Permutation Fair Dice

Michael Purcell December 2022



Software Innovation Institute

Permutation Fair Dice

Prior Art

My Contributions
Terminology and Notation
Preliminary Results
New Contstructions

Future Work

References

Go First Dice

In 2010 Robert Ford and Eric Harshberger discovered a set of four 12-sided dice that they called "Go First Dice".

These dice are non-standard dice and are numbered such that when rolled together:

- :. No two dice will ever show the same value.
- When sorted according to the values shown on the faces every permutation of the dice is equally likely.

Go First Dice Details

The numbers on the faces of the Go First Dice are:

Die		Faces										
	i	ii	iii	iv	V	vi	vii	viii	ix	Х	xi	xii
Α	1	8	11	14	19	22	27	30	35	38	41	48
В	2	7	10	15	18	23	26	31	34	39	42	47
C	3	6	12	13	17	24	25	32	36	37	43	46
D	4	5	9	16	20	21	28	29	33	40	44	45

Three-Player Go First Dice

It is also possible to construct a set of three 6-sided dice that are permutation fair.

The numbers on the faces of one such set are:

Die			Fa	aces		
Die	i	ii	iii	iv	V	vi
Α	1	5	10	11	13	17
В	3	4	7	12	15	16
С	2	6	8	9	14	18

Five Player Go First Dice?

Notice that for a set S of n m_S -sided dice to be permutation fair, the number of possible outcomes must be divisible by the number of permutations on n elements.

That is, we must have $n! \mid m_{\varepsilon}^n$.

In particular, this means that for any set of five m_s -sided permutation fair dice we must have 30 | m_s .

The smallest value of m_S such that a set of five m_S -sided permutation fair dice exists is unknown.

Larger Face Counts

Eric Harshberger has discovered several sets of five-player permutation fair dice with more than thirty faces per die.

Most of those sets are comprised of dice with different numbers of faces. The non-homogeneous dice can be homogenized by duplicating the faces on each die to create a set of *m*-sided dice where *m* is the least common multiple of the number of faces on each of the original dice.

The best such set of dice that he has found can be realized as a set of five 180-sided dice.

Permutation Fair Dice

Prior Art

My Contributions

Terminology and Notation Preliminary Results New Contstructions

Future Work

References

Dice as Strings

A common convention in other works on non-standard dice is to represent each set of dice as a string.

A set of n dice is represented by a string comprised of n distinct characters.

The values on the faces of the dice are assigned in according to the indices of the corresponding symbols in the string.

String Representation Example

For example, consider the three-player permutation fair dice with face values given by:

Die			F	aces		
Die	i	ii	iii	iv	V	vi
Α	1	6	8	11	15	16
В	2	5	9	10	13	18
С	3	4	7	12	14	17

This set of dice can also be represented by the string:

abccba cabbac bcaacb

Go First String

The string representation of Go First Dice is:

abcddcba dbaccabd cbaddabc cbaddabc dbaccabd abcddcba

We'll call this string the Go First String.

Notice that:

- : The Go First String is a palindrome.
- Both halves of the Go First String can be decomposed into three blocks, each of which is itself a palindrome.

Strings as Dice

We can use the string representation of a set of dice to compute the probability of any outcome when the corresponding dice are rolled.

In particular, we can compute the number of ways that each pattern can occur.

We will write $(\mathbf{x})_s$ to denote the number of times that that the pattern \mathbf{x} appears in the string s.

ℓ/n Permutation Fairness

Definition 1: ℓ/n Permutation Fairness

A set of dice S is ℓ/n permutation fair if |S| = n and every subset $T \subset S$ with $|T| \le \ell$ is permutation fair.

Lemma 2

Let S be a set of n m_s -sided dice with string representation s. S is ℓ/n permutation fair if and only if

$$(\mathbf{x})_{s}=rac{m_{s}^{\ell}}{\ell_{1}}.$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \subset s$ with $|\mathbf{x}| = \ell$.

Concatenating Dice

Definition 3

Let S and T be sets of dice with string representations s and t respectively. We define S||T to be the set of dice with string representation s||t.

Palindromes

Proposition 4

Let S be a set of dice with |S| = n and let s be the string representation of S. If s = t||t'| for some string t, then s is 2/n permutation fair.

Proof of Proposition 4

Because s = t || t' for some t, for all $x, y \in s$ we have

$$(xy)_{s} = (xy)_{t||t'}$$

$$= (xy)_{t} + (xy)_{t'} + (x)_{s}(y)_{t'}$$

$$= (xy)_{t} + (m_{t}^{2} - (xy)_{t}) + m_{t}^{2}$$

$$= 2m_{t}^{2}.$$

Furthermore, s = t||t'| implies that $d_s = 2m_t$. Therefore,

$$(xy)_s = 2m_t^2 = \frac{m_s^2}{2}.$$

The result then follows from Lemma 2.

