

Diamond Detector Technology: Status and Perspectives

The RD42 Collaboration

M. Reichmann^{*26}, A. Alexopoulos³, M. Artuso²², F. Bachmair²⁶, L. Bäni²⁶, M. Bartosik³, J. Beacham¹⁵, H. Beck²⁵, V. Bellini², V. Belyaev¹⁴, B. Bentele²¹, E. Berdermann⁷, P. Bergonzo¹³, A. Bes³⁰, J.-M. Brom⁹, M. Bruzzi⁵, M. Cerv³, G. Chiodini²⁹, D. Chren²⁰, V. Cindro¹¹, G. Claus⁹, J. Collot³⁰, J. Cumalat²¹, A. Dabrowski³, R. D'Alessandro⁵, D. Dauvergne³⁰, W. de Boer¹², C. Dorfer²⁶, M. Dünser³, V. Eremin⁸, R. Eusebi²⁷, G. Forcolin²⁴, J. Forneris¹⁷, H. Fraiss-Kölbl⁴, L. Gallin-Martel³⁰, M.L. Gallin-Martel³⁰, K.K. Gan¹⁵, M. Gastal³, C. Giroletti¹⁹, M. Goffe⁹, J. Goldstein¹⁹, A. Golubev¹⁰, A. Gorišek¹¹, E. Grigoriev¹⁰, J. Grosse-Knetter²⁵, A. Grummer²³, B. Gui¹⁵, M. Guthoff³, I. Haughton²⁴, B. Hiti¹¹, D. Hits²⁶, M. Hoferkamp²³, T. Hofmann³, J. Hosslet⁹, J.-Y. Hostachy³⁰, F. Hügging¹, C. Hutton¹⁹, H. Jansen³, J. Janssen¹, H. Kagan¹⁵, K. Kanxheri³¹, G. Kasieczka²⁶, R. Kass¹⁵, F. Kassel¹², M. Kis⁷, V. Konovalov¹⁵, G. Kramberger¹¹, S. Kuleshov¹⁰, A. Lacoste³⁰, S. Lagomarsino⁵, A. Lo Giudice¹⁷, E. Lukosi²⁸, C. Maazouzi⁹, I. Mandic¹¹, C. Mathieu⁹, M. Menichelli³¹, M. Mikuž¹¹, A. Morozzi³¹, J. Moss¹⁵, R. Mountain²², S. Murphy²⁴, M. Muškinja¹¹, A. Oh²⁴, P. Oliviero¹⁷, D. Passeri³¹, H. Pernegger³, R. Perrino²⁹, F. Picollo¹⁷, M. Pomorski¹³, R. Potenza², A. Quadt²⁵, A. Re¹⁷, G. Riley²⁸, S. Roe³, D. Sanz²⁶, M. Scaringella⁵, D. Schaefer³, C.J. Schmidt⁷, S. Schnetzer¹⁶, S. Sciortino⁵, A. Scorzoni³¹, S. Seidel²³, L. Servoli³¹, S. Smith¹⁵, B. Sopko²⁰, V. Sopko²⁰, S. Spagnolo²⁹, S. Spanier²⁸, K. Stenson²¹, R. Stone¹⁶, C. Sutura², B. Tannenwald¹⁵, A. Taylor²³, M. Traeger⁷, D. Tromson¹³, W. Trischuk¹⁸, C. Tuve², L. Uplegger⁶, J. Velthuis¹⁹, N. Venturi¹⁸, E. Vittone¹⁷, S. Wagner²¹, R. Wallny²⁶, J.C. Wang²², J. Weingarten²⁵, C. Weiss³, T. Wengler³, N. Wermes¹, M. Yamouni³⁰ and M. Zavrtanik¹¹

¹Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²INFN/University of Catania, Catania, Italy

³CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

⁴FWT, Wiener Neustadt, Austria

⁵INFN/University of Florence, Florence, Italy

⁶FNAL, Batavia, USA

⁷GSI, Darmstadt, Germany

⁸Ioffe Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

⁹IPHC, Strasbourg, France

¹⁰ITEP, Moscow, Russia

¹¹Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

¹²Universität Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany

- ¹³*CEA-LIST Technologies Avancees, Saclay, France*
 - ¹⁴*MEPHI Institute, Moscow, Russia*
 - ¹⁵*The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA*
 - ¹⁶*Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA*
 - ¹⁷*University of Torino, Torino, Italy*
 - ¹⁸*University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada*
 - ¹⁹*University of Bristol, Bristol, UK*
 - ²⁰*Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic*
 - ²¹*University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA*
 - ²²*Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, USA*
 - ²³*University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA*
 - ²⁴*University of Manchester, Manchester, UK*
 - ²⁵*Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany*
 - ²⁶*ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*
 - ²⁷*Texas A&M, College Park Station, TX, USA*
 - ²⁸*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA*
 - ²⁹*INFN-Lecce, Lecce, Italy*
 - ³⁰*LPSC-Grenoble, Grenoble, France*
 - ³¹*INFN-Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
 - ³²*California State University, Sacramento, CA, USA*
- E-mail: michael.reichmann@cern.ch*

The planned upgrade of the LHC to the High-Luminosity-LHC will push the luminosity limits even above the original design values. Since the current detectors will not be able to cope with this environment ATLAS and CMS are researching towards more radiation tolerant technologies for their innermost tracking layers. Chemical Vapour Deposition (CVD) diamond is an excellent candidate for this purpose. Detectors out of this material are already established in the highest irradiation regimes for the beam condition monitors at LHC. The RD42 collaboration is leading an effort to use CVD diamonds also as sensor material for the future tracking detectors. The signal behaviour of very high irradiated diamonds is presented as well as the recent study of the signal dependence on incident particle flux. There is also a recent development towards 3D detectors and especially 3D pixel detectors based on diamond sensors.

The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics
5-12 July
Venice, Italy

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

The upgrade of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) to the High-Luminosity-LHC (HL-LHC) from 2023 to 2025 [1] will push the luminosity limits even above the original design values of the LHC and will therefore hopefully give us even more insights in the fundamental nature of the universe. In 2028 an instantaneous luminosity of $5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is aspired. The innermost tracking layer at a distance of $\sim 30 \text{ mm}$ will encounter pixel hit rates of 3 GHz/cm^2 in this environment and is expected to be exposed to a total fluence of $2 \times 10^{16} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$ by 2028 [2]. The current pixel detectors are designed to withstand $\sim 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ and thus the full detector would have to be replaced about every year. This fact led to research and development of various radiation hard detector designs and materials.

Its large displacement energy of 42 eV/atom and a high band gap of 5.5 eV make diamond an excellent candidate for such a radiation tolerant detector which is why the RD42 Collaboration is investigating single-crystal (sc) and poly-crystalline (p) Chemical Vapour Deposition (CVD) diamond as an alternative for precision tracking detectors for over two decades. In order to grow high quality detector grade diamonds, RD42 collaborates with industrial companies. All shown results are acquired with scCVD diamonds produced by Element Six Technologies [3] and pCVD diamonds produced by II-VI Incorporated [4]. The main difference between the two types are their sizes of $\sim 0.25 \text{ cm}^2$ for scCVD and up to 6 inch for pCVD and the $\frac{2}{3}$ smaller signal in pCVD [5]. In various studies it was shown that compared to corresponding silicon detectors, diamond is at minimum three times more radiation hard [6], has at least a two times faster charge collection [7] and its thermal conductivity is four times higher [8].

It is essential for all modern collider experiments to have an online monitoring of the beam conditions. Since it is important to have these detectors as close as possible to the beam all of the four main experiments at the LHC are using detectors with diamond sensors. ATLAS [9], ALICE [10], CMS [11] and LHCb [12] all make use of various Beam Condition Monitors (BCMs) and/or Beam Loss Monitors (BLMs) based on both CVD type diamonds for live background estimations and luminosity measurements.

Due to the very high particle fluxes and radiation doses expected for the HL-LHC it is very important to understand the behaviour of future detectors in this environment. The RD42 Collaboration has studied CVD diamond detectors with irradiation doses up to $2.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ p/cm}^2$. In order to build even more radiation hard detectors, a new technology - 3D detectors [13] - is investigated. The design of these detectors allows to heavily reduce the drift distance of the created charge carriers without reducing the total number of the created electron-hole pairs. Since the signal behaviour of diamonds at high fluxes is uncertain, high rate studies are performed at Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI) with nearly minimum ionising particles (MIPs) and tunable particle fluxes from the order of 1 kHz/cm^2 up to the order of 10 MHz/cm^2 .

