# CS 107, Probability, Spring 2019 Lecture 12

Michael Poghosyan

AUA

13 February 2019

# Content

Independence of Events

You are offered to play a chess game consisting of 3 rounds (each round consists of 1 game). You will get the prize if you will win in at least 2 rounds.

You are offered to play a chess game consisting of 3 rounds (each round consists of 1 game). You will get the prize if you will win in at least 2 rounds. You have two opponents, and one of them is the local city chess champion (C), and the other one is the local yard (thagh) chess champion (T), and you need to play with them alternately: either in the C-T-C order or T-C-T order.

You are offered to play a chess game consisting of 3 rounds (each round consists of 1 game). You will get the prize if you will win in at least 2 rounds. You have two opponents, and one of them is the local city chess champion (C), and the other one is the local yard (thagh) chess champion (T), and you need to play with them alternately: either in the C-T-C order or T-C-T order.

Question: Who will win?

You are offered to play a chess game consisting of 3 rounds (each round consists of 1 game). You will get the prize if you will win in at least 2 rounds. You have two opponents, and one of them is the local city chess champion (C), and the other one is the local yard (thagh) chess champion (T), and you need to play with them alternately: either in the C-T-C order or T-C-T order.

Question: Who will win? Wrong question, of course  $\ddot{-}$ .



You are offered to play a chess game consisting of 3 rounds (each round consists of 1 game). You will get the prize if you will win in at least 2 rounds. You have two opponents, and one of them is the local city chess champion (C), and the other one is the local yard (thagh) chess champion (T), and you need to play with them alternately: either in the C-T-C order or T-C-T order.

Question: Who will win? Wrong question, of course  $\ddot{-}$ . Now, the correct one: which order you'll choose to maximize the winning probability?

# The Bayes Rule: Medical Diagnosis Example

**Problem:** Medical Test gives a correct answer in 95% of cases: if the person is ill, it is saying that he/she is ill with 95% probability, and if the person is healthy, it is saying that he/she is healthy with 95% probability (i.e., in 95% of cases).

# The Bayes Rule: Medical Diagnosis Example

**Problem:** Medical Test gives a correct answer in 95% of cases: if the person is ill, it is saying that he/she is ill with 95% probability, and if the person is healthy, it is saying that he/she is healthy with 95% probability (i.e., in 95% of cases).

Now assume I am taking that test, and it says that I am ill. What is the probability that I am really ill?

# The Bayes Rule: Medical Diagnosis Example

**Problem:** Medical Test gives a correct answer in 95% of cases: if the person is ill, it is saying that he/she is ill with 95% probability, and if the person is healthy, it is saying that he/she is healthy with 95% probability (i.e., in 95% of cases).

Now assume I am taking that test, and it says that I am ill. What is the probability that I am really ill?

**Solution:** OTB

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory.

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future.

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns,

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns, predicting the chance of being ill,

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns, predicting the chance of being ill, predicting the chance that some server will go out of order in the huge web of servers,

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns, predicting the chance of being ill, predicting the chance that some server will go out of order in the huge web of servers, predicting the chance of having a large number of insurance claims for a day,

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns, predicting the chance of being ill, predicting the chance that some server will go out of order in the huge web of servers, predicting the chance of having a large number of insurance claims for a day, predicting the chance that we will have a quiz today etc.

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns, predicting the chance of being ill, predicting the chance that some server will go out of order in the huge web of servers, predicting the chance of having a large number of insurance claims for a day, predicting the chance that we will have a quiz today etc. And you know that events do not happen in an isolated way, do not come alone, there are a lot of other event affecting somehow or not affecting to the event under our interest.

Independence (and, of course, dependence) of events is one of the central notions in Probability theory. Probability theory is the mathematical tool to model the uncertainty, say, in the future. Like in problems of predicting stock future returns, predicting the chance of being ill, predicting the chance that some server will go out of order in the huge web of servers, predicting the chance of having a large number of insurance claims for a day, predicting the chance that we will have a quiz today etc. And you know that events do not happen in an isolated way, do not come alone, there are a lot of other event affecting somehow or not affecting to the event under our interest. So we want to formalize the notion of Independence and Dependence in the Probability (Statistical) sense.

