Classes user-defined types

Michael R. Nowak

Texas A&M University

Motivating example

- Let's assume that we're reading in RGB values from a formatted file
 - At this point in the semester, we've seen how we could store these values using:
 - Three parallel vector<int>s
 - A vector<vector<int>>

Motivating example

- Types are good for directly representing ideas in code
 - When we want to do
 - Integer arithmetic, int is a great help
 - Manipulate text, std::string is a great help
 - Types are helpful because they provide
 - Representation: A type "knows" how to represent the data needed in an object
 - Operations: A type "knows" what operations can be applied to objects

Motivating example

- The concept of a color follows this pattern:
 - A specific color is represented by three integer values
 - We can also perform various **operations** on **colors**, the result of which depends on the state of the object(s) to which it is applied; consider that,
 - We could blend two colors together; result depends on colors blended
 - We could update a respective color's r, g, and b values
 - etc.
- We would like to **represent** an **abstraction** of our notion of **color** as a *data* structure along with a set of functions that perform color **operations**
- The **class** language construct in the C++ language yields an ability to introduce **user-defined types** into our programs; we will leverage this construct to write a **user-defined type** color in this lecture

- A class directly represents a concept in a program
 - If you can think of "it" as a separate entity, it is plausible that it could be a class or an object of a class
 - Examples: vector, matrix, input stream, string, FFT, valve controller, robot arm, device driver, picture on screen, dialog box, graph, window, temperature reading, clock
- A class is a user-defined type: it is composed of built-in types, other user-defined types (composition), and functions
 - Recall that a type simply defines a set of possible values and a set of operations for an object
 - That an object is simply some memory that holds a value of a given type
 - And that a value is a set of bits in memory that is interpreted according to some type

- When we introduce a **user-defined type** to our program, a **class** provides the description for how **objects** of that **type** are to be **represented** and specifies the **operations** that can be applied to them
 - It is a blueprint from which objects are created, used, and destroyed

- A class provides the description for how objects of that type are to be represented
 - The representation of the user-defined type is composed of built-in types and other user-defined types that are known together as data members
- To introduce a **user-defined type** for **color**, we would **declare** a **user-defined type** that contained three integer **data members** that would maintain a respective color **object**'s RGB values
 - An imperfect analogy for this would be an excel spreadsheet:
 - The definition of a table is denoted by the header columns, which provides a description for each field in each row of that column along with its data type; the column headers (metadata) is in a narrow sense like a class
 - Each row has its own storage field for each header column and stores its respectively associated data in that field; each row (data) is in a narrow sense like an object

- A class also specifies the operations that will be able to be applied objects of the user-defined type
 - Function members are written to provide the operations that we will be
 able to apply to the objects of our user-defined type
- When defining our **user-defined type** for color, we would also include the declaration of a number of **function members** defining the possible **operations** on color **objects**; this might include functions supporting:
 - The addition of two color objects
 - The modification of a color **object**'s RGB values
 - etc.

- It is common to refer to the representation and operations for a user-defined type as its attributes and behaviors respectively
- For now, let's only focus on the attributes of the color class that we will build-up over the course of this lecture

Diagram of Color class:

Color		
r: int		
g: int b: int		
b: int		

• At this point, simply think of a **class** as a template from which **objects** of that **class-type** can be created from

What exactly is an object?

• With respect to **user-defined type**s, an **object** is simply an instance of the **user-defined type** from which it was **instantiated**

Diagram of Color class:

Color		
r: int		
g: int b: int		
b: int		

Diagrams of objects instantiated from Color class:

Aggie Maroon: Color	Maize: Color
r = 80	r = 255
g = 0 $b = 0$	g = 203
b = 0	b = 5

What exactly is an object?

- The values stored within the data members represent a respective object's current state
 - It is the attributes of an object that differentiates one object from another of the same type

 Accordingly, each color object instance will have its own memory space to store its own set of data members

Diagram of Color class:

Color
r: int
g: int b: int
b: int

Diagrams of objects instantiated from Color class:

Aggie Maroon: Color	
r = 80	
g = 0 $b = 0$	
b = 0	

	Maize: Color	
r = 255		
g = 203 b = 5		
b = 5		

What exactly is an object?

