



Prepared by: Michael Tang

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Issues found
- Findings

Protocol Summary

MemeSwap a decentralized exchange protocol that allows users to swap their memes and weth. The protocol is based on the Uniswap v2 architecture and uses a constant product formula to determine the price of each meme.

Issues found

Sevterity	Number of issues found
High	3
Low	1
Info	3

Sevterity	Number of issues found
Total	7

Findings

High

[H-1] Swap::deposit is missing deadline check, causing transaction to complete after a long time.

Description: According to the documentation of the protocol, `deadline` parameter should be taken into account when execute the `deposit` function. However, the current implementation does not check the deadline and allows the transaction to complete after a long time.

Impact: Transaction could be pending in the mempool for a long time and get processed at an unfavourable time later, causing economic loss for operators.

Proof of Concept: The `deadline` parameter is not used.

Recommended Mitigation: Consider making following changes:

```
1 function deposit(  
2     uint256 wethToDeposit,  
3     uint256 minimumLiquidityTokensToMint,  
4     uint256 maximumPoolTokensToDeposit,  
5     uint64 deadline  
6 )  
7     external  
8 +     revertIfDeadlinePassed(deadline)  
9     revertIfZero(wethToDeposit)  
10    returns (uint256 liquidityTokensToMint)  
11    {
```

[H-2] Miscalculation in Swap::getInputAmountBasedOnOutput, which leads to user spending more tokens than they should to deposit.

Description: The function is intended to calculate how much tokens user should spend to swap a specific amount of weth. However, the calculation is wrong by scaling the result by 10,000 instead of 1,000.

Impact: Swapper could spend more tokens than they should to swap certain amount of weth, which means they sell tokens at a lower price.

Recommended Mitigation:

```
1     function getInputAmountBasedOnOutput(  
2         uint256 outputAmount,  
3         uint256 inputReserves,  
4         uint256 outputReserves  
5     )  
6     public  
7     pure  
8     revertIfZero(outputAmount)  
9     revertIfZero(outputReserves)  
10    returns (uint256 inputAmount)  
11    {  
12 -        return ((inputReserves * outputAmount) * 10_000) / ((  
outputReserves - outputAmount) * 997);  
13 +        return ((inputReserves * outputAmount) * 1_000) / ((  
outputReserves - outputAmount) * 997);  
14    }
```

[H-3] Missing slippage check in Swap : swapExactOutput, which leads to user falling victim to potential front-running attack.

Description: The function lacks slippage check and protection, which may expose transactions vulnerable to MEV attacks.

Recommended Mitigation:

```
1 +     error Swap__SlippageError(uint256 maxInputAmount, uint256  
inputAmount);  
2     function swapExactOutput(  
3         IERC20 inputToken,  
4 +         uint256 maxInputAmount,  
5         .  
6         .  
7         .  
8         inputAmount = getInputAmountBasedOnOutput(outputAmount,  
inputReserves, outputReserves);  
9 +         if(inputAmount > maxInputAmount){  
10 +             revert(Swap__SlippageError(maxInputAmount, inputAmount));  
11 +         }  
12         _swap(inputToken, inputAmount, outputToken, outputAmount);
```

Low

[L-1] Default value returned by TSwapPool : : swapExactInput results in incorrect return value given

Description: The `swapExactInput` function is expected to return the actual amount of tokens bought by the caller. However, while it declares the named return value `output` it is never assigned a value, nor uses an explicit return statement.

Impact: The return value will always be 0, giving incorrect information to the caller.

Recommended Mitigation:

```
1      {
2          uint256 inputReserves = inputToken.balanceOf(address(this));
3          uint256 outputReserves = outputToken.balanceOf(address(this));
4
5      -      uint256 outputAmount = getOutputAmountBasedOnInput(inputAmount
6      +      , inputReserves, outputReserves);
7      +      output = getOutputAmountBasedOnInput(inputAmount,
8      +      inputReserves, outputReserves);
9
10     -      if (output < minOutputAmount) {
11     -      revert TSwapPool__OutputTooLow(outputAmount,
12     +      minOutputAmount);
13     +      if (output < minOutputAmount) {
14     +      revert TSwapPool__OutputTooLow(outputAmount,
15     +      minOutputAmount);
16     }
17
18     -      _swap(inputToken, inputAmount, outputToken, outputAmount);
19     +      _swap(inputToken, inputAmount, outputToken, output);
20     }
```

Informationals

[I-1] Lacking zero address checks

```
1      constructor(address wethToken) {
2      +      if(wethToken == address(0)) {
3      +          revert();
4      +      }
5      +      i_wethToken = wethToken;
6      }
```

[I-2] Event is missing indexed fields

Indexed fields in events can be quickly accessed by off-chain services. However, extra gas is required to store the indexed fields, so more context should be taken into account when deciding whether to use them.

[I-3] Function `Swap::swapExactInput` visibility should be restricted to `external` for gas-saving purpose, since it is not intended to be called by itself.