## Fall 16 – AMS276 Homework 3

## Due: Thursday December 1.

## ♣ Instruction

- Please read the paper (posted on our website), the book chapter and the lecture note for CRM.
- This homework is to reproduce part of BCLM Table 3.2. However, we have a different model (power model instead of hyperbolic tangent) and (possibly) different design parameters.
- You may use my CRM code that I shared with you. IF you use my CRM code, make sure that you understand how my code works and modify properly as needed for this homework. (Note: My code may have errors. If you find any, please report!)
- 1. We investigate the performance of CRM under three different scenarios through simulation. For the truth, we assume m = 5 and the probability of DLT are
  - Scenario 1: 0.05, 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.60
  - Scenario 2: 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.50
  - Scenario 3: 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.60, 0.85

For the model, we assume  $d_0 = 0.05$ , 0.15, 0.30, 0.45, 0.60 for each dose level (skeleton) and for patient i,

$$y_i \mid d_i = d, a \sim Ber(p(d)), i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $p(d) = (d_0)^a$ . For the prior, let  $a \sim \text{Gamma}(1,1) = \text{Exp}(1)$ .

Assume 20 and 1 for the maximum number of patients in a trial and the cohort size, respectively. Set TTL  $\pi^* = 0.3$ . Use 100 simulated trials and evaluate the performance using the following criteria;

- percentages of patients treated at the five dose level
- percentage of trials recommending the true MTD as the MTD
- overall percent of DLT
- 2. Change the cohort size from 1 to 3 and the remaining is the same as in 1. Evaluate the performance of CRM using the three criteria and compare it to that of the CRM with cohort size 1 (your results from part 1). Briefly comment on the comparison.