

# ENVELO Requirements

## Enforced Non-Violable Execution-Limit Override

Version 2.0 — February 2026 — Requirements Specification

ENVELO defines runtime enforcement requirements for ODDC conformance. It specifies a three-tier enforcement architecture — self-correction, controlled degradation to Minimum Risk Condition, and hard halt — that constrains autonomous system actions to declared operational boundaries. ENVELO is a requirements specification, not software — multiple implementation approaches may satisfy these requirements.

### 1. Overview

#### 1.1 Relationship to ODDC

ENVELO-compliant enforcement is mandatory for ODDC conformance. An Operational Design Domain specification without runtime enforcement is unverifiable. ENVELO provides the enforcement layer that makes ODD boundaries real and auditable.

#### 1.2 Implementation Responsibility

Sentinel Authority does not implement, operate, or monitor runtime systems. Operators and their implementers are solely responsible for ENVELO-compliant implementation. Sentinel Authority verifies conformance through CAT-72 evidentiary demonstration.

### 2. Core Requirements

The following requirements are mandatory for ENVELO compliance. All requirements must be satisfied; partial compliance does not meet ENVELO specifications.

Requirement	Status	Description
Non-Bypassable Interlock	REQUIRED	All autonomous actions validated before execution. No bypass pathways.
Architectural Isolation	REQUIRED	Enforcement mechanism isolated from decision logic with independent failure modes.
Tiered Enforcement	REQUIRED	Three-tier response: self-correction, MRC degradation, hard halt. See Section 3.

Tamper-Evident Audit	REQUIRED	All enforcement decisions and tier transitions recorded with cryptographic integrity.
Real-Time Validation	REQUIRED	Enforcement at execution time, not periodic audits.

## 2.1 Non-Bypassable Interlock

The foundational ENVELO requirement. The enforcement mechanism must validate all autonomous actions before execution.

### Architectural Isolation Requirements:

- Separate execution context (process, container, or hardware boundary)
- No shared memory or direct variable access with control model
- Communication only through defined interface (action proposals and approval/denial)
- Independent failure modes (interlock failure does not compromise control model)

### No Bypass Pathways:

- All actuator commands must pass through enforcement layer
- No debug, maintenance, or emergency modes that bypass validation
- Hardware interlocks where software bypass is architecturally possible

## 2.2 Tamper-Evident Audit

All enforcement decisions and tier transitions must be recorded with cryptographic integrity:

- Every action proposal logged with timestamp
- Every approval/denial/MRC/halt logged with rationale and tier level
- Hash-chain or similar tamper-evident structure
- Records retained for minimum period specified in scope assessment
- Tier transition events include: timestamp, tier level (0–3), ODD parameter(s), system state, action taken, outcome

### 3. Three-Tier Enforcement Model

ENVELO defines three escalating tiers of enforcement response. Each tier activates based on the system's proximity to and relationship with the declared ODD boundary.

#### Tier 1 — ODD Approach (Self-Correction)

When the system approaches the ODD boundary, its own internal safeguards should detect proximity and self-correct. ENVELO monitors this behavior but does not intervene. The system's ability to self-correct near the boundary is a key indicator of conformance quality.

- System must demonstrate awareness of ODD boundary proximity
- Internal safeguards must activate before the boundary is reached
- Self-correction behavior must be logged for audit
- ENVELO monitors without intervention during Tier 1

#### Tier 2 — ODD Breach (Minimum Risk Condition)

When the system crosses the ODD boundary into the enforcement margin, ENVELO takes over. The enforcement mechanism forces the system to a Minimum Risk Condition (MRC) — the safest achievable state given current context. This is not a hard stop; it is a controlled degradation.

- Manufacturer must declare the MRC for each operational context
- ENVELO must force the system toward MRC immediately upon ODD breach
- The enforcement margin between ODD and ENVELO wall is the controlled degradation window
- MRC must be achievable within the enforcement margin
- All Tier 2 events must be logged with timestamp, context, and MRC outcome

*Example MRCs by domain: Autonomous vehicle pulls to shoulder. Surgical robot retracts instruments. Drone enters holding pattern. Trading system unwinds positions. Grid AI sheds load by priority.*

#### Tier 3 — ENVELO Wall (Hard Halt)

If the system reaches the ENVELO wall — the absolute outer limit — execution is halted. This is the non-violable failsafe. It activates when MRC fails, when MRC was not fast enough, or when the enforcement mechanism itself encounters an error.

- Halt must be instantaneous and non-bypassable
- No system process may override or delay the halt
- System requires full restart after a Tier 3 event
- Uncertainty defaults to Tier 3 — if ENVELO cannot determine state, halt

#### 3.1 Enforcement Margin

The enforcement margin is the space between the declared ODD boundary and the ENVELO wall. This margin is the controlled degradation window where ENVELO has detected a violation and is actively driving the system to MRC. The width must be sufficient for the system to achieve MRC under worst-case conditions.

## 4. Verification

ENVELO compliance is verified through CAT-72 evidentiary demonstration. The test must show:

- Tier 1: Self-correction activates correctly on boundary approach
- Tier 2: MRC achieved within enforcement margin on ODD breach
- Tier 3: Hard halt activates when MRC is insufficient
- No bypass pathways exist (negative testing)
- Audit records generated for all enforcement events and tier transitions

— End of Document —