CS 107, Probability, Spring 2019 Lecture 29

Michael Poghosyan

AUA

32 March 2019

Content

 Functions of Random Variables (aka Transformations of Random Variables)

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards.

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number!

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number! Even if we will assume that all 7.7 billion people

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number! Even if we will assume that all 7.7 billion people were shuffling 1 permutation every second,

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number! Even if we will assume that all 7.7 billion people were shuffling 1 permutation every second, from the very beginning of the Earth 4.5 billion years ago,

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number! Even if we will assume that all 7.7 billion people were shuffling 1 permutation every second, from the very beginning of the Earth 4.5 billion years ago, and we will assume that all permutations are different,

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number! Even if we will assume that all 7.7 billion people were shuffling 1 permutation every second, from the very beginning of the Earth 4.5 billion years ago, and we will assume that all permutations are different, then we will have only veeeeery tiny ($< 10^{-40}$) percentage of all possible permutations.

From Quora: Consider the deck of 52 playing cards. Over the course of history of using that deck and all the times it has been shuffled, do you think we have experienced every possible way to order it yet or not?

Answer: NO! The number of possible arrangements (permutations) is 52!, which is approximately $8 \cdot 10^{67}$, which is a really biiiiig number! Even if we will assume that all 7.7 billion people were shuffling 1 permutation every second, from the very beginning of the Earth 4.5 billion years ago, and we will assume that all permutations are different, then we will have only veeeeery tiny ($< 10^{-40}$) percentage of all possible permutations.

See, for example, https://czep.net/weblog/52cards.html **Question:** What is the probability that two random shuffles coincide?

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards? **Question:** How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of

52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

 If you are using Riffle Shuffling, 7 shuffles are enough, but not less.

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

- If you are using Riffle Shuffling, 7 shuffles are enough, but not less.
- If you are using Overhand Shuffling, 10000 shuffles are enough.

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

- If you are using Riffle Shuffling, 7 shuffles are enough, but not less.
- If you are using Overhand Shuffling, 10000 shuffles are enough.

Let me introduce you one of the well-known Probabilists, Persi Diaconis, from Stanford.

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

- If you are using Riffle Shuffling, 7 shuffles are enough, but not less.
- If you are using Overhand Shuffling, 10000 shuffles are enough.

Let me introduce you one of the well-known Probabilists, Persi Diaconis, from Stanford.

His Wikipedia page is at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Persi_Diaconis.

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

- If you are using Riffle Shuffling, 7 shuffles are enough, but not less.
- If you are using Overhand Shuffling, 10000 shuffles are enough.

Let me introduce you one of the well-known Probabilists, Persi Diaconis, from Stanford.

His Wikipedia page is at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Persi_Diaconis. He is a former professional magician.

Do you know how to shuffle well a deck of cards?

Question: How many shuffles are necessary to mix a deck of 52 cards thoroughly?

Answer: Well, that depends on the shuffling method:

- If you are using Riffle Shuffling, 7 shuffles are enough, but not less.
- If you are using Overhand Shuffling, 10000 shuffles are enough.

Let me introduce you one of the well-known Probabilists, Persi Diaconis, from Stanford.

His Wikipedia page is at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Persi_Diaconis. He is a former professional magician.

See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AxJubaijQbI and the NY Times article at this link.



Excerpt: From the article *Aldous*, *D.; Diaconis*, *P.* (1986). "Shuffling Cards and Stopping Times". American Mathematical Monthly. 93 (5): 333–348

Excerpt: From the article *Aldous, D.; Diaconis, P.* (1986). "Shuffling Cards and Stopping Times". American Mathematical Monthly. 93 (5): 333–348

One may ask, "Does it matter?" It seems to many people that if a deck of cards is shuffled 3 or 4 times, it will be quite mixed up for practical purposes with none of the esoteric patterns involved in the above analysis coming in. Magicians and card cheats have long taken advantage of such patterns. Suppose a deck of 52 cards in known order is shuffled 3 times and cut arbitrarily in between these shuffles. Then a card is taken out, noted and replaced in a different position. The noted card can be determined with near certainty! Gardner (1977) describes card tricks based on the inefficiency of too few riffle shuffles.

Excerpt: From the article *Aldous, D.; Diaconis, P.* (1986). "Shuffling Cards and Stopping Times". American Mathematical Monthly. 93 (5): 333–348

One may ask, "Does it matter?" It seems to many people that if a deck of cards is shuffled 3 or 4 times, it will be quite mixed up for practical purposes with none of the esoteric patterns involved in the above analysis coming in. Magicians and card cheats have long taken advantage of such patterns. Suppose a deck of 52 cards in known order is shuffled 3 times and cut arbitrarily in between these shuffles. Then a card is taken out, noted and replaced in a different position. The noted card can be determined with near certainty! Gardner (1977) describes card tricks based on the inefficiency of too few riffle shuffles.

