Type safety Michael Nowak Texas A&M University Overview Notes Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Strong typing vs. weak typing
Michael Nowak Texas A&M University Overview Basic terminology Strongly typed languages
Overview Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes
Overview Basic terminology Strongly typed languages
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages Notes ———————————————————————————————————
Basic terminology Strongly typed languages ———————————————————————————————————
Strongly typed languages
Strong typing vs. weak typing
Static vs. dynamic type checking
Type Safety
References
Overview Notes
Basic terminology ————————————————————————————————————
Strongly typed languages ————————————————————————————————————
Strong typing vs. weak typing
Static vs. dynamic type checking
Type Safety
References

Basic terminology	Notes
Type Defines a set of possible values and a set of	
operations for an object Type checking The activity of ensuring that the operands of an operator are of compatible types	
Compatible types Is one that is either legal for the operator or is allowed under language rules to be implicitly	
converted by compiler-generated code to a legal type Type error The application of an operator to an operand of an inappropriate type	
Overview	Notes
Basic terminology	
Strongly typed languages	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	
Static vs. dynamic type checking	
Type Safety	
References	
Strongly typed languages	Notes
► A programming language is strongly typed if type errors are always detected	
 This requires that the types of all operands can be determined, either at compile-time or run-time 	
► The importance of strong typing is in its ability to detect all misuses of variables that result in type errors	
A strongly typed language also allows the detection, at run-time, of uses of the incorrect type values in variables that	
 can store values of more than one type C++ is not strongly typed: it includes union types, which are not type checked 	
type checked	

Overview	Notes
Basic terminology	
Strongly typed languages	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	
Static vs. dynamic type checking	
Type Safety	
References	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	Notes
 ▶ From a certain perspective, languages are either strongly typed or they are weakly typed ▶ Either a language allows you to break the type system (weakly typed) or it doesn't (strongly typed) ▶ With that said, even languages that allow you to break the type system frequently discourage it ▶ Different languages might be more liberal with what is "allowed" by the type system ▶ An arithmetic operator with one floating-point operand and one integer operand is legal in C++ ▶ The value of the integer operand is coerced to floating-point, 	
 and a floating-point operation takes place Such coercion results in the loss of one of the benefits of strong typing − error detection 	
	Notes
 A lot of effort has gone into making the recent standards of C++ language strongly typed You should use the subset of the C++ language that is strongly typed (unless, of course, you have reason not to) With that said, C++ has a great deal of coercion that you must be aware the implications of 	

Overview	Notes
Basic terminology	
Strongly typed languages	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	
Static vs. dynamic type checking	
Type Safety	
References	
Static vs. dynamic type checking	Notes
	Notes
 Static type checking is done at compile-time by the compile 	
 Dynamic type checking is done at run-time by the run-time system 	
 "Proponents of statically type checked languages argue that they provide a certain level of safety in that the compiler does 	
a formal proof that the types in the program are correct" (M. C. Lewis)	
► "Proponents of dynamically typed languages argue that the type systems associated with static type checking are overly	
restrictive and add a burden to the programming process" (M. C. Lewis)	
Overview	Notes
Basic terminology	
Strongly typed languages	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	
Static vs. dynamic type checking	
Type Safety	
References	

Type safety	Notes
► A program (or part of one) is type safe when objects are used only according to the rules specified for their type	
 Complete type safety is ideal; however, the C++ compiler does not guarantee complete type safety C++ is a predominantly statically typed language that includes some dynamic typing as well This is because C++ includes some constructs that can't be 	
statically checked • We should avoid type safety violations when possible; when	
this is not practical, it is our responsibility to provide the relevant checks	
Overview	
Overview	Notes
Basic terminology	
Strongly typed languages	
Strong typing vs. weak typing	
Static vs. dynamic type checking	
Type Safety	
References	
References	Notes
► Lewis, M. C. Strong	
Typing vs. Weak Typing vs. Static Typing vs. Dynamic Typing. http://dynamicsofprogramming.blogspot.com/2014/05/strong-typing-vs-weak-typing-vs-static.html	
➤ Sebesta, R. W. (2016). Concepts of programming languages (11th ed.). Pearson Education.	
 ► Stroustrup, B. (2014). Programming: principles and practice using C++ (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley. 	
asing of figures, reduser vicing.	