CS 107, Probability, Spring 2019 Lecture 45

Michael Poghosyan

AUA

the Last One

Content

Intro to Markov Chains

Intro to Markov Chains

Recall that last time we were considering one of the problems concerning MCs: the Probabilities of Paths

$$\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, ..., X_n = i_n),$$

which can give us the idea, say, about the best possible scenario for our System for the next n time instants.

The next important problem is to describe the time dynamics of the System, in particular, to find the *n*-Step Transition Probabilities

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i),$$

The next important problem is to describe the time dynamics of the System, in particular, to find the *n*-Step Transition Probabilities

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i),$$

i.e., for a fixed n, to find the Probability that our System, starting at time 0 at the state i, will be at the State j after n steps.

The next important problem is to describe the time dynamics of the System, in particular, to find the *n*-Step Transition Probabilities

$$p_{ij}^{(n)}=\mathbb{P}(X_n=j|X_0=i),$$

i.e., for a fixed n, to find the Probability that our System, starting at time 0 at the state i, will be at the State j after n steps. Let us define the n-Step Transition Probability Matrix

$$P^{(n)} = \left[p_{ij}^{(n)}\right].$$

The next important problem is to describe the time dynamics of the System, in particular, to find the *n*-Step Transition Probabilities

$$p_{ij}^{(n)}=\mathbb{P}(X_n=j|X_0=i),$$

i.e., for a fixed n, to find the Probability that our System, starting at time 0 at the state i, will be at the State j after n steps. Let us define the n-Step Transition Probability Matrix

$$P^{(n)} = \left\lceil p_{ij}^{(n)} \right\rceil$$
.

Note: Please note that because our MC is Time-Homogeneous, then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+m} = j | X_m = i) = \mathbb{P}(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = p_{ij}^{(n)}.$$



n-Step Transition Probabilities

Using the Total Probability Formula, one can prove the following theorem:

Chapman - Kolmogorov Equation

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P^{(n)} = P^n$$
, and, consequently, $P^{(n+m)} = P^{(n)} \cdot P^{(m)}$.

Here P^n is the *n*-th power of the Transition Probability Matrix P.

n-Step Transition Probabilities

Using the Total Probability Formula, one can prove the following theorem:

Chapman - Kolmogorov Equation

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P^{(n)} = P^n$$
, and, consequently, $P^{(n+m)} = P^{(n)} \cdot P^{(m)}$.

Here P^n is the *n*-th power of the Transition Probability Matrix P.

In the terms of the matrix elements, the last equality can be written in the form

$$p_{ij}^{(n+m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_{ik}^{(n)} \cdot p_{kj}^{(m)}.$$

Interpretation: Give $\ddot{-}$



Example: On/Off System Again we consider our ON/OFF System. It can be in two states, over some discrete time interval: ON and OFF.

Example: On/Off System Again we consider our ON/OFF System. It can be in two states, over some discrete time interval: ON and OFF. If the System is in the State OFF at some time instant, then, at the next instant it will be at the State ON with probability p.

Example: On/Off System Again we consider our ON/OFF System. It can be in two states, over some discrete time interval: ON and OFF. If the System is in the State OFF at some time instant, then, at the next instant it will be at the State ON with probability p. Also, if the System is in the State ON at some time instant, then, at the next instant it will be at the State OFF with probability q.

Example: On/Off System Again we consider our ON/OFF System. It can be in two states, over some discrete time interval: ON and OFF. If the System is in the State OFF at some time instant, then, at the next instant it will be at the State ON with probability p. Also, if the System is in the State ON at some time instant, then, at the next instant it will be at the State OFF with probability q.

Describe this System's *n*-step Transition Probability Matrix.

Solution: Recall that the (1-step) Transition Matrix of our System is given by

$$P = \left[egin{array}{cc} 1-p & p \ q & 1-q \end{array}
ight].$$

Solution: Recall that the (1-step) Transition Matrix of our System is given by

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 - p & p \\ q & 1 - q \end{array} \right].$$

Then, by the Chapman-Kolmogorov Theorem, the *n*-step Transition Matrix of our System is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n =$$

Solution: Recall that the (1-step) Transition Matrix of our System is given by

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 - p & p \\ q & 1 - q \end{array} \right].$$

Then, by the Chapman-Kolmogorov Theorem, the *n*-step Transition Matrix of our System is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight] + rac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} p & -p \ -q & q \end{array}
ight].$$

Solution: Recall that the (1-step) Transition Matrix of our System is given by

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 - p & p \\ q & 1 - q \end{array} \right].$$

Then, by the Chapman-Kolmogorov Theorem, the *n*-step Transition Matrix of our System is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight] + rac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} p & -p \ -q & q \end{array}
ight].$$

For example,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_4 = 1 | X_0 = 0) = p_{01}^{(4)} =$$



Solution: Recall that the (1-step) Transition Matrix of our System is given by

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 - p & p \\ q & 1 - q \end{array} \right].$$

Then, by the Chapman-Kolmogorov Theorem, the *n*-step Transition Matrix of our System is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight] + rac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} p & -p \ -q & q \end{array}
ight].$$

For example,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_4 = 1 | X_0 = 0) = p_{01}^{(4)} = \frac{p}{p+q} - \frac{p \cdot (1-p-q)^4}{p+q}.$$



Example: This is a Klassik example: Usage of MC in Sociology.

