Introduction to Reinforcement Learning

E. Le Pennec

Winter 2019

Outline

Machine Learning



A definition by Tom Mitchell (`http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~tom/`)

A computer program is said to learn from **experience E** with respect to some **class of tasks T** and **performance measure P**, if its performance at tasks in T , as measured by P, improves with experience E.

Source: azavea

News Clustering



A news clustering algorithm:

- **Task**: group article corresponding to the same news
- **Performance**: quality of the clusters
- **Experience**: set of articles

Source: theverge.com

Object Recognition



A detection/recognition algorithm:

- **Task**: say if an object is present or not in the image
- **Performance**: number of errors
- **Experience**: set of previously seen labeled images

Source: Unknown

A Robot that Learns

A robot endowed with a set of sensors and an online learning algorithm:

- **Task**: play football
- **Performance**: score evolution
- **Experience**:
  - current environment and outcome,
  - past games

Source: Rutgers Prep School

Three Kinds of Learning



Unsupervised Learning

- **Task:** Clustering/DR
- **Performance:** Quality
- **Experience:** Raw dataset (No Ground Truth)

Supervised Learning

- **Task:** Prediction
- **Performance:** Average error
- **Experience:** Predictions (Ground Truth)

Reinforcement Learning

- **Task:** Action
- **Performance:** Total reward
- **Experience:** Reward from env. (Interact. with env.)

- **Timing:** Offline/Batch (learning from past data) vs Online (continuous learning)
- **Implicit stationarity assumption!**

Source: A. Wahid

Outline

Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement Learning Setting

- **Env.:** provides a reward and a new state for any action.
- **Agent policy π:** choice of an action A_t from the state S_t.
- **Total reward:** (discounted) sum of the rewards.

Questions

- **Policy evaluation:** how to evaluate the expected reward of a policy knowing the environment?
- **Planning:** how to find the best policy knowing the environment?
- **Reinforcement Learning:** how to find the best policy without knowing the environment?

Outline

The Agent-Environment Interface



Figure 3.1: The agent–environment interaction in a Markov decision process.

MDP

- At time step $t \in \mathcal{N}$:
  - State $S_t \in \mathcal{S}$: representation of the environment
  - Action $A_t \in \mathcal{A}(S_t)$: action chosen
  - Reward $R_{t+1} \in \mathcal{R}$: instantaneous reward
  - New state S_{t+1}
- Dynamic entirely defined by

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_t = s', R_t = r | S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a\right) = p(s', r|s, a)$$

- Finite MDP: $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are finite.

Returns ans Episodes

Date t, gain cumulé futur : $R_{t+1} + R_{t+2} + R_{t+3} + \dots + \infty$

$0 \le \gamma < 1$ $G_t := R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \gamma^3 R_{t+4} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+1+k} \le \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \gamma^k \cdot M = \frac{M}{1-\gamma}$

Return

- (Discounted) Return: $(\gamma \in [0,1])$

$$G_t = \sum_{t'=t+1}^{T} \gamma^{t'-(t+1)} R_{t'} = \sum_{k=0}^{T-(t+1)} \gamma^k R_{t+1+k}$$

$$= R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \gamma^2 R_{t+3} + \dots + \gamma^{T-(t+1)} R_T$$

- Recursive property

$$G_t = R_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1}$$

- Finiteness if $|R_{t'}| \le M$

$$|G_t| \le \begin{cases} (T-t)M & \text{if } T < \infty \\ M \frac{1}{1-\gamma} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Not well defined if $T = \infty$ and $\gamma = 1$.

$\frac{1-\gamma^{T-t}}{1-\gamma} \cdot M$

Policies and Value Functions

Policy and Value Functions

- Policy: $\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}(A_t = a \mid S_t = s)$; si politique π déterministe, alors $\pi(s)$ = action choisie dans l'état s.
- Value function:

$$v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left[G_t | S_t = s\right] = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} \middle| S_t = s\right]$$

($S_t = s$: on suppose $S_t = s$; G_t : la somme)

$v_\pi(s)$ = how much can we expect to gain in the future if starting from s and playing according to policy π?

- Action value function:

$$q_\pi(s,a) = \mathbb{E}_\pi\left[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a\right]$$

ensuite : A_{t+1}, A_{t+2} ... choisis à l'aide de π.

$q_\pi(s,a)$ = same question, but starting from s, playing a, and only then according to policy π?

Two natural problems

- Policy evaluation: compute v_π given π.
- Planning: find $\pi^\star$ such that $v_{\pi^\star}(s) \geq v_\pi(s)$ for all s and π.

- Those objects may not exist in general!
- Can be traced back to the 50's!

Outline

Policy Evaluation by Bellman Backup

Fixed Point Property

- Bellman Equation

$$v_\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s'} \sum_r p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_\pi(s') \right] = \mathcal{T}_\pi(v_\pi)(s)$$

- Linear equation that can be solved.

Ex: rewrite this equation in the special case when both the policy and the rewards are deterministic, that is:

$$\begin{cases} A_t = \pi(S_t) \\ R_{t+1} = r(S_t, A_t) \end{cases}$$

Policy Evaluation by Dynamic Programming

- Fixed point iterative algorithm: $v_{k+1}(s) = \mathcal{T}_\pi(v_k)(s)$

- Converge if $T < \infty$ or $\gamma < 1$.

Planning by Policy Improvement

Policy Improvement Property

- If π' is such that $\forall s, q_\pi(s, \pi'(s)) \geq v_\pi(s)$ then $v_{\pi'} \geq v_\pi$.



- ϵ-greedy improvement among ϵ-policy: classical improvement degraded by picking uniformly the action with probability ϵ

Policy Iteration Algorithm

- Compute v_{π_k} $\left(v_\pi(s) = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s'} \sum_r p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_\pi(s')\right] = \mathcal{T}_\pi(v_\pi)(s)\right)$
- Greedy update:

$$\pi_{k+1}(s) = \operatorname*{argmax}_a q_{\pi_k}(s, a)$$
$$= \operatorname*{argmax}_a \sum_{s', r} p(s', r|s, a) \left(r + \gamma v_{\pi_k}(s')\right)$$

- If $\pi_{k+1} = \pi_k$ after a greedy update, $v_{\pi_{k+1}} = v_{\pi_k} = v_*$.

$v_*(s) := \max_\pi v_\pi(s) = v_{\pi^*}(s)$

- Convergence in finite time in the finite setting.

Planning by Bellman Backup

DP

π^* une politique optimale : $\forall \pi, \forall s, \; v_{\pi^*}(s) \geq v_\pi(s)$

$v_*(s) := v_{\pi^*}(s)$ = gain cumulé (escompté) moyen maximal qu'on peut obtenir partant de s.

Fixed Point Property

- Bellman Equation

$$v_*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} \sum_r p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma v_*(s') \right] = \mathcal{T}_*(v_*)(s)$$



- Linear programming problem that can be solved.

Policy Evaluation by Dynamic Programming

- Iterative algorithm: $v_{k+1}(s) = \mathcal{T}_*(v_k)(s)$

- Converge if $T < \infty$ or $\gamma < 1$.
- Amount to improve the policy after only one step of policy evaluation.

Planning by Bellman Backup

Q-value and enhancement

- Q-value:

$$q_\pi(s,a) = \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma \sum_{a'} \pi(a'|s') q_\pi(s', a') \right]$$

- Easy policy enhancement: $\pi'(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a q_\pi(s, a)$

Fixed Point Property

- Bellman Equation

$$q_*(s,a) = \sum_{s'} \sum_{r} p(s', r|s, a) \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} q_*(s', a') \right] = \mathcal{T}_*(q_*)(s,a)$$

- Linear programming problem that can be solved.

