

Results

Results

In this section, the estimated causal effects of a fraternity moratorium on alcohol offenses and sexual assaults are reported using OLS. Figure ?? serves as a preview of the main results and plots the distribution of differences between the number of offenses per-25000 enrolled students on moratorium days and non-moratorium days. On average, most universities observe fewer alcohol offenses and sexual assaults on moratorium days as displayed by the dashed line.

Main Results

Table ?? reports that fraternity moratoriums lead to substantially fewer alcohol offenses across university campuses and provides suggestive evidence of decreases in sexual assaults. Column (1) shows the baseline specification from Equation ?. This baseline specification includes day of the week, holiday, semester, football game-day, and academic-year fixed effects. Moreover, columns (2) and (3) show results of adding increasingly flexible fixed effects, although recall from Section ?? that column (2) is the preferred specification. In Panel A, alcohol offenses decrease during moratorium days relative to non-moratorium days in the academic-calendar. Across the three specifications, an average moratorium day exhibits between 26 to 28 percent fewer alcohol offenses in comparison to an average academic-calendar day as reflected in the point estimates. These estimates are statistically significant across all specifications, reemphasizing that moratoriums decrease campus-wide alcohol offenses. Although the point estimates on alcohol offenses are robust, the estimates on sexual assaults fail to achieve statistical significance across each specification. Additionally, the magnitude of each specification varies considerably; sexual assaults show a 14-20 percent reduction from the mean across each estimation.

The effects of moratoriums are driven by the weekends (Friday-Sunday), consistent with the literature that most college partying occurs on weekends rather than weekdays (Lindo, Siminski, and Swensen 2018). Table ?? shows the preferred specification from Table ?? separated by weekends and weekdays; the column *All Days* corresponds to the estimates of column (2) from Table ?. During the weekends, alcohol offenses decrease by 28% relative to an average academic-calendar weekend as shown in Panel A. Nevertheless, weekdays show no statistically significant decreases. Similar to alcohol offenses, the point estimates on sexual assaults show larger decreases on the weekend than on weekdays in Panel B. A weekend during a moratorium can expect 29% fewer sexual assaults relative to an average academic-calendar weekend.

Importantly, these results persist across a variety of robustness and sensitivity tests. First, given the non-negative count nature of the offense data and the sensitivity of OLS estimation to outliers, Appendix Tables ?? and ?? reaffirm results to Tables ?? and ?? using poisson estimation in lieu of OLS. Specifically, poisson estimation shows a statistically significant 27 and 32 percent average reduction in alcohol offenses and sexual assaults on the weekends respectively. Second, to ensure that the results described above are not driven by a single university, Appendix Figures ?? and ?? show leave-one-out coefficient estimates for each offense. In particular, 37 unique regressions are estimated for each offense, omitting one university within each iteration—all which demonstrate similar findings to the main results. Finally, recall from Section ?? that negative weights occur in the difference-in-difference estimator when treated units are used as control groups. Given that the sample includes only treated universities, I include 14 additional universities in the sample that never underwent a moratorium in the period of analysis to potentially mitigate the negative weighting issue. This amounts to 51 universities for a total of approximately 75,000 academic calendar days. Each

of the additional universities are chosen from the Colleges with the Best Greek Life list on Niche.com.¹ Never-treated universities are selected if they are regarded as a Top 50 Greek Life school.² Seventeen of these universities are already included in the sample due to experiencing a fraternity moratorium, further justifying the remaining 33 Top 50 Greek Life universities as a good counterfactual. However, only 14 of these universities are included in the sample while the remaining 17 are excluded since they are unable to provide Daily Crime Logs. Appendix Table ?? shows the effects of moratoriums when including these never-treated universities. Overall, the results remain similar, with weekend decreases in alcohol offenses and sexual assaults of approximately 18 percent and 26 percent respectively.

Potential Spillovers

One potential caveat to these results is that the observed decreases in alcohol offenses and sexual assaults shown in the Daily Crime Logs are being displaced to potentially riskier areas. For instance, while campus-wide alcohol is decreasing, it may be that fraternity members and other students are substituting their behaviors on-campus to off-campus areas that are less regulated. If this is true, the net effect of a moratorium may be worse than never implementing a moratorium. Unfortunately, there does not exist a perfect data source to explore such mechanism directly; the National Incidence-Based Reporting System (NIBRS) only reliably³ covers 24 percent of the sample universities' neighboring police departments and includes only alcohol arrests rather than all incidents. Furthermore, the Campus Safety and Security (CSS) data, while containing all incidents of crime reported on university campuses, is aggregated to the yearly level.