Concatenation Theorem

Lemma 5

Let S and T be sets of dice with string representations s and t respectively. If $\mathbf{x} \subset s$ with $|\mathbf{x}| = \ell$ then

$$(\mathbf{x})_{s\parallel t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{i}})_s (\mathbf{x}_{j>i})_t$$

Theorem 6: Concatenation Theorem

Let S and T be sets of dice. If S and T are ℓ/n permutation fair then S||T| is ℓ/n permutation fair.

Proof of Theorem 6

Because *S* and *T* are ℓ/n permutation fair, Lemma 2 implies that for all $0 \le i \le \ell$ we have

$$(\mathbf{x}_{j\leq i})_s = \frac{m_s^i}{i!}$$
 and $(\mathbf{x}_{j>i})_t = \frac{m_t^{\ell-i}}{(\ell-i)!}$.

Therefore, Lemma 5 implies that

$$(\mathbf{x})_{s\parallel t} = rac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} {\ell \choose i} m_s^i m_t^{\ell-i} = rac{(m_s+m_t)^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

The result follows from another application of Lemma 2.

Relabelling Theorem

Theorem 7: Relabelling Theorem

Let S be a set of dice with string representation s and σ be a permutation on the characters of s. S is ℓ/n permutation fair if and only if $\sigma(s)$ is ℓ/n permutation fair.

Lifting Theorem

Theorem 8: Lifting Theorem

For all $1 \le i \le k$ let S_i be a set of ℓ/n permutation fair dice with string representation s_i . If there exists a constant C such that $\sum (\mathbf{x})_{s_i} = C$ for all $\mathbf{x} \subset s$ with $|\mathbf{x}| = \ell + 1$, then $S_1 ||S_2|| \dots ||S_k||$ is $(\ell + 1)/n$ permutation fair.

Revisiting n = 3

Consider the strings r = abccba, s = cabbac, and t = bcaacb.

We have

x	$(\mathbf{x})_r$	(x) _S	$(\mathbf{x})_t$
abc	2	2	0
acb	2	0	2
bac	0	2	2
bca	2	0	2
cab	0	2	2
cba	2	2	0

So, Theorem 8 implies that r||s||t is 3/3 permutation fair.

Revisiting n = 4

The Go First String can be written as r||s||t||t'||s'||r' where r =abcddcba, s =dbaccabd, and t =cbaddabc.

Observe that r, s and t are 2/4 permutation fair. This is a consequence of both Proposition 4 and Theorem 7.

For all \mathbf{x} with $|\mathbf{x}| = 3$ we have $(\mathbf{x})_r + (\mathbf{x})_s + (\mathbf{x})_t = 36$. Therefore, Theorem 8 implies that r||s||t is 3/4 permutation fair.

Lifting from 3/n to 4/n

If we let v = r||s||t then v is 3/4 permutation fair, v' is 3/4 permutation fair, and v||v' is the Go First String. The Go First String is 4/4 permutation fair.

In fact, this is an example of a more general phenomenon which we can use to construct a 4/n permutation fair string out of any 3/n permutation fair string.

Theorem 9

If $n \ge 4$, t is a 3/n permutation-fair string, and s = t||t', then s is a 4/n permutation-fair string.

Proof of Theorem 9

Theorem 2 implies that it suffices to show that for all $\mathbf{x} \subset s$ with $|\mathbf{x}| = 4$ we have $(\mathbf{x})_s = m_s^4/24 = 8m_t^4/12$. Notice that we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x})_{s} &= \sum_{i=0}^{4} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{i}})_{t} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j} > \mathbf{i}})_{t'} \\ &= (\mathbf{x})_{t} + (\mathbf{x})_{t'} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{i}})_{t} (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{j} > \mathbf{i}})_{t'} \\ &= (\mathbf{x})_{t} + (\mathbf{x})_{t'} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{t}^{i}}{i!} \frac{m_{t}^{4-i}}{(4-i)!} \\ &= (\mathbf{x})_{t} + (\mathbf{x})_{t'} + \frac{7m_{t}^{4}}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

So, it suffices to show that $(\mathbf{x})_t + (\mathbf{x})_{t'} = m_t^4/12$.

Proof of Theorem 9 (Continued)

Let $\mathbf{x} = \text{abcd}$ and $\mathbf{x'} = \text{dcba}$. Observe that $(\mathbf{x})_{t'} = (\mathbf{x'})_t$. Notice that we have

$$\begin{split} m_t^4 \cdot \mathbb{P} \{ \mathsf{a} < \mathsf{b} < \mathsf{c} \} &= (\mathsf{dabc})_t + (\mathsf{adbc})_t + (\mathsf{abdc})_t + (\mathsf{abcd})_t \\ m_t^4 \cdot \mathbb{P} \{ \mathsf{a} < \mathsf{b}, \mathsf{d} < \mathsf{c} \} &= (\mathsf{dabc})_t + (\mathsf{adbc})_t + (\mathsf{abdc})_t + \\ &\qquad \qquad (\mathsf{adcb})_t + (\mathsf{dacb})_t + (\mathsf{dcab})_t \\ m_t^4 \cdot \mathbb{P} \{ \mathsf{d} < \mathsf{c} < \mathsf{b} \} &= (\mathsf{adcb})_t + (\mathsf{dacb})_t + (\mathsf{dcab})_t + (\mathsf{dcba})_t \end{split}$$

Finally, because $\mathbb{P}\{a < b < c\} = \mathbb{P}\{d < c < b\} = 1/6$ and $\mathbb{P}\{a < b, d < c\} = \mathbb{P}\{a < b\}\mathbb{P}\{d < c\} = 1/4$ we conclude that

$$(\mathbf{x})_t + (\mathbf{x})_{t'} = (\mathsf{abcd})_t + (\mathsf{dcba})_t = 2\frac{m_t^4}{6} - \frac{m_t^4}{4} = \frac{m_t^4}{12}$$

as required.

