ETH
Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



Analysis of CVD Diamond Pad Detectors

7th ADAMAS Workshop in Vienna

Michael Reichmann

14th December 2018

Table of Contents

Section 1

Introduction

Introduction

- diamond used as beam condition monitors at LHC
- diamond as future material for tracking detectors in high radiation areas

Introduction

- diamond used as beam condition monitors at LHC
- diamond as future material for tracking detectors in high radiation areas

Properties

- radiation tolerant
- isolating material
- high charge carrier mobility

Introduction

- diamond used as beam condition monitors at LHC
- diamond as future material for tracking detectors in high radiation areas

Properties

- radiation tolerant
- isolating material
- high charge carrier mobility

Investigation of Rate Effects:

- Pad Detectors $\rightarrow$ whole diamond as single cell readout
- Pixel Detectors $\rightarrow$ diamond sensor on pixel readout chip
- 3D Pixel Detectors $\rightarrow$ 3D diamond detector on pixel readout chip

Introduction

- diamond used as beam condition monitors at LHC
- diamond as future material for tracking detectors in high radiation areas

Properties

- radiation tolerant
- isolating material
- high charge carrier mobility

Investigation of Rate Effects:

- **Pad Detectors** $\rightarrow$ this talk
- Pixel Detectors
- 3D Pixel Detectors

Measurements

- several beam test starting from May 2015

Name	**Type**	**Irradiation** $[\mathrm{n/cm^2}]$
S1	scCVD	0
poly A	pCVD	0
poly B	pCVD	0
poly C	pCVD	$1 \cdot 10^{14}$
poly D	pCVD	0
poly E	pCVD	$5 \cdot 10^{14}$
poly F	pCVD	$0 \sim 3.5 \cdot 10^{15}$
poly G	pCVD	$0 \sim 8 \cdot 10^{15}$
poly H	pCVD	0

Table: Measured diamonds and irradiations.

- irradiation with thermal neutrons at Ljubljana
- irradiations in steps and always remeasured

Section 2

Test Site


SCHWEISSWERK BÜLACH AG

Test Site

- High Intensity Proton Accelerator (HIPA) at PSI (Cyclotron) → beam line PiM1
- clean positive pion beam ($\sim$98 % π^+) with momentum of 260 MeV/c
  - ¾ smaller signals than at CERN! (120 GeV/c)
- **tunable particle fluxes from $\mathcal{O}\left(1\,\text{kHz/cm}^2\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}\left(10\,\text{MHz/cm}^2\right)$**
- **significant multiple scattering → worsens resolution**







Section 3

Setup

Setup



Figure: Modular Beam Telescope

- 4 tracking planes $\rightarrow$ trigger (fast-OR) with adjustable effective area
- diamond pad detectors in between tracking planes
- low time precision of fast-OR trigger (25 ns)
- fast scintillator for precise trigger timing $\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1\,\text{ns})$

Schematic Setup



- PSI DRS4 Evaluation Board as digitiser for the pad waveforms
- Digital Test Board (DTB) and pXar software for the telescope readout
- global trigger: using coincidence of FOR 2 and FOR 3 + scintillator signal
- using custom built Trigger Unit (TU) to handle all the trigger logic

Pad Detectors



(a) Detector Box



(b) Pad Detector with Amplifier

- building the detector: cleaning, photo-lithography and Cr-Au metallisation
- gluing to PCBs in custom built amplifier boxes
- connecting to low gain, fast amplifier with $\mathcal{O}(5\,\mathrm{ns})$ rise time

Section 4

Measured Currents

Rate Scan



- typical rate scans for up to ∼30 h with rates up to ∼20 MHz/cm^2
- beam induced current clearly visible
- low leakage currents (<10 nA) at 2 V/μm

Current Vs. Flux



- beam induced current increases linearly with increasing flux
- interpolated leakage current: 2.63 nA

Unusual Behaviour





- also observe slowly changing base lines (2/10 scans)

Unusual Behaviour



- also observe slowly changing base lines (2/10 scans)
- high spikes and erratic currents (mainly at high fluxes, 1/10 scans → excluded from further analysis)

Section 5

Analysis

Waveforms



Figure: Peak timings.