The definition of the Independence is simple and intuitive:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Except the cases when  $\mathbb{P}(A)=0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B)=0$ .

The definition of the Independence is simple and intuitive: Assume we have two Events A and B in the same experiment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Except the cases when  $\mathbb{P}(A)=0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B)=0$ .

The definition of the Independence is simple and intuitive: Assume we have two Events A and B in the same experiment.

#### Independence of Events

We say that the Events A and B are **Independent**, if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Except the cases when  $\mathbb{P}(A)=0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B)=0$ .

The definition of the Independence is simple and intuitive: Assume we have two Events A and B in the same experiment.

#### Independence of Events

We say that the Events A and B are **Independent**, if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B).$$

**Remark:** It is easy to see that the condition above is equivalent to<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

and is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}(B|A) = \mathbb{P}(B)$$
.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Except the cases when  $\mathbb{P}(A)=0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B)=0$ .

• 
$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
;

- $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ ;

- $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(B|A) = \mathbb{P}(B)$ .

We have equivalent definitions of the Independence for the case when  $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ :

- $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(B|A) = \mathbb{P}(B)$ .

The last two are intuitive: say,

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

says that the knowledge that B happened is not changing the probability that A will happen, it is not giving more chances for A to happen, or less chances for A to happen. So B is not affecting on the appearance chances of A.

We have equivalent definitions of the Independence for the case when  $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ :

- $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}(B|A) = \mathbb{P}(B)$ .

The last two are intuitive: say,

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$$

says that the knowledge that B happened is not changing the probability that A will happen, it is not giving more chances for A to happen, or less chances for A to happen. So B is not affecting on the appearance chances of A. Remark: We say two Events A and B are Dependent, if they are not Independent.

#### Independence Use

Usually, we deal with the Independence notion in two ways:

#### Independence Use

Usually, we deal with the Independence notion in two ways:

 We calculate Events probabilities to prove that the Events are Independent;

#### Independence Use

Usually, we deal with the Independence notion in two ways:

- We calculate Events probabilities to prove that the Events are Independent;
- We suppose (from the intuition of the problem) that Events are Independent, and use Independence to calculate Probabilities.

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "H on

the first coin" and "H on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** 

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

 $\Omega =$ 

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are equiprobable.

Now, our Events are:

$$A =$$

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are equiprobable.

Now, our Events are:

$$A = \{HH, HT\}$$
 and  $B =$ 

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are equiprobable.

Now, our Events are:

$$A = \{HH, HT\}$$
 and  $B = \{HH, TH\}.$ 

Clearly,  $A \cap B =$ 

**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are equiprobable.

Now, our Events are:

$$A = \{HH, HT\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B = \{HH, TH\}.$$

Clearly, 
$$A \cap B = \{HH\}$$
, so

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) =$$



**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are equiprobable.

Now, our Events are:

$$A = \{HH, HT\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B = \{HH, TH\}.$$
 Clearly,  $A \cap B = \{HH\}$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \mathbb{P}(A) =$$



**Problem:** We are tossing two fair coins. Are the events "*H* on the first coin" and "*H* on the second coin" independent?

**Solution: Approach 1:** For our experiment of 2 coins tosses, the Sample Space is:

$$\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$$

and all outcomes are equiprobable.

Now, our Events are:

$$A = \{HH, HT\}$$
 and  $B = \{HH, TH\}.$ 

Clearly,  $A \cap B = \{HH\}$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}(B),$$

SO

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B).$$

So our events are independent!!!



**Approach 2:** Now, let us hear to Intuition: our Intuition says that

**Approach 2:** Now, let us hear to Intuition: our Intuition says that the result of the second toss will not be affected by the result of the first toss.

**Approach 2:** Now, let us hear to Intuition: our Intuition says that the result of the second toss will not be affected by the result of the first toss. Say, if we will have H on the first toss, that will not increase/decrease the chances of having H on the second toss.