- The **behaviors** detail what objects of a respective **class-type** can do
 - These member functions are not stored separately for each instance of a class
 - Instead, an implicit instance argument is passed when a member function is called; this ensures that the function is invoked on the appropriate object
- Each color object will have the same behaviors as all the other color typed objects

Diagram of Color class:

Color		
r: int		
g: int b: int		
b: int		

Diagrams of objects instantiated from Color class:

	Aggie Maroon: Color	
r = 80		
g = 0 $b = 0$		
b = 0		

	Maize: Color	
r = 255		
g = 203 $b = 5$		
b = 5		

Why classes?

- One of the primary advantages of defining user-defined types is that their instances conduct themselves in nearly the same way as the built-in types
 - The objects instantiated from them follow practically the same rules as the built-in types for naming, scope, lifetime, etc.
 - The objects instantiated from them undergo static type checking at compile-time; dynamic type checking, as warranted, at run-time
 - As a type, they define the operations that can be applied to the objects instantiated from them, as well as context for common operations (such as '+', '-', etc.)

Why classes

- Data abstraction allows us to focus on what operations that will be performed on the data members opposed to how we will perform those operations; hidden is the underlying structure, increased is modularity and transparency
- Encapsulates data together with the operations that can be performed on that data
- Data hiding can be accomplished using member access specifiers: restrict interaction with class members across a well-defined public interface; present only the fundamental facilities that the user needs for use, and hide all implementation details within the class itself
 - Provide the user with the precise interface required to complete the job; keep the public interface to a minimum
 - A change to the implementation should not require a change to the user's code

classes and structs

• Class members are private by default:

```
class X {
    int mf();
    // ...
};
```

Means

```
class X {
private:
    int mf();
    // ...
};
```

So

```
X x;  // variable x of type X
int y = x.mf(); // error: mf is private (i.e., inaccessible)
```

classes and structs

• A struct is a class where members are public by default:

```
struct X {
    int m;
    // ...
};
```

Means

```
struct X {
public:
    int m;
    // ...
};
```

• structs are primarily used for data structures where the members can take any value

Writing a class

<u>Color</u>
- r : int
- g : int
- b : int

Color.h

```
#ifndef COLOR_H
#define COLOR H
class Color {
public: // public access specifier
   // Declarations written here comprise Color's interface
    // Any functions declared under the public access specifier will be accessible to functions both
          inside and outside of the class
private: // private access specifier
   // Declarations written here comprise Color's implementation
    // The data members and member functions declared with private access specification
         will only be accessible by functions that are members of the class
};
#endif
```

Writing a class

<u>Color</u>
- r : int
- g : int
- b : int

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"

/* definitions go here for all member functions longer than one line (irrespective of access specifier) */
```

main.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"

int main ()
{
   Color c;
   /* do something */
   return 0;
}
```

Members and member access

One way of looking at a class:

```
class X {  // this class' name is X
    // data members (they store information)
    // function members (they do things, using the information)
};
```

Example

Writing a class: data members

```
<u>Color</u>
- r : int
- g : int
- b : int
```

```
#ifndef COLOR_H
#define COLOR_H
class Color {
public:

private:
    int r;
    int g;
    int b;
};
#endif
```

main.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
int main ()
{
    Color c;
    c.r = 80;
    c.g = 0;
    c.b = 0;
    return 0;
}
```

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
```

Why bother with the public/private distinction?

- Why not make everything public?
 - To provide a clean interface
 - Data and messy functions can be made private
 - To maintain an invariant
 - Only a fixed set of functions can access the data
 - To ease debugging
 - Only a fixed set of functions can access the data
 - (known as the "round up the usual suspects" technique)
 - To allow a change of representation
 - You need only to change a fixed set of functions
 - You don't really know who is using a public member

Data members, public or private?

