

6. Conclusion

In this project, we attempted to predict time bucket or tag for when an image was taken. The best performing algorithms were Support Vector Machines and AlexNet, not the deeper network, the VGGNet, that we assumed would outperform most other models. This suggests that for our dataset, basic feature metrics such as color palettes were much more valuable in predicting the time taken for a picture as opposed to subtler and more complex detailed features that deeper networks are best known for. The most limiting challenge was the dataset, which was not as diverse as we had hoped and contained some glaring mislabelings.

Future work should involve collecting correctly labeled dataset which has accurate EXIF data with time adjusted to the local timezone where the image was taken. It would be interesting to use a model which is pretrained on the places dataset from MIT [11]. In this project we tested individual models on our dataset, it will be interesting to train an ensemble of models on the dataset. The images taken at the same time would be different for different locations. For example, a photo taken at 7 AM in California will be very different from an image taken at 7 AM in Greenland. Weather also plays an important role as a cloudy photograph might be darker and has a good chance of getting classified as evening or night. The dataset can also include geolocation and weather information to better guide the classifier. This problem is very challenging and an open question to the research community. More accurate data with thoughtful strategies can improve the results provided in this paper.

7. References

- [1] Weyand, Tobias, Ilya Kostrikov, and James Philbin. "PlaNet-Photo Geolocation with Convolutional Neural Networks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.05314 (2016).
- [2] Denton, Emily, et al. "User Conditional Hash-tag Prediction for Images." Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. ACM, 2015.
- [3] Zhang, Hong et al. "Perceptual Contrast Enhancement with Dynamic Range Adjustment." *Optik* 124.23 (2013): 10.1016/j.ijleo.2013.04.046. PMC. Web. 14 Mar. 2016.
- [4] Stefan van der Walt, Johannes L. Schönberger, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, François Boulogne, Joshua D. Warner, Neil Yager, Emmanuelle Gouillart, Tony Yu and the scikit-image contributors. *scikit-image: Image processing in Python*. PeerJ 2:e453 (2014)
- [5] Kingma, Diederik, and Jimmy Ba. "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization." arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980 (2014).
- [6] Srivastava, Nitish, et al. "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting." *The Journal of*

Machine Learning Research 15.1 (2014): 1929-1958.

- [7] Krizhevsky, Alex, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2012.

[9] Abadi, M. et al. (2015). *TensorFlow: Large-Scale Machine Learning on Heterogeneous Distributed Systems*.

- [10] J.D. Hunter, "Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment," *Computing in Science and Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2007, pp. 90-95.

[11] B. Zhou, A. Lapedriza, J. Xiao, A. Torralba, and A. Oliva. "Learning Deep Features for Scene Recognition using Places Database." *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 27 (NIPS), 2014.