CS 107, Probability, Spring 2019 Lecture 15

Michael Poghosyan

AUA

20 February 2019

Content

- Repeated, Independent Trials: Multinomial Distribution
- Some Applications of the Conditional Probabilities



LZ

The Secretary/Marriage Problem

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife.

LZ

The Secretary/Marriage Problem

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife. You will meet them one by one, and you do not know anything about them.

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife. You will meet them one by one, and you do not know anything about them. After meeting a candidate, you can tell if he/she is a better than the previous ones, i.e., you can rank all candidates you have met so far.

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife. You will meet them one by one, and you do not know anything about them. After meeting a candidate, you can tell if he/she is a better than the previous ones, i.e., you can rank all candidates you have met so far. You will not meet a person twice, you cannot go back to previous candidates - after the meeting with a candidate you are deciding either to marry or not that candidate.

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife. You will meet them one by one, and you do not know anything about them. After meeting a candidate, you can tell if he/she is a better than the previous ones, i.e., you can rank all candidates you have met so far. You will not meet a person twice, you cannot go back to previous candidates – after the meeting with a candidate you are deciding either to marry or not that candidate. And you will not have a chance to return back to previous candidates.

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife. You will meet them one by one, and you do not know anything about them. After meeting a candidate, you can tell if he/she is a better than the previous ones, i.e., you can rank all candidates you have met so far. You will not meet a person twice, you cannot go back to previous candidates – after the meeting with a candidate you are deciding either to marry or not that candidate. And you will not have a chance to return back to previous candidates.

Question: When to stop?

Assume you have n candidates for the place of your second half, your husband/wife. You will meet them one by one, and you do not know anything about them. After meeting a candidate, you can tell if he/she is a better than the previous ones, i.e., you can rank all candidates you have met so far. You will not meet a person twice, you cannot go back to previous candidates – after the meeting with a candidate you are deciding either to marry or not that candidate. And you will not have a chance to return back to previous candidates.

Question: When to stop?

Answer: Reject the first $\frac{1}{e} \approx 0.368$ percent of the candidates, and then choose the first candidate who will be better than every applicant interviewed so far.

Repeated Indep Trials: Multinomial Probabilities

Multinomial Probabilities

The probability that exactly k_1 times we will have the event A_1 , exactly k_2 times we will have the event A_2 , ..., exactly k_m times we will have the event A_m in the above described n trials, is

$$P_n(k_1, k_2, ..., k_m) = \binom{n}{k_1, k_2, ..., k_m} \cdot p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \cdot ... \cdot p_m^{k_m},$$

where $p_k = \mathbb{P}(A_k)$, k = 1, ..., m.

Repeated Indep Trials: Multinomial Probabilities

Multinomial Probabilities

The probability that exactly k_1 times we will have the event A_1 , exactly k_2 times we will have the event A_2 , ..., exactly k_m times we will have the event A_m in the above described n trials, is

$$P_n(k_1, k_2, ..., k_m) = \binom{n}{k_1, k_2, ..., k_m} \cdot p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \cdot ... \cdot p_m^{k_m},$$

where $p_k = \mathbb{P}(A_k)$, k = 1, ..., m.

Here

$$\binom{n}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m} = \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdot k_2! \cdot \dots \cdot k_m!}$$



Repeated Indep Trials: Multinomial Probabilities

Multinomial Probabilities

The probability that exactly k_1 times we will have the event A_1 , exactly k_2 times we will have the event A_2 , ..., exactly k_m times we will have the event A_m in the above described n trials, is

$$P_n(k_1, k_2, ..., k_m) = \binom{n}{k_1, k_2, ..., k_m} \cdot p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \cdot ... \cdot p_m^{k_m},$$

where $p_k = \mathbb{P}(A_k)$, k = 1, ..., m.

Here

$$\binom{n}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m} = \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdot k_2! \cdot \dots \cdot k_m!}$$

Note that in the binomial case, i.e., when m=2, we get the Binomial Probabilities formula.



Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes).

Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

Solution: Multinomial Case!

Simple Experiment:

Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

Solution: Multinomial Case!