Policy Evaluation by Dynamic Programming

- Iterative algorithm: $q_{k+1}(s,a) = \mathcal{T}_*(q_k)(s,a)$

Generalized Policy Iteration



Generalized Policy Iteration

- Consists of two simultaneous interacting processes:
  - one making a value function consistent with the current policy (policy evaluation)
  - one making the policy greedy with respect to the current value function (policy improvement)

- Stabilizes only if one reaches the optimal value/policy pair.
- Asynchronous update are possible provided every state(/action) is visited infinitely often.
- Very efficient but requires the knowledge of the transition probabilities.

Outline

Reinforcement Learning



Reinforcement Learning - Sutton (98)

- An agent takes actions in a sequential way, receives rewards from the environment and tries to maximize his long-term (cumulative) reward.

Reinforcement Learning

- MDP setting with cumulative reward.
- Planning problem.
- Environment known only through interaction, i.e. some sequences $\cdots S_t A_t R_{t+1} S_{t+1} A_{t+1} \cdots$.

MC Methods

- Back to $v_\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_\pi [G_t | S_t = s]$.
- Monte Carlo:
  - Play several episodes using policy π.
  - Average the returns obtained after any state s.

- Good theoretical properties provided every states are visited asymptoticaly *infinitely often*.

Extensions

- Extension to off-policy setting (behavior policy $b \neq$ target policy π) with importance sampling.
- Extension to planning with policy improvement steps

- No theoretical results for the last case.
- Need to wait until the end of an episode to update anything...

Bootstrap and TD

- Rely on

$$\begin{aligned} v_\pi(s) &= \mathcal{T}_\pi v_\pi(s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[R_{t+1} + \gamma v_\pi(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s\right] \end{aligned}$$

- Temporal Difference: stochastic approximation scheme

$$V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \alpha\left(R_{t+1} + \gamma V(S_{t+1}) - V(S_t)\right)$$

- Update occurs at each time step.
- Can be proved to converge (under some assumption on α)!

- Combine the best of Dynamic Programing and MC.
- Can be written in term of Q:
  $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha\left(R_{t+1} + \gamma Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q(S_t, A_t)\right)$

SARSA and Q Learning

- How to use this principle to obtain the best policy?

SARSA: Planning by Prediction and Improvement (online)

- Update Q following the current policy π

$$Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha \left(R_{t+1} + \gamma Q(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}) - Q(S_t, A_t)\right)$$

- Update π by policy improvement.

- May not converge if one use a greedy policy update

Q Learning: Planning by Bellman Backup (off-line)

- Update Q following the behavior policy b

$$Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha \left(R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a Q(S_{t+1}, a) - Q(S_t, A_t)\right)$$

- No need to use importance sampling correction for depth 1 update.

- Proof of convergence in both cases.

Variations



Figure 8.11: A slice through the space of reinforcement learning methods, highlighting the two of the most important dimensions explored in Part I of this book: the depth and width of the updates.

Depth

- Number of steps in the update. x

Width

- Number of states/actions considered at each step.

Planning and Learning





Figure 8.10: Monte Carlo Tree Search. When the environment changes to a new state, MCTS executes as many iterations as possible before an action needs to be selected, incrementally building a tree whose root node represents the current state. Each iteration consists of the four operations **Selection**, **Expansion** (though possibly skipped on some iterations), **Simulation**, and **Backup**, as explained in the text and illustrated by the bold arrows in the trees. Adapted from Chaslot, Bakkes, Szita, and Spronck (2008).

Planning and Models

- Planning can combine a model estimation (DP) and direct learning (RL).

Real Time Planning

- Planning can be made online starting from the current state.
- Curse of dimensionality: methods are hard to use when the cardinality of the states and the actions are large!

Outline

Value Function Approximation

- **Idea:** replace $v(s)$ by a parametric $\hat{v}(s, \boldsymbol{w})$.
- **Issues:**
  - Which approximation functions?
  - How to define the quality of the approximation?
  - How to estimate $\boldsymbol{w}$?

Approximation functions

- Any parametric (or kernel based) approximation could be used.
- Most classical choice:
  - Linear approximation.
  - Deep Neural Nets...

Approximation Quality



- How define when $\hat{v}(\cdot, \boldsymbol{w})$ is close to v_π (or v_*)

Prediction(/Control)

- Prediction objective:
$$\sum_s \mu(s)(v_\pi(s) - \hat{v}(s, \boldsymbol{w}))^2$$
- Bellman Residual:
$$\sum_s \mu(s)(\mathcal{T}_\pi \hat{v}(s, \boldsymbol{w}) - \hat{v}(s, \boldsymbol{w}))^2$$
or its projection...

- **Issue:** Neither v_π or $\mathcal{T}_\pi$ are known...

Online Gradient and Semi-Gradient

Online Prediction

- SGD algorithm on $\boldsymbol{w}$:
$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_t + \alpha \left(v_\pi(S_t) - \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w}) \right) \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$
- MC approximation (still SGD):
$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_t + \alpha \left(G_t - \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w}) \right) \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$
- TD approximation (not SGD anymore):
$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_t + \alpha \left(R_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{w}_t) - \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w}) \right) \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$

- Deeper or wider scheme possible.

Online Control

- SARSA-like algorithm:
  - Prediction step as previously with the current policy

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_t + \alpha \left(R_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{q}(S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{w}) - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \boldsymbol{w}) \right) \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$

  - ϵ-greedy update of the current policy

Offline Control with Approximation



Figure 12.12: The backup diagram for Watkins's Q(λ). The series of component updates ends either with the end of the episode or with the first nongreedy action, whichever comes first.

Offline Control

- Q-Learning like algorithm:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_t + \alpha \left(R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_a \hat{q}(S_{t+1}, a, \boldsymbol{w}) - \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \boldsymbol{w}) \right) \times \nabla \hat{q}(S_t, A_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$

  with an arbitrary policy b.
- Deeper formulation using importance sampling possible.

- **Issue:** Hard to make it converge in general!

Deadly Triad

Sutton-Barto's Deadly Triad

- **Function Approximation**
- **Bootstrapping**
- **Off-policy training**

Stabilization Tricks

- (Back to policy iteration),
- Memory replay: sample from a set of episodes
- Frozen Q: use the previous weights in the max
- Clip/normalize rewards...

Actor-Critic

- Other approach with a **parametric policy**.

Actor-Critic

- Simultaneous parameterization of
  - the policy π by $\boldsymbol{\theta}$,
  - the value function s by $\boldsymbol{w}$
- Simultaneous update:

$$\delta_t = R_t + \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{w}) - \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t+1} + \alpha \delta_t \frac{\nabla \pi(a|S_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\pi(a|S_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} + \alpha \delta_t \nabla \hat{v}(S_t, \boldsymbol{w})$$

- Online approach
- Can be adapted to continuous actions.

Outline

AlphaGo



AlphaGo

- Enhanced MCTS technique using a Deep NN for both the value function and the policy.
- Rollout policy and initial value network by supervised learning on a huge database.
- Enhancement of the value network using Actor/Critic RL on self-play.

AlphaGo



AlphaGo Zero

- No supervised initialization but only self-play.
- Alternate
  - MCTS with a current policy.
  - Gradient descent toward the resulting MCTS policy
- Much shorter training time and better performance!

Outline

References



R. Sutton and A. Barto.
Reinforcement Learning, an Introduction (2nd ed.)
MIT Press, 2018



O. Sigaud and O. Buffet.
Markov Decision Processes in Artifical Intelligence.
Wiley, 2010

M. Puterman.
Markov Decision Processes. Discrete Stochastic Dynamic Programming.
Wiley, 2005

Tor Lattimore and Cs Szepesvári.
Bandit Algorithms.
Cambridge Univeristy Press, 2019

D. Bertsekas and J. Tsitsiklis.
Neuro-Dynamic Programming.
Athena Scientific, 1996

Cs. Szepesvári.
Algorithms for Reinforcement Learning.
Morgan & Claypool, 2010