

Notes for Cryptography

Professor Brian Sittinger

1 Introduction

HW problem 6

$$\mathbb{Q}_P = \{a/b \mid b \nmid a, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$$

this is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . A:

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{(p)})^* = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid p \nmid a, b \right\}$$

B: Only irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}_{(p)}$ come from factors whose num are divisible by p .
Therefore

$$p^k m/n, p \nmid m, n$$
$$k = 1$$

for irreducible s .

2 Chapter 5 — Factorization into Prime Ideals

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{5})(1 - \sqrt{5})$$

Is a non-unique factorization into irreducibles. To restore factors, we will pass to prime ideals.

Let I be a proper ideal in a ring R . Then we define I as a *prime ideal* iff whenever

$$JK \subseteq I$$

for some ideals $J, K \subseteq R$, we have $J \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$. Furthermore, we define I to be *maximal* iff there are no ideals strictly between I and R .

If R is an integral domain (no zero divisors and $ab = 0 \implies a = 0$ or $b = 0$) then

$$\langle p \rangle \text{ is a prime ideal} \iff p \text{ is prime or } p = 0$$

The only ideals in a field F are $\{0\}$ or $\langle 1 \rangle = F$. Lemma: let I be an ideal of R . Then I is maximal iff R/I is a field. Also, iff I is prime, R/I is an integral domain. A corollary of this is that maximal ideals are prime. The converse is not necessarily true. For example $\langle x \rangle$ is prime in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ but not maximal. Note that

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

We may now approach the following theorem. \mathcal{O} is a “Dedecand Domain.” That is, \mathcal{O} is an integral domain with field of fractions K . One example of this is \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} . Furthermore, \mathcal{O} is Noetherian. Additionally, if $\alpha \in K$ satisfies a monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ (with coefficients in \mathcal{O}) then $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. That is \mathcal{O} is “integrally closed.” For example

$$\mathbb{Z}[-\sqrt{3}] \neq \mathcal{O} \text{ but } \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}\right] = \mathcal{O}_k$$

Every nonzero prime ideal of \mathcal{O} is maximal (within Dedecand Domains). This final statement is basically theorem 2.10 from the book. I prove it below: let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of \mathcal{O} . Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$ be nonzero. Let $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. So $N := N(\alpha) = \alpha_1(\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n) \in \mathfrak{p}$ where $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are the conjugates of α . So $\langle n \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p} is finite because $\mathcal{O}/\langle p \rangle$ is finite, because $\mathcal{O}/\langle N \rangle$ is finite of order N^n and $\langle N \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Moreover \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain. Therefore \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p} is a field (because finite integral domains are fields). And so we see that \mathfrak{p} is maximal as required.

Some reminders:

1. $\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{b} \iff \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals.
2. $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = \{a + b | a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b}\}$
3. $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k | a_k \in \mathfrak{a}, b_k \in \mathfrak{b}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the finitely generated case,

$$\mathfrak{a} = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j \rangle$$

$$\mathfrak{b} = \langle b_1, \dots, b_l \rangle$$

$$\text{Then } \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \langle a_i b_k | i = 1, \dots, j, k = 1, \dots, l \rangle.$$

We now move onto our unique factorization theorem. Every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{O}$ different from $\langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle$ admits a unique factorization $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \dots \mathfrak{p}_r$ into prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ (see pages 107-110). Which is unique up to order of the factors. The proof is in the book, but I give a sketch here. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \neq \langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle$. Then there exist prime ideals in \mathcal{O} such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. For an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ we define $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \{x \in K | x\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}$. This is called a “Fractional Ideal.” Check $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{a} = \langle 1 \rangle = 0$. If

$$\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}$$

If $\mathfrak{a} \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{a}S \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for any subset S of K . Then $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. \mathfrak{p} maximal, $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$. For all nonzero \mathfrak{a} , $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Define the fractional ideal of K as follows. A finitely generated \mathcal{O} submodule $\mathfrak{A} \neq \{0\}$ of K iff there does not exist non-zero $c \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $c\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O} . Every fractional ideal \mathfrak{a} has an inverse \mathfrak{a}^{-1} such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}$. Note that J is the set of all fractional ideals of K is an Abelian group. Every nonzero \mathfrak{a} is a product of prime ideals. Thus,

the prime factorization is unique. Furthermore, fractional ideals have unique factorization.

An example: $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ revisited. Let $\mathfrak{p} = \langle 2, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{q} = \langle 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{r} = \langle 3, 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle$. We claim that the ideals are maximal and so prime. Note that for \mathfrak{p}

$$|\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]/\langle 2 \rangle| = 4$$

Since $\langle 2 \rangle \not\subseteq \langle 2, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$

$$|\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]/\mathfrak{p}|$$

has order *dividing* 4 by Lagrange theorem. The only possibility for

$$|\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]/\mathfrak{p}|$$

is 2 because

$$\langle 2 \rangle \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ and can't be } \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]/\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, is a field. In other words, a ring with p elements with prime p is a field, and so that \mathfrak{p} is maximal since $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is a dedecand domain.

Our second claim is that these ideals are *not* principle. Suppose that \mathfrak{p} are not principle. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = \langle a + b\sqrt{-5} \rangle$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\langle 1, 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle$. So $2 = (a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ and $1 + \sqrt{-5} = (a + b\sqrt{-5})(m + n\sqrt{-5})$. Take the norms to get

$$N(2) = 2^2(a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2)$$

$$N(1 + \sqrt{-5}) = (a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2)$$

Thus

$$(a^2 + 5b^2) \mid 4 \text{ and } (a^2 + 5b^2) \mid 6$$

and so

$$(a^2 + 5b^2) \mid 6 - 4 = 2$$

Thus $(a^2 + 5b^2) = 1, 2$, but if it is 1, then it is a unit. But there are no solutions if it is 2. Thus no a, b exist, and \mathfrak{p} is not principal. Claim 3:

$$\mathfrak{p}^2 = \langle 2 \rangle, \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{r} = \langle 3 \rangle, \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q} = \langle 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle, \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{r} = \langle 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle$$

The upshot of this is that

$$\langle G \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle \langle 3 \rangle = \langle 1 + \sqrt{-5} \rangle \langle 1 - \sqrt{-5} \rangle = p^2 qr = pqpr$$

Proof for $p^2 = \langle 2 \rangle$ is

$$p^2 = \langle 2 \cdot 2, 2(1 + \sqrt{-5}), (1 + \sqrt{-5})^2 \rangle$$

$$p^2 = \langle 4, 2 + 2\sqrt{-5}, -4 + 2\sqrt{-5} + -(2 + 2\sqrt{-5}) \rangle$$

$$p^2 = \langle 4, 2 + 2\sqrt{-5}, 6 \rangle$$

Since units don't matter and -6 can be replaced by 6. Furthermore we can use $6 - 4 = 2$. Thus this is $\langle 2 \rangle$. We can add, subtract or multiply by other generators.

2.1 The Norm of an Ideal

Consequences:

1. Ideal GCD \mathfrak{g} and LCM \mathfrak{l} . Let

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \text{ and } \mathfrak{b} = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{f_i}$$

GCD $\mathfrak{g}|\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$. And if \mathfrak{g}' has the same properties then $\mathfrak{g}'|\mathfrak{g}$. Rule

$$\mathfrak{g} = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{\min(e_i, f_i)}, \mathfrak{l} = \prod_i \mathfrak{p}_i^{\max(e_i, f_i)}$$

Lemma:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$$

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$$

2. We define the Norm of an ideal \mathfrak{N} .

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) := |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}|$$

Also, fyi,

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{a}) = |(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a})^*|$$

but we won't need this here.

Recall that for every nonzero ideal, \mathfrak{a} of \mathcal{O} has a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ where $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Then

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = \left[\frac{\Delta[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]}{\Delta_k} \right]^{1/2}$$

This is used in the homework.

A corollary, suppose that $\mathfrak{a} = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = |N(\alpha)|$$

Proof, we let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -basis for \mathcal{O} . Then, $\{\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for \mathfrak{a} . Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = \left[\frac{\Delta[\alpha\omega_1, \dots, \alpha\omega_n]}{\Delta[\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n]} \right]^{1/2} = \left[\frac{N(\alpha)^2 \Delta_k}{\Delta_k} \right]^{1/2} = |N(\alpha)|$$

Some facts:

$$\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a})\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{b})$$

If $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a})$ is prime, then \mathfrak{a} is prime. Also, $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{a}$. This is because $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}|$ by lagrange. If \mathfrak{a} is prime then, $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}) = p^m$ for some prime p and $m \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Theorem: finiteness results.

1. Any nonzero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ has a finite number of divisors.

2. Any nonzero “rational integer” in \mathbb{Z} belongs to finitely many ideals. This comes down to a norm calculation.
3. Only finitely many ideals (false for numbers) of \mathcal{O} have a given fixed norm. This flows from the finiteness of the number of ideals in \mathcal{O} .

Fact, let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}$. At most 2 generators. For example, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

$$\langle 6 \rangle = \mathfrak{p}^2 \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{r}$$

as previously defined. Suppose $6 \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then $\langle 6 \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$, which is equivalent to $\mathfrak{a} | \langle 6 \rangle = p^2 q r$. So, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^a \mathfrak{q}^b \mathfrak{r}^c$, $a \in \{0, 1, 2\}, b, c \in \{0, 1\}$. So 6 belongs to finitely many ideals. How many ideals have norm $\langle 6 \rangle$. This can only happen when $\mathfrak{a} | \langle 6 \rangle$ by fact 3. Writing $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^a \mathfrak{q}^b \mathfrak{r}^c$, implies that $N(\mathfrak{a}) = 2^a 3^b 3^c$ implies that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{q}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{r}$.

Theorem: \mathcal{O} factors into irreducible elements iff every ideal in \mathcal{O} is principle. First, PIDs are UIDs. Going the other way, it suffices to show that every prime ideal is principal. Let \mathfrak{p} be a nonzero prime in \mathcal{O} Then $\mathfrak{p} | \langle \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \rangle$. Note $n = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_s$. Since \mathfrak{p} is prime, $\mathfrak{p} | \langle \pi_i \rangle$ for some i . Since we have factors in \mathcal{O} , π_i is prime. Therefore $\langle \pi_i \rangle$ is prime, and so $\mathfrak{p} = \langle \pi_i \rangle$.

Read/skim through chapters 6—8 from the book.