

GAUSSIAN MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS

Gaussian Markov Random Fields (GMRF) are useful Gaussian processes for data on regular lattices. These models require the specification of **neighborhood structure**. The simplest such structure is a **first order** or **nearest neighbor**.

GAUSSIAN MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS

Gaussian Markov Random Fields (GMRF) are useful Gaussian processes for data on regular lattices. These models require the specification of **neighborhood structure**. The simplest such structure is a **first order** or **nearest neighbor**.

Definition: Define

$$z_{\partial_i} = \{z_j : i \sim j\}$$

where \sim denotes neighborhood. A GMRF is defined by its full conditionals

$$\pi(z_i | z_{-i}) = \pi(z_i | z_{\partial_i})$$

where $\pi(z_i | z_{\partial_i})$ is a normal distribution. This implies that all the full conditionals of the process are fully specified by the neighbors of a point.

The former definition of a GMRF is a generalization of the autoregressive processes on the real line.

The former definition of a GMRF is a generalization of the autoregressive processes on the real line.

More formally

Definition: A random vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a GMRF with mean μ and precision matrix W iff its density has the form

$$\pi(x) \propto |W|^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)W(x - \mu) \right\}$$

where $W_{ij} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow i \sim j$.

GAUSSIAN MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS

Theorem: Consider a random vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with density given by

$$\pi(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |W|^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (z - \mu)' W (z - \mu) \right\}$$

Then

$$E(z_i | z_{-i}) = \mu_i - \frac{1}{W_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i}^n W_{ij} (z_j - \mu_j)$$

$$\text{Prec}(z_i | z_{-i}) = W_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Corr}(z_i, z_j | z_{-ij}) = -\frac{W_{ij}}{\sqrt{W_{ii} W_{jj}}}$$

GAUSSIAN MARKOV RANDOM FIELDS

Theorem: Consider a random vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with density given by

$$\pi(z) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |W|^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (z - \mu)' W (z - \mu) \right\}$$

Then

$$E(z_i | z_{-i}) = \mu_i - \frac{1}{W_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i}^n W_{ij} (z_j - \mu_j)$$

$$\text{Prec}(z_i | z_{-i}) = W_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Corr}(z_i, z_j | z_{-ij}) = -\frac{W_{ij}}{\sqrt{W_{ii} W_{jj}}}$$

The proof of the theorem can be found in Rue and Held (2005), Chapter 2.

Thus, for $\mu = 0$,

$$\pi(z_i | z_{-i}) = N \left(-\frac{1}{W_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i}^n W_{ij} z_j, \frac{1}{W_{ii}} \right)$$

which implies that the full conditional of z_i depends only on $z_{\partial i}$.

Thus, for $\mu = 0$,

$$\pi(z_i | z_{-i}) = N \left(-\frac{1}{W_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i}^n W_{ij} z_j, \frac{1}{W_{ii}} \right)$$

which implies that the full conditional of z_i depends only on $z_{\partial i}$.

Notice that the variance $\Sigma = W^{-1}$ (if it exists) provides information about the marginal variances, Σ_{ii} and marginal correlations, $\Sigma_{ij} / \sqrt{\Sigma_{ii} \Sigma_{jj}}$. The Precision matrix W provides information about the conditional variances and conditional correlations.

CONDITIONAL AUTOREGRESSIONS (CAR)

Suppose we specify a joint distribution by giving the full conditionals as normals with

$$E(z_i|z_{-i}) = - \sum_{j \neq i}^n \beta_{ij} z_j \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Prec}(z_i|z_{-i}) = \kappa_i > 0$$

This is known as a **Conditional Autoregression**.

Does this correspond to a multivariate normal distribution?

CONDITIONAL AUTOREGRESSIONS (CAR)

Suppose we specify a joint distribution by giving the full conditionals as normals with

$$E(z_i|z_{-i}) = - \sum_{j \neq i}^n \beta_{ij} z_j \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Prec}(z_i|z_{-i}) = \kappa_i > 0$$

This is known as a **Conditional Autoregression**.

Does this correspond to a multivariate normal distribution?

The previous theorem suggests that we define a precision matrix W taking

$$W_{ii} = \kappa_i \quad \text{and} \quad W_{ij} = \kappa_i \beta_{ij}$$

and, for symmetry of W , κ and β need to satisfy

$$\kappa_i \beta_{ij} = \kappa_j \beta_{ji}$$

BROOK'S LEMMA

To answer the question regarding the possibility of specifying a joint distribution through the full conditionals, we need the following lemma.

BROOK'S LEMMA

To answer the question regarding the possibility of specifying a joint distribution through the full conditionals, we need the following lemma.

