Michael Wang CS 181 HW 5

- 0a) Two other ways to show that a grammar is ambiguous are showing multiple left-most derivations for the same string or multiple right-most derivations for the same string.
- 0b) Using the left-most derivation for the string (()) we have:

$$S \rightarrow (S) \rightarrow ((S)) \rightarrow (()) OR$$

 $S \rightarrow (S) \rightarrow (SS) \rightarrow (SSS) \rightarrow (()SS) \rightarrow (()S) \rightarrow (()S)$

- 1) A known non-FSL is {aⁿbⁿ}. This is clearly a proper subset of the language {a*b*} which being a regular expression is a finite state language.
- 2) FSL are closed under complement. L' is an FSL if and only if L is an FSL. Since L' is not an FSL, neither is L.
- 3) Assume the language L is an FSL. Then there exists a pumping length p. Consider string $s = a^p b^{p+p!}$. |s| > p and s is a member of L so we must be able to split s into xyz such that xy^iz is in L for all i greater than 0. Since $|xy| \le p$, y must be all a's, i.e. $y = a^n$ for some number less than p. Thus pumping s gives us a $a^{p+ni}b^{p+p!}$. However, all numbers less than p are a divisor of p! so no matter how we choose y p+ni = p+p! for some i and the string is excluded from L. Thus we have a contradiction and L cannot be a FSL.