Football Games Continued

The Raw Data Graph

Figure **??** shows the average difference in moratorium days vs. non moratorium days for each school. Toshio suggested maybe adding in a vertical line showing the effect that I have in each of these graphs, I'm not sure I necessarily want to do this, but it could be interesting. Thoughts on that?

Table 1: Effect of Moratoriums on Alcohol Offenses and Sexual Assault by Party School (OLS).

	School Type		
	All Schools	Party Schools	Non-Party Schools
Panel A: Alcohol Offenses			
In Moratorium	-0.122*	-0.222*	-0.052
	(0.049)	(0.100)	(0.032)
Observations	56514	23980	32534
Mean of Dependent Variable	0.497	0.652	0.384
Panel B: Sexual Assaults			
In Moratorium	-0.010	-0.008	-0.011
	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.010)
Observations	56514	23980	32534
Mean of Dependent Variable	0.055	0.045	0.062
Controls for Panels A-B:			
FE: Day of Week	X	X	X
FE: Holiday	X	X	X
FE: Game Day	X	X	X
FE: Semester (Spring/Fall)	X	X	X
FE: University by Academic Year	X	X	X

Note:
Standard errors are clustered by university and each offense is defined as per-25000 enrolled students. The column "All Schools" represents specification (2) from the main results table. A party school classification is determined from niche.com's list of top partying schools. A university in the top 50 is considered a party school which amounts to 16 of the 38 universities. Holiday controls include controls for Veterans Day, Thanksgiving, Labor Day, Halloween, and MLK Day. Christmas/New Years/July 4th are not included since no university's academic calendar contains them. A moratorium is a temporary halt on fraternity-related activities with alcohol.
+ p < 0.1, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Final questions/thoughts:

- I want to keep the 38 schools as the main sample. I think it makes more sense this way instead of arbitrarily adding in never treated groups. I think people would think there is some funny business going on, plus it helps me with the goodman bacon critique and further robustness appendices.

- Should I even include the table on the "fraction of IFC members". I personally don't thinkt he results are enlightening and further subsetting the sample is just going to confuse readers.