We will prove that the relation < is asymmetric, i.e., for all x and y, if x < y then it's not the case that y < x.

Let D be our domain of discourse, and let < be a binary relation on D.

We are given two premises: 1. Irreflexivity: For all x in D, it's not the case that x < x. 2. Transitivity: For all x, y, and z in D, if x < y and y < z, then x < z

We will now prove that < is asymmetric:

Let a and b be arbitrary elements of D. We need to show that if a < b, then it's not the case that b < a.

Assume that a < b. We will prove that it's not the case that b < a by contradiction.

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that b < a.

Then we can derive: 1. a < b and b < a (by augmenting our assumptions) 2. a < a (by applying transitivity to the result of step 1)

However, we know from the irreflexivity premise that it's not the case that a < a.

This is a contradiction: we have derived both a < a and $\neg (a < a)$.

Therefore, our assumption that b < a must be false.

Thus, we have shown that if a < b, then it's not the case that b < a.

Since a and b were arbitrary elements of D, we have proven that for all x and y in D, if x < y then it's not the case that y < x, which is the definition of asymmetry.

This concludes the proof that < is asymmetric.