

# AUA CS 108, Statistics, Fall 2019

## Lecture 18

Michael Poghosyan

YSU, AUA

[michael@ysu.am](mailto:michael@ysu.am), [mpoghosyan@aua.am](mailto:mpoghosyan@aua.am)

04 Oct 2019

# Contents

- ▶ CLT, cont'd
- ▶ Inferential Statistics: Parametric Models

# Last Lecture ReCap

- ▶ What is CLT about?

## CLT, Again

Let us “encode” the notion of the convergence in Distributions:

## CLT, Again

Let us “encode” the notion of the convergence in Distributions: by CLT, if  $n$  is large, then

$$\frac{S_n - n \cdot \mu}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sigma} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

## CLT, Again

Let us “encode” the notion of the convergence in Distributions: by CLT, if  $n$  is large, then

$$\frac{S_n - n \cdot \mu}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sigma} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

This means that, in particular, for large  $n$  and any real numbers  $a < b$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{S_n - n \cdot \mu}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sigma} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a);$$

and, similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

## CLT, Again

Let us “encode” the notion of the convergence in Distributions: by CLT, if  $n$  is large, then

$$\frac{S_n - n \cdot \mu}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sigma} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

This means that, in particular, for large  $n$  and any real numbers  $a < b$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{S_n - n \cdot \mu}{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sigma} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a);$$

and, similarly,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Here  $\Phi(x)$  is the CDF of Standard Normal Distribution,  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$



## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Now, let us take as  $a$  the quantile of the Standard Normal  $z_{\alpha/2}$ ,  
 $a = z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $b = -a = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ .

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Now, let us take as  $a$  the quantile of the Standard Normal  $z_{\alpha/2}$ ,  
 $a = z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $b = -a = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) =$$

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Now, let us take as  $a$  the quantile of the Standard Normal  $z_{\alpha/2}$ ,  
 $a = z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $b = -a = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha.$$

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Now, let us take as  $a$  the quantile of the Standard Normal  $z_{\alpha/2}$ ,  
 $a = z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $b = -a = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Hence, for large  $n$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Now, let us take as  $a$  the quantile of the Standard Normal  $z_{\alpha/2}$ ,  
 $a = z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $b = -a = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Hence, for large  $n$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

And this is **for any  $X_n$  (IID), with any distribution.**

## CLT, Again

For example, consider the last approximation:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq b\right) \stackrel{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}{\approx} \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

This can be written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + a \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + b \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx \mathbb{P}(a \leq Z \leq b) = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a).$$

Now, let us take as  $a$  the quantile of the Standard Normal  $z_{\alpha/2}$ ,  $a = z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $b = -a = z_{1-\alpha/2}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{1-\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Hence, for large  $n$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mu + z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \bar{X}_n \leq \mu + z_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

And this is **for any  $X_n$  (IID), with any distribution**. This will be our tool to construct Confidence Intervals and design Hypotheses Tests.

# Inferential Statistics

Parametric Inference: Point  
Estimation



## Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

## Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset.

## Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE.

## Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset?

## Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

# Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in?

# Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in? Of course, no.

# Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in? Of course, no. And, of course, our section average grade will be much higher than the total average.



# Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in? Of course, no. And, of course, our section average grade will be much higher than the total average. And, of course, not because our exam test will be easier than other's tests ☺.

## Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in? Of course, no. And, of course, our section average grade will be much higher than the total average. And, of course, not because our exam test will be easier than other's tests 😊. But because you had one of the best instructors in the world.

# Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in? Of course, no. And, of course, our section average grade will be much higher than the total average. And, of course, not because our exam test will be easier than other's tests 😊. But because you had one of the best instructors in the world. For Calculus, I mean.

# Parametric Statistics: General Problem

One of the general Problems of Statistics is the following: we have a Sample, a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and our aim is to get an insight from these numbers, to get an information about the Population, about the *process* generating that Dataset.

