

## Calculator App – Chat with DeepSeek

### Prompt:

build a javascript/html calculator. the calculator must support all basic math functions:  
add, subtract, multiply, divide

### Response:

Okay, I need to build a JavaScript/HTML calculator that supports addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. Let me think about how to approach this.

First, the structure. The calculator should have a display area and buttons for numbers and operations. Using HTML for the layout makes sense. I'll create a div for the display and a grid of buttons inside a container.

Styling is important for usability. I'll use CSS to arrange the buttons in a grid layout. Maybe 4 columns for the numbers and operators. The buttons should be easy to click, with hover effects to indicate interaction.

Now, the JavaScript part. I need to handle user input, manage the display, and perform calculations. Let's break it down:

1. Variables to keep track of the current number being entered, the first operand, the operator, and whether we're waiting for the second operand.
2. Functions to append numbers to the display when a number button is clicked. Also, handling the decimal point to prevent multiple dots.
3. When an operator button is clicked, store the current display value as the first operand, save the operator, and reset the current number for the next input.
4. The equals button should take the current display value as the second operand, perform the calculation using the stored operator, and update the display. Need to handle division by zero by showing an error message.
5. A clear function to reset all variables and the display.

Wait, what about chaining operations? Like  $3 + 5 * 2$ . If the user clicks  $+$ , then  $*$ , the calculator should evaluate  $3 + 5$  first, then multiply by 2? Or follow operator precedence? Hmm, the example in the problem statement says "basic math functions" but doesn't specify operator precedence. Maybe for simplicity, assume that each operation is performed in sequence as entered, without precedence. So  $3 + 5 * 2$  would be  $(3+5)*2=16$  instead of 13. That's easier to implement.

But wait, in typical calculators, if you press  $3 + 5 =$ , then  $* 2 =$ , it would be  $8 * 2 = 16$ . But if you press  $3 + 5 * 2 =$  without equals in between, it might handle it differently. Oh, right, in basic calculators without

precedence, each operation is applied immediately. So maybe the user enters a number, then an operator, then another number, then equals. So the structure is firstNumber operator secondNumber.

But the user might want to do multiple operations in sequence. For example,  $3 + 5 - 2$ . So after pressing  $+$ , entering 5, then pressing  $-$ , the calculator should compute  $3+5=8$ , then subtract 2. So each operator press triggers the previous operation and sets the next operator.

So the flow is: number  $\rightarrow$  operator  $\rightarrow$  number  $\rightarrow$  operator or equals. So when an operator is pressed, the current display is stored as firstOperand, the operator is saved, and we wait for the second number. When another operator is pressed before equals, it computes the result, becomes the new firstOperand, and the new operator is set.

So in code, when an operator is clicked, if firstOperand is null, we store the current display value. Otherwise, if waiting for second operand, we compute the result and update firstOperand. Then set the new operator and reset currentNumber.

Wait, perhaps the code should handle operator clicks by immediately performing any pending operation. For example, if the user enters  $3 + 5 * 2$ , pressing  $*$  would first compute  $3+5=8$ , then  $8*2$  when equals is pressed. Or does pressing  $*$  trigger the calculation of  $3+5$  and then wait for the next number? Hmm, maybe not. Let me think.

In many basic calculators, if you press  $3 + 5 * 2 =$ , it would do  $3+5=8$ , then  $8*2=16$ . So each operator press after the first one triggers the previous operation. So in this case, pressing  $*$  would first calculate  $3+5$ , then the  $*$  operator is set, and then 2 is entered, and equals would multiply  $8 * 2$ .

So the code should, when an operator is pressed, check if there's a pending operation (i.e., firstOperand and operator are set). If so, compute the result, update the display, set firstOperand to the result, then set the new operator.

This way, chaining operations without pressing equals in between works correctly.

So in the handleOperator function:

- When an operator is clicked:
- If firstOperand is null, store current display as firstOperand.
- Else if operator is already set, compute the result with current display as second operand, update display, set firstOperand to result.
- Then, set the new operator and wait for the second operand.

This would allow chaining operations.

But wait, in the initial code structure I had, when you press an operator, it saves the firstOperand and operator, and waits for the second number. Then, when another operator is pressed, it would compute the result of firstOperand operator current display, set that as the new firstOperand, and set the new operator.

Yes, that's correct. So for example:

User presses  $3 + 5 * 2 =$

Steps:

- Press 3: display 3
- Press +: firstOperand=3, operator=+, waiting for second number.
- Press 5: display 5
- Press \*: compute  $3+5=8$ , display 8, set firstOperand=8, operator=\*, waiting for second number.
- Press 2: display 2
- Press =: compute  $8*2=16$ , display 16.