- Should an attribute be public or private?
 - If the value assigned to an object will work regardless, you could declare that data member under the public access specifier
 - If the value assigned to an object needs to be checked, or must conform to some requirements, it should be declared under the private access specifier

Private data members

- Making attributes private can help maintain the integrity of our data members by inhibiting the direct manipulation of their values; interactions with private data members are limited to the extent provided by the public interface
- If you want private attributes to be theoretically "public",
 - Then provide public mutators/accessors that can mitigate setting/getting those values

Accessors and mutators

• An accessor is a function that returns the value stored in a private data member

• A **mutator** is a function that stores a value in a private data member or mutates its state

Writing a class: accessors and mutators

Color.h

```
#ifndef COLOR H
#define COLOR H
class Color {
public:
    void set_r(int);
    void set_g(int);
    void set_b(int);
     int get_r() const;
     int get_g() const;
     int get_b() const;
private:
    int r;
    int g;
    int b;
#endif
```

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
void Color::set_r(int rr) { r = rr; }
void Color::set_g(int gg) { g = gg; }
void Color::set_b(int bb) { b = bb; }
int Color::get_r() const { return r; }
int Color::get_g() const { return g; }
int Color::get_b() const { return b; }
```

```
Color
- r : int
- g : int
- b : int
+ set_r(rr : int)
+ set_g(gg : int)
+ set_b(bb : int)
+ get_r() : int
+ get_g() : int
+ get_b() : int
```

```
~/Desktop
% ./a.out
80 0 0
```

main.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
int main ()
    Color c;
    c.set_r(80);
    c.set_g(0);
    c.set_b(0);
    cout << c.get_r()</pre>
         << '\t'
         << c.get_g()
         << '\t'
         << c.get_b()
         << endl;
    return 0;
```

Defining member functions

- When writing a member functions for a class,
 - You must provide a declaration for that function within the class declaration (which should be written in the class's header file, ClassName.h)
 - If the member function is part of the interface, its declaration should be written under the public access specifier
 - If the member function is part of the implementation, its declaration should be written under the private access specifier
 - Unless the member function should be inlined, define the behavior after the class declaration, preferably inside the class's source file (ClassName.cpp)
 - Don't forget that you must prefix the function's name with the class name followed by the scope resolution operator (::)

Using private member functions

- A private member function can only be called by other member functions
- Private member functions are commonly used to implement aspects of the public interface and for internal processing completed by the class
 - It is encouraged to have private member functions in your classes; you should keep the public interface as minimal as possible
 - Use private member functions to break code up into conceptual units, such that each function does only one thing

Using const with member functions

- Directly proceeding the call operator in both the declarations and definitions of my accessors, you will see the keyword const
 - When const appears after directly after the call operator, it specifies that that function will not change the state of the object for which it is called

```
int Color::get_r() const { return r; }
```

 If you're interacting with a constant reference to an object or a constant object, you will only be able to call member functions that are marked const

Writing a class: inline accessors and mutators

Color - r: int - g: int - b: int + set_r(rr: int) + set_g(gg: int) + set_b(bb: int) + get_r(): int + get_g(): int + get_b(): int

```
~/Desktop
% ./a.out
80 0 0
```

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
```

Color.h

```
#ifndef COLOR_H
#define COLOR H
class Color {
public:
    void set_r(int rr) { r = rr; }
    void set_g(int gg) { g = gg; }
    void set_b(int bb) { b = bb; }
    int get_r() const { return r; }
    int get_g() const { return g; }
    int get_b() const { return b; }
private:
    int r;
    int g;
    int b;
};
#endif
```

main.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
int main ()
    Color c;
    c.set_r(80);
    c.set_g(0);
    c.set_b(0);
    cout << c.get_r() << '\t'</pre>
         << c.get_g() << '\t'
         << c.get_b() << endl;
    return 0;
```

Inline member functions

- When you provide a definition for a member function inside of a class declaration (as I did in the code presented on the previous slide)
 - You're asking the compiler to substitute the body of the function inline at each call to it, in hope of saving the overhead of a function call
 - This is appropriate for simple functions, with short bodies
 - Therefore, when the function definition int get_r() const {return r;}
 is provided inside the class declaration,
 - A function call to get_r(), may then be inlined by the compiler by copying the function's code in place of the function call

Writing a class: adding more behaviors

main.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
int main ()
    Color c;
    c.set_r(80);
    c.set_g(0);
    c.set_b(0);
    c.to_cout();
    Color c2;
    c2.set_r(35);
    c2.set_g(80);
    c2.set_b(185);
    c2.to_cout();
    Color c3 = c.blend(c2);
    c3.to_cout();
    return 0;
```