We can, of course, calculate the Sample Mean and the Sample Variance of our Dataset. Or, we can plot the Histogram or KDE. But will this give an info about the Population or the process generating the Dataset? Well, no, in general.

Say, if we are interested in the (undergrad) Statistics course average grade all over the world, then will the average of grades of our section give complete info about the quantity we are interested in? Of course, no. And, of course, our section average grade will be much higher than the total average. And, of course, not because our exam test will be easier than other's tests 😊. But because you had one of the best instructors in the world. For Calculus, I mean. No kidding! 😊.

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

Again, assume we have a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

Again, assume we have a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For a Statistical analysis, statisticians assume that this Dataset is a realization of a finite sequence of r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

Again, assume we have a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For a Statistical analysis, statisticians assume that this Dataset is a realization of a finite sequence of r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

Why is this? Because statisticians think about the Dataset  $x$  as being **one of the possible realizations** in the universe of all possible scenarios.

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

Again, assume we have a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For a Statistical analysis, statisticians assume that this Dataset is a realization of a finite sequence of r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

Why is this? Because statisticians think about the Dataset  $x$  as being **one of the possible realizations** in the universe of all possible scenarios. Say, if we consider the observations in the cars Dataset:

```
str(cars)
```

```
## 'data.frame':    50 obs. of  2 variables:  
##  $ speed: num  4 4 7 7 8 9 10 10 10 11 ...  
##  $ dist : num  2 10 4 22 16 10 18 26 34 17 ...
```



# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

Again, assume we have a Dataset  $x : x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For a Statistical analysis, statisticians assume that this Dataset is a realization of a finite sequence of r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

Why is this? Because statisticians think about the Dataset  $x$  as being **one of the possible realizations** in the universe of all possible scenarios. Say, if we consider the observations in the cars Dataset:

```
str(cars)
```

```
## 'data.frame':    50 obs. of  2 variables:  
##  $ speed: num  4 4 7 7 8 9 10 10 10 11 ...  
##  $ dist : num  2 10 4 22 16 10 18 26 34 17 ...
```

then we think about this as a Sample, and we realize that if we would make another Sampling, we'd get other numbers. Even with the same cars!

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

So we will think about our Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as being one possible realization (possible values) of the r.v. Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

So we will think about our Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as being one possible realization (possible values) of the r.v. Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then we can think about the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as the **process generating samples**  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

So we will think about our Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as being one possible realization (possible values) of the r.v. Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then we can think about the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as the **process generating samples**  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . And we can think about  $X_1$  (or, generic,  $X$ ) as the process generating numbers in our Dataset.

**Example:** If we consider the weights (in Kg) of 10 persons:

$$69.5, 77.1, \dots, 109,$$

then we make the following model: let  $X_1$  be the weight of the first person (say, the first person we will meet when performing the experiment),  $X_2$  be the weight of the second person,  $\dots$ ,  $X_{10}$  be the weight of the 10-th person.

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

So we will think about our Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as being one possible realization (possible values) of the r.v. Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then we can think about the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as the **process generating samples**  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . And we can think about  $X_1$  (or, generic,  $X$ ) as the process generating numbers in our Dataset.

**Example:** If we consider the weights (in Kg) of 10 persons:

$$69.5, 77.1, \dots, 109,$$

then we make the following model: let  $X_1$  be the weight of the first person (say, the first person we will meet when performing the experiment),  $X_2$  be the weight of the second person,  $\dots$ ,  $X_{10}$  be the weight of the 10-th person. Before making the observations,  $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$  are random.

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

So we will think about our Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as being one possible realization (possible values) of the r.v. Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then we can think about the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as the **process generating samples**  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . And we can think about  $X_1$  (or, generic,  $X$ ) as the process generating numbers in our Dataset.

**Example:** If we consider the weights (in Kg) of 10 persons:

$$69.5, 77.1, \dots, 109,$$

then we make the following model: let  $X_1$  be the weight of the first person (say, the first person we will meet when performing the experiment),  $X_2$  be the weight of the second person,  $\dots$ ,  $X_{10}$  be the weight of the 10-th person. Before making the observations,  $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$  are random. And, in generic, let  $X$  be the r.v. showing the weight of a random person.