2. CVD Diamond Detectors in the ATLAS Diamond Beam Monitor (DBM)

During the long shutdown in 2014 ATLAS installed the DBM as an upgrade of the BCM. Its purpose is to measure an instantaneous (bunch-by-bunch) luminosity and the bunch-by-bunch position of the beam spot. With its eight telescopes à three detector planes it adds tracking capability

to the existing precise time-of-flight (ToF) measurements of the eight pad detectors of the BCM. The usage of state of the art pixel detectors based on the FE-I4B readout chip (ROC) [14] strongly increases the spatial resolution of the monitor and due to its projective geometry pointing towards the interaction region it also can distinguish particles coming from collisions and background [15]. The telescopes, of which two are built from silicon and the other six from pCVD diamonds, are positioned symmetrically around the beam pipe on both sides of the interaction point (IP) and are shown in Figure 1. A total number of 45 diamonds with a thickness of $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ was available for the project of which 18 with the best quality were for chosen for the detector.




Figure 1: The positioning of DBM telescope around the beam pipe of the LHC

The first results already show a clear discrimination between collision and background events as demonstrated in Figure 2. During the shutdown of the LHC in the beginning of 2017 the modules were recommissioned and are a part of the ATLAS data taking now.




Figure 2: Reconstruction of tracks from three modules using the initial alignment.

3. Radiation Tolerance

In order to probe the radiation tolerance of CVD diamond sensors several radiation studies have been performed varying the types and energies of damaging particles. The sensors were irra-

Particle	Energy	Relative κ
Proton	24 GeV	1.0
	800 MeV	1.79 ± 0.13
	70 MeV	2.4 ± 0.4
	25 MeV	4.5 ± 0.6
Neutron	1 MeV	4.5 ± 0.5
Pion	200 MeV	$2.5 - 3$

Table 1: Damage constants for various irradiation types normalised to 24 GeV protons

diated with protons with energies of 24 GeV, 800 MeV, 70 MeV and 25 MeV, 1 MeV neutrons and 200 MeV pions up to a maximum dose of $2.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ p/cm}^2$ which is equivalent to $\sim 500 \text{ Mrad}$.

In order to build a detector out of a CVD diamond sensor a specific recipe is applied where the diamond gets metallised [16]. Depending on the geometry of the metallisation pattern, pad, strip and pixel detectors can be built. For the radiation studies a strip pattern was chosen in order to have a segmentation of the sensor.


The characterisation of the irradiated devices was performed at a Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) beam line at CERN with charged hadrons with momenta of the order of $100 \text{ GeV}/c$. By using a customised beam telescope with a spacial resolution of $\sim 2 \mu\text{m}$ one obtains an unbiased hit prediction of the particle track in the diamond sensor.

The signal behaviour of irradiated material follows the simple damage equation with the initial


$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \kappa\phi \quad (3.1)$$

mean free path (MFP) λ_0 , the damage constant κ and the fluence ϕ . Since the measurable quantity is the charge collection distance (CCD) we have to use a model to find a relation to the mean free path [5].

The results of two different types of irradiation are shown in Figure 3. As seen in the examples all of the tested samples follow the equation 3.1. Table 1 shows all the extracted damage constants. As expected neutrons and low energy protons create the biggest damage in the material.



(a) 24 GeV protons at CERN PS



(b) 800 MeV protons at LANL

Figure 3: Irradiation results

4. High Rate Beam Tests

In addition to the radiation studies it is also very important to understand the signal behaviour of CVD diamonds depending on the incident particle flux since the HL-LHC will reach values in the low GHz/cm² range. In order to conduct such a study it is important to have the possibility to vary the particle flux in big range. The π M1 beam line at the High Intensity Proton Accelerator (HIPA) at PSI can provide beams with continuously tunable fluxes from the order of 1 kHz/cm² up to 10 MHz/cm² which have a spacing of 19.8 ns between each bunch. For these studies a π^+ beam with a momentum of 260 MeV/c was chosen in order to reach the highest possible flux.

The diamond sensors were measured in a pad geometry and prepared as described in [17]. In order to resolve single waveforms at high particle rates the sensors are connected to a fast, low-noise amplifier with a rise time of approximately 5 ns. The resulting waveforms are then read out with a DRS4 Evaluation Board at a sampling frequency of 2 GHz. The final diamond pad detectors are then brought into a beam telescope based on the CMS pixel ROCs PSI46v2 [18] which provides precise tracking with a resolution of $\sim 70 \mu\text{m}$ as well as a trigger which can be scaled in area to increase the efficiency of the data taking. A scintillator is positioned at the end of the telescope as additional trigger to achieve a precise timing of 1 ns.