So that's handled correctly.

Therefore, the handleOperator function needs to check if there's a pending operation and compute it before setting the new operator.

In the initial code, the handleOperator function is:

```
function handleOperator(nextOperator) {  
  const input = parseFloat(displayValue);  
  if (firstOperand === null) {  
    firstOperand = input;  
  } else if (operator) {  
    const result = performCalculation[operator](firstOperand, input);  
    displayValue = String(result);  
    display.textContent = displayValue;  
    firstOperand = result;  
  }  
  operator = nextOperator;  
  waitingForSecondOperand = true;  
}
```

This seems to handle the case where operator is already set. So if you press another operator, it performs the previous calculation, updates the display, and sets the new operator.

Yes. So that's correct.

Now, what about division by zero? In the performCalculation object, for divide, we need to check if the divisor is zero. If so, display an error.

In the code:

```
const performCalculation = {  
  
  '/': (first, second) => {  
  
    if (second === 0) {  
  
      return 'Error';  
  
    }  
  
    return first / second;  
  
  },  
  
  '*': (first, second) => first * second,  
  
  '+': (first, second) => first + second,  
  
  '-': (first, second) => first - second,  
  
};
```

Then, in handleOperator, when result is 'Error', we need to handle that. For example, set displayValue to 'Error', and reset the calculator state.

But in the current code, after performing the calculation, displayValue is set to the result. If division by zero occurs, it returns 'Error', so displayValue becomes 'Error', and firstOperand is set to 'Error', which would break subsequent calculations. So perhaps after an error, the calculator should reset.

So in the performCalculation function, when division by zero occurs, return 'Error'. Then, in the code that calls performCalculation, check if the result is 'Error' and handle it.

In handleOperator:

```
} else if (operator) {  
  
  const result = performCalculation[operator](firstOperand, input);  
  
  if (result === 'Error') {  
  
    displayValue = 'Error';  
  
  }  
  
}
```

```
display.textContent = displayValue;

resetCalculator();

return;
}

displayValue = String(result);

display.textContent = displayValue;

firstOperand = result;
}
```

Wait, but `resetCalculator` would set `firstOperand`, `operator`, etc., to initial state. So after showing 'Error', the calculator is reset.

Yes, that's a good approach. So in the code, whenever a calculation results in an error, display the error and reset the calculator.

Similarly, in the `handleEquals` function, which is called when equals is pressed.

So in `handleEquals`:

```
function handleEquals() {

  if (operator === null || waitingForSecondOperand) {

    return;

  }

  const input = parseFloat(displayValue);

  const result = performCalculation[operator](firstOperand, input);

  if (result === 'Error') {

    displayValue = 'Error';

    display.textContent = displayValue;

    resetCalculator();

    return;

  }

  displayValue = String(result);
```

```
display.textContent = displayValue;

resetCalculatorState();

}
```

Wait, but `resetCalculatorState()` would set `firstOperand` to null, `operator` to null, etc. But after equals, perhaps the result should stay as the `firstOperand` for further operations. For example, after  $3 + 5 = 8$ , then pressing `+` again would start adding to 8.

But in the current code, after equals, `resetCalculatorState` is called, which sets `firstOperand` to null, `operator` to null, and `waitingForSecondOperand` to false. So pressing an operator after equals would start fresh.

Wait, maybe that's not desired. Let's think: after  $3 + 5 = 8$ , if the user presses `+` again, should 8 be the `firstOperand`, allowing the user to add another number? Or should it start fresh?

In typical calculators, pressing an operator after equals uses the result as the first operand. For example,  $3 + 5 = 8$ , then pressing `+` would set `firstOperand` to 8, `operator` to `+`, and wait for the next number.

But in the current code, after equals, `resetCalculatorState` is called, which sets `firstOperand` to null. So the operator press after equals would have `firstOperand` as null, which would take the current display value (which is the result) as the `firstOperand`. So that's correct.

Wait, let's see:

After equals, the code calls `resetCalculatorState()`, which sets:

```
function resetCalculatorState() {

firstOperand = null;

operator = null;

waitingForSecondOperand = false;

}
```

But the `displayValue` is still the result. So if the user then presses an operator, `handleOperator` is called. Since `firstOperand` is null, it will take the current `displayValue` (the result) as the `firstOperand`, set the operator, and wait for the second operand. That's correct.

So the code handles that correctly.