Despite these challenges, I perform two sets of analyses using these data sets. First, to identify whether crime incidence is displaced into nearby areas, I use the NIBRS data to compare the reported incidence of crimes at nearby police departments with the crimes reported at university-specific police departments using the Daily Crime Logs. Nearby police departments are defined as police departments that serve the surrounding area, but are not affiliated directly with a university.⁴

This results in a comparison of a subset of the main sample which contains nine universities and their corresponding university and nearby police departments. To harmonize the NIBRS data with the Daily Crime Logs, I define each offense from NIBRS as per-25000 enrolled students at the corresponding university and limit the panel to only academic-calendar days. Both alcohol offenses and sexual assaults are restricted to incidences involving college-aged individuals (i.e., 17-22), although the results are consistent when broadening the definition to include all ages. Moreover, I define sexual assaults in the NIBRS data to include fondling, rape, and sexual assault with an object to align with the definition using the Daily Crime Logs.

Table ?? shows little suggestive evidence of increases in alcohol offenses or sexual assaults at nearby police departments. In both Panels A and B, alcohol offenses and sexual assaults have a negative point estimate at nearby police departments, although insignificant from the standardized mean. However, the university-specific police departments continue to show large and significant effects of the moratorium for alcohol offenses despite being a small subset of the main sample. This gives confidence to the interpretation that moratoriums are decreasing the number of alcohol offenses on university campuses and students are not taking their risky behaviors off-campus.

As the second set of analysis, I analyze the CSS data to examine if students substitute from partying at fraternity houses to different on-campus locations during moratoriums. The CSS data contains all violations of liquor, drug, and sexual assaults that occur in a calendar-year. The main advantage to using the CSS data is that it delineates between crimes that occur within a residence hall or a different on-campus location.

¹I use Niche.com since it is the top search result on Google when searching for the "best fraternity colleges". The Princeton Review, notable for its annual list of party schools, does not a list regarding fraternity life.

²Notably, it is known that at least one university (Chico State) had a moratorium outside of the sample period (2013). This, however, only further validates the selection of the never-treated universities.

³In this case, I consider a data source to be reliable if reporting of crime is consistent in the sample period. NIBRS features only nine schools that continually report data without large missing periods.

⁴The neighboring police departments were identified using [Lindo, Siminski, and Swensen \(2018\)](#) public access data files in addition to Jacob Kaplan's NIBRS data tool available here: https://jacobdkaplan.com/nibrs.html#state=Colorado&agency=Denver%20Police%20Department&category=murder_nonnegligent_manslaughter&rate=false

Moreover, the CSS data includes liquor violations that may not have been reported to the university police (thus not in the Daily Crime Logs) if they were handled internally by university staff. For instance, if a liquor violation occurred in a residence hall, the Daily Crime Logs will not have record of this if the citation was handled by university officials. However, as mentioned, the biggest disadvantage to this data is that all incidences are aggregated to the calendar-year level. Since moratoriums can last for as few as six days and can continue through multiple calendar-years, the analysis should be taken only as speculative, not causal. See Appendix ?? for a more detailed discussion of the CSS data and the corresponding model used.

Despite these shortcomings, there is evidence that moratoriums significantly move drinking from fraternity houses to residence halls. Residence halls show a 28% *increase* in alcohol offenses relative to the mean when a proportion of a calendar-year is in a moratorium. Interestingly, this is accompanied by a large 82% *decrease* from the mean in residence hall sexual assaults. Although these results appear counterintuitive since the literature shows that alcohol offenses and sexual assaults tend to coincide (Lindo, Siminski, and Swensen 2018), these results point to the possibility that moratoriums cause a substitution effect of partying behavior; students substitute drinking from fraternity houses to residence halls. Residence halls, unlike fraternity houses, are far more regulated and contain university staff and potentially more sober bystanders to intervene if behavior appears to be escalating dangerously. Hence, these results support the notion that moratoriums may move dangerous alcohol-fueled behavior to *less* risky areas.

Long-Run Effects

Lindo, Jason M., Peter Siminski, and Isaac D. Swensen. 2018. “College Party Culture and Sexual Assault.” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 10 (1): 236–65. <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20160031>.