Macro PS4

Michael B. Nattinger

April 13, 2021

1 Question 1

The household solves the following problem:

$$\max_{\{c_t, l_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \beta^t \left[\frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \nu(l_t) \right]$$
s.t. $(1+\tau_{ct})c_t + k_{t+1} + b_{t+1} = (1-\delta+r_t)k_t + R_tb_t + w_t(1-l_t)$

Taking FOCs (Lagrange multiplier λ_t) yields the following:

$$\beta^{t} c_{t}^{-\sigma} = \lambda_{t} (1 + \tau_{ct})$$
$$\beta^{t} \nu'(l_{t}) = \lambda_{t} w_{t}$$
$$\lambda_{t} = (1 - \delta + r_{t+1}) \lambda_{t+1}$$
$$\lambda_{t} = R_{t+1} \lambda_{t+1}.$$

Simplifying,

$$w_t = (1 + \tau_{ct})\nu'(l_t)c_t^{\sigma}$$

$$1 = \beta \left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}}\right)^{\sigma} \frac{1 + \tau_{ct+1}}{1 + \tau_{ct}} R_{t+1}$$

$$R_t = 1 - \delta + r_t.$$

The above formulas represent the solution to the household's problem. Now we can set up the Ramsey problem. The resource constraint is the following:

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)k_t + F(k_t, (1 - l_t)).$$

Our implementability constraint takes the following form:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t [c_t^{1-\sigma} - (1-l_t)\nu'(l_t)] = \frac{c_0^{-\sigma}}{1+\tau_{c0}} [(1-\delta+r_0)k_{-1} + R_0b_{-1}],$$

where we make standard assumptions on τ_{c0} to rule out the effective lump-sum tax solution.

Defining $W(c_t, l_t, \lambda) = \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \nu(l_t) + \lambda [c_t^{1-\sigma} - (1-l_t)\nu'(l_t)]$, then the Ramsey problem consists of solving the following maximization problem:

$$\max \sum_{t} \beta^{t} [W(c_{t}, l_{t}, \lambda) - \lambda \frac{c_{0}^{-\sigma}}{1 + \tau_{c0}} [(1 - \delta + r_{0})k_{-1} + R_{0}b_{-1}]$$

The intertemporal first order condition is the following:

$$W_{ct} = \beta W_{ct+1} R_{t+1}$$

We are almost to our solution. We just need to look at W_{ct} :

$$\begin{split} c_t^{-\sigma} + \lambda c_t^{-\sigma} (1 - \sigma) &= c_t^{-\sigma} (1 + \lambda (1 - \sigma)) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{W_{ct+1}}{W_{ct}} &= \left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}}\right)^{\sigma} \end{split}$$

Coming back to our intertemporal FOC for the Ramsey problem,

$$W_{ct} = \beta W_{ct+1} R_{t+1}$$
$$1 = \beta \left(\frac{c_t}{c_{t+1}}\right)^{\sigma} R_{t+1}$$

Comparing to our first order conditions for the HH problem we can see immediately that $\tau_{ct} = \tau_{ct+1} \forall t$.

2 Question 2

2.1 Part A

A competitive equilibrium is a set of prices $\{p_t, w_t, R_t\}$ allocations $\{c_{1t}, c_{2t}, n_t, M_t, B_t\}$ and policies $\{T_t\}$ such that agents optimize, markets clear, and the government budget constraint holds.

Agents solve the following:

$$\max_{c_{1t}, c_{2t}, n_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (log(c_{1t}) + \alpha log(c_{2t}) + \gamma log(1 - n_t))$$
s.t. $p_t c_{1t} \leq M_t$
and $M_t + B_t \leq (M_{t-1} - p_{t-1}c_{1t-1}) - p_{t-1}c_{2t-1} + w_{t-1}n_{t-1} + R_{t-1}B_{t-1} - T_t$

Market clearing is the following:

$$c_{1t} + c_{2t} = n_t.$$

GBC:

$$M_t - M_{t-1} + B_t = R_{t-1}B_{t-1} - T_t$$

Finally, we are given that monetary policy acts to make $R_t = R \ \forall t$.

2.2 Part B

The consumer's FOCs are the following:

$$\beta^{t} c_{1t}^{-1} = \lambda_{t+1} p_{t} + \gamma_{t} p_{t}$$
$$\beta^{t} \alpha c_{2t}^{-1} = \lambda_{t+1} p_{t}$$
$$\beta^{t} \gamma (1 - n_{t})^{-1} = \lambda_{t+1} w_{t}$$
$$\lambda_{t} = \gamma_{t} + \lambda_{t+1}$$
$$\lambda_{t} = \lambda_{t+1} R_{t}.$$

Simplifying,

$$\beta^t c_{1t}^{-1} = p_t \lambda_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{c_{2t}}{\alpha c_{1t}} = R_t = R,$$

$$\frac{\gamma c_{2t}}{\alpha (1 - n_t)} = \frac{w_t}{p_t}$$

Note that the real wage $\frac{w_t}{p_t}$ must be one because that is the marginal productivity of labor from the firm side. We now have 3 equations in 3 unknowns we can solve for allocations:

$$\frac{c_{2t}}{\alpha c_{1t}} = R,\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{c_{2t}}{\alpha c_{1t}} = R,$$

$$\frac{\gamma c_{2t}}{\alpha (1 - n_t)} = 1,$$
(2)

$$c_{1t} + c_{2t} = n_t. (3)$$

$$\begin{split} n_t &= 1 - \frac{\gamma c_{2t}}{\alpha}, \\ c_{2t} &= \alpha R c_{1t}, \\ c_{1t} (1 + \alpha R) &= 1 - \gamma R c_{1t} \\ \Rightarrow c_{1t} &= \frac{1}{1 + (\alpha + \gamma) R} \\ \Rightarrow c_{2t} &= \frac{\alpha R}{1 + (\alpha + \gamma) R} \\ \Rightarrow n_t &= 1 - \frac{\gamma R}{1 + (\alpha + \gamma) R} \\ &= \frac{1 + \alpha R}{1 + (\alpha + \gamma) R} \end{split}$$

From Wolfram Alpha,

$$\frac{\partial n_t}{\partial R} = -\frac{\gamma}{(1 + (\alpha + \gamma)R)^2} < 0.$$

Therefore, n_t is decreasing in R.