

replication

Michelle Gao

April 1, 2020

Table 1: Technology Entrepreneurs More Likely to Oppose Regulation of Technology, and Less Likely to Oppose of Other Industries, But So Are Other Democrats

	DV = "Government regulation of [CATEGORY] does more harm than good." (1–4 scale)			
	Technology Entrepreneurs	Democratic Donors	Democratic Partisans	All Three Groups
Treatments				
"the technology industry"	0.28** (0.11)	0.46*** (0.07)	0.19** (0.09)	0.28** (0.11)
"the financial industry (such as banks)"	−0.50*** (0.11)	−0.32*** (0.08)	−0.20** (0.09)	−0.50*** (0.12)
"the pharmaceutical industry"	−0.37*** (0.11)	−0.08 (0.07)	−0.10 (0.08)	−0.37*** (0.11)
Sample Dummies (Tech.Entrepreneurs = Base Category)				
Democratic Donors				−0.94*** (0.10)
Democrats (Mass Public)				−0.03 (0.10)
Treatment x Sample Interactions				
Technology × Democratic Donors				0.18 (0.14)
Technology × Democrats (Mass Public)				−0.09 (0.14)
Finance × Democratic Donors				0.19 (0.14)
Finance × Democrats (Mass Public)				0.30** (0.14)
Pharmaceuticals × Democratic Donors				0.29** (0.14)
Pharmaceuticals × Democrats (Mass Public)				0.27* (0.14)
Constant (Base Category = Tech. Entrepreneurs' Responses When No Industry Is Specified)	2.61*** (0.08)	1.67*** (0.05)	2.58*** (0.06)	2.61*** (0.08)
Observations	439	846	817	2,102
R ²	0.13	0.12	0.02	0.25

Standard errors are in parentheses.

Note:

*** $p < .001$, ** $p < .01$, * $p < .05$ (two-tailed).

##

Call:

```
## lm(formula = pref_for_private ~ sampletext, data = survey)
##
## Residuals:
## <Labelled double>: how money is spent - Where the government spends the money but the private secto
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.5992 -0.8165  0.1835  1.2916  5.2916
##
## Labels:
##   value          label
##     11      Strongly support
##     12      Somewhat support
##     13 Neither support nor oppose
##     14      Somewhat oppose
##     15      Strongly oppose
##
## Coefficients:
##                                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                   0.44041    0.08135   5.414 6.65e-08 ***
## sampletextDemocratic Donors    -1.73198    0.09846 -17.591 < 2e-16 ***
## sampletextDemocrats (Mass Public) -0.62391    0.09881  -6.314 3.12e-10 ***
## sampletextRepublican Donors     1.15878    0.13022   8.898 < 2e-16 ***
## sampletextRepublicans (Mass Public) -0.04603    0.10193  -0.452  0.652
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.598 on 2947 degrees of freedom
## (426 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.224, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2229
## F-statistic: 212.6 on 4 and 2947 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = gov_goodjob ~ sampletext, data = survey)
##
## Residuals:
## <Labelled double>: Government does a good job running social programs
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1.83614 -0.36634 -0.04734  0.63366  2.69291
##
## Labels:
##   value          label
##      1      Strongly agree
##      2      Somewhat agree
##      3 Somewhat disagree
##      4 Strongly disagree
##
## Coefficients:
##                                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                   2.19355    0.04265  51.434 < 2e-16 ***
## sampletextDemocratic Donors     0.64260    0.05132  12.521 < 2e-16 ***
## sampletextDemocrats (Mass Public) 0.17279    0.05154   3.353 0.000811 ***
## sampletextRepublican Donors    -0.88646    0.06695 -13.240 < 2e-16 ***
## sampletextRepublicans (Mass Public) -0.14621    0.05310  -2.753 0.005934 **
## ---
```

```

## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.8226 on 2935 degrees of freedom
## (438 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2136, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2126
## F-statistic: 199.3 on 4 and 2935 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = entrepreneursoomuchcredit ~ sampletext, data = survey)
##
## Residuals:
## <Labelled double>: entrepreneurs too much credit
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -1.96019 -0.62827  0.03981  0.80180  1.85771
##
## Labels:
## value          label
##     1    Strongly agree
##     2    Somewhat agree
##     3 Somewhat disagree
##     4 Strongly disagree
##
## Coefficients:
##                                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                   2.19820    0.03864  56.896  <2e-16 ***
## sampletextDemocratic Donors      0.43007    0.04775   9.007  <2e-16 ***
## sampletextDemocrats (Mass Public) 0.76199    0.04788  15.916  <2e-16 ***
## sampletextRepublican Donors     -0.05591    0.06413  -0.872    0.383
## sampletextRepublicans (Mass Public) 0.76186    0.04938  15.429  <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.8141 on 3064 degrees of freedom
## (309 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.128, Adjusted R-squared:  0.1269
## F-statistic: 112.5 on 4 and 3064 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

```

Table 2: Relative to Democrats, Technology Entrepreneurs Prefer Private- to Public-Sector Management Generally

	Approval of Privately Run Programs (1–5) Minus Approval of Govt-Run Social Programs (1–5)	Govt Does Good Job Running Social Programs (1–4)	Entrepreneurs Get Too Much Credit (1–4)
Dem. Donors	−1.73*** (0.10)	0.64*** (0.05)	0.43*** (0.05)
Dem. (Mass Public)	−0.62*** (0.10)	0.17*** (0.05)	0.76*** (0.05)
Rep. Donors	1.16*** (0.13)	−0.89*** (0.07)	−0.06 (0.06)
Rep. (Mass Public)	−0.05 (0.10)	−0.15*** (0.05)	0.76*** (0.05)
Constant	0.44*** (0.08)	2.19*** (0.04)	2.20*** (0.04)
Observations	2,952	2,940	3,069
R ²	0.22	0.21	0.13

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01