

## The Three-Agent Architecture

You will now build three specialized Python "Agents" that work together to audit a new protocol.

### 1. The Auditor Agent (The "Inspector")

- **Goal:** Scan a new protocol for risks.
- **Python Logic:** Use a **Vector Database** (like ChromaDB or FAISS) to store your `llm_master_analysis.json`. When you input a new protocol, the agent performs a "Similarity Search" to find past FDA letters that match the new protocol's design.
- **Output:** A list of "Red Flags" (e.g., "This sample size is suspiciously similar to the one rejected in FDA Letter X").

### 2. The Biostats Optimizer (The "Expert")

- **Goal:** Provide the math-heavy solution to the Red Flags.
- **Python Logic:** This agent is programmed with "Chain-of-Thought" prompts specifically for **Biostatistics literature** (ICH E9).
- **Functionality:** If the Auditor flags a p-hacking risk, this agent will suggest specific corrections:
  - **Alpha Spending:** "Apply a Bonferroni or O'Brien-Fleming boundary."
  - **Power:** "Increase N from 50 to 128 to achieve  $1-\beta=0.8$ ."
  - **Causal Inference:** "Switch from Per-Protocol to Intent-to-Treat (ITT) analysis."

### 3. The Orchestrator (The "Manager")

- **Goal:** Summarize the conversation into a final report for a human.
  - **Python Logic:** A final LLM call that synthesizes the "Risk" and the "Correction" into a professional PDF or Dashboard.
- 

## The Python Workflow

Here is the technical sequence:

1. **Vectorization:** Convert the JSON findings into "Embeddings" (numerical representations of the text) so the AI can "search" them quickly.
2. **Protocol Parsing:** Write a function to take a `.pdf` or `.docx` clinical protocol and break it into segments (Background, Endpoints, Statistical Plan).
3. **Agent Loop:** \* Send a segment to the **Auditor**.
  - If a flag is raised, pass the flag to the **Optimizer**.
  - Collect the "Optimized" version.

4. **Verification:** Compare the original protocol against the optimized one to ensure the "Guardrails" are met.

## Deep-Dive: The Biostats "Checkpoints"

Emphasize how the agent handles these specific statistical pitfalls:

### 1. P-Hacking & Multiple Comparisons

The agent flags when a protocol lists 10+ secondary endpoints but doesn't specify a **Multiplicity Adjustment** (e.g., Benjamini-Hochberg). This is a top reason for FDA rejection.

### 2. Power & Sample Size Logic

The agent doesn't just check for a number; it checks for the **Effect Size assumptions**. If the protocol expects a 40% improvement (highly optimistic) with only 50 patients, the agent flags "Underpowered/Over-optimistic assumptions."

### 3. Causal Inference & Covariates

The agent looks for the **Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP)** to include **Intent-to-Treat (ITT)** analysis vs. **Per-Protocol**. It also flags if major confounders (like age, baseline severity, or previous treatments) are not listed as covariates in the regression models.

### 4. Representativeness

Using the LLM's reasoning, the agent compares the **Inclusion/Exclusion criteria** against the general population demographics of the disease. If a trial for a disease prevalent in elderly populations excludes anyone over 65, the agent flags a **Generalizability (External Validity) Risk**.

---

## Why this is valuable for a Job Posting:

1. **Domain Expertise:** Shows I understand the high-stakes world of FDA 21 CFR Part 11 and E9 statistical principles.
2. **Agentic Design:** Demonstrates I can build a system that doesn't just "process text" but "reasons through tools."
3. **Risk Mitigation:** Companies love tools that act as a "Pre-submission Gatekeeper," potentially saving them millions in failed filings.