

Problem 1

The DP mixture model

A simulated dataset consisting of $n = 250$ random draws from the mixture of normals $0.2N(-5, 1) + 0.5N(0, 1) + 0.3N(3.5, 1)$ will be analyzed in this problem. We consider the location normal Dirichlet process mixture model

$$f(\cdot|G, \phi) = \int k_N(\cdot|\theta, \phi)dG(\theta), \quad G|\alpha, \mu, \tau^2 \sim DP(\alpha, G_0 = N(\mu, \tau^2))$$

where $k_N(\cdot|\theta, \phi)$ is the density function of a normal distribution with mean θ and variance ϕ . Hence, we are mixing over the location of the normal distribution. The hierarchical version of the model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_i|\theta_i, \phi &\stackrel{ind}{\sim} k_N(y_i|\theta_i, \phi), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ \theta_i|G &\stackrel{iid}{\sim} G, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ G|\alpha, \mu, \tau^2 &\sim DP(\alpha, G_0 = N(\mu, \tau^2)) \\ \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi &\sim p(\alpha)p(\mu)p(\tau^2)p(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

The priors on $\alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi$ are chosen for convenience in the sampling. We will discuss the actual choices in the next section.

Posterior inference is made by sampling from the marginal posterior $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi|\mathbf{y})$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ are the latent mixing parameters and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ are the data. This marginal posterior is found by integrating out the infinite-dimensional parameter G from the full posterior distribution

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi|\mathbf{y}) = \int p(G, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi|\mathbf{y})dG$$

or, rather, by noting that the full posterior may be factored, using Bayes' formula, into a product of the full conditional of G and the marginal posterior

$$p(G, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi|\mathbf{y}) = p(G|\boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi, \mathbf{y})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi|\mathbf{y}).$$

Full conditionals of the marginal posterior

To simulate from $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi|\mathbf{y})$ we iteratively draw from the full conditionals of each parameter $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi$. The expressions for the conditional distributions are based on the Pólya urn representation. Before we present the distributions, we introduce some notation.

Since G is almost surely discrete there will be a clustering among the θ_i s and the Gibbs sampler we employ takes advantage of this fact. The following list describes the notation used throughout this section:

- n^* denotes the number of distinct θ_i s
- θ_j^* , $j = 1, \dots, n^*$ are the distinct θ_i s
- $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is the vector that matches each θ_i to its corresponding θ_j^* , i.e., $w_i = j$ if and only if $\theta_i = \theta_j^*$
- n_j is the size of the j th cluster, $|\{i : w_i = j\}|$, $j = 1, \dots, n^*$

The vectors $(n^*, \mathbf{w}, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_{n^*}^*)$ and $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ are equivalent. The former will simplify the calculations to follow.

For each θ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, the full conditional $p(\theta_i | \{\theta_k : k \neq i\}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi, \mathbf{y})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha q_0}{\alpha q_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^{*-}} n_j^- q_j} h(\theta_i | \mu, \tau^2, \phi, y_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n^{*-}} \frac{n_j^- q_j}{\alpha q_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n^{*-}} n_j^- q_j} \delta_{\theta_j^{*-}}(\theta_i) \\ & = A h(\theta_i | \mu, \tau^2, \phi, y_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n^{*-}} B_j \delta_{\theta_j^{*-}}(\theta_i) \end{aligned}$$

where

- $q_j = k_N(y_i | \theta_j^*, \phi)$,
- $q_0 = \int k_N(y_i | \theta, \phi) g_0(\theta | \mu, \tau^2) d\theta$,
- $h(\theta_i | \mu, \tau^2, \phi, y_i) \propto k_N(y_i | \theta_i, \phi) g_0(\theta_i | \mu, \tau^2)$,
- g_0 is the density of $G_0 = N(\cdot | \mu, \tau^2)$, and
- The superscript “ $-$ ” denotes the appropriate change to n^{*-} , n_j^- , and θ_j^{*-} when omitting θ_i from their calculations.

We update $p(\theta_i | \{\theta_k : k \neq i\}, \alpha, \mu, \tau^2, \phi, \mathbf{y})$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, sequentially by drawing either (1) a new value from h with probability A , or (2) θ_j^* with probability B_j ($A + B_1 + \dots + B_{n^{*-}} = 1$). With each update of θ_i we also update the clustering “parameters” n^* , θ_j^* , and n_j , for $j = 1, \dots, n^*$ (\mathbf{w} is more or less for bookkeeping and isn’t explicitly used in the sampling algorithm).

Note that to use the Gibbs sampler we require conjugacy with k_N and G_0 . Without conjugacy we would have to resort to other methods for updating θ_i , say an algorithm from Neal (2000).

The functional form of q_j is simply a normal density

$$q_j = (2\pi\phi)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\phi} (y_i - \theta_j^*)^2 \right\}$$

We solve for q_0 by integrating out θ (which has a normal kernel) and re-arranging terms to simplify to a nice normal density

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 &= \int (2\pi\phi)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\phi} (y_i - \theta)^2 \right\} (2\pi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\tau^2} (\theta - \mu)^2 \right\} d\theta \\ &= (4\pi^2\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\phi} (y_i - \theta)^2 - \frac{1}{2\tau^2} (\theta - \mu)^2 \right\} d\theta \\ &= (4\pi^2\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\phi\tau^2} [\tau^2 y_i^2 - 2y_i\tau^2\theta + \tau^2\theta^2 + \phi\mu^2 - 2\mu\phi\theta + \phi\theta^2] \right\} d\theta \\ &= (4\pi^2\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\phi\tau^2} [\theta^2(\phi + \tau^2) - 2\theta(\mu\phi + y_i\tau^2)] - \frac{\phi\mu^2 + \tau^2 y_i^2}{2\phi\tau^2} \right\} d\theta \\ &= (4\pi^2\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi\mu^2 + \tau^2 y_i^2}{2\phi\tau^2} \right\} \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi + \tau^2}{2\phi\tau^2} \left[\theta^2 - 2\theta \frac{\mu\phi + y_i\tau^2}{\phi + \tau^2} \right] \right\} d\theta \\ &= (4\pi^2\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi\mu^2 + \tau^2 y_i^2}{2\phi\tau^2} \right\} \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^*} [\theta^2 - 2\theta\mu^* + \mu^{*2} - \mu^{*2}] \right\} d\theta \\ &\quad \text{(where } \mu^* = \frac{\mu\phi + y_i\tau^2}{\phi + \tau^2}, \text{ and } \sigma^* = \frac{\phi\tau^2}{\phi + \tau^2}) \\ &= (4\pi^2\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi\mu^2 + \tau^2 y_i^2}{2\phi\tau^2} \right\} (2\pi\sigma^*)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{\mu^{*2}}{2\sigma^*} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi\phi\tau^2)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\phi\tau^2}{\phi + \tau^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi\mu^2 + \tau^2 y_i^2}{2\phi\tau^2} + \frac{(\mu\phi + y_i\tau^2)^2}{2\phi\tau^2(\phi + \tau^2)} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi(\phi + \tau^2))^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{-(\phi\mu^2 + \tau^2 y_i^2)(\phi + \tau^2) + (\mu\phi + y_i\tau^2)^2}{2\phi\tau^2(\phi + \tau^2)} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi(\phi + \tau^2))^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{-\phi^2\mu^2 - \phi\mu^2\tau^2 - \phi y_i^2\tau^2 - y_i^2\tau^2 + \mu^2\phi^2 + 2\mu\phi y_i\tau^2 + y_i^2\tau^2}{2\phi\tau^2(\phi + \tau^2)} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi(\phi + \tau^2))^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{-\phi\mu^2\tau^2 - \phi y_i^2\tau^2 + 2\mu\phi y_i\tau^2}{2\phi\tau^2(\phi + \tau^2)} \right\} \\ &= (2\pi(\phi + \tau^2))^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{y_i^2 - 2y_i\mu + \mu^2}{2(\phi + \tau^2)} \right\} \\ &= N(y_i | \mu, \phi + \tau^2) \end{aligned}$$

And thus the bookkeeping was worth it. More importantly, note the conjugacy requirement for the integral to be tractable.

The density function $h(\theta_i | \cdot)$ has essentially already been derived when finding q_0 . After dropping all the non θ_i terms, we are left with the part from q_0 that was inside the integral. That is, h is a normal distribution with mean μ^* and variance σ^* given above. This completes the marginal posterior for θ_i .

References

Neal, R. M. (2000), “Markov chain sampling methods for Dirichlet process mixture models,” *Journal of computational and graphical statistics*, 9, 249–265.