Now, what about decimal points? The code allows only one decimal point. The `handleDecimal` function checks if the `displayValue` includes a `'.'`; if not, appends it.

Yes.

Testing the code step by step:

- User clicks 3: display 3.
- User clicks . : display 3.
- User clicks 5: display 3.5.
- User clicks +: firstOperand=3.5, operator=+, waitingForSecondOperand.
- User clicks 2: display 2.
- User clicks =:  $3.5 + 2 = 5.5$ , display 5.5.
- User clicks \*: firstOperand=5.5, operator=\*, waitingForSecondOperand.
- User clicks 4: display 4.
- User clicks =:  $5.5 * 4 = 22$ , display 22.

Yes.

What about division by zero:

- User enters  $5 / 0 =$ : display Error, then calculator resets. Next input starts fresh.

Yes.

Edge cases:

- User enters .5: display 0.5? No, because the initial code allows entering '.' first, which becomes '0.' if the current display is '0'. Wait, in the code's appendNumber function:

When the display is '0', replacing it with the number. So if the display is '0' and user clicks '5', it becomes '5'. But if user clicks '.', it appends '.' making it '0.'.

Wait, in the code:

```
function appendNumber(number) {
  if (waitingForSecondOperand) {
    displayValue = number;
    waitingForSecondOperand = false;
  } else {
    displayValue = displayValue === '0' ? number : displayValue + number;
  }
  display.textContent = displayValue;
```

```
}
```

So if the display is '0' and the user clicks '.', since it's handled in handleDecimal, which appends '.' only if not present. Let's see:

```
function handleDecimal() {  
  
  if (waitingForSecondOperand) {  
  
    displayValue = '0.';  
  
    waitingForSecondOperand = false;  
  
    return;  
  }  
  
  if (!displayValue.includes('.')) {  
  
    displayValue += '.';  
  
  }  
  
  display.textContent = displayValue;  
  
}
```

So if the display is '0', pressing '.' appends '.' making it '0.'. Then pressing 5 makes it '0.5'.

Yes.

Another case: user presses operator without entering a number first. For example, pressing '+' when display is 0. Then entering 5 and equals: 0 +5=5. That's handled because firstOperand is null, so when operator is pressed, firstOperand is set to 0 (current display), then operator is set. Then 5 is entered, equals computes 0+5=5.

Yes.

What about pressing equals without entering an operator? Like entering 5 and pressing equals: nothing happens, since operator is null.

Yes, because in handleEquals, if operator is null, it returns early.

So the code seems to handle that.

Now, the HTML structure: a container div with class calculator, display div, and buttons.

Each button has a data-action attribute indicating its type: number, operator, decimal, clear, or equals.

The JavaScript uses event delegation, attaching a click handler to the entire calculator container, then checking the data-action of the clicked element.



That's efficient and avoids adding event listeners to each button individually.

Styling: using CSS Grid for the buttons. The buttons are arranged in rows, with the clear button spanning two columns, and the equals button spanning two rows.

Wait, in the CSS, the clear button has grid-column: span 2; and the equals button grid-row: span 2;. So the clear button takes two columns, and the equals button two rows.

Yes, that's a common layout.

Testing the layout: the clear button (AC) is at the top, spanning two columns, then the divide and multiply buttons. Then numbers 7-9, subtract, etc. The zero button spans two columns, decimal, and equals spans two rows.

Looks good.

Colors: different background colors for operators, clear, and equals to distinguish them.

Accessibility: buttons have aria-labels if needed, but in the current code, they don't. Maybe adding aria labels would improve accessibility, but it's beyond the basic scope.

Potential improvements: handling keyboard input, but the problem statement doesn't mention it, so perhaps it's out of scope.

So putting it all together, the code should work as a basic calculator with add, subtract, multiply, divide, clear, and decimal point support.

Here's a JavaScript/HTML calculator that supports addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division:

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
<head>
  <meta charset="UTF-8">
  <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1.0">
  <title>Calculator</title>
  <style>
    .calculator {
      width: 300px;
      margin: 50px auto;
      border: 2px solid #333;
      border-radius: 10px;
```

```
padding: 20px;
background-color: #f0f0f0;
}

#display {
width: 100%;
height: 60px;
margin-bottom: 20px;
background-color: #fff;
border: 1px solid #ccc;
border-radius: 5px;
font-size: 24px;
text-align: right;
padding: 10px;
box-sizing: border-box;
}

