{p_{t+1}} + w_{2}.$$

Plugging this into the maximization problem we have the following optimization problem and first order condition with respect to  $M_{t+1}^t$ :

$$\max_{M_{t+1}^t} \left( w_1 - \frac{M_{t+1}^t}{p_t} \right) + \ln \left( (1+z) \frac{M_{t+1}^t}{p_{t+1}} + w_2 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -\left( \frac{1}{p_t} \right) \frac{1}{w_1 - M_{t+1}^t/p_t} + \left( \frac{1}{p_{t+1}} \right) \frac{1}{M_{t+1}^t/p_{t+1} + w_2/(1+z)}$$

$$\Rightarrow M_{t+1}^t = \left( p_t w_1 - \frac{p_{t+1}}{1+z} w_2 \right) / 2$$

$$\Rightarrow c_t^t = w_1 - \frac{1}{2p_t} \left( p_t w_1 - \frac{p_{t+1}}{1+z} w_2 \right) = \frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{p_{t+1}}{2p_t(1+z)} w_2$$

$$= \frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2q_t(1+z)} w_2$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{t+1}^t = w_2 + \frac{1+z}{2p_{t+1}} \left( p_t w_1 - \frac{p_{t+1}}{1+z} w_2 \right) = \frac{w_2}{2} + \frac{p_t(1+z)}{2p_{t+1}} w_1$$

$$= \frac{w_2}{2} + \frac{q_t(1+z)}{2} w_1,$$

where  $q_t := \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}$ . To clear goods, we find:

$$(1+n)w_1 + w_2 = (1+n)\left(\frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2q_t(1+z)}w_2\right) + \frac{w_2}{2} + \frac{q_{t-1}(1+z)}{2}w_1$$

In the steady state, q is constant so  $q_t = q_{t-1} = \bar{q}$ . We can then solve the goods clearing equation to find  $\bar{q}$ :

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \frac{(1+z)w_1}{2} \bar{q}^2 - \frac{(1+n)w_1 + w_2}{2} \bar{q} + \frac{(1+n)w_2}{2(1+z)} \\ \Rightarrow \bar{q} &= \frac{\frac{(1+n)w_1 + w_2}{2} \pm \sqrt{(\frac{(1+n)w_1 + w_2}{2})^2 - (1+n)w_1 w_2}}{(1+z)w_1} \\ &= \frac{1+n}{2(1+z)} + \frac{w_2}{2(1+z)w_1} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(1+n)^2 w_1^2/4 - (1+n)w_1 w_2/2 + w_2^2/4}}{(1+z)w_1} \\ &= \frac{1+n}{2(1+z)} + \frac{w_2}{2(1+z)w_1} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(\frac{(1+n)w_1 - w_2}{2})^2}}{(1+z)w_1} \\ &= \frac{1+n}{2(1+z)} + \frac{w_2}{2(1+z)w_1} \pm \frac{(1+n)w_1 - w_2}{2(1+z)w_1} \\ &\Rightarrow \bar{q} = \frac{1+n}{(1+z)} \text{ or } \bar{q} = \frac{w_2}{(1+z)w_1}. \end{split}$$

First we will consider  $\bar{q} = \frac{w_2}{(1+z)w_1}$ . This yields  $c_t^t = w_1, c_{t+1}^t = w_2$  which is our autarkic equilibrium.

Now, we consider  $\bar{q} = \frac{1+n}{(1+z)}$ . This yields  $c_t^t = \frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{w_2}{2(1+n)}$ ,  $c_{t+1}^t = \frac{w_2}{2} + \frac{w_1(1+n)}{2}$ . This is different than the autarkic equilibrium, so money is valued. However, before we proceed, we need to verify that this solution results in nonnegative  $M_{t+1}^t$ . We can first solve for  $p_t$  via the household budget constraint in the first period, utilizing money market clearing:

$$c_{t}^{t} = w_{1} - \frac{M_{t+1}^{t}}{p_{t}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{w_{1}}{2} + \frac{w_{2}}{2(1+n)} = w_{1} - \frac{M_{t+1}^{t}}{p_{t}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{M_{t+1}^{t}}{p_{t}} = \frac{w_{1}}{2} - \frac{w_{2}}{2(1+n)}$$

Since  $w_1 > w_2$  and  $p_t > 0$ ,  $M_{t+1}^t > 0$ .

We can use money market clearing to solve for  $M_{t+1}^t$ , and then solve for  $p_t$ :

$$M_{t+1}^{t} = \frac{(1+z)^{t-1}}{(1+n)^{t}} \bar{M}_{1}$$

$$p_{t} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1+n}\right)^{t-1} \frac{2\bar{M}_{1}}{w_{1}(1+n) - w_{2}}$$

Our gross real rate of return is  $\bar{q} = \frac{1+n}{(1+z)}$ . If the population grows faster than money then the net return will be positive. Essentially, what is happening is that, if the population size is increasing at a rate that is higher than the money supply growth rate then there will be fewer currency per agents in each generation. Since consumption while each generation is old does not depend on time in the steady state, the agents must be buying the same amount of the consumable good with less units of currency in each generation, so the price level must be declining in each period to exactly offset the effect of the shrinking per-capita money supply.

#### 1.5 Does the stationary monetary equilibrium pareto dominate autarky?

Our utility function is log, which is strictly concave. Comparing:

$$\begin{split} \text{SPP,CME:} \ c_t^t &= \frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{w_2}{2(1+n)}, c_{t+1}^t = \frac{w_2}{2} + \frac{w_1(1+n)}{2}, c_1^0 = w_2 + \frac{\bar{M}_1}{p_1}, \\ \text{Aut:} \ c_t^t &= w_1, c_{t+1}^t = w_2, c_1^0 = w_2 \\ & \ln \ (\frac{w_1}{2} + \frac{w_2}{2(1+n)}) + \ln \ (\frac{w_2}{2} + \frac{w_1(1+n)}{2}) > \ln \ w_1 + \ln \ w_2 \\ & \ln \ w_2 + \frac{\bar{M}_1}{p_1} > \ln \ w_2. \end{split}$$

Thus, our stationary monetary equilibrium pareto dominates the autarkic equilibrium.

The government can implement this equilibrium by setting the initial price to be at the stationary equilibrium level,

$$p_1 = \frac{2\bar{M}_1}{w_1(1+n) - w_2}.$$

## 1.6 Does money exhibit super-neutrality in this model?

Yes, inflation has no effect on consumption. We can see this through the real return on saving,  $(1+z)\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}=(1+n)$ . This is independent of the inflation rate, so the agents will not factor in the inflation rate into their saving-consuming decision. The reason this occurs is that the additional money that is created in each period is given to the agents that saved in the prior period, so while the money they have is worth  $\frac{1}{1+z}$  of the money they saved, the amount of money they have in the second period is (1+z) more than the amount of money they saved, so the effect of the expansion of money supply exactly offsets from the perspective of the agents.

This is also shown from the equilibrium consumption allocations being dependent only on  $w_1, w_2$ , and the population growth rate, for all equilibria.