An overlay of 30000 resulting waveforms is shown in Figure 4. The most frequent peak at 70 ns relates to the actual particle which was triggered on and is called signal region. All the other peaks are from particles of other bunches. Due to the good timing resolution the bunch spacing of the PSI beam can be clearly seen in the plot. The bunch just before the signal region is forbidden by the trigger logic. Therefore there are no peaks in this area and it is used to extract the pedestal (base line) of the waveform. The pulse height value is then calculated by the an integral around the maximum value in the signal region.




Figure 4: Overlay of 30000 waveforms

In order to check the dependence on the incident particle flux several rate scans with both bias voltages and different irradiation doses were performed. The typical scan was starting at the minimum flux, going up to the maximum (up scan) and then going down to the minimum again (down scan). Figure 5 on the following page shows the final results for a pCVD diamond both non-irradiated and irradiated to $5 \times 10^{14} \text{ n}_{\text{eq}}/\text{cm}^2$. No pulse height dependence on particle flux was observed for a flux up to 10 MHz/cm². In addition it can also be seen that there is a slight difference between positive and negative bias. After the irradiation the pulse decreases due to the radiation damage but the values have no absolute calibration yet.




Figure 5: Pulse height versus incident particle flux for a pCVD diamond

5. 3D Detectors

The radiation damage created by HL-LHC will become a big challenge for the innermost tracking detectors. After a large irradiation, all detector material will become trap limited with a MFP below $75\ \mu\text{m}$. The concept of a so called 3D-Detector is a possible way to highly increase the longevity of these materials. More details about the fabrication and the functionality can be found in [13].

In 2015 one of the first detectors was built out of a pCVD diamond sensor which had a 3D detector as well as a strip detector on the same sensor. At this time the column efficiency was about 92 % and the 3D cells had a size of $150\ \mu\text{m} \times 150\ \mu\text{m}$. This detector was already a success by showing a working 3D diamond detector. Its square cells are clearly visible and the measured signal for the thickness of $500\ \mu\text{m}$ was 13 500 e which is much higher than 6900 e in the strip detector. The strip signal equates to a CCD of $192\ \mu\text{m}$ whereas the charge in the 3D would have a CCD in a planar detector of $350\ \mu\text{m}$ to $375\ \mu\text{m}$ which effectively means that more than 75 % of the created charge was collected for the first time in a pCVD diamond. The corresponding pulse height distributions are shown in figure 6.




Figure 6: Pulse height of the 3D multi detector

The next step followed in 2016 when a full 3D detector was built with dramatic improvements. The number of cells went up from 99 to 1188, the cell size was reduced to $100\ \mu\text{m} \times 100\ \mu\text{m}$ and the column efficiency could be increased to 99 %. The analysis of this device is still in progress but the first results already show charge in the entire area of the detector and it has the largest charge collection in pCVD yet with over 85 % in a contiguous region.

Finally in the end of 2016 the first pCVD 3D pixel detector was built. A 3D diamond sensor was metallised and then bump bonded to a CMS pixel ROC PSI46digV2.1respin [18]. The chip was then tuned to a global pixel threshold of 1500 e. The preliminary beam test results already show an

efficiency of 98.5 %. This value is close to the efficiency of the silicon pixel of 99.3 % which was tested in parallel. The slightly lower value is believed to origin from the low field regions between the electrodes.

6. Conclusion

By now the technology of diamond detectors is well established in high energy physics. Many of the experiments are already using BCMs or BLMs based on CVD diamonds. As one of the first pixel projects the ATLAS DBM started taking data and was recommissioned for the 13 TeV collisions.

The diamond material was proven to be very radiation hard and the signal behaviour after the irradiation with various particle species and energies is very well understood for both scCVD and pCVD diamonds. In extensive studies it was also found out that pCVD diamond detectors work reliably and show no signal dependence up to an incident particle flux of 10 MHz/cm². This could also be shown for irradiated detectors up to fluence of 5×10^{14} n_{eq}/cm².

There is also great progress in the development of even more radiation hard devices. The working principle of both 3D strip and pixel detectors could be proven with great success down to cell sizes of 100 µm × 100 µm. For the first time more than 80 % of the created charge in the material could be read out. The efficiency of the column drilling process is now above 99 % and the total efficiency of the 3D pixel detectors is very high with 98.5 %.

References

- [1] G Apollinari, I Béjar Alonso, O Brüning, M Lamont, and L Rossi. *High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC): Preliminary Design Report*. CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs. CERN, Geneva, 2015.
- [2] Georg Auzinger. Upgrade of the CMS Tracker for the High Luminosity LHC. Technical Report CMS-CR-2016-268, CERN, Geneva, Oct 2016.
- [3] elementsix - industry leading engineers. <https://e6cvd.com>, 2017.
- [4] Ii-vi incorporated : A global leader in engineered materials and optoelectronic devices and components. <http://www.ii-vi.com/>, 2017.
- [5] Felix Caspar Bachmair. *CVD Diamond Sensors In Detectors For High Energy Physics*. PhD thesis, Zurich, ETH, 2016.
- [6] Wim de Boer, Johannes Bol, Alex Furgeri, Steffen Müller, Christian Sander, Eleni Berdermann, Michal Pomorski, and Mika Huhtinen. Radiation hardness of diamond and silicon sensors compared. *physica status solidi (a)*, 204(9):3004–3010, 2007.
- [7] H Pernegger, V Eremin, H Fraiss-Kölbl, E Griesmayer, H Kagan, S Roe, S Schnetzer, R Stone, W Trischuk, D Twitchen, Peter Weilhammer, and A Whitehead. Charge-carrier properties in synthetic single-crystal diamond measured with the transient-current technique. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 97(7):73704–1–9, 2005.
- [8] Shu-lai Zhao. *Characterization of the electrical properties of polycrystalline diamond films*. PhD thesis, Ohio State U., 1994.
- [9] A. Gorišek, V. Cindro, I. Dolenc, H. Fraiss-Kölbl, E. Griesmayer, H. Kagan, S. Korpar, G. Kramberger, I. Mandi, M. Meyer, M. Miku, H. Pernegger, S. Smith, W. Trischuk, P. Weilhammer, and M. Zavrtanik. Atlas diamond beam condition monitor. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 572(1):67 – 69, 2007. Frontier Detectors for Frontier Physics.
- [10] Maria Hempel, W Lohmann, and S Rüdiger. Application of Diamond Based Beam Loss Monitors at LHC, May 2013. Presented 26 Nov 2012.
- [11] E. Bartz, J. Doroshenko, V. Halyo, B. Harrop, D.A. Hits, D. Marlow, L. Perera, S. Schnetzer, and R. Stone. The plt: A luminosity monitor for cms based on single-crystal diamond pixel sensors. *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements*, 197(1):171 – 174, 2009. 11th Topical Seminar on Innovative Particle and Radiation Detectors (IPRD08).
- [12] M. Domke, C. Ilgner, S. Kostner, M. Lieng, M. Nedos, J. Sauerbrey, S. Schleich, B. Spaan, and K. Warda. Commissioning of the beam conditions monitor of the lhcb experiment at cern. In *2008 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record*, pages 3306–3307, Oct 2008.
- [13] F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, P. Bergonzo, B. Caylar, G. Forcolin, I. Haughton, D. Hits, H. Kagan, R. Kass, L. Li, A. Oh, S. Phan, M. Pomorski, D.S. Smith, V. Tyzhnevyyi, R. Wallny, and D. Whitehead. A 3d diamond detector for particle tracking. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment*, 786:97 – 104, 2015.
- [14] M Backhaus. Characterization of the FE-I4B pixel readout chip production run for the ATLAS Insertable B-layer upgrade. *JINST*, 8(AIDA-PUB-2013-020):C03013, Mar 2013.
- [15] Matevz Cerv. The atlas diamond beam monitor. 9:C02026, 02 2014.

- [16] Ricardo S. Sussmann. *CVD diamond for electronic devices and sensors*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 2009.
- [17] R Wallny. Beam test results of the dependence of signal size on incident particle flux in diamond pixel and pad detectors. *JINST*, 10(07):C07009, 2015.
- [18] Andreas Kornmayer, Thomas Müller, and Ulrich Husemann. Studies on the response behaviour of pixel detector prototypes at high collision rates for the CMS experiment, Nov 2015. Presented 04 Dec 2015.