**Approach 2:** Now, let us hear to Intuition: our Intuition says that the result of the second toss will not be affected by the result of the first toss. Say, if we will have H on the first toss, that will not increase/decrease the chances of having H on the second toss.

So, because of the Independence of this Events,

$$\mathbb{P}(1st \ \mathsf{H} \ \mathsf{and} \ 2\mathsf{nd} \ \mathsf{H}) = \mathbb{P}(1st \ \mathsf{H}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(2\mathsf{nd} \ \mathsf{H}) =$$

**Approach 2:** Now, let us hear to Intuition: our Intuition says that the result of the second toss will not be affected by the result of the first toss. Say, if we will have H on the first toss, that will not increase/decrease the chances of having H on the second toss.

So, because of the Independence of this Events,

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{1st H and 2nd H}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{1st H}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\text{2nd H}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Approach 2:** Now, let us hear to Intuition: our Intuition says that the result of the second toss will not be affected by the result of the first toss. Say, if we will have H on the first toss, that will not increase/decrease the chances of having H on the second toss.

So, because of the Independence of this Events,

$$\mathbb{P}(1\text{st H and 2nd H}) = \mathbb{P}(1\text{st H}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(2\text{nd H}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}.$$

BTW, can you describe the Sample Space here?

**Problem:** Assume we are choosing a real number from [0,4]. Let A be the Event that our number is less than 3, and the Event B = [1.5, 3.5]. Are these Events Independent?

**Problem:** Assume we are choosing a real number from [0,4]. Let A be the Event that our number is less than 3, and the

Event B = [1.5, 3.5]. Are these Events Independent?

**Solution:** OTB

**Problem:** Assume we are choosing a real number from [0,4]. Let A be the Event that our number is less than 3, and the

Event B = [1.5, 3.5]. Are these Events Independent?

Solution: OTB

**Solution:** Again, do it using Conditional Probabilities

## Important Remark

Assume now that the Events A and B are disjoint. Is it true that they are Independent?

# Important Remark

Assume now that the Events A and B are disjoint. Is it true that they are Independent?

If the Events A and B are disjoint, then they are Dependent, unless  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ .

# Important Remark

Assume now that the Events A and B are disjoint. Is it true that they are Independent?

If the Events A and B are disjoint, then they are Dependent, unless  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ .

**Interpretation:** Knowing that, say, *B* happened, we will know for sure that *A* cannot happen, so knowing *B* changes the probability of having *A*!

**Problem:** Assume we are tossing a fair coin until H appears. What is the probability that we will do exactly 10 tosses?

**Problem:** Assume we are tossing a fair coin until H appears.

What is the probability that we will do exactly 10 tosses?

**Solution:** OTB

Assume A, B are some events.

Assume A, B are some events.

• If A and B are independent, then A and B cannot be disjoint (unless  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ );

Assume A, B are some events.

- If A and B are independent, then A and B cannot be disjoint (unless  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ );
- If A and B are independent, then so are A and  $\overline{B}$ , and also  $\overline{A}$  and B, also  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$ ;

Assume A, B are some events.

- If A and B are independent, then A and B cannot be disjoint (unless  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ );
- If A and B are independent, then so are A and  $\overline{B}$ , and also  $\overline{A}$  and B, also  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$ ;
- If A is independent of B and A is independent of C, and also  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ , then A is independent of  $B \cup C$ .

#### Independence of more that two events

Now assume we have several events in the Experiment:  $A_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n. We define two notions:

#### Independence of more that two events

Now assume we have several events in the Experiment:  $A_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n. We define two notions:

#### Pairwise Independence

We will say that the events  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  are **Pairwise Independent**, if every pair  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are Independent, for any  $i \neq j$ , i.e., if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{P}(A_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_j), \qquad i \neq j.$$

#### Mutual Independence

We say that  $A_1, ..., A_n$  are **Mutually Independent** or just **Independent**, if for any subgroup of events  $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, ..., A_{i_k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_k}),$$

#### Mutual Independence

We say that  $A_1, ..., A_n$  are **Mutually Independent** or just **Independent**, if for any subgroup of events  $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, ..., A_{i_k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_k}),$$

that is, if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{P}(A_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_j), \qquad i \neq j,$$

#### Mutual Independence

We say that  $A_1, ..., A_n$  are **Mutually Independent** or just **Independent**, if for any subgroup of events  $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, ..., A_{i_k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_k}),$$

that is, if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{P}(A_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_j), \qquad i \neq j,$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) = \mathbb{P}(A_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_j) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_k), \qquad i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k,$$

...

