

Beam Tests Investigating Diamond as Detector Material

Michael Reichmann



Table of contents I

1 Motivation

2 Diamond Detectors and Materials

- Diamond as detector material
- Detector designs
- Artificial diamond types

3 Rate Studies at PSI

- General information
- Setup
- Analysis
- Results

4 3D Detectors at CERN

- Working Principle of a 3D Detector
- Beam Tests at CERN

5 Conclusion



Section 1

Motivation



Motivation

Diamond as future material for the tracking detectors of the LHC:

- innermost layers → highest radiation damage
- current detector designed to withstand 250 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity
 - ▶ High-Luminosity LHC: replace detector every 12 month
- → **look for more radiation hard detector designs and/or materials**




Figure: CMS Barrel Pixel Detector upgrade with end caps



Section 2

Diamond Detectors and Materials



Diamond as detector material

Diamond as detector material


- 7 – 10 times smaller charge loss due to radiation damage than in silicon
- signals (electrons created by a charged particle) half the size of silicon
- → diamond becomes superior than silicon at a certain irradiation
- other advantageous properties:
 - ▶ isolating material → negligible leakage current → power saving
 - ▶ high thermal conductivity → heat spreader for electronics
 - ▶ large band gap → no cooling required
 - ▶ high charge carrier mobility → fast signals
 - ▶ working principle like a solid state ionisation chamber → no pn-junction required
- disadvantages:
 - ▶ high price
 - ▶ some not fully understood behaviours




Detector designs

Detector designs

- Investigation of two different detector designs
 - ▶ planar diamonds
 - ★ exchange of material
 - ▶ 3D diamonds
 - ★ new type of detector



(a) on CMS-Pixel chip



(b) multi pattern


Figure: 3D diamond detectors




Artificial diamond types

Artificial diamond types

- used diamonds artificially grown with a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process
- investigation of two different diamond types:



(a) single-crystalline CVD



(b) poly-crystalline CVD

- grown on existing diamond crystal
- only small sizes ($\sim 0.25 \text{ cm}^2$)
- larger signals than pCVD (5 : 3)


- grown on Si substrate with diamond powder
- large wafers (5" to 6" \varnothing)
- non-uniformities and grains



Artificial diamond types

Diamonds in CMS

- scCVD diamond pixel detector used in Pixel Luminosity Telescope (PLT)
 - ▶ goal: stand-alone luminosity monitor for CMS
- observation of a signal dependence on incident particle rate:



Consequences:

- investigation of the rate effect in scCVD diamonds
- using pCVD diamond and prove that they show no rate dependence



Section 3


Rate Studies at PSI



General information

Proton Accelerator at Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI)

- High Intensity Proton Accelerator (HIPA) at PSI (Cyclotron)
- 590 MeV proton beam with beam current up to 2.4 mA (1.5×10^{16} protons/s)
 - ▶ ~ 1.4 MW → most powerful proton accelerator in the world
- only two comparable accelerators
 - ▶ TRIUMF in Vancouver (~ 0.25 MW)
 - ▶ LAMPF in Los Alamos (~ 0.8 MW)
- LHC takes 28 min to accelerate a full injection (~ 0.2 MW)



○
○
○



10

8

General information

Beam line at Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI)

- using beam line $\pi M1$ with 260 MeV/c positive pions (π^+)
 - tunable particle fluxes from 2 kHz/cm^2 to 10 MHz/cm^2






General information

Measurements


- performing several beam tests starting in 2013
- using a modular self-built beam telescope with two possible setups:
 - ▶ pad setup (testing whole diamonds as single pad detector)
 - ▶ pixel setup (testing diamond sensors implanted on CMS-Pixel Chips)
- investigating several materials and devices
 - ▶ scCVD pad detectors (reproduce rate effect)
 - ▶ pCVD pad and pixel detectors
 - ▶ very first 3D pixel detector
- studying non-irradiated and irradiated devices (up to 1×10^{16} neq/cm 2)





Setup

Setup




- 4 tracking planes with analogue CMS pixel chips → provide scalable trigger
- 2 diamond pad detectors
- scintillator for precise trigger timing: sigma of 1.3(1) ns
- resolution: $\sim 80 \mu\text{m} \times 50 \mu\text{m}$



Setup

Schematics




- using PSI DRS4 Evaluation Board as digitizer for the pad waveforms
- using Digital Test Board (DTB) and pXar software for the telescope readout
- global trigger as coincidence of fastOR self trigger and scintillator signal
- EUDAQ as DAQ framework




Setup

Trigger Logic

- complicated trigger logic
- long setup time
- error prone
- varying cable length



DAQ



- trigger unit to provide global trigger for all devices
- saving event based data stream as binary file using EUDAQ



