

Mick Estiler

Acceptance Testing Summary

US1

- Is the intended use of the service intuitive?

Score: 4

- Is the service easy to use?

Score: 3

- Does the service inspire confidence?

Score: 2

- Is the service pleasing to look at?

Score: 2

The registration process is intuitive and the inputs and buttons on the web page are properly named. A separate anchor for the registration link makes it easy to use. However, account registration itself is pretty basic and doesn't include any secure features. There is no SSO and options to register with Google or email, which can lose trust and confidence in the website's security from the user. The service itself is also not too pleasing to look at since the registration page is simply a black web page and could go along better with the theme of celestial bodies.

US2

- Is the intended use of the service intuitive?

Score: 4

- Is the service easy to use?

Score: 4

- Does the service inspire confidence?

Score: 3

- Is the service pleasing to look at?

Score: 2

Login itself is both intuitive and easy. All the inputs used for account login are named well and are not complex. Similar to registration, there is only basic functionality, but it provides password hiding on login which inspires some sort of confidence in the user. However, it is also simply a black web page that does not fit the theme of celestial bodies, so it is not that pleasing to look at.

US3

- Is the intended use of the service intuitive?

Score: 4

- Is the service easy to use?

Score: 3

- Does the service inspire confidence?

Score: 4

- Is the service pleasing to look at?

Score: 4

The planetarium service has inputs that are intuitive. It has a nice welcome page that shows the name of the logged in user. The service, while it is simple and easy to use, could benefit more from having text next to each input box that describes the purpose of each input. The planetarium home page itself is actually incredibly aesthetic, and the celestial bodies and background look well regardless of the HTML structure of the website. The home page itself gives confidence to the user that this is the application they are looking for.

US4

- Is the intended use of the service intuitive?

Score: 2

- Is the service easy to use?

Score: 2

- Does the service inspire confidence?

Score: 3

- Is the service pleasing to look at?

Score: 3

The addition of planets and moons is not so intuitive or easy to use. For example, in order to remove an image that you have added when adding a planet/moon is by clicking on the add an image button and then clicking cancel. This is the only way to remove an image that you have added apart from replacing it, which is not exactly intuitive. Additionally, an alert doesn't show up when providing an incorrect file type, losing points in confidence and intuition.

US5

- Is the intended use of the service intuitive?

Score: 3

- Is the service easy to use?

Score: 4

- Does the service inspire confidence?

Score: 4

- Is the service pleasing to look at?

Score: 3

Removing planets and moons is quite easy as it simply requires inputting the name of the celestial body to be removed and clicking the delete button. However, it is not exactly intuitive

since you would have to click on the moon or planet box and then remove the planet or moon with its name, which some users might not grasp initially as they might think it removes any planet or moon associated with the name (like I did). It does provide immediate feedback on planet/moon removal, which can provide assurance to the user that this part of the service does work.