Proof of Theorem 9 (Continued)

Let $\mathbf{x} = \text{abcd}$ and $\mathbf{x'} = \text{dcba}$. Observe that $(\mathbf{x})_{t'} = (\mathbf{x'})_t$. Notice that we have

$$m_t^4 \cdot \mathbb{P}\{a < b < c\} = (\mathsf{dabc})_t + (\mathsf{adbc})_t + (\mathsf{abdc})_t + (\mathsf{abdc})_t$$

$$m_t^4 \cdot \mathbb{P}\{a < b, d < c\} = (\mathsf{dabc})_t + (\mathsf{adbc})_t + (\mathsf{abdc})_t +$$

$$(\mathsf{adcb})_t + (\mathsf{dacb})_t + (\mathsf{dcab})_t$$

$$m_t^4 \cdot \mathbb{P}\{d < c < b\} = (\mathsf{adcb})_t + (\mathsf{dacb})_t + (\mathsf{dcab})_t + (\mathsf{dcba})_t$$

Finally, because $\mathbb{P}\{a < b < c\} = \mathbb{P}\{d < c < b\} = 1/6$ and $\mathbb{P}\{a < b, d < c\} = \mathbb{P}\{a < b\}\mathbb{P}\{d < c\} = 1/4$ we conclude that

$$(\mathbf{x})_t + (\mathbf{x})_{t'} = (\mathsf{abcd})_t + (\mathsf{dcba})_t = 2\frac{m_t^4}{6} - \frac{m_t^4}{4} = \frac{m_t^4}{12}$$

as required.

Tackling n = 5

We start with the string

$$s_5 = abcdeedcba$$
.

Notice that s is 2/5 permutation fair.

If we can find a family of permutations $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{x})_{\sigma_i(s_5)}$ is constant for all \mathbf{x} with $|\mathbf{x}|=3$, then Theorem 8 implies that if

$$t_5 = \sigma_1(s_5) \|\sigma_2(s_5)\| \dots \|\sigma_k(s_5)$$

is 3/5 permutation fair.

A Family of Permutations

It turns out that we can find such a family:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ a & b & c & d & e \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ a & c & b & d & e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ d & c & b & a & e \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ d & b & c & a & e \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ e & b & c & a & d \end{pmatrix}$ $\sigma_6 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e \\ e & c & b & a & d \end{pmatrix}$

Turning the Crank

If we let $t_5 = \sigma_1(s_5) \|\sigma_2(s_5)\| \dots \|\sigma_6(s_5)$ then t_5 is the string representation of a set of five 12-sided dice which are 3/5 permutation fair.

Guided by Conjecture 9, we guess that $u = t_5 || t_5'|$ represents a set of five 24-sided dice that are 4/5 permutation fair.

It does! We find that for all **x** with $|\mathbf{x}| = 4$ we have $(\mathbf{x})_u = 24^4/24 = 24^3 = 1384$ as per Lemma 2.

An Ugly Finish

We used a trick to lift our 3/5 permutation fair dice to a set of 4/5 permutation fair dice.

We haven't found a similar trick that we can apply to efficiently lift that solution to a set of 5/5 permutation fair dice.

The best we've been able to do so far is to let

$$v = \prod_{\sigma \in S_5} \sigma(u).$$

This results in a string that represents a set of five 2880-sided dice that are 5/5 permutation fair.

Tackling n = 6

We start with the string

$$s_6 = abcdeffedcba$$
.

Notice that s_6 is 2/6 permutation fair.

If we can find a family of permutations $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{x})_{\sigma_i(s_6)}$ is constant for all \mathbf{x} with $|\mathbf{x}|=3$, then Theorem 8 implies that if

$$t_6 = \sigma_1(s_6) \|\sigma_2(s_6)\| \dots \|\sigma_k(s_6)\|$$

then t_6 is 3/6 permutation fair.

Another Family of Permutations

It turns out that we can find such a family:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ a & b & c & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ a & d & c & b & f & e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ e & c & b & d & f & a \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ e & d & b & c & a & f \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ f & b & d & c & e & a \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma_6 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & e & f \\ f & c & d & b & a & e \end{pmatrix}$$

Permutation Fair Dice

Prior Art

My Contributions
Terminology and Notation
Preliminary Results
New Contstructions

Future Work

References

Permutation Fair Dice

Prior Art

My Contributions
Terminology and Notation
Preliminary Results
New Contstructions

Future Work

References