See also: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shuffling#Suffici

Do not tell anybody that I was teaching you card shuffling during the classes $\ddot{}$

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) =$$

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) =$$

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x).$$

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x).$$

At this point we need to solve $g(X) \le x$ in terms of X. Then we can easily express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x).$$

At this point we need to solve $g(X) \le x$ in terms of X. Then we can easily express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Say, if g is **strictly increasing**, then it is invertible, and

$$\{g(X) \le x\} = \{X \le g^{-1}(x)\},$$
 so



Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x).$$

At this point we need to solve $g(X) \le x$ in terms of X. Then we can easily express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Say, if g is **strictly increasing**, then it is invertible, and

$$\{g(X) \le x\} = \{X \le g^{-1}(x)\},$$
 so

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x) =$$



Assume X is a r.v. with the CDF $F_X(x)$, and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Problem:

Find the CDF of Y in terms of the CDF of X, $F_X(x)$.

Solution: The general idea: let $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. Then, by definition,

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x).$$

At this point we need to solve $g(X) \le x$ in terms of X. Then we can easily express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Say, if g is **strictly increasing**, then it is invertible, and

$$\{g(X) \le x\} = \{X \le g^{-1}(x)\},$$
 so

$$F_Y(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{P}(g(X) \le x) = \mathbb{P}(X \le g^{-1}(x)) = F_X(g^{-1}(x)),$$



Example

In the general case, as stated above, one needs to solve

$$g(X) \leq x$$

in terms of X, to express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Example

In the general case, as stated above, one needs to solve

$$g(X) \leq x$$

in terms of X, to express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Exercise: Express F_Y in terms of F_X , if g is strictly decreasing.

Example

In the general case, as stated above, one needs to solve

$$g(X) \leq x$$

in terms of X, to express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Exercise: Express F_Y in terms of F_X , if g is strictly decreasing.

Example: Assume $X \sim Unif[-1,3]$, and Y = 3 - X. Find the

CDF of Y, and describe the distribution of Y.

Solution: OTB



Example

In the general case, as stated above, one needs to solve

$$g(X) \leq x$$

in terms of X, to express F_Y in terms of F_X .

Exercise: Express F_Y in terms of F_X , if g is strictly decreasing.

Example: Assume $X \sim Unif[-1,3]$, and Y = 3 - X. Find the

CDF of Y, and describe the distribution of Y.

Solution: OTB

Example: Assume $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$, and $Y = X^2$. Find the CDF of

Υ.

Solution: OTB



Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X.

Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X. Then Y will be a Discrete r.v. (why?).

Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X. Then Y will be a Discrete r.v. (why?).

Problem:

Express the PMF of Y in terms of the PMF of X.

Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X. Then Y will be a Discrete r.v. (why?).

Problem:

Express the PMF of Y in terms of the PMF of X.

Solution: Easy, very easy: say, the PMF of X is:

Values of
$$X \parallel x_1 \mid x_2 \mid x_3 \mid \dots$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) \parallel p_1 \mid p_2 \mid p_3 \mid \dots$$

Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X. Then Y will be a Discrete r.v. (why?).

Problem:

Express the PMF of Y in terms of the PMF of X.

Solution: Easy, very easy: say, the PMF of X is:

Values of
$$X \mid x_1 \mid x_2 \mid x_3 \mid \dots$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) \mid p_1 \mid p_2 \mid p_3 \mid \dots$$

Then the PMF of Y = g(X) will be:

Values of
$$Y = g(X)$$



Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X. Then Y will be a Discrete r.v. (why?).

Problem:

Express the PMF of Y in terms of the PMF of X.

Solution: Easy, very easy: say, the PMF of X is:

Values of
$$X \mid x_1 \mid x_2 \mid x_3 \mid \dots$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) \mid p_1 \mid p_2 \mid p_3 \mid \dots$$

Then the PMF of Y = g(X) will be:

Values of
$$Y = g(X) \mid g(x_1) \mid g(x_2) \mid g(x_3) \mid ...$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = y)$$



Assume X is a Discrete r.v., and Y = g(X) is the transformation of X. Then Y will be a Discrete r.v. (why?).

Problem:

Express the PMF of Y in terms of the PMF of X.