Lemma: Let $\pi(z)$ be the density of $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let Ω denote the support of $\pi(z)$. Let $z, z' \in \Omega$, then

$$\frac{\pi(z)}{\pi(z')} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\pi(z_i | z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z'_{i+1}, \dots, z'_n)}{\pi(z'_i | z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z'_{i+1}, \dots, z'_n)}$$

As this equality holds for any fixed point z' in the support of $\pi(z)$, then the lemma implies that the joint density of z is specified by the full conditionals. The proof is in Rue and Held (2005).

BROOK'S LEMMA

To see that a CAR model defines a valid GMRF take $z' = 0$, then Brook's lemma implies that

$$\log \pi(z) = \log \pi(0) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i z_i^2 - \sum_{i=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \kappa_i \beta_{ij} z_i z_j$$

a multivariate normal density with precision matrix W .

In practical applications the precision matrix W is specified up to a precision constant λ , so that

$$\pi(z|\lambda) \propto \lambda^{k/2} |W|^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda z' W z \right\}$$

where $|W|$ is defined as the product of the positive eigenvalues of W and k is the rank of W .

LOCALLY LINEAR GMRF

An example of a simple GMRF based on linear dependence is

$$\pi(z_i | z_{-i}) = N(\bar{z}_{\partial_i}, 1/n_i) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \partial_i} (z_i - z_j)^2 \right\}$$

where n_i is the number of neighbors of z_i . This corresponds to

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} n_i & i = j \\ -1 & i \sim j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

POSTERIOR DISTRIBUTION

Observed data are usually noisy versions of GMRF, so a typical likelihood for observations y_i over an n grid must include observational error, and would be

$$L(y|z) \propto |W_y|^{1/2} \exp\{-1/2(y - z)'W_y(y - z)\}$$

where the precision of the observational error is usually assumed to be diagonal.

POSTERIOR DISTRIBUTION

Observed data are usually noisy versions of GMRF, so a typical likelihood for observations y_i over an n grid must include observational error, and would be

$$L(y|z) \propto |W_y|^{1/2} \exp\{-1/2(y - z)'W_y(y - z)\}$$

where the precision of the observational error is usually assumed to be diagonal.

If z follows a GMRF with precision matrix λW , then the posterior distribution of z is

$$\pi(z|y) \sim N(\Lambda^{-1}W_y y, \Lambda^{-1})$$

where $\Lambda = W_y + \lambda W_z$.

POSTERIOR DISTRIBUTION

The posterior distribution is known in closed form, but for large numbers of grid points it can be prohibitive to perform calculations involving the inverse of Λ . The full conditionals of the posterior distribution, assuming $W_y = \lambda_y I$, are easily obtained as

$$\pi(z_i | z_{-1}, y) \sim N \left(\frac{\lambda_y y_i + \lambda_z \sum_{j \in \partial_i} W_{ij} z_j}{\lambda_y + \lambda_z W_{ii}}, \frac{1}{\lambda_y + \lambda_z W_{ii}} \right)$$

INTRINSIC PROCESSES

The locally linear GMRF corresponds to a matrix W that has a negative unit for every neighbor in the off-diagonal and diagonal terms that corresponds to the number of neighbors. This implies that $W\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$. W is singular and $\pi(z) = \pi(z + c\mathbf{1})$ for any c . Which means that the distribution of z is not properly specified. This produces a so called **intrinsic** GMRF.

INTRINSIC PROCESSES

The locally linear GMRF corresponds to a matrix W that has a negative unit for every neighbor in the off-diagonal and diagonal terms that corresponds to the number of neighbors. This implies that $W\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$. W is singular and $\pi(z) = \pi(z + c\mathbf{1})$ for any c . Which means that the distribution of z is not properly specified. This produces a so called **intrinsic** GMRF.

To obtain a properly specified prior for z we can add a small amount to each diagonal element of the precision matrix, so that W is replaced by $W + \delta I$.

PROCESS CONVOLUTIONS

GMRF are natural processes to us as priors for the latent process in a process convolution. In the standard formulation we have that the process convolutions is given as

$$y = Kx + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N(0, 1/\lambda_y I), \quad x \sim N(0, 1/\lambda_x I)$$

we can replace the last assumption by $w \sim GMRF(\lambda_x W)$. This will create dependencies among the latent process. The parameters of the GMRF, λ_x can be used to induce regularity in the process convolution in a way similar to the kernel width.

PROCESS CONVOLUTIONS

Using gamma priors for λ_y and λ_x will produce the posterior distribution

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(x, \lambda_x, \lambda_y | y) &\propto \lambda_y^{a_y + n/2 - 1} \exp\{-\lambda_y(b_y + .5(y - Kx)^T(y - Kx))\} \\ &\quad \times \lambda_x^{a_x + m/2 - 1} \exp\{-\lambda_x(b_x + .5x^T W x)\}\end{aligned}$$