Setup


Trigger unit (TU)



- handles (almost) all trigger logic of the setup with FPGA system
- provides scalers (counter) for the input triggers, pad signals and beam current
- internal pulser as reference signal
- pre-scalers to guarantee stable pulser rates
- coincidence and handshake logic

Analysis

Waveforms




- most frequented peak (~ 70 ns): triggered signal
- other peaks originate from other buckets (\rightarrow resolve beam structure of ≈ 19.7 ns)
- system does not allow signals in pre-signal bucket due to fastOR trigger deadtime



Analysis

Pulse Height Calculation



- finding the peak in the signal region
- integrating the signal in time fixed asymmetric integral around peak
- time averaging
- same procedure for pedestal (base line → noise)
- optimising the integral width by highest SNR (Integral / Pedestal Sigma)
- subtracting the pedestal from the signal integral on event-wise basis


○
○
○○

○○○
○○○○○
○○●○○○○○
○○


○
○

Analysis

Event based cuts



(a) no cuts



(b) all cuts

- many undesirable events in the full data (no signal, pulser, multiple hits, ...)
- apply cuts to select only signal events (diamond hit by a single pion)



Analysis

Cuts (1)

saturated:

- saturated waveforms
- most likely protons




Figure: saturated waveform

pulser:

- reference events with different timing
- no signal in signal region




Figure: pulser waveform

tracks:

- only take events with exactly one cluster in each tracking plane

○
○
○○

○○○
○○○○○
○○○●○○○○
○○

○
○

Analysis

Cuts (2)

timing:

- signal peak timing follows Gaussian distribution
- discard events with wrong timing (more than 3σ)
 - ▶ overlay from waveforms of different buckets
 - ▶ other particles (electrons, muons)




Figure: signal peak timing

bucket:

- two particles in consecutive buckets
- first one hits the scintillator but not the diamond
- wrong trigger timing (20 ns)
- no signal in signal region




Figure: bucket pedestal

○
○
○○

○○○
○○○○○
○○○○●○○○
○○

○
○

Analysis

Cuts (3)

fiducial:

- only select uniform physical center area of the diamond
- exclude edges and guard ring




Figure: signal map

other:

- χ^2 in x and y of the track fit
- track angle in x and y
- event range
- beam interruptions
- pedestal sigma






Figure: with fiducial cut

Analysis**Taken out:**

- saturated events
- pedestal events (no signal)
- multiple hits (~ 2 times the signal)
- low signal events (guard ring, edge hits)


○
○○
○○○

○○○
○○○○○
○○○○○○○●○
○○

○
○

Analysis

Cut Influence on the Mean Pulse Height




○
○
○○

○○○
○○○○○
○○○○○○○●
○○

○
○

Analysis

Cut Contributions






O
O
OO

○○○
○○○○○
○○○○○○○○
●○


O
O

Results

Pulse Height Distribution and Signal Maps



(a) single-crystalline



(b) poly-crystalline




Results

Signal vs. Particle Flux

- after all analysis steps: look for rate dependence of pCVD diamonds
- found diamond pad detectors that show no or very little dependence on rate
- no dependence up to 1×10^{16} neq/cm²
- large systematic errors due to reproducibility

To do:

- test higher irradiated samples
- improve reproducibility
- prove the same for pixel detectors





Section 4

3D Detectors at CERN



Working Principle of a 3D Detector

- insert electrodes perpendicular to the plane
 - ▶ reduce drift distance
 - ▶ increase collected charge in detectors with limited mean free path
- one readout electrode surrounded by four bias electrodes
- in diamond electrodes formed with a pulsed laser
 - ▶ transition of diamond to conducting material (graphitic material i.a.)




Figure: array of four 3D cells, bias electrodes in red, readout electrodes in green



Beam Tests at CERN

Beam Tests at CERN

- using more than 20 years old fixed telescope at SPS at CERN (high spatial resolution)
- testing multiple 3D strip detectors
- basic working principle has been proven
- full charge collection not yet reached in pCVD
- improve fabrication technique




Figure: Strasbourg Telescope



Section 5

Conclusion

o

o

oo

o

oo

oooo

oooooooooooo

oo

o

o

Conclusion

- High Luminosity LHC requires a new detector technology due to the highly increased radiation damage
- diamond detector designs viable option due to its radiation tolerance, among other advantages
- scCVD diamonds not suitable due to signal dependence on particle flux after irradiation
- pCVD diamonds show no rate dependence up to fluxes of $10 \text{ MHz}/\text{cm}^2$ and irradiations up to $1 \times 10^{16} \text{ neq}/\text{cm}^2$
- successfully proven the working principle of a 3D diamond detector
- tested the very first 3D-Pixel detector

Ultimate Goal:

- build fully working pixel detector