Definition. (Constructive approach) A stochastic process W in $C_+(S)$ with constant $\omega_0 > 0$ is a simple Pareto process if W(s) = YV(s), for all $s \in S$, for some Y and $V = \{V(s)\}_{s \in S}$ satisfying:

- a) $V \in C_+(S)$ is a stochastic process satisfying $\sup_{s \in S} V(s) = \omega_0$ almost surely, E[V(s)] > 0 for all $s \in S$,
- b) Y is a standard Pareto random variable, $P(Y > y) = y^{-1}, y > 1$,
- c) Y and V are independent.

See Ferreira and de Haan (2014) for other variants of the definition.

Coefficient of asymptotic dependence. For random variables X_1 and X_2 having common marginal distribution F, let

$$\chi_{12} = \lim_{z \to z_{\perp}} P(X_1 > z | X_2 > z)$$

where z_{+} is the (possibly infinite) right end-point.

For $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $x > \omega_0$, then for i = 1, 2,

$$P(W(s_i) > x) = P(YV(s_i) > x)$$

$$= P\left(Y > \frac{x}{V(s_i)}\right)$$

$$= E_{Y,V(s_i)} \left[\mathbb{1}\left(Y > \frac{x}{V(s_i)}\right)\right]$$

$$= E_{V(s_i)} \left\{E_{Y|V(s_i)} \left[\mathbb{1}\left(Y > \frac{x}{V(s_i)}\right) \middle| V(s_i)\right]\right\}$$

$$= E_{V(s_i)} \left\{P\left(Y > \frac{x}{V(s_i)}\middle| V(s_i)\right)\right\}$$

$$= E_{V(s_i)} \left\{\frac{V(s_i)}{x}\right\} = \frac{E[V(s_i)]}{x}$$

and

$$P(W(s_1) > x, W(s_2) > x) = P(YV(s_1) > x, YV(s_2) > x)$$

$$= P\left(Y > \frac{x}{V(s_1)}, Y > \frac{x}{V(s_2)}\right)$$

$$= P\left(Y > \frac{x}{V(s_1)} \lor \frac{x}{V(s_2)}\right)$$

$$= P\left(Y > x\left(\frac{1}{V(s_1)} \lor \frac{1}{V(s_2)}\right)\right)$$

$$= P\left(Y > x\left(\frac{1}{V(s_1)} \lor \frac{1}{V(s_2)}\right)\right)$$

$$=\frac{E[V(s_1) \wedge V(s_2)]}{x}$$

by using arguments similar in the first set of equations. Then for a simple Pareto process at points $s_1, s_2 \in S$, we have

$$\chi_{12}^{W} = \lim_{x \to \infty} P(W(s_1) > x | W(s_2) > x)$$

$$= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{x E[V(s_1) \land V(s_2)]}{x E[V(s_2)]}$$

$$= \frac{E[V(s_1) \land V(s_2)]}{E[V(s_2)]}$$

This is from Ferreira and de Haan (2014), but with some clarity (for the dummies of the universe) as to how they got to the expectations.

NOTE: This has some issues since the marginals of $W(s_1)$ and $W(s_2)$ need not be the same. Unless conditioning on them both being above a certain value (i.e. 1 or ω_0), they have different marginals (except the degenerate case when $W(s_1) = W(s_2)$ a.s. where they are both standard paretos. We did not explicitly account for this conditioning in the above calculation.

Better χ . From before, we can find the distribution function for $W_i \equiv W(s_i)$, as

$$F_{W_i}(w) = 1 - \frac{E(V_i)}{w}$$

where $V_i \equiv V(s_i)$. Using this fact, we can standardize W_i to be uniform and compute χ in this way

$$\chi_{12}^{W} = \lim_{u \to 1} P(F_{W_1}(W_1) > u | F_{W_2}(W_2) > u)$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} P\left(1 - \frac{E(V_1)}{W_1} > u | 1 - \frac{E(V_2)}{W_2} > u\right)$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} P\left(W_1 > \frac{E(V_1)}{1 - u} | W_2 > \frac{E(V_2)}{1 - u}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} \frac{P\left(W_1 > \frac{E(V_1)}{1 - u}, W_2 > \frac{E(V_2)}{1 - u}\right)}{P\left(W_2 > \frac{E(V_2)}{1 - u}\right)}$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} \frac{P\left(YV_1 > \frac{E(V_1)}{1 - u}, YV_2 > \frac{E(V_2)}{1 - u}\right)}{1 - u}$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} \frac{1}{1 - u} P\left(Y > \frac{E(V_1)}{(1 - u)V_1}, Y > \frac{E(V_2)}{(1 - u)V_2}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} \frac{1}{1 - u} P\left(Y > \frac{E(V_1)}{(1 - u)V_1} \vee \frac{E(V_2)}{(1 - u)V_2}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} \frac{1}{1 - u} P\left(Y > \frac{1}{1 - u} \left(\frac{E(V_1)}{V_1} \vee \frac{E(V_2)}{V_2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \lim_{u \to 1} \frac{1}{1 - u} (1 - u) E \left[\left(\frac{E(V_1)}{V_1} \vee \frac{E(V_2)}{V_2} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
$$= E \left(\frac{V_1}{E(V_1)} \wedge \frac{V_2}{E(V_2)} \right)$$

which is nice and symmetric. Concerns: Are we correctly using the fact that Y is standard Pareto? If we don't explicitly state that x > 1:

$$P(Y > x) = \min(1, 1/x)$$

Another concern goes back to solving the distribution function for W_i . Since V_i is a random variable, we required conditioning on it using iterated expectations to get the desired result. However, it is possible that $P(V_i == 0) > 0$, and so dividing by V_i may pose an issue. This may be circumvented perhaps by conditioning on $V_i > 0$, but how would this affect the calculation?

For x > 0,

$$\begin{split} P(W_i > x) &= P(YV_i > x) \\ &= P(YV_i > x | V_i = 0) P(V_i = 0) + P(YV_i > x | V_i > 0) P(V_i > 0) \\ &= P(0 > x | V_i = 0) P(V_i = 0) + P(YV_i > x | V_i > 0) P(V_i > 0) \\ &= 0 \times P(V_i = 0) + P(YV_i > x | V_i > 0) P(V_i > 0) \\ &= P(YV_i > x | V_i > 0) P(V_i > 0) \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} P(V_i > 0) \times \frac{E(V_i)}{r} \end{split}$$

It is certainly possible in practice to observe a $V_i = 0$. For the joint distribution we may need to condition on $V_1 \wedge V_2 > 0$.