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

So we will think about our Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as being one possible realization (possible values) of the r.v. Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . Then we can think about the sequence  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as the **process generating samples**  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . And we can think about  $X_1$  (or, generic,  $X$ ) as the process generating numbers in our Dataset.

**Example:** If we consider the weights (in Kg) of 10 persons:

$$69.5, 77.1, \dots, 109,$$

then we make the following model: let  $X_1$  be the weight of the first person (say, the first person we will meet when performing the experiment),  $X_2$  be the weight of the second person,  $\dots$ ,  $X_{10}$  be the weight of the 10-th person. Before making the observations,  $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$  are random. And, in generic, let  $X$  be the r.v. showing the weight of a random person.

Our Dataset of weights is just one of the possible realizations of  $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$ .

## Example

**Example:** Let me make a simulation: say, I want to have a model for the height of a 21 year male person. To that end I will use a Sample of size 6. Instead of randomly asking 6 persons, I will use computer to get that Sample.



## Example

**Example:** Let me make a simulation: say, I want to have a model for the height of a 21 year male person. To that end I will use a Sample of size 6. Instead of randomly asking 6 persons, I will use computer to get that Sample. Now I start from the other end - assume I *already know* the solution: I know beforehand that the heights are Normally Distributed with the mean  $155cm$  and variance  $30cm^2$  (well, in fact, this is what we want to obtain).

## Example

**Example:** Let me make a simulation: say, I want to have a model for the height of a 21 year male person. To that end I will use a Sample of size 6. Instead of randomly asking 6 persons, I will use computer to get that Sample. Now I start from the other end - assume I *already know* the solution: I know beforehand that the heights are Normally Distributed with the mean  $155cm$  and variance  $30cm^2$  (well, in fact, this is what we want to obtain). Then I can run

```
rmnorm(6, mean = 155, sd = sqrt(30))
```

```
## [1] 153.4501 158.8447 147.7860 162.3238 158.5870 164.324
```

This is my Sample.

## Example

**Example:** Let me make a simulation: say, I want to have a model for the height of a 21 year male person. To that end I will use a Sample of size 6. Instead of randomly asking 6 persons, I will use computer to get that Sample. Now I start from the other end - assume I *already know* the solution: I know beforehand that the heights are Normally Distributed with the mean  $155\text{cm}$  and variance  $30\text{cm}^2$  (well, in fact, this is what we want to obtain). Then I can run

```
rnorm(6, mean = 155, sd = sqrt(30))
```

```
## [1] 153.4501 158.8447 147.7860 162.3238 158.5870 164.324
```

This is my Sample. If I will run the code again (in some sense, ask another 7 random persons), I will get, say,

```
rnorm(6, mean = 155, sd = sqrt(30))
```

```
## [1] 156.6194 152.4266 166.0193 155.4530 161.5503 159.202
```

## Example

**Example:** Let me make a simulation: say, I want to have a model for the height of a 21 year male person. To that end I will use a Sample of size 6. Instead of randomly asking 6 persons, I will use computer to get that Sample. Now I start from the other end - assume I *already know* the solution: I know beforehand that the heights are Normally Distributed with the mean  $155\text{cm}$  and variance  $30\text{cm}^2$  (well, in fact, this is what we want to obtain). Then I can run

```
rnorm(6, mean = 155, sd = sqrt(30))
```

```
## [1] 153.4501 158.8447 147.7860 162.3238 158.5870 164.324
```

This is my Sample. If I will run the code again (in some sense, ask another 7 random persons), I will get, say,

```
rnorm(6, mean = 155, sd = sqrt(30))
```

```
## [1] 156.6194 152.4266 166.0193 155.4530 161.5503 159.202
```

And so on.

