Replication Project

Bianca Zlavog, Iacopo Garizio, Advika Battini, James Lee

24 February, 2020

## Introduction

The chosen article, (Burke, Hsiang, and Miguel 2015), seeks to model the relationship between gross domestic product per capita and climate change. Prior works (Dell, Jones, and Olken 2012) have analysed the dependence of various economic production components on temperature at a micro-level. These works have also hypothesized that the micro-level observations do not translate to macro level changes in wealthy economies. In this paper, the authors attempt to connect the micro and macro-level analyses across rich and poor nations, discovering a non-linear relationship between climate variables such as temperature and precipitation with economic growth. The authors predict a 23% decrease in global economic output by 2100 given “business-as-usual” emissions scenarios, relative to forecasts without climate change. Also of interest is the disproportionate negative economic impacts on lower-income countries which are typically warmer, compared to high-income countries which have lower temperatures; the authors predict slight economic gains for the wealthiest 20% of countries, in contrast with decreases of 75% for the poorest 40% of countries.

The following analysis replicates figures 2 and 3 from the paper. The first of these depicts the non-linear relationship between global temperatures and change in gross domestic product per capita, with incomes peaking around 13 degrees Celsius. This figure also points out where some of the major countries are located on this curve, and how population and economic output are distributed at various levels of temperature. The figure also depicts the abovementioned relationship for rich and poor countries. Countries with GDP less than the 50th percentile are grouped in the poor bracket.

The second figure demonstrates how scenarios of large temperature increases with high economic growth will impact the projected GDP per capita of countries around the world, particularly resulting in a wider distribution than in scenarios without climate change, and disproportionately affecting lower-income countries.

## Reproducibility of the original results

As a preliminary step, we tried reproducing the same figures and results that the authors provide in the paper. Using the author’s publicly available code and suggested procedures (Burke 2015), we managed to obtain the same general shape of Figures 2 and 3 listed in the paper. Nevertheless, some minor details (mainly legends and texts) were missing. These details are merely aesthetical or informative and do not interfere with the general conclusions of the study.

## Analysis process and variations


### Country classification definition


The paper, being consistent with “Climate change and economic growth: evidence from the last half century” (Dell, Jones, and Olken 2008), groups countries as rich and poor based on the 50th percentile of GDP at purchasing power parity (PPP). The implication of this classification has some effect on the assumptions the paper makes, so we will use an income classification threshold based on World Bank data (Bank 2019). We used the World Bank classifications of “low income” and “upper middle income” to recalculate the percentile that defines poor countries. The new percentile calculated is 42.  
Given the similar curves of GDP per capita in response to a change in annual average temperatures for rich and poor countries, this change should not have a high impact on the main conclusions of the paper.

### Bootstrap randomization

To replicate the same figures from the paper, the author uses a seed for each bootstrap regression. To verify that the seed was not causing the process to generate non-representative results, we changed it to a new value. In a robust and well-performed simulation and estimation, the seed chosen should not have a noticeable impact on the conclusions.

## Figures obtained





### Conclusions

We have shown there is substantial evidence for the replication of the authors’ results in figures 2 and 3 of the paper. The original figures from the paper were reproducible with relative ease. Furthermore, we were able to modify the classification percentiles of rich and poor countries as well as the seed in the bootstrapping process and still observe nonlinear effects of temperature on economic output in both rich and poor countries. These modifications did not produce large changes to the results obtained or the output figures, indicating that the methods used by the original authors were fairly robust.

# References cited

Bank, World. 2019. “World Bank Country Classification.” ["http://databank.worldbank.org/data/download/site-content/CLASS.xls"](%22http://databank.worldbank.org/data/download/site-content/CLASS.xls%22).

Burke, Marshall. 2015. “Replication Data for Burke, Hsiang, Miguel 2015.” Stanford Digital Repository.

Burke, Marshall, Solomon M Hsiang, and Edward Miguel. 2015. “Global Non-Linear Effect of Temperature on Economic Production.” *Nature* 527 (7577). Nature Publishing Group: 235–39.

Dell, Melissa, Benjamin F Jones, and Benjamin A Olken. 2008. “Climate Change and Economic Growth: Evidence from the Last Half Century.” National Bureau of Economic Research.

———. 2012. “Temperature Shocks and Economic Growth: Evidence from the Last Half Century.” *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 4 (3): 66–95.