Solution: Easy, very easy: say, the PMF of X is:

Values of
$$X \mid x_1 \mid x_2 \mid x_3 \mid \dots$$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) \mid p_1 \mid p_2 \mid p_3 \mid \dots$$

Then the PMF of Y = g(X) will be:

Values of
$$Y = g(X)$$
 $g(x_1)$ $g(x_2)$ $g(x_3)$... $\mathbb{P}(Y = y)$ p_1 p_2 p_3 ...



Important Note: Above, if two values $g(x_i)$ and $g(x_j)$ coincide for $i \neq j$, then we write $g(x_i)$ only once, and add the corresponding Probabilities: $p_i + p_i$.

Important Note: Above, if two values $g(x_i)$ and $g(x_j)$ coincide for $i \neq j$, then we write $g(x_i)$ only once, and add the corresponding Probabilities: $p_i + p_j$. Formally,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=y)=\mathbb{P}(g(X)=y)=\sum_{g(x_k)=y}p_k.$$

Important Note: Above, if two values $g(x_i)$ and $g(x_j)$ coincide for $i \neq j$, then we write $g(x_i)$ only once, and add the corresponding Probabilities: $p_i + p_j$. Formally,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=y)=\mathbb{P}(g(X)=y)=\sum_{g(x_k)=y}p_k.$$

Example: Find the PMF of $Y = X^2 + 2$, if X is a Discrete r.v. given by

Values of
$$X \parallel -2 \parallel 0 \parallel 1 \parallel 2$$
 $\mathbb{P}(X = x) \parallel 0.1 \parallel 0.3 \parallel 0.2 \parallel 0.4$

Solution: OTB



Now, assume X is a Continuous r.v. with the PDF $f_X(x)$, and Y = g(X). Now, our problem is:

Now, assume X is a Continuous r.v. with the PDF $f_X(x)$, and Y = g(X). Now, our problem is:

Problem:

Express the PDF of Y, $f_Y(x)$, in terms of the PDF of X, $f_X(x)$.

Now, assume X is a Continuous r.v. with the PDF $f_X(x)$, and Y = g(X). Now, our problem is:

Problem:

Express the PDF of Y, $f_Y(x)$, in terms of the PDF of X, $f_X(x)$.

Well, the general scheme is the following: let $F_X(x)$ be the CDF of X and $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y.

Now, assume X is a Continuous r.v. with the PDF $f_X(x)$, and Y = g(X). Now, our problem is:

Problem:

Express the PDF of Y, $f_Y(x)$, in terms of the PDF of X, $f_X(x)$.

Well, the general scheme is the following: let $F_X(x)$ be the CDF of X and $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. First we express F_Y in terms of F_X , we know how to do that.

Now, assume X is a Continuous r.v. with the PDF $f_X(x)$, and Y = g(X). Now, our problem is:

Problem:

Express the PDF of Y, $f_Y(x)$, in terms of the PDF of X, $f_X(x)$.

Well, the general scheme is the following: let $F_X(x)$ be the CDF of X and $F_Y(x)$ be the CDF of Y. First we express F_Y in terms of F_X , we know how to do that. Then we use

$$f_Y(x) = F_Y'(x).$$

For example, assume g(x) is **strictly increasing** and differentiable, and Y = g(X).

For example, assume g(x) is **strictly increasing** and differentiable, and Y = g(X). We know that

$$F_Y(x) = F_X(g^{-1}(x)).$$

Then,

$$f_Y(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F_Y(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F_X(g^{-1}(x)) =$$

For example, assume g(x) is **strictly increasing** and differentiable, and Y = g(X). We know that

$$F_Y(x) = F_X(g^{-1}(x)).$$

Then,

$$f_Y(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_Y(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_X(g^{-1}(x)) =$$

$$= F_X'(g^{-1}(x)) \cdot (g^{-1}(x))' = f_X(g^{-1}(x)) \cdot (g^{-1}(x))'.$$

For example, assume g(x) is **strictly increasing** and differentiable, and Y = g(X). We know that

$$F_Y(x) = F_X(g^{-1}(x)).$$

Then,

$$f_Y(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F_Y(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F_X(g^{-1}(x)) =$$

$$= F_X'(g^{-1}(x)) \cdot (g^{-1}(x))' = f_X(g^{-1}(x)) \cdot (g^{-1}(x))'.$$

Exercise: Do the same for strictly decreasing and differentiable *g*.

Example:

Example: Assume *X* is a r.v. with the PDF

$$f_X(x) = C \cdot x^5$$
, $x \in [0, 1]$, $f(x) = 0$, otherwise

and assume $Y = X^4 + 2$. Find the PDF of Y.

Solution: OTB