

Michael Thomas

```
17     default IDnaStrand cutAndSplice(String enzyme, String splicee) {
18         String search = this.toString();
19         IDnaStrand ret = getInstance(source: "");
20         // Splits dna strand by enzyme, leaving empty strings
21         // in case of leading, repeating, or trailing enzymes
22         String[] fragments = search.split(enzyme+"{1}", -1);
23         for (int i=0; i<fragments.length-1; i++) {    // splicing in
24             ret.append(fragments[i]);
25             ret.append(splicee);
26         }
27         ret.append(fragments[fragments.length-1]);    // adding last fragment
28         return ret;
29     }

61     @Override
62     public IDnaStrand append(String dna) {
63         myInfo = myInfo + dna;
64         myAppends++;
65         return this;
66     }
```

Question 1: What is the big O asymptotic runtime complexity of cutAndSplice when using StringStrand, in terms of N, b, and S? Justify your answer in theory, referencing the implementation, and empirically, by reporting your results from running DNABenchmark.

The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of toString() is $O(1)$ and it runs once. The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of getInstance() is $O(1)$, and it runs once. The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of split() on line 22 is $O(N)$, and it runs once. This method will lead fragments to be of length $b+1$, so the forloop on line 23 will run b times. The Length of fragments[i] on line 24 will be N/b , because assuming the length of the enzymes is negligible there are N characters divided by $b+1$ fragments, which is close to N/b . The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of the append() method on line 24 and the append() method on line 25 is an arithmetic sequence with b terms and each term goes from $0 + 2N/b + S$ to $N + bS$. Therefore the overall big O asymptotic runtime complexity of cutAndSplice is $O(bN + (b^2)S)$, with all smaller terms being negligible.

```

dna length = 320,160
cutting at enzyme gaattc

```

Class	dna,N	splicee,S	recomb	time(ms)	breaks,b
StringStra:	320,160	10,000	769,890	30	45
StringStra:	320,160	20,000	1,219,890	27	45
StringStra:	320,160	40,000	2,119,890	24	45
StringStra:	320,160	80,000	3,919,890	39	45
StringStra:	320,160	160,000	7,519,890	77	45
StringStra:	320,160	320,000	14,719,890	157	45
StringStra:	320,160	640,000	29,119,890	358	45
StringStra:	320,160	1,280,000	57,919,890	793	45
StringStra:	320,160	10,000	769,890	8	45
StringStra:	640,320	10,000	1,539,780	35	90
StringStra:	1,280,640	10,000	3,079,560	123	180
StringStra:	2,561,280	10,000	6,159,120	499	360
StringStra:	5,122,560	10,000	12,318,240	2,194	720

Empirically, as S doubles, the runtime approximately doubles, showing a linear relationship with S. As b multiplies by 16 and N multiplies by 16, runtime multiplies by approximately 274, which is close to $16^2(b^2) + 16(N)$.

Question 2: What is the big O asymptotic runtime complexity of cutAndSplice when using StringBuilderStrand, in terms of N, b, and S? Justify your answer in theory, referencing the implementation, and empirically, by reporting your results from running DNABenchmark.

$O(N + bS)$

The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of toString() and getInstance() is $O(1)$. As before, the big O asymptotic runtime complexity of split() is $O(N)$. Then fragments.length is $b + 1$ and the forloop on line 23 runs b times. The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of append() on line 25 is $O(S)$ and it runs b times. The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of append() on line 25 is $O(N/b)$ and it runs b times. This

results in an overall big O asymptotic runtime complexity of $O(bS + N)$.

```
dna length = 320,160
cutting at enzyme gaattc
```

Class	dna,N	splicee,S	recomb	time(ms)	breaks,b
StringBuil:	320,160	10,000	769,890	5	45
StringBuil:	320,160	20,000	1,219,890	2	45
StringBuil:	320,160	40,000	2,119,890	3	45
StringBuil:	320,160	80,000	3,919,890	3	45
StringBuil:	320,160	160,000	7,519,890	4	45
StringBuil:	320,160	320,000	14,719,890	8	45
StringBuil:	320,160	640,000	29,119,890	13	45
StringBuil:	320,160	1,280,000	57,919,890	15	45
StringBuil:	320,160	10,000	769,890	1	45
StringBuil:	640,320	10,000	1,539,780	3	90
StringBuil:	1,280,640	10,000	3,079,560	5	180
StringBuil:	2,561,280	10,000	6,159,120	10	360
StringBuil:	5,122,560	10,000	12,318,240	20	720

Empirically, we see that as S doubles, time roughly doubles, showing linear time complexity. We also see that as b and N double, the time doubles, which corresponds with $O(bS + N)$ where b and N have linear time complexity.

Question 3: If each character of a String takes 1 byte of memory to store, about how much total memory is necessary to store the result of a cutAndSplice operation on a StringStrand object? Express your answer in terms of N , b , and S . Would the result take more or less memory if using a StringBuilderStrand object? Briefly explain.

The required memory for the result would be $N + s - bE$ bytes where E is the length of the enzyme. It would take more memory using a StringBuilderStrand, because the StringStrand object points to the same spot in memory for each splice, whereas the StringBuilderObject allocates memory for each splice.

Question 4: What is the big O asymptotic runtime complexity of cutAndSplice when using LinkStrand in terms of N , b , and S ? Justify your answer in theory, referencing the implementation, and empirically, by reporting your results from running DNABenchmark.

$O(N + b)$

The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of getInstance(), and append() is $O(1)$. The big O asymptotic runtime complexity of toString() is $O(N)$. As before, the big O asymptotic runtime complexity of split() is $O(N)$. Then fragments.length is $b + 1$ and the forloop on line 23 runs b times. This results in an overall big O asymptotic runtime complexity of $O(b + N)$.

```
dna length = 320,160
cutting at enzyme gaattc
```

Class	dna,N	splicee,S	recomb	time(ms)	breaks,b
LinkStrand:	320,160	10,000	769,890	3	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	20,000	1,219,890	3	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	40,000	2,119,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	80,000	3,919,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	160,000	7,519,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	320,000	14,719,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	640,000	29,119,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	1,280,000	57,919,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	320,160	10,000	769,890	1	45
LinkStrand:	640,320	10,000	1,539,780	2	90
LinkStrand:	1,280,640	10,000	3,079,560	6	180
LinkStrand:	2,561,280	10,000	6,159,120	9	360
LinkStrand:	5,122,560	10,000	12,318,240	18	720

Empirically, we see that S has no effect on runtime. We also see that as b and N double, the time doubles, which corresponds with $O(b + N)$ where b and N have linear time complexity.

Question 5: If each character of a String takes 1 byte of memory to store, and each reference to a node takes 8 bytes of memory to store, about how much total memory is necessary to store the result of a cutAndSplice operation on a LinkStrand object? Express your answer in terms of N, b, and S. Briefly explain your answer, referencing the implementation of LinkStrand.

On line 19 getInstance is added to ret, which is 8 bytes. The forloop runs b times and each time it creates two nodes, 16 bytes, and adds strings length N/b and s. Then the last fragment is added for a total memory of $b(16 + N/b + s) + N/b$ bytes.