

Computability Theory Summary

Mathematical Notes

October 28, 2025

Contents

| | | |
|-----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 2 | Computational Models | 3 |
| 2.1 | Turing Machines | 3 |
| 2.2 | Lambda Calculus | 3 |
| 2.3 | Church Encoding | 4 |
| 2.4 | Recursive Functions | 4 |
| 3 | Church-Turing Thesis | 4 |
| 4 | Decidability | 5 |
| 4.1 | Decidable Languages | 5 |
| 4.2 | Undecidable Languages | 5 |
| 5 | Reducibility | 5 |
| 5.1 | Mapping Reducibility | 5 |
| 5.2 | Rice's Theorem | 5 |
| 6 | Complexity Theory Basics | 6 |
| 6.1 | Time Complexity | 6 |
| 6.2 | Space Complexity | 6 |
| 6.3 | NP and NP-Completeness | 6 |
| 7 | Lambda Calculus Theory | 6 |
| 7.1 | Simply Typed Lambda Calculus | 6 |
| 7.2 | System F (Polymorphic Lambda Calculus) | 7 |
| 7.3 | Dependent Types | 7 |
| 8 | Curry-Howard Correspondence | 7 |
| 9 | Computational Equivalence | 7 |
| 9.1 | Equivalence of Models | 7 |
| 9.2 | Universal Computation | 8 |
| 10 | Algorithmic Information Theory | 8 |
| 10.1 | Kolmogorov Complexity | 8 |
| 10.2 | Incompressibility | 8 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| 11 Applications | 8 |
| 11.1 Programming Language Design | 8 |
| 11.2 Logic and Proof Theory | 8 |
| 11.3 Computer Science Theory | 9 |
| 12 Important Theorems | 9 |
| 12.1 Recursion Theorem | 9 |
| 12.2 Fixed Point Theorem | 9 |
| 12.3 Church-Rosser Theorem | 9 |
| 13 Open Problems | 9 |
| 13.1 P vs NP | 9 |
| 13.2 Church-Turing-Deutsch Principle | 9 |
| 14 Conclusion | 9 |

1 Introduction

Computability theory studies what can and cannot be computed algorithmically. It provides the mathematical foundation for understanding the limits of computation and establishes fundamental concepts that underlie computer science.

2 Computational Models

2.1 Turing Machines

Definition 2.1. A **Turing machine** consists of:

- A finite set of states Q
- A finite alphabet Σ (input symbols)
- A tape alphabet $\Gamma \supseteq \Sigma$ (includes blank symbol B)
- A transition function $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$
- A start state $q_0 \in Q$
- Accept and reject states $q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}} \in Q$

Definition 2.2. A language L is **Turing-recognizable** (recursively enumerable) if there exists a Turing machine that accepts all strings in L and either rejects or loops on strings not in L .

Definition 2.3. A language L is **Turing-decidable** (recursive) if there exists a Turing machine that accepts all strings in L and rejects all strings not in L .

2.2 Lambda Calculus

Definition 2.4. The **lambda calculus** consists of:

- Variables: x, y, z, \dots
- Lambda abstractions: $\lambda x.M$
- Applications: MN

where M, N are lambda terms.

Definition 2.5. The **beta reduction** rule is:

$$(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$$

where $M[x := N]$ denotes substitution of N for x in M .

Definition 2.6. The **alpha conversion** rule allows renaming bound variables:

$$\lambda x.M =_{\alpha} \lambda y.M[x := y]$$

provided y is not free in M .

Definition 2.7. The **eta conversion** rule is:

$$\lambda x.Mx =_{\eta} M$$

provided x is not free in M .

Definition 2.8. A lambda term is in **normal form** if no beta reduction can be applied to it.

Theorem 2.1 (Church-Rosser Theorem). If $M \rightarrow^* N_1$ and $M \rightarrow^* N_2$, then there exists N_3 such that $N_1 \rightarrow^* N_3$ and $N_2 \rightarrow^* N_3$.

2.3 Church Encoding

Definition 2.9. Natural numbers in lambda calculus:

$$0 = \lambda f.\lambda x.x \tag{1}$$

$$1 = \lambda f.\lambda x.fx \tag{2}$$

$$2 = \lambda f.\lambda x.f(fx) \tag{3}$$

$$n = \lambda f.\lambda x.f^n x \tag{4}$$

Definition 2.10. The **successor function**:

$$\text{succ} = \lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.f(nfx)$$

2.4 Recursive Functions

Definition 2.11. The **primitive recursive functions** are defined inductively:

- **Zero function:** $Z(x) = 0$
- **Successor function:** $S(x) = x + 1$
- **Projection functions:** $P_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$
- **Composition:** If g, h_1, \dots, h_m are primitive recursive, then so is $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, h_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$
- **Primitive recursion:** If g and h are primitive recursive, then so is f defined by:

$$f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g(x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{5}$$

$$f(y + 1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = h(y, f(y, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{6}$$

Definition 2.12. The **general recursive functions** (partial recursive functions) extend primitive recursive functions with the **minimization operator**:

$$\mu y[g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0] = \text{the least } y \text{ such that } g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$$

3 Church-Turing Thesis

Theorem 3.1 (Church-Turing Thesis). The class of computable functions is exactly the class of functions computable by:

- Turing machines
- Lambda calculus
- General recursive functions
- Any other reasonable model of computation

4 Decidability

4.1 Decidable Languages

Theorem 4.1. The following languages are decidable:

- $A_{DFA} = \{\langle B, w \rangle : B \text{ is a DFA that accepts } w\}$
- $A_{NFA} = \{\langle B, w \rangle : B \text{ is an NFA that accepts } w\}$
- $A_{REX} = \{\langle R, w \rangle : R \text{ is a regular expression that generates } w\}$
- $E_{DFA} = \{\langle A \rangle : A \text{ is a DFA and } L(A) = \emptyset\}$
- $EQ_{DFA} = \{\langle A, B \rangle : A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFAs and } L(A) = L(B)\}$

4.2 Undecidable Languages

Theorem 4.2 (Halting Problem). The language $A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle : M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w\}$ is undecidable.

Proof. Assume A_{TM} is decidable. Let H be a decider for A_{TM} . Construct TM D :

1. On input $\langle M \rangle$:
2. Run H on $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$
3. If H accepts, reject; if H rejects, accept

Then D on input $\langle D \rangle$ accepts if and only if D rejects $\langle D \rangle$, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.3. The following languages are undecidable:

- $E_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \emptyset\}$
- $EQ_{TM} = \{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle : M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ are TMs and } L(M_1) = L(M_2)\}$
- $REGULAR_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \text{ is regular}\}$

5 Reducibility

5.1 Mapping Reducibility

Definition 5.1. Language A is **mapping reducible** to language B (written $A \leq_m B$) if there exists a computable function $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that for every w :

$$w \in A \text{ if and only if } f(w) \in B$$

Theorem 5.1. If $A \leq_m B$ and B is decidable, then A is decidable.

Theorem 5.2. If $A \leq_m B$ and A is undecidable, then B is undecidable.

5.2 Rice's Theorem

Theorem 5.3 (Rice's Theorem). Let P be a non-trivial property of Turing-recognizable languages. Then the language $\{\langle M \rangle : L(M) \text{ has property } P\}$ is undecidable.

6 Complexity Theory Basics

6.1 Time Complexity

Definition 6.1. The **time complexity** of a Turing machine M is the function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $f(n)$ is the maximum number of steps that M uses on any input of length n .

Definition 6.2. $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ is the class of languages decided by $O(t(n))$ time Turing machines.

Definition 6.3.

$$P = \bigcup_k \text{TIME}(n^k)$$

is the class of languages decidable in polynomial time.

6.2 Space Complexity

Definition 6.4. The **space complexity** of a Turing machine M is the function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $f(n)$ is the maximum number of tape cells that M scans on any input of length n .

Definition 6.5. $\text{SPACE}(s(n))$ is the class of languages decided by $O(s(n))$ space Turing machines.

Definition 6.6.

$$\text{PSPACE} = \bigcup_k \text{SPACE}(n^k)$$

is the class of languages decidable in polynomial space.

6.3 NP and NP-Completeness

Definition 6.7. A language L is in **NP** if there exists a polynomial-time verifier V such that:

$$L = \{w : \text{there exists } c \text{ such that } V \text{ accepts } \langle w, c \rangle\}$$

Definition 6.8. A language B is **NP-complete** if:

- $B \in \text{NP}$
- For every $A \in \text{NP}$, $A \leq_p B$ (polynomial-time reducible)

Theorem 6.1 (Cook-Levin Theorem). SAT is NP-complete.

6.4 Complexity Class Hierarchy

Theorem 6.2.

$$P \subseteq NP \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME}$$

Theorem 6.3 (Savitch's Theorem).

$$\text{NPSpace} = \text{PSPACE}$$

6.5 Important Complexity Classes

- **L**: Logarithmic space
- **NL**: Nondeterministic logarithmic space
- **P**: Polynomial time
- **NP**: Nondeterministic polynomial time
- **co-NP**: Complement of NP
- **PSPACE**: Polynomial space
- **EXPTIME**: Exponential time
- **NEXPTIME**: Nondeterministic exponential time

7 Lambda Calculus Theory

7.1 Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

Definition 7.1. Types in simply typed lambda calculus:

- Base types: A, B, C, \dots
- Function types: $A \rightarrow B$

Definition 7.2. Typing rules:

- **Variable**: $\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A$
- **Abstraction**: $\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : A \rightarrow B}$
- **Application**: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B}$

Theorem 7.1 (Strong Normalization). Every well-typed term in simply typed lambda calculus has a normal form.

7.2 System F (Polymorphic Lambda Calculus)

Definition 7.3. Types in System F:

- Type variables: $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$
- Universal quantification: $\forall \alpha. A$
- Function types: $A \rightarrow B$

Definition 7.4. Additional typing rules for System F:

- **Type abstraction**: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. M : \forall \alpha. A}$
- **Type application**: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \forall \alpha. A}{\Gamma \vdash MB : A[\alpha := B]}$

7.3 Dependent Types

Definition 7.5. In **dependent type theory**, types can depend on values:

- Dependent function types: $\Pi_{x:A} B(x)$
- Dependent pair types: $\Sigma_{x:A} B(x)$

8 Curry-Howard Correspondence

Theorem 8.1 (Curry-Howard Correspondence). There is a correspondence between:

- **Types** in lambda calculus and **propositions** in logic
- **Terms** in lambda calculus and **proofs** in logic
- **Reduction** in lambda calculus and **proof normalization**

Example 8.1. • $A \rightarrow B$ corresponds to implication $A \Rightarrow B$

- $A \times B$ corresponds to conjunction $A \wedge B$
- $A + B$ corresponds to disjunction $A \vee B$
- $\forall \alpha. A$ corresponds to universal quantification $\forall x. A$
- $\exists \alpha. A$ corresponds to existential quantification $\exists x. A$
- \perp corresponds to falsehood
- \top corresponds to truth

8.1 Proof Terms

Definition 8.1. In the Curry-Howard correspondence:

- A proof of $A \Rightarrow B$ is a function from proofs of A to proofs of B
- A proof of $A \wedge B$ is a pair of proofs of A and B
- A proof of $A \vee B$ is either a proof of A or a proof of B
- A proof of $\forall x. A(x)$ is a function that maps any x to a proof of $A(x)$
- A proof of $\exists x. A(x)$ is a pair (x, p) where p is a proof of $A(x)$

8.2 Constructive Logic

Definition 8.2. Constructive logic (intuitionistic logic) is the logic corresponding to typed lambda calculus under the Curry-Howard correspondence.

Theorem 8.2. The law of excluded middle $A \vee \neg A$ is not provable in constructive logic.