- most frequent peak (@ $\sim$35 ns) $\rightarrow$ signal from triggered particle
- other peaks from other bunches $\rightarrow$ resolve bunch spacing of PSI beam: $\sim$19.8 ns
- signals in pre-signal bunch forbidden $\rightarrow$ noise extraction

Signal Definition & Calculation



- perform DRS4 timing correction (circular buffer with varying cell size: $(0.5 \pm 0.3)\,\mathrm{ns}$)
- define signal region: $\sim \pm 10\,\mathrm{ns}$ around peak of the triggered signal $\rightarrow$ [60 ns, 80 ns]
- signal: finding the peak in the signal region and integrate around it [-4 ns, 6 ns]
- pedestal: same integral in the centre of the pre-trigger bunch $\rightarrow$ [40 ns, 60 ns]

Signal To Noise Ratio





- left length = integration width to the left of the peak position
- optimise SNR by scanning the integral width in both directions
- maximum values around the FWHM of the peak
- wide plateau around the maximum

Event Cuts

- separate pedestal and signal

Exclude Events:

- saturated
- pulser
- incomplete tracks
- wrong peak timing
- outside fiducial region
- during beam interruption

Also cuts on:

- track χ^2 in x- and y-direction
- track slope
- pedestal sigma



- after all cuts usually ∼25 % event left

Track Slope



(a) Track angle in X



(b) Track angle in Y

- only take events with $\pm 1^\circ$ around the most probable slope
- slope has direct influence on the track length inside the sensor
- slope distribution slightly changes in every setup

Section 6

Results

Noise Distributions high rate



(a) scCVD (6 dB attenuation)



(b) pCVD

- noise distribution agrees well with Gaussian even at high rates
- extract noise by taking the sigma of the Gaussian fit
- noise very similar for scCVD and pCVD diamond

Signal Distributions high rate



(a) scCVD (6 dB attenuation)



(b) pCVD

- correction by the mean of the noise (baseline offset)
- pCVD signal smaller and wider (less uniform)
- FWHM/MPV:
  - sCVD: $\sim$0.3
  - pCVD: $\sim$1.0

Signal Maps



(a) scCVD (6 dB attenuation)



(b) pCVD

- uniform signal distribution in scCVD
- signal corresponding to wide Landau in pCVD

Rate Studies in Non-Irradiated scCVD



- rate scaled to the mean
- scCVD diamond shows now rate dependence within the measurement precision
- noise stays constant

Rate Studies in Non-Irradiated scCVD



- rate scaled to the mean
- scCVD diamond shows now rate dependence within the measurement precision
- noise stays constant

pCVD - Non-Irradiated



- rate scaled to the mean
- most non-irradiated pCVD diamonds have slight rate dependence (<5 %)
- behaviour very similar for both positive and negative bias voltage

pCVD - Non-Irradiated



- rate scaled to the mean
- most non-irradiated pCVD diamonds have slight rate dependence (<5 %)
- behaviour very similar for both positive and negative bias voltage

A Special Case



(a) First measurement.



(b) After reprocessing.

- very large rate dependence at the first measurement (>90 %)
- after reprocessing and surface cleaning with RIE very stable behaviour (∼2 %)
- possible to “fix” bad diamonds

Detailed Study of Rate Dependence



- largest increase of pulse height found so far
- all measurements very continuous and reproducible
- only very weak theories for this behaviour $\rightarrow$ try to model it
- try to fix by reprocessing

Rate Studies in Irradiated pCVD



- rate scaled to the mean
- pulse height very stable after irradiation
- noise stays the same

Section 7

Conclusion

Conclusion

- built beam test setup to characterise the rate behaviour of diamond pad detectors
- most leakage currents $<10\,\mathrm{nA}$ and beam induced currents linear with flux
- pCVD diamond show non-uniformity according to wide landau of the signal depending on the position in the diamond
- nonirradiated scCVD show no rate dependence (large in irradiated)
- rate dependence for most non-irradiated pCVD $<5\,\%$
  - unknown origin, maybe surface contamination during production
  - possible to fix it for one sample $\rightarrow$ try to repeat it
- detectors with irradiated pCVD diamond sensors have a rate dependence below $\sim 2\,\%$ up to a flux of $20\,\mathrm{MHz/cm^2}$


Del Fin