Example: This is a Klassik example: Usage of MC in Sociology. In sociology, sometimes one classify people by their income into 3 classes: low-income (lower class, 1), middle-income (middle class, 2) and high-income (upper class, 3).

Example: This is a Klassik example: Usage of MC in Sociology. In sociology, sometimes one classify people by their income into 3 classes: low-income (lower class, 1), middle-income (middle class, 2) and high-income (upper class, 3). Sociologists have found that one of the important features determining the income class of a person is the income class of his/her parents/family.

Example: This is a Klassik example: Usage of MC in Sociology. In sociology, sometimes one classify people by their income into 3 classes: low-income (lower class, 1), middle-income (middle class, 2) and high-income (upper class, 3). Sociologists have found that one of the important features determining the income class of a person is the income class of his/her parents/family. The Transition Probabilities Matrix is given by

		Next Gen		
Current Gen	State	1	2	3
	1	0.65	0.28	0.07
	2	0.15	0.67	0.18
	3	0.12	0.36	0.52

Now, the Transition Matrix (TPM) is

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{array} \right].$$

Now, the Transition Matrix (TPM) is

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{array} \right].$$

Let us consider several problems:

Now, the Transition Matrix (TPM) is

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{array} \right].$$

Let us consider several problems:

Problem 1: What is the probability that the grand-child of an income 1 class family will be an income 3 class person?

Now, the Transition Matrix (TPM) is

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{array} \right].$$

Let us consider several problems:

Problem 1: What is the probability that the grand-child of an income 1 class family will be an income 3 class person? Mathematically, or, MC-ally, we need to calculate $p_{13}^{(2)}$. To that end we calculate the row1-column3 element of P^2 . (OTB?)

Now, the Transition Matrix (TPM) is

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{array} \right].$$

Let us consider several problems:

Problem 1: What is the probability that the grand-child of an income 1 class family will be an income 3 class person?

Mathematically, or, MC-ally, we need to calculate $p_{13}^{(2)}$. To that end we calculate the row1-column3 element of P^2 . (OTB?)

Problem 2: Given the initial distribution of income classes:

$$\pi_0 = [0.25, 0.6, 0.15],$$

(i.e., the proportion of class 1 families is 0.25, class 2 families is 0.6),



Now, the Transition Matrix (TPM) is

$$P = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{array} \right].$$

Let us consider several problems:

Problem 1: What is the probability that the grand-child of an income 1 class family will be an income 3 class person?

Mathematically, or, MC-ally, we need to calculate $p_{13}^{(2)}$. To that end we calculate the row1-column3 element of P^2 . (OTB?)

Problem 2: Given the initial distribution of income classes:

$$\pi_0 = [0.25, 0.6, 0.15],$$

(i.e., the proportion of class 1 families is 0.25, class 2 families is 0.6), find the distribution of the income classes after 2 generations.

It is not too hard to see that after 1 generation, the distribution of families into income classes will be

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0 \cdot P =$$

It is not too hard to see that after 1 generation, the distribution of families into income classes will be

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0 \cdot P = [0.25, 0.6, 0.15] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is not too hard to see that after 1 generation, the distribution of families into income classes will be

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0 \cdot P = [0.25, 0.6, 0.15] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And, to calculate the distribution of families into income classes after 2 generations will be

$$\pi_2 = \pi_0 \cdot P^2.$$

It is not too hard to see that after 1 generation, the distribution of families into income classes will be

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0 \cdot P = [0.25, 0.6, 0.15] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And, to calculate the distribution of families into income classes after 2 generations will be

$$\pi_2 = \pi_0 \cdot P^2.$$

And, in general, the Probability Distribution of the classes after n steps (generations) will be

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \cdot P^n$$
.



It is not too hard to see that after 1 generation, the distribution of families into income classes will be

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0 \cdot P = [0.25, 0.6, 0.15] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 & 0.28 & 0.07 \\ 0.15 & 0.67 & 0.18 \\ 0.12 & 0.36 & 0.52 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And, to calculate the distribution of families into income classes after 2 generations will be

$$\pi_2 = \pi_0 \cdot P^2.$$

And, in general, the Probability Distribution of the classes after n steps (generations) will be

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \cdot P^n$$
.