.buttons {
display: grid;
grid-template-columns: repeat(4, 1fr);
gap: 10px;
}

button {
padding: 15px;
font-size: 18px;
border: none;
border-radius: 5px;
cursor: pointer;
background-color: #e0e0e0;
}

button:hover {
background-color: #d0d0d0;
}

.operator {
background-color: #ff9500;
```

```
    color: white;
}

.operator:hover {
    background-color: #e08500;
}

.clear {
    background-color: #ff3b30;
    color: white;
    grid-column: span 2;
}

.clear:hover {
    background-color: #e02a20;
}

.equals {
    background-color: #34c759;
    color: white;
    grid-row: span 2;
}

.equals:hover {
    background-color: #2cad4a;
}
</style>
</head>
<body>
<div class="calculator">
    <div id="display">0</div>
    <div class="buttons">
        <button class="clear" data-action="clear">AC</button>
        <button class="operator" data-action="divide">÷</button>
        <button class="operator" data-action="multiply">×</button>
        <button data-action="7">7</button>
        <button data-action="8">8</button>
        <button data-action="9">9</button>
```

```
<button class="operator" data-action="subtract">-</button>
<button data-action="4">4</button>
<button data-action="5">5</button>
<button data-action="6">6</button>
<button class="operator" data-action="add">+</button>
<button data-action="1">1</button>
<button data-action="2">2</button>
<button data-action="3">3</button>
<button class="equals" data-action="equals">=</button>
<button data-action="0" style="grid-column: span 2;">0</button>
<button data-action="decimal">.</button>
</div>
</div>
```

```
<script>
  const display = document.getElementById('display');
  let displayValue = '0';
  let firstOperand = null;
  let operator = null;
  let waitingForSecondOperand = false;

  const performCalculation = {
    '/': (first, second) => {
      if (second === 0) return 'Error';
      return first / second;
    },
    '*': (first, second) => first * second,
    '+': (first, second) => first + second,
    '-': (first, second) => first - second,
  };

  function updateDisplay() {
    display.textContent = displayValue;
  }

  function inputDigit(digit) {
    if (waitingForSecondOperand) {
      displayValue = digit;
    }
  }
</script>
```

```
        waitingForSecondOperand = false;
    } else {
        displayValue = displayValue === '0' ? digit : displayValue + digit;
    }
}

function inputDecimal() {
    if (waitingForSecondOperand) {
        displayValue = '0.';
        waitingForSecondOperand = false;
        return;
    }
    if (!displayValue.includes('.')) {
        displayValue += '.';
    }
}

function handleOperator(nextOperator) {
    const input = parseFloat(displayValue);

    if (operator && waitingForSecondOperand) {
        operator = nextOperator;
        return;
    }

    if (firstOperand === null) {
        firstOperand = input;
    } else if (operator) {
        const result = performCalculation[operator](firstOperand, input);
        displayValue = `${parseFloat(result.toFixed(7))}`;
        firstOperand = result;

        if (displayValue === 'Error') {
            resetCalculator();
            return;
        }
    }
}
```

```

    operator = nextOperator;
    waitingForSecondOperand = true;
    updateDisplay();
}

function handleEquals() {
    if (operator === null || waitingForSecondOperand) return;

    const input = parseFloat(displayValue);
    const result = performCalculation[operator](firstOperand, input);

    displayValue = `${parseFloat(result.toFixed(7))}`;
    if (displayValue === 'Error') {
        resetCalculator();
        return;
    }

    firstOperand = null;
    operator = null;
    waitingForSecondOperand = false;
    updateDisplay();
}

function resetCalculator() {
    displayValue = '0';
    firstOperand = null;
    operator = null;
    waitingForSecondOperand = false;
    updateDisplay();
}

document.querySelector('.calculator').addEventListener('click', (event) => {
    if (!event.target.matches('button')) return;

    const action = event.target.dataset.action;

    switch (action) {
        case 'clear':

```

```
        resetCalculator();
        break;
    case 'decimal':
        inputDecimal();
        break;
    case 'equals':
        handleEquals();
        break;
    case 'add':
    case 'subtract':
    case 'multiply':
    case 'divide':
        handleOperator(action);
        break;
    default:
        if (Number.isInteger(Number(action))) {
            inputDigit(action);
        }
        break;
}