## Example, Cont'd

Now let's start from the initial point of Parametric Statistics:  
assume that the heights are Normally Distributed, but I do not know  
the parameters - the Mean and Variance.

## Example, Cont'd

Now let's start from the initial point of Parametric Statistics: assume that the heights are Normally Distributed, but I do not know the parameters - the Mean and Variance. But I just have one of the above Samples as an observation of heights.

## Example, Cont'd

Now let's start from the initial point of Parametric Statistics: assume that the heights are Normally Distributed, but I do not know the parameters - the Mean and Variance. But I just have one of the above Samples as an observation of heights. And our task is not to get an information about that specific observation, but *the total process* generating heights, i.e., information about the Distribution of heights.

## Example, Cont'd

Now let's start from the initial point of Parametric Statistics: assume that the heights are Normally Distributed, but I do not know the parameters - the Mean and Variance. But I just have one of the above Samples as an observation of heights. And our task is not to get an information about that specific observation, but *the total process* generating heights, i.e., information about the Distribution of heights.

So, again, having a Dataset  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , statisticians work with a r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  to work not only with a particular Sample, but with **all possible samples** from the Distribution (Process) behind the phenomenon.



# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

And we give a

**Definition:** We will call the collection of IID r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  a **Random Sample** of size  $n$ .

# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

And we give a

**Definition:** We will call the collection of IID r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  a **Random Sample** of size  $n$ .

In the rest of our story, we will work with Random Samples.

# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

And we give a

**Definition:** We will call the collection of IID r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  a **Random Sample** of size  $n$ .

In the rest of our story, we will work with Random Samples.

Now, if we have a Random Sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , then, because they are IID, we will have that all  $X_k$ -s are coming from the same Distribution:

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}$$

# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

And we give a

**Definition:** We will call the collection of IID r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  a **Random Sample** of size  $n$ .

In the rest of our story, we will work with Random Samples.

Now, if we have a Random Sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , then, because they are IID, we will have that all  $X_k$ -s are coming from the same Distribution:

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}$$

Our general Problem will be: get an information about  $\mathcal{F}$ , estimate/recover  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

And we give a

**Definition:** We will call the collection of IID r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  a **Random Sample** of size  $n$ .

In the rest of our story, we will work with Random Samples.

Now, if we have a Random Sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , then, because they are IID, we will have that all  $X_k$ -s are coming from the same Distribution:

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}$$

Our general Problem will be: get an information about  $\mathcal{F}$ , estimate/recover  $\mathcal{F}$ .

This problem is very general, and hence, not so much can be said about  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

And we give a

**Definition:** We will call the collection of IID r.v.s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  a **Random Sample** of size  $n$ .

In the rest of our story, we will work with Random Samples.

Now, if we have a Random Sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , then, because they are IID, we will have that all  $X_k$ -s are coming from the same Distribution:

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}$$

Our general Problem will be: get an information about  $\mathcal{F}$ , estimate/recover  $\mathcal{F}$ .

This problem is very general, and hence, not so much can be said about  $\mathcal{F}$ . So, we need to impose some conditions about  $\mathcal{F}$  to be able to get some more information about it.

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

In Parametric Statistics, we assume that we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a member of the Parametric Family of Distributions:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\theta, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

In Parametric Statistics, we assume that we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a member of the Parametric Family of Distributions:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\theta, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Here

- ▶  $\theta$  is our Parameter, it is **fixed, but unknown**, it is, in general,  $m$ -dimensional;



## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

In Parametric Statistics, we assume that we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a member of the Parametric Family of Distributions:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\theta, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Here

- ▶  $\theta$  is our Parameter, it is **fixed, but unknown**, it is, in general,  $m$ -dimensional;
- ▶  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is our Parameter Set, the set of all possible values of our Parameter  $\theta$ ;

## Parametric Statistics: Modeling

In Parametric Statistics, we assume that we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a member of the Parametric Family of Distributions:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\theta, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Here

- ▶  $\theta$  is our Parameter, it is **fixed, but unknown**, it is, in general,  $m$ -dimensional;
- ▶  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is our Parameter Set, the set of all possible values of our Parameter  $\theta$ ;
- ▶  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$  is our Parametric Distribution.

# Parametric Statistics: Modeling

In Parametric Statistics, we assume that we have a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F},$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a member of the Parametric Family of Distributions:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\theta, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Here

- ▶  $\theta$  is our Parameter, it is **fixed, but unknown**, it is, in general,  $m$ -dimensional;
- ▶  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is our Parameter Set, the set of all possible values of our Parameter  $\theta$ ;
- ▶  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$  is our Parametric Distribution.

We will consider one of the main Problems of the Parametric Statistics: **Using the observations from our Random Sample, estimate the value of the Parameter  $\theta$ .**

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise.

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim$$

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin.

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin. In this case, - our Parameter is  $p = \theta$ ;

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin. In this case, - our Parameter is  $p = \theta$ ;

- the set  $[0, 1] = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$  is our Parameters set;



## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin. In this case, - our Parameter is  $p = \theta$ ;

- ▶ the set  $[0, 1] = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$  is our Parameters set;
- ▶ the Parametric family of Distributions is the family  $\text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin. In this case, - our Parameter is  $p = \theta$ ;

- ▶ the set  $[0, 1] = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$  is our Parameters set;
- ▶ the Parametric family of Distributions is the family  $\text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

And a generic  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  will be the result of our coin toss.

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin. In this case, - our Parameter is  $p = \theta$ ;

- ▶ the set  $[0, 1] = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$  is our Parameters set;
- ▶ the Parametric family of Distributions is the family  $\text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

And a generic  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  will be the result of our coin toss.

In this problem,  $p$  is **fixed, but unknown**.

## Example

**Example:** Assume we have a coin, and we are tossing it  $n$  times, and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the result of that  $n$  tosses:  $x_k = 1$ , if the  $k$ -th toss resulted in Heads, and  $x_k = 0$  otherwise. Then we can model this experiment as a realization of a Random Sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , where

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where  $p$  is the Probability of Heads for our coin. In this case, - our Parameter is  $p = \theta$ ;

- ▶ the set  $[0, 1] = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$  is our Parameters set;
- ▶ the Parametric family of Distributions is the family  $\text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .

And a generic  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  will be the result of our coin toss.

In this problem,  $p$  is **fixed, but unknown**. And our aim will be to estimate  $p$ , using our observations  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day 1, 2, ...,  $n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim$$

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day  $1, 2, \dots, n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ .

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day  $1, 2, \dots, n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ .

- Here our Parameter is  $\lambda = \theta$ ; it is 1D;

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day  $1, 2, \dots, n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ .

- ▶ Here our Parameter is  $\lambda = \theta$ ; it is 1D;
- ▶ The set of Parameters is  $(0, +\infty) = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ ;



## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day  $1, 2, \dots, n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ .

- ▶ Here our Parameter is  $\lambda = \theta$ ; it is 1D;
- ▶ The set of Parameters is  $(0, +\infty) = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶ The Parametric Family of Distributions is  $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$ .

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day 1, 2, ...,  $n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ .

- ▶ Here our Parameter is  $\lambda = \theta$ ; it is 1D;
- ▶ The set of Parameters is  $(0, +\infty) = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶ The Parametric Family of Distributions is  $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$ .

A generic  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  can be interpreted as the number of accidents for a generic day.

## Example

**Example:** Assume  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is the daily number of car accidents for day 1, 2, ...,  $n$  for some city. Then we can model this by using a Random Sample

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ .

- ▶ Here our Parameter is  $\lambda = \theta$ ; it is 1D;
- ▶ The set of Parameters is  $(0, +\infty) = \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ ;
- ▶ The Parametric Family of Distributions is  $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$ .

A generic  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  can be interpreted as the number of accidents for a generic day.

And our problem here will be to estimate our unknown  $\lambda$ , using the realizations  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .