

## Math 307: Problems for section 4.7

March 25, 2014

1. For  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  do  $AB$  and  $BA$  always have the same eigenvalues? Use MATLAB/Octave to guess an answer and then verify your guess in the special case that one of the matrices, say  $A$ , is invertible. Do they have the same singular values? What happens when  $A$  is  $n \times m$  and  $B$  is  $m \times n$  matrices with  $n \neq m$ ? Guess the answer using MATLAB/Octave.

**Solution:** Using random matrices we compute:

```
> A=rand(3,3);
> B=rand(3,3);
> eig(A*B)
ans =
```

```
    2.7630659
   -0.0035037
    0.1346587
```

```
> eig(B*A)
ans =
```

```
    2.7630659
   -0.0035037
    0.1346587
```

```
> svd(A*B)
ans =
```

```
    2.8103108
    0.2633213
    0.0017616
```

```
> svd(B*A)
ans =
```

```
    2.8859254
    0.3128385
    0.0014439
```

So the singular values are definitely different, but the eigenvalues seem to be the same. To show this when  $A$  is invertible, notice that

$$\det(\lambda I - AB) = \det(A(\lambda I - BA)A^{-1}) = \det(A) \det(\lambda I - BA) \det(A^{-1}) = \det(\lambda I - BA)$$

which shows that  $AB$  and  $BA$  have the same characteristic polynomial, and therefore the same eigenvalues. When  $A$  is  $n \times m$  and  $B$  is  $m \times n$  matrices with  $n \neq m$  then  $AB$  is  $n \times n$  and  $BA$  is  $m \times m$  so the number of eigenvalues is different. Let's see what happens with a random matrix.

```
> A=rand(2,4);
> B=rand(4,2);
> eig(A*B)
ans =
```

```
1.99703
0.19454
```

```
> eig(B*A)
ans =
```

```
1.9970e+00
1.9454e-01
-2.9779e-16
-3.3526e-17
```

It looks like the the extra eigenvalues of the bigger matrix ( $BA$ ) are all zero (to within numerical error) and the non-zero eigenvalues are the same. This is true.

## 2. Suppose the matrix $A$ given by

```
> A
A =
```

```
0.95  0.70  0.10
0.57  0.52  0.25
0.28  0.67  0.76
0.63  0.61  0.30
```

contains measured values that are accurate to within 0.1. Is it possible that the "real" matrix  $AA$  (i.e., without errors) has a non trivial null space? If so, what is a good approximation for this matrix and for a basis of its null space? Verify that the vector(s) you have found are in the null space of the matrix  $AA$  you have found.

**Solution:** Computing the singular value decomposition gives

```
> [U S V]=svd(A)
U =
```

```
-0.594331 -0.556675 -0.385668 -0.433756
-0.427240 -0.080920  0.894265 -0.105867
-0.474473  0.825062 -0.181327 -0.247525
-0.488991 -0.053268 -0.136643  0.859872
```

```
S =
```

Diagonal Matrix

```
1.894008      0      0
```

```

0    0.660877    0
0        0    0.013282
0        0        0

```

V =

```

-0.65948 -0.57122  0.48866
-0.66229  0.13398 -0.73717
-0.35562  0.80979  0.46667

```

The smallest singular value is smaller than the measurement error, so we may set it to zero in  $S$  and then recompute  $USV^T$  to find an approximation with a non trivial null space spanned by the last column of  $V$ .

```
> S(3,3)=0
```

S =

Diagonal Matrix

```

1.89401    0    0
0    0.66088    0
0        0    0.00000
0        0    0

```

```
> AA=U*S*V'
```

AA =

```

0.95250  0.69622  0.10239
0.56420  0.52876  0.24446
0.28118  0.66822  0.76112
0.63089  0.60866  0.30085

```

```
> AA*V(:,3)
```

ans =

```

1.2882e-16
7.3672e-17
-4.2934e-17
6.7099e-17

```