8.3 Dependent Types and Logic

Definition 8.3. In **dependent type theory**, the Curry-Howard correspondence extends to:

- Dependent function types $\Pi_{x:A}B(x)$ correspond to universal quantification $\forall x \in A.B(x)$
- Dependent pair types $\Sigma_{x:A}B(x)$ correspond to existential quantification $\exists x \in A.B(x)$
- Identity types $x =_A y$ correspond to equality propositions

9 Computational Equivalence

9.1 Equivalence of Models

Theorem 9.1. The following computational models are equivalent:

- Turing machines
- Lambda calculus
- General recursive functions
- Register machines
- Cellular automata

9.2 Universal Computation

Definition 9.1. A computational model is **Turing-complete** if it can simulate any Turing machine.

Example 9.1. Turing-complete systems include:

- Lambda calculus
- Cellular automata (Rule 110)
- Conway's Game of Life
- Most programming languages

10 Algorithmic Information Theory

10.1 Kolmogorov Complexity

Definition 10.1. The **Kolmogorov complexity** of a string s is:

$$K(s) = \min\{|p| : U(p) = s\}$$

where U is a universal Turing machine and p is a program.

Theorem 10.1. For any computable function f , there exists a constant c such that:

$$K(f(s)) \leq K(s) + c$$

10.2 Incompressibility

Definition 10.2. A string s is **incompressible** if $K(s) \geq |s|$.

Theorem 10.2. Most strings are incompressible.

10.3 Conditional Kolmogorov Complexity

Definition 10.3. The **conditional Kolmogorov complexity** of x given y is:

$$K(x|y) = \min\{|p| : U(p, y) = x\}$$

10.4 Mutual Information

Definition 10.4. The **algorithmic mutual information** between strings x and y is:

$$I(x : y) = K(x) + K(y) - K(x, y)$$

10.5 Universal Distribution

Definition 10.5. The **universal distribution** is:

$$m(x) = 2^{-K(x)}$$

Theorem 10.3. The universal distribution is optimal in the sense that for any computable distribution p :

$$m(x) \geq c \cdot p(x)$$

for some constant c depending only on p .

10.6 Algorithmic Randomness

Definition 10.6. A sequence x is **algorithmically random** if:

$$K(x[1 : n]) \geq n - c$$

for some constant c and all n .

10.7 Applications of Algorithmic Information Theory

- **Data compression:** Understanding limits of compression
- **Machine learning:** Measuring complexity of patterns
- **Philosophy of information:** Defining randomness and information
- **Cryptography:** Analyzing security of cryptographic systems

11 Applications

11.1 Programming Language Design

- Type systems based on lambda calculus
- Functional programming languages
- Proof assistants and theorem provers
- Compiler design and optimization

11.2 Logic and Proof Theory

- Constructive mathematics
- Intuitionistic logic
- Automated theorem proving
- Formal verification

11.3 Computer Science Theory

- Complexity theory
- Algorithm design
- Cryptography
- Distributed systems

12 Important Theorems

12.1 Recursion Theorem

Theorem 12.1 (Recursion Theorem). For any computable function f , there exists a Turing machine M such that M and $f(\langle M \rangle)$ compute the same function.

12.2 Fixed Point Theorem

Theorem 12.2 (Fixed Point Theorem in Lambda Calculus). For any lambda term F , there exists a term X such that $FX =_{\beta} X$.

12.3 Church-Rosser Theorem

Theorem 12.3 (Church-Rosser Theorem). If $M \rightarrow^* N_1$ and $M \rightarrow^* N_2$, then there exists N_3 such that $N_1 \rightarrow^* N_3$ and $N_2 \rightarrow^* N_3$.

13 Open Problems

13.1 P vs NP

Conjecture 13.1 (P vs NP Problem). Is $P = NP$?

13.2 Church-Turing-Deutsch Principle

Conjecture 13.2. Every finitely realizable physical system can be perfectly simulated by a universal model computing machine operating by finite means.

14 Conclusion

Computability theory provides the mathematical foundation for understanding computation. Key insights include:

- The equivalence of different computational models (Church-Turing thesis)
- The existence of undecidable problems (halting problem)
- The connection between computation and logic (Curry-Howard correspondence)
- The fundamental limits of algorithmic computation

These concepts are essential for computer science, logic, and the philosophy of computation, providing deep insights into what can and cannot be computed.