#### Mutual Independence

We say that  $A_1, ..., A_n$  are **Mutually Independent** or just **Independent**, if for any subgroup of events  $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, ..., A_{i_k}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{i_1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_2}) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_{i_k}),$$

that is, if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{P}(A_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_j), \qquad i \neq j,$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) = \mathbb{P}(A_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_j) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_k), \qquad i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k,$$

...

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap ... \cap A_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_n).$$

Please note that if events are Mutually Independent, then they are also Pairwise Independent, but the inverse implication is not true in general!

Please note that if events are Mutually Independent, then they are also Pairwise Independent, but the inverse implication is not true in general!

Give an Example!

Please note that if events are Mutually Independent, then they are also Pairwise Independent, but the inverse implication is not true in general!

Give an Example! I mean you, not MP!  $\stackrel{..}{\smile}$ 

**Problem:** (Network Reliability Problem) Assume we have some computer network joining two nodes through some intermediate nodes. The probability that each intermediate node is working is *p*. What is the probability that the connection between the initial and terminal nodes is working, given that

Intermediate nodes are connected in a series

**Problem:** (Network Reliability Problem) Assume we have some computer network joining two nodes through some intermediate nodes. The probability that each intermediate node is working is *p*. What is the probability that the connection between the initial and terminal nodes is working, given that

- Intermediate nodes are connected in a series
- Intermediate nodes are connected in a parallel way

**Problem:** (Network Reliability Problem) Assume we have some computer network joining two nodes through some intermediate nodes. The probability that each intermediate node is working is *p*. What is the probability that the connection between the initial and terminal nodes is working, given that

- Intermediate nodes are connected in a series
- Intermediate nodes are connected in a parallel way

Solution: OTB

• Give the definition of the Independence of two Events.

- Give the definition of the Independence of two Events.
- Give the equivalent Definitions of the same Independence.

- Give the definition of the Independence of two Events.
- Give the equivalent Definitions of the same Independence.
- In which case A is Independent of itself?

- Give the definition of the Independence of two Events.
- Give the equivalent Definitions of the same Independence.
- In which case A is Independent of itself?
- Are A and  $\overline{A}$  Independent?

- Give the definition of the Independence of two Events.
- Give the equivalent Definitions of the same Independence.
- In which case A is Independent of itself?
- Are A and  $\overline{A}$  Independent?
- Give the definition of the Pairwise Independence of several Events.

- Give the definition of the Independence of two Events.
- Give the equivalent Definitions of the same Independence.
- In which case A is Independent of itself?
- Are A and  $\overline{A}$  Independent?
- Give the definition of the Pairwise Independence of several Events.
- Give the definition of the Mutual Independence of several Events.

**Problem:** Assume we are choosing a point, uniformly, from  $[0,4] \times [0,4]$ . Let A be the Event that the first coordinate is in [0,2], and the Event that the second coordinate is in [2,3]. Are these Events Independent?

**Problem:** Assume we are choosing a point, uniformly, from  $[0,4] \times [0,4]$ . Let A be the Event that the first coordinate is in [0,2], and the Event that the second coordinate is in [2,3]. Are these Events Independent?

Solution: OTB

**Problem:** Assume we are choosing a point, uniformly, from  $[0,4] \times [0,4]$ . Let A be the Event that the first coordinate is in [0,2], and the Event that the second coordinate is in [2,3]. Are these Events Independent?

**Solution:** OTB **Solution:** Again, do it using Conditional Probabilities