To be continued ...



Long Time Behavior of MC

The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC.

Long Time Behavior of MC

The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC. That is, to consider what will happen if $n \to +\infty$.

The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC. That is, to consider what will happen if $n \to +\infty$. **Example:** Consider again our old friend ON/OFF System as a MC. Recall that the *n*-step Transition Probability Matrix is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n =$$

The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC. That is, to consider what will happen if $n \to +\infty$. **Example:** Consider again our old friend ON/OFF System as a MC. Recall that the *n*-step Transition Probability Matrix is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight] + rac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} p & -p \ -q & q \end{array}
ight].$$

The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC. That is, to consider what will happen if $n \to +\infty$. **Example:** Consider again our old friend ON/OFF System as a MC. Recall that the *n*-step Transition Probability Matrix is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight] + rac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} p & -p \ -q & q \end{array}
ight].$$

Now, if $n \to +\infty$, then (since |1-p-q| < 1, except the cases when p=q=0 or p=q=1)

$$P^{(\infty)} =$$

The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC. That is, to consider what will happen if $n \to +\infty$. **Example:** Consider again our old friend ON/OFF System as a MC. Recall that the *n*-step Transition Probability Matrix is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight] + rac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} p & -p \ -q & q \end{array}
ight].$$

Now, if $n \to +\infty$, then (since |1-p-q| < 1, except the cases when p=q=0 or p=q=1)

$$P^{(\infty)} = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight].$$



The next important problem is to study the long-time behavior of the MC. That is, to consider what will happen if $n \to +\infty$. **Example:** Consider again our old friend ON/OFF System as a MC. Recall that the *n*-step Transition Probability Matrix is

$$P^{(n)} = P^n = \frac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[\begin{array}{cc} q & p \\ q & p \end{array} \right] + \frac{(1-p-q)^n}{p+q} \cdot \left[\begin{array}{cc} p & -p \\ -q & q \end{array} \right].$$

Now, if $n \to +\infty$, then (since |1-p-q| < 1, except the cases when p=q=0 or p=q=1)

$$P^{(\infty)} = rac{1}{p+q} \cdot \left[egin{array}{cc} q & p \ q & p \end{array}
ight].$$

This means, that in the long run, the Probability to be at the State 0 is approximately $\frac{q}{p+q}$, and the Probability to be at the State 1 is approximately $\frac{p}{p+q}$, irrespective of the initial State!

Now, let us consider the general case.

Now, let us consider the general case. Assume we have a MC with State Space $S = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, the TPM $P = [p_{ij}]$ and IDS (Initial Distribution of States) $\pi_0 = [\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i)]_{i=1,...,N}$.

Now, let us consider the general case. Assume we have a MC with State Space $S = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, the TPM $P = [p_{ij}]$ and IDS (Initial Distribution of States) $\pi_0 = [\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i)]_{i=1,...,N}$. Then, it can be proved that the distribution of the State Probabilities π_n , after n steps, will be

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \cdot P^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, let us consider the general case. Assume we have a MC with State Space $S = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, the TPM $P = [p_{ij}]$ and IDS (Initial Distribution of States) $\pi_0 = [\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i)]_{i=1,...,N}$. Then, it can be proved that the distribution of the State Probabilities π_n , after n steps, will be

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \cdot P^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

or, which is the same,

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, let us consider the general case. Assume we have a MC with State Space $S = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, the TPM $P = [p_{ij}]$ and IDS (Initial Distribution of States) $\pi_0 = [\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i)]_{i=1,...,N}$. Then, it can be proved that the distribution of the State Probabilities π_n , after n steps, will be

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \cdot P^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

or, which is the same,

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, it turns out, that, under some conditions on the TPM P, the sequence π_n will converge to some vector π , $\pi_n \to \pi$,



Now, let us consider the general case. Assume we have a MC with State Space $S = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, the TPM $P = [p_{ij}]$ and IDS (Initial Distribution of States) $\pi_0 = [\mathbb{P}(X_0 = i)]_{i=1,...,N}$. Then, it can be proved that the distribution of the State Probabilities π_n , after n steps, will be

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \cdot P^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

or, which is the same,

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, it turns out, that, under some conditions on the TPM P, the sequence π_n will converge to some vector π , $\pi_n \to \pi$, which is called the **Stationary** (or the **Equilibrium**) **Distribution** of MC.

To find the Stationary Distribution π , we use

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and pass to the limit $n \to \infty$:

To find the Stationary Distribution π , we use

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and pass to the limit $n \to \infty$: as $\pi_n \to \pi$, we'll get

To find the Stationary Distribution π , we use

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and pass to the limit $n \to \infty$: as $\pi_n \to \pi$, we'll get

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

To find the Stationary Distribution π , we use

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and pass to the limit $n \to \infty$: as $\pi_n \to \pi$, we'll get

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

Important Note 1: It is important that the Stationary Distribution π is *independent* of the initial Distribution π_0 .

To find the Stationary Distribution π , we use

$$\pi_n = \pi_{n-1} \cdot P, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and pass to the limit $n \to \infty$: as $\pi_n \to \pi$, we'll get

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

Important Note 1: It is important that the Stationary Distribution π is *independent* of the initial Distribution π_0 . So for any Initial Distribution of States Probabilities, after some time the Distribution will stabilize to the same Distribution.

Important Note 2: To calculate the Stationary Distribution of States, we need to solve the above equation

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

Important Note 2: To calculate the Stationary Distribution of States, we need to solve the above equation

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

Here π is an *N*-dim vector,

$$\pi = \Big[\mathbb{P}(X_{\infty} = 1), ..., \mathbb{P}(X_{\infty} = N) \Big],$$

and P is the $N \times N$ TPM, and we have a SLE to solve.

Important Note 2: To calculate the Stationary Distribution of States, we need to solve the above equation

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

Here π is an *N*-dim vector,

$$\pi = \Big[\mathbb{P}(X_{\infty} = 1), ..., \mathbb{P}(X_{\infty} = N) \Big],$$

and P is the $N \times N$ TPM, and we have a SLE to solve. But it turns out that the rank of this system is less than N, so there are infinitely many solutions to this SLE.

Important Note 2: To calculate the Stationary Distribution of States, we need to solve the above equation

$$\pi = \pi \cdot P$$
.

Here π is an *N*-dim vector,

$$\pi = \Big[\mathbb{P}(X_{\infty} = 1), ..., \mathbb{P}(X_{\infty} = N) \Big],$$

and P is the $N \times N$ TPM, and we have a SLE to solve. But it turns out that the rank of this system is less than N, so there are infinitely many solutions to this SLE. But we need to remember that π needs to be a Probability Distribution, so the sum of its elements needs to be 1. Adding this to our SLE gives a unique solution.

In details, assume that the Stationary Distribution is given by

$$\pi = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N].$$

In details, assume that the Stationary Distribution is given by

$$\pi = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N].$$

Then, to find x_k -s, we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N] = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N] \cdot P \\ x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_N = 1 \end{cases}$$

In details, assume that the Stationary Distribution is given by

$$\pi = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N].$$

Then, to find x_k -s, we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N] = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N] \cdot P \\ x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_N = 1 \end{cases}$$

Example: GOF ON/OFF System. Find the Stationary Distri-

bution of this MC. **Solution:** OTB

In details, assume that the Stationary Distribution is given by

$$\pi = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N].$$

Then, to find x_k -s, we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N] = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_N] \cdot P \\ x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_N = 1 \end{cases}$$

Example: GOF ON/OFF System. Find the Stationary Distri-

bution of this MC. **Solution:** OTB

Example: In the Income Classes Example, find the Stationary Distribution of States and give an interpretation.

Solution: OTB



It is not a fact that the Stationary Distribution Exists for any MC, or, in the case it exists, it is not a fact that it is unique.

It is not a fact that the Stationary Distribution Exists for any MC, or, in the case it exists, it is not a fact that it is unique. The next Theorem states a condition under which the Stationary Distribution exists and is unique.

It is not a fact that the Stationary Distribution Exists for any MC, or, in the case it exists, it is not a fact that it is unique. The next Theorem states a condition under which the Stationary Distribution exists and is unique.

We will say that our MC is Irreducible, if for any $i, j \in S$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$p_{ij}^{(n)}>0.$$

It is not a fact that the Stationary Distribution Exists for any MC, or, in the case it exists, it is not a fact that it is unique. The next Theorem states a condition under which the Stationary Distribution exists and is unique.

We will say that our MC is Irreducible, if for any $i, j \in S$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$p_{ij}^{(n)}>0.$$

If $X_1, X_2, ...$ is a MC with Finite State Space, and if it is Irreducible, then it has a unique Stationary Distribution.

It is not a fact that the Stationary Distribution Exists for any MC, or, in the case it exists, it is not a fact that it is unique. The next Theorem states a condition under which the Stationary Distribution exists and is unique.

We will say that our MC is Irreducible, if for any $i, j \in S$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} > 0.$$

If $X_1, X_2, ...$ is a MC with Finite State Space, and if it is Irreducible, then it has a unique Stationary Distribution.

Idea: If our MC is Irreducible, then the initial State Probabilities are thoroughly mixed.



PRTS! URAA!

NO SIGNAL STANDBY FOR TRANSMISSION TV TEST SIGNAL Michael Poghosyan CS 107, Probability, AUA Spring 2019