• Simple Experiment: Considering the waiting time for one day, $\Omega_{Simple} = [0, 10]$;

Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

- Simple Experiment: Considering the waiting time for one day, $\Omega_{Simple} = [0, 10]$;
- Complementary Simple Events:

Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

- Simple Experiment: Considering the waiting time for one day, $\Omega_{\textit{Simple}} = [0, 10];$
- Complementary Simple Events: $A_1 = (8, 10]$, $A_2 = (3, 8]$, $A_3 = [0, 3]$,

Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

- Simple Experiment: Considering the waiting time for one day, $\Omega_{\textit{Simple}} = [0, 10];$
- Complementary Simple Events: $A_1=(8,10]$, $A_2=(3,8]$, $A_3=[0,3]$, so $A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3=\Omega_{Simple}$;

Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

- Simple Experiment: Considering the waiting time for one day, $\Omega_{\textit{Simple}} = [0, 10];$
- Complementary Simple Events: $A_1=(8,10]$, $A_2=(3,8]$, $A_3=[0,3]$, so $A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3=\Omega_{Simple}$;
- The probabilities of Simple Events: $p_1 = \mathbb{P}(A_1) =$



Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

- Simple Experiment: Considering the waiting time for one day, $\Omega_{\textit{Simple}} = [0, 10];$
- Complementary Simple Events: $A_1=(8,10],\ A_2=(3,8],\ A_3=[0,3],\ \text{so}\ A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3=\Omega_{Simple};$
- The probabilities of Simple Events: $p_1 = \mathbb{P}(A_1) = \frac{2}{10} = \frac{1}{5}$, $p_2 = \mathbb{P}(A_2) = \frac{5}{10} = \frac{1}{2}$, $p_3 = \mathbb{P}(A_3) = \frac{3}{10}$, and

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$$



Problem: Assume that my waiting time for the Metro Train at the Baghramyan Station is a uniform random number from [0,10] (in minutes). What is the probability that for 10 days, 3 times I will wait for the train for more than 8 min, for 5 days my waiting time will be between 3 and 8, and for 2 days, I will wait no more than 3 min?

• We repeat the Simple Experiment n = 10 times, the Trials are independent;

- We repeat the Simple Experiment n = 10 times, the Trials are independent;
- We are interested in the Probability of having exactly $k_1 = 3$ times A_1 , exactly $k_2 = 5$ times A_2 and exactly $k_3 = 2$ times A_3 ;

- We repeat the Simple Experiment n = 10 times, the Trials are independent;
- We are interested in the Probability of having exactly $k_1 = 3$ times A_1 , exactly $k_2 = 5$ times A_2 and exactly $k_3 = 2$ times A_3 ;
- And we have $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n$



- We repeat the Simple Experiment n = 10 times, the Trials are independent;
- We are interested in the Probability of having exactly $k_1 = 3$ times A_1 , exactly $k_2 = 5$ times A_2 and exactly $k_3 = 2$ times A_3 ;
- And we have $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n$
- Rest: OTB



Problem: Assume we have 132 balls in a box, 88 white balls, 26 blue balls and 18 green ones.

Problem: Assume we have 132 balls in a box, 88 white balls, 26 blue balls and 18 green ones. 15 times we are choosing a ball at random from the box, with replacement. What is the probability that

Problem: Assume we have 132 balls in a box, 88 white balls, 26 blue balls and 18 green ones. 15 times we are choosing a ball at random from the box, with replacement. What is the probability that

Exactly 10 times we will have white balls? OTB

Problem: Assume we have 132 balls in a box, 88 white balls, 26 blue balls and 18 green ones. 15 times we are choosing a ball at random from the box, with replacement. What is the probability that

- Exactly 10 times we will have white balls? OTB
- 7 times we will have white ones, and 5 times we will have green ones? OTB

Problem: Assume we have 132 balls in a box, 88 white balls, 26 blue balls and 18 green ones. 15 times we are choosing a ball at random from the box, with replacement. What is the probability that

- Exactly 10 times we will have white balls? OTB
- 7 times we will have white ones, and 5 times we will have green ones? OTB
- We will not have any green balls shown? OTB

Extra: Some Applications

Some Applications of the Conditional Probabilities

Extra: Some Applications

Some Applications of the Conditional Probabilities

Simple Recommender System

Example: Simple Recommender System

Hopefully, you know what is a recommender (or recommendation) system. Let us consider the following problem:

Example: Simple Recommender System

Hopefully, you know what is a recommender (or recommendation) system. Let us consider the following problem:

Problem: (Movie Recommendation) Assume that the person watched and liked the movie *A*. Which movies to recommend him/her for watching?

Example: Simple Recommender System

Hopefully, you know what is a recommender (or recommendation) system. Let us consider the following problem:

Problem: (Movie Recommendation) Assume that the person watched and liked the movie *A*. Which movies to recommend him/her for watching?

Assumption: We can use only the database of users, where we have the list of movies watched and liked/disliked by users.

Example: Simple Recommender System

Hopefully, you know what is a recommender (or recommendation) system. Let us consider the following problem:

Problem: (Movie Recommendation) Assume that the person watched and liked the movie *A*. Which movies to recommend him/her for watching?

Assumption: We can use only the database of users, where we have the list of movies watched and liked/disliked by users.

Basic Idea: we will recommend the movie *B* with the highest probability:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{like B}|\text{like A}).$

Example: Simple Recommender System

Hopefully, you know what is a recommender (or recommendation) system. Let us consider the following problem:

Problem: (Movie Recommendation) Assume that the person watched and liked the movie *A*. Which movies to recommend him/her for watching?

Assumption: We can use only the database of users, where we have the list of movies watched and liked/disliked by users.

Basic Idea: we will recommend the movie *B* with the highest probability:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{like B}|\text{like A}).$

Now, it remains to calculate this conditional probability.

Now, how to calculate the probabilities? -

Now, how to calculate the probabilities? -

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{like B}|\text{like A}) =$$

Now, how to calculate the probabilities? -

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{like B}|\text{like A}) = \frac{\text{\# persons who liked A that liked B}}{\text{\# persons who liked A}}$$

Now, how to calculate the probabilities? -

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{like B}|\text{like A}) = \frac{\# \text{ persons who liked A that liked B}}{\# \text{ persons who liked A}}$$

Explanation: for $\mathbb{P}(\text{like B}|\text{like A})$, our "universe", new Sample Space consists of all persons who liked A. Among them we want to measure the probability of liking B.

Some ideas for improvement:

• do several recommendations (say, recommend 5 movies)

- do several recommendations (say, recommend 5 movies)
- sometimes randomly recommend movies that do not have high conditional probability, even with 0 probability

- do several recommendations (say, recommend 5 movies)
- sometimes randomly recommend movies that do not have high conditional probability, even with 0 probability (say, from the set of persons who watched A, nobody watched C - that doesn't mean that our person who watched and liked A will dislike C)

- do several recommendations (say, recommend 5 movies)
- sometimes randomly recommend movies that do not have high conditional probability, even with 0 probability (say, from the set of persons who watched A, nobody watched C - that doesn't mean that our person who watched and liked A will dislike C)
- Take into consideration the probability $\mathbb{P}(\text{like A})$ if this is small, then the recommendation can be not effective (think about the diagnosis case!). So put a threshold on this probability, make predictions if $\mathbb{P}(\text{like A})$ is larger than some fixed value

Extra: Some Applications

Some Applications of the Conditional Probabilities

Extra: Some Applications

Some Applications of the Conditional Probabilities

Simple Language Modeling

Hopefully, you know what is a Language $\ddot{-}$

Hopefully, you know what is a Language "Well, one of the challenges in the computer science is the language modeling, which is used in speech recognition, machine translation, part-of-speech tagging, parsing, Optical Character Recognition, handwriting recognition, information retrieval and other applications (from Wiki).

Hopefully, you know what is a Language "Well, one of the challenges in the computer science is the language modeling, which is used in speech recognition, machine translation, part-of-speech tagging, parsing, Optical Character Recognition, handwriting recognition, information retrieval and other applications (from Wiki).

Say, when we ask Google some question, say, "what is the age of the universe", it gives https://www.google.com/search? &q=what+is+the+age+of+the+universe

In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences).

In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have

In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have (some not-so-realistic, fictitious numbers):

In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have (some not-so-realistic, fictitious numbers):

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{The art and science of asking questions is the source of all knowledge.}) = 10^{-23}$ and

In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have (some not-so-realistic, fictitious numbers):

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{The art and science of asking questions is the source of all knowledge.}) = 10^{-23}$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{I} | \mathsf{love} | \mathsf{teaching} | \mathsf{and} | \mathsf{doing} | \mathsf{Mathematics.}) = 10^{-32}$$

or

In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have (some not-so-realistic, fictitious numbers):

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{The art and science of asking questions is the source of all knowledge.}) = 10^{-23}$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{I} | \mathsf{love} | \mathsf{teaching} | \mathsf{and} | \mathsf{doing} | \mathsf{Mathematics.}) = 10^{-32}$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{She\ loves\ you\ yeah\ yeah\ yeah)} = 10^{-25}$$



In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have (some not-so-realistic, fictitious numbers):

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{The art and science of asking questions is the source of all knowledge.}) = 10^{-23}$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{I} | \mathsf{love} \; \mathsf{teaching} \; \mathsf{and} \; \mathsf{doing} \; \mathsf{Mathematics.}) = 10^{-32}$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{She\ loves\ you\ yeah\ yeah\ yeah)} = 10^{-25}$$

But what about



In language modeling, one is modeling the probabilities of the sentences to happen (in the totality of all possible sentences). Say, for example, we can have (some not-so-realistic, fictitious numbers):

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{The art and science of asking questions is the source of all knowledge.}) = 10^{-23}$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{I} | \mathsf{love} \; \mathsf{teaching} \; \mathsf{and} \; \mathsf{doing} \; \mathsf{Mathematics.}) = 10^{-32}$$

or

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{She\ loves\ you\ yeah\ yeah\ yeah)} = 10^{-25}$$

But what about

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{you yeah loves yeah she yeah}) = ?$$



or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{doing love and teaching Mathematics I}) = ?$

or

or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{doing love and teaching Mathematics I}) = ?$

or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{questions the and of of asking the is science source all art knowledge.}) =?$

or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{doing love and teaching Mathematics I}) = ?$

or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{questions the and of of asking the is science source all art knowledge.}) =?$

or can you guess the probability of having the following sentences:

or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{doing love and teaching Mathematics I}) = ?$

or

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{questions the and of of asking the is science source all art knowledge.}) =?$

or can you guess the probability of having the following sentences:

- Yerekhaner@ partavor en mecanal.
- Angliayic lorder u lorduhiner ekel en vor aysor mer yerkrum iroq sirum en mer yerkir@ mer joghovrdin.

To give the simple model, we assume that $w_1, w_2, ..., w_N$ are all words, so

$$V = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_N\}$$

is our vocabulary.

To give the simple model, we assume that $w_1, w_2, ..., w_N$ are all words, so

$$V = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_N\}$$

is our vocabulary. Now, each sentence S is an ordered set of words, say,

$$S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_n,$$

where n is the word-length of the sentence.

To give the simple model, we assume that $w_1, w_2, ..., w_N$ are all words, so

$$V = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_N\}$$

is our vocabulary. Now, each sentence S is an ordered set of words, say,

$$S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_n,$$

where n is the word-length of the sentence. And the Probability of having the sentence S is

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n).$$

To give the simple model, we assume that $w_1, w_2, ..., w_N$ are all words, so

$$V = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_N\}$$

is our vocabulary. Now, each sentence S is an ordered set of words, say,

$$S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_n,$$

where n is the word-length of the sentence. And the Probability of having the sentence S is

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n).$$

Here the order matters, so we calculate the Probability of having the first word w_1 , then followed by w_2 and so on.



Now, using the Conditional Probabilities, we can write

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S) &= \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = \\ &= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2 | w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3 | w_1, w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n | w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}). \end{split}$$

Now, using the Conditional Probabilities, we can write

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) =$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3|w_1, w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n|w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}).$$

Calculation of Conditional Probabilities is computationally expensive, so one simplifies the model in different ways:

Now, using the Conditional Probabilities, we can write

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) =$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3|w_1, w_2) \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n|w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}).$$

Calculation of Conditional Probabilities is computationally expensive, so one simplifies the model in different ways:

• Model 1: Unigram Model - we assume that the words are independent. In this case

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n).$$

Now, using the Conditional Probabilities, we can write

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) =$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3|w_1, w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n|w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}).$$

Calculation of Conditional Probabilities is computationally expensive, so one simplifies the model in different ways:

 Model 1: Unigram Model - we assume that the words are independent. In this case

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n).$$

Here the Probabilities can be calculated by (if we have a large corpus, large dataset of sentences):

$$\mathbb{P}(w_k) = \frac{\#\mathsf{Sentences} \; \mathsf{containing} \; w_k}{\#\mathsf{AII} \; \mathsf{Sentences}}.$$



 Model 2: Bi-gram Model - we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word. In this case

$$\mathbb{P}(S) = \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) =$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3|w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n|w_{n-1}).$$

 Model 2: Bi-gram Model - we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word. In this case

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S) &= \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = \\ &= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2 | w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3 | w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n | w_{n-1}). \end{split}$$

Here the Probabilities can be calculated easily.

 Model 2: Bi-gram Model - we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word. In this case

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S) &= \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = \\ &= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3|w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n|w_{n-1}). \end{split}$$

Here the Probabilities can be calculated easily. We calculate

$$\mathbb{P}(w_1) = \frac{\# \text{Sentences starting with } w_1}{\# \text{All Sentences}}.$$

 Model 2: Bi-gram Model - we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word. In this case

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(S) &= \mathbb{P}(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n) = \\ &= \mathbb{P}(w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_3|w_2) \cdot ... \cdot \mathbb{P}(w_n|w_{n-1}). \end{split}$$

Here the Probabilities can be calculated easily. We calculate

$$\mathbb{P}(w_1) = \frac{\# \text{Sentences starting with } w_1}{\# \text{All Sentences}}.$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(w_2|w_1) = \frac{\#\mathsf{Sentences} \; \mathsf{containig} \; w_1 \; \mathsf{followed} \; \mathsf{by} \; w_2}{\#\mathsf{Sentences} \; \mathsf{containig} \; w_1}.$$



Now, having this model, and, say, having an incomplete sentence $S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_k$, you can predict the next word w_{k+1} !

Now, having this model, and, say, having an incomplete sentence $S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_k$, you can predict the next word w_{k+1} ! Just choose the one with the highest conditional probability $\mathbb{P}(w_{k+1}|w_k)$.

Now, having this model, and, say, having an incomplete sentence $S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_k$, you can predict the next word w_{k+1} ! Just choose the one with the highest conditional probability $\mathbb{P}(w_{k+1}|w_k)$.

Surely you are familiar with Google Mail's sentence completion feature $\ddot{\ }$ No miracle here, you can do it now as a Conditional Probability homework exercise $\ddot{\ }$

Now, having this model, and, say, having an incomplete sentence $S = w_1, w_2, ..., w_k$, you can predict the next word w_{k+1} ! Just choose the one with the highest conditional probability $\mathbb{P}(w_{k+1}|w_k)$.

Surely you are familiar with Google Mail's sentence completion feature $\ddot{\ }$ No miracle here, you can do it now as a Conditional Probability homework exercise $\ddot{\ }$

Of course, I am oversimplifying things $\ddot{-}$

And you can consider 3-gram, n-gram models too!

By the way, in the Bi-gram Model, we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word.

By the way, in the Bi-gram Model, we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word. Mathematically, we assume that

$$\mathbb{P}(w_k|w_1, w_2, ..., w_{k-1}) = \mathbb{P}(w_k|w_{k-1}),$$

By the way, in the Bi-gram Model, we assume that each words depends only on the preceding one, the previous word. Mathematically, we assume that

$$\mathbb{P}(w_k|w_1, w_2, ..., w_{k-1}) = \mathbb{P}(w_k|w_{k-1}),$$

and this is the celebrated **Markov Chain Model**, which we will cover a little bit at the end of our course!