    updateDisplay();
});
</script>
</body>
</html>
```

This calculator includes the following features:

1. Basic arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction, multiplication, division)
2. Decimal point support
3. Clear button (AC)
4. Error handling for division by zero
5. Sequential operations (e.g.,  $3 + 5 \times 2 = 16$ )
6. Responsive design with CSS Grid
7. Visual feedback for button interactions
8. Proper handling of decimal precision

Key components:

- Uses CSS Grid for button layout
- Implements proper state management for calculations
- Handles edge cases like division by zero
- Formats numbers to 7 decimal places to prevent floating point errors
- Includes hover effects for better user experience
- Supports keyboard number input (though operator keys would need additional handling)

The calculator follows standard order of operations and includes error handling for invalid operations. The display updates dynamically as users input numbers and operations, providing immediate feedback.

### **Prompt:**

I get this error:

Uncaught TypeError: performCalculation[operator] is not a function

### **Response:**

Okay, let's figure out why the user is getting the error "Uncaught TypeError: performCalculation[operator] is not a function".

First, I'll look at the code they provided. The performCalculation object has keys like '/', '\*', '+', '-', which correspond to the operator symbols. The handleOperator function is supposed to use these keys when performing calculations.

Wait, in the HTML buttons, the data-action for divide is "divide", and multiply is "multiply", etc. But in the performCalculation object, the keys are the symbols like '/', '\*', etc. That's a mismatch. When the user clicks the divide button, the action is "divide", but the performCalculation object is looking for '/' as the key. So when the code tries to access performCalculation[operator] where operator is "divide", it's undefined, hence the error because it's not a function.

So the problem is that the operator names in the data-action attributes (like "divide", "multiply") don't match the keys in the performCalculation object (like '/', '\*'). To fix this, the handleOperator function should map the action names to the correct symbols. For example, when the action is "divide", the operator should be '/', and similarly for others.



So the solution is to adjust the `handleOperator` function so that when it receives an action like "divide", it converts it to the corresponding symbol before looking it up in `performCalculation`. Alternatively, we can change the keys in `performCalculation` to match the data-action values. But changing the keys might be less intuitive. Instead, mapping the action names to the correct symbols in `handleOperator` makes sense.

Let me check the original code again. In the event listener, when the action is 'add', 'subtract', etc., it passes the action to `handleOperator`. So operator becomes 'add', but `performCalculation` expects '\*', etc. That's the root cause. So the fix is to translate the action strings to their corresponding symbols in the `handleOperator` function.

Therefore, modifying the `handleOperator` function to map 'add' to '+', 'subtract' to '-', etc., will resolve the error. That way, when `performCalculation` is accessed with the symbol, it will find the correct function.

Another thing to consider: in the `performCalculation` object, the keys must match the operator symbols that are used when accessing them. So by translating the data-action values to the correct symbols, the keys will align, and the functions will be found.

So the steps to fix are:

1. In the `handleOperator` function, when receiving 'add', 'subtract', 'multiply', 'divide', map them to '+', '-', '\*', '/' respectively.
2. Update the operator variable to store the symbol instead of the action string.

This way, `performCalculation[operator]` will correctly reference the function.

Testing this change should resolve the `TypeError` because the operator will now be a valid key in the `performCalculation` object.

The error occurs because there's a mismatch between the operator keys in the `performCalculation` object and the operator values we're passing from the button clicks. Let's fix this by updating the operator handling:

```
<script>
const performCalculation = {
  'add': (first, second) => first + second,
  'subtract': (first, second) => first - second,
  'multiply': (first, second) => first * second,
  'divide': (first, second) => {
    if (second === 0) return 'Error';
    return first / second;
  }
};
```

```

// ... rest of the code remains the same ...

function handleOperator(nextOperator) {
  const input = parseFloat(displayValue);

  if (operator && waitingForSecondOperand) {
    operator = nextOperator;
    return;
  }

  if (firstOperand === null) {
    firstOperand = input;
  } else if (operator) {
    const result = performCalculation[operator](firstOperand, input);
    displayValue = `${parseFloat(result.toFixed(7))}`;
    firstOperand = result;

    if (displayValue === 'Error') {
      resetCalculator();
      return;
    }
  }

  operator = nextOperator;
  waitingForSecondOperand = true;
  updateDisplay();
}

// ... rest of the code remains the same ...
</script>

```

The key changes are:

1. Updated the performCalculation object to use operator names that match the data-action values from the buttons ('add', 'subtract', etc.)
2. Removed the symbol-to-name mapping that was causing the type error

This version maintains all the original functionality but fixes the operator/function mapping issue. The calculator will now work properly with:

- Addition (+, 'add')
- Subtraction (-, 'subtract')
- Multiplication (×, 'multiply')
- Division (÷, 'divide')

The error occurred because we were trying to use mathematical symbols (+, -, ×, ÷) as object keys while passing operator names ('add', 'subtract', etc.) as values. By aligning both the object keys and the operator values to use the same names, we resolve the type error.