```
Color
                      -r: int
Color.h
                      g: int
                      -b:int
                      + set r(rr : int)
#ifndef COLOR H
                      + set_g(gg : int)
                      + set_b(bb : int)
#define COLOR H
                      + get_r() : int
                      + get_g() : int
                      + get_b() : int
class Color {
                      + blend(other : Color const&) : Color
                      + is_gray() : bool
public:
                      + to_cout()
    void set_r(int rr) { r = rr; }
    void set_g(int gg) { g = gg; }
    void set_b(int bb) { b = bb; }
     int get_r() const { return r; }
     int get_g() const { return g; }
    int get_b() const { return b; }
    Color blend(Color const&);
     bool is_gray() const;
    void to_cout() const;
private:
    int r;
                     ~/Desktop
    int g;
                     % ./a.out
    int b:
};
                                                185
                              35
                                       80
                                                 92
                              57
                                       40
#endif
```

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
Color Color::blend(Color const&
                              other)
    Color c_blended;
    c_blended = (other.r + r) / 2;
    c_blended = (other.g + g) / 2;
    c_blended = (other.b + b) / 2;
    return c_blended:
void Color::to_cout() const
    cout << "c\t" << r << '\t' << g
        << '\t' << b << endl:
bool Color::is_gray() const {
    return (r == g && g == b);
```

Implied Attributes

- We store most of an instantiated object's data as an attribute; however, there are situations where the data is better off computed than stored
 - For instance, our color class defines a member function is_gray() that returns whether the color stored in an object is grayscale or not
 - If we stored this "attribute" as a data member, we would have to update its value each time an RGB value was changed

Object initialization

- Recall that when we define local variables of the primitive built-in types, they are not automatically initialized for us; instead, they take on whatever value is in left-over in the memory that they occupy
 - The data members of an instantiated **color** object (r, g, and b) are type int; they are not initialized when we instantiate a **color** object
 - When I wrote the r, g, and b values of a color object in my program to standard output, before setting its values, I observed the following:

Object initialization

- The uninitialized nature of the variables in objects instantiated from our userdefined types is problematic
- When we instantiate an object from a user-defined type it should be initialized to a valid state
 - For an object instantiated from color, we want r, g, and b to each be initialized with a valid value (between 0 and 255)
 - We would then write our member functions to ensure that the object's valid state is maintained throughout its lifetime
- We strive to design our types so that values are guaranteed to be valid
 - A rule for what constitutes a valid value is called an "invariant"

Constructors

- In order to initialize our data members upon object instantiation, we write a "special" member function known as a constructor
 - The constructor is implicitly invoked whenever an object of the userdefined type is created
 - Its job is to construct an object and do initialization if necessary
 - We can also acquire resources in the constructor; perhaps we allocate dynamic memory for some object or maybe even open a file
 - When we declare a constructor in our class declaration, we are declaring a function that is:
 - identified by the same name as the class;
 - has no return type specified; and,
 - has a parameter list with zero-or-more items

Constructors

- If we do not write a constructor for a class, the compiler will provide our class with a default constructor
 - The compiler-generated default constructor calls the default constructor on all of the userdefined type data members
 - This is the constructor that has been used when we instantiate a color object with the declaration Color c;
- It is important that you write your own default constructor when needed; you know better than the compiler how to go about object construction and data member initialization

Default constructor

```
Color.h

#ifndef COLOR_H
#define COLOR_H
class Color {
public:
    Color();
private:
    int r; int g; int b;
};
#endif
```

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
Color::Color() : r{0}, g{0}, b{0} {}
```

Constructor overloading

- A constructor is a member function; a class introduces a scope
 - Functions that have the same name but different parameter lists and appear in the same scope are overloaded
 - Therefore, it follows that we can overload the constructors of a userdefined type; a class can have more than one constructor
 - We can pass arguments to a parameterized constructors and those arguments can be used to initialize data members

Parameterized constructors

#ifndef COLOR_H #define COLOR_H class Color { public: Color(); Color(int, int, int); private: int r; int g; int b; }; #endif

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"

Color::Color() : r{0}, g{0}, b{0} {}

Color::Color(int r, int g, int b) :
r{r}, g{g}, b{b} {}
```

Parameterized constructors

 Once you've defined a parameterized constructor, you can pass arguments to that constructor and use them for the initialization of an instantiated object

Color c{80,0,0};

Color.h

```
#ifndef COLOR_H
#define COLOR_H
class Color {
public:
    Color();
    Color(int, int, int);
private:
    int r; int g; int b;
};
#endif
```

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"

Color::Color() : r{0}, g{0}, b{0} {}
Color::Color(int r, int g, int b) : r{r},
g{g}, b{b} {}
```

// 1st Pre C++11

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
Color::Color()
    r = 0;
   g = 0;
    b = 0;
Color::Color(int r, int g, int b)
   this->r = r;
   this->b = b;
   this->c = c;
```

Values set twice.

- 1. Initialization via default constructor:
 - a. r is set to 0
 - b. g is set to 0
 - c. b is set to 0
- 2. Assignment in constructor with parameters:
 - a. r is set to value passed as parameter
 - b. g is set to value passed as a parameter
 - c. b is set to value passed as a parameter

// 2nd C++ 11 and later

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"

Color::Color() : r{0}, g{0}, b{0} {}

Color::Color(int r, int g, int b) : r{r},
g{g}, b{b} {}
```

Values set once.

- 1. Initialization in constructor with parameters
 - a. r is set to value passed as a parameter
 - b. g is set to value passed as a parameter
 - c. b is set to value passed as a parameter

Classes without a default constructor

- If we define any constructor for a class (whether its parameterized or not), the compiler will NOT generate a default constructor
 - If we define a parameterized constructor for a class, but not a default constructor, we will not be able to instantiate an object without providing the necessary arguments
 - If we decided not to write a default constructor for Color, we would not be able to declare a vector<Color> colors(100)
 - Color, in this case, would not be default constructible; therefore, we would need to explicitly initialize all 100 elements

Destructors

- The destructor is another "special" member function and is automatically called when an object's lifetime is up
 - One of the primary uses of the destructors is to release resources that the object had acquired during construction
 - For instance, if the constructor allocated dynamic memory, the destructor should deallocate that memory
 - Likewise, if the object acquired some resource from somewhere during construction, it should release that resource as it is being torn down
 - There is only one destructor per class (it cannot be overloaded)

Destructors

Color.cpp

```
#include "Color.h"
```

Color::~Color() {}

What makes a good interface?

- Minimal
 - As small as possible
- Complete
 - And no smaller
- Type safe
 - Beware of confusing argument orders
 - Beware of over-general types (e.g., int to represent a month)
- Const correct

Interfaces and "helper functions"

- Keep a class interface (the set of public functions) minimal
 - Simplifies understanding
 - Simplifies debugging
 - Simplifies maintenance
- When we keep the class interface simple and minimal, we need extra "helper functions" outside the class (non-member functions)
 - E.g. == (equality), != (inequality)

Helper functions

- Helper functions are a design concept, not a programming language construct
 - A helper function will (usually) takes arguments of the classes for which they are helpers of
- A function that can be simply, elegantly, and efficiently implemented as a non-member function should be implemented as a helper function
 - This will keep that function from accessing an instantiated object's representation; the function cannot directly corrupt the data in a class
 - If the representation changes, only the functions that directly access the representation need to be rewritten

Operator overloading

- You can define almost all C++ operators (but can't create your own) for userdefined type operands
 - You can define only existing operators

```
• E.g., + - * / % [] () ^ ! & < <= > >=
```

- You can define operators only with their conventional number of operands
 - E.g., no unary <= (less than or equal) and no binary ! (not)
- An overloaded operator must have at least one user-defined type as operand
 - int operator+(int,int); // error: you can't overload built-in +
 - Vector operator+(const Vector&, const Vector &);
- Advice (not language rule):
 - Don't overload unless you really have to
 - Overload operators only with their conventional meaning
 - + should be addition, * be multiplication, [] be access, () be call, etc.

References

- Lippman, B., Lajoie, Josee, & Moo, B. E. (2016). *C++ primer* (5th ed.). Addison-Wesley.
- Stroustrup, B. (2014). Programming: principles and practice using C++ (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley.