

Follow the programming?

- Open the VM
- `./login`
- `cd rfun/`
- `git pull`
- `make`

I made the repository public, just for you.





Janus meets Backstroke

Michael Kirkedal Thomsen

Department of Computer Science, University of
Copenhagen

*Partly joint work with Holger Bock Axelsen, Tetsuo Yokoyama,
Robert Gluck*

COST Training School Torun

Nicolaus Copernicus University, Aug 28-31 2017



Overview

- Development of reversible programs
 - Embedding a function
- Introduction to RFun
- Development in RFun
 - Arithmetic
 - List functions
 - Equality / duplication

Tutorial can be found at:

<http://topps.diku.dk/pirc/rfundocs/>



Embeddings



Thinking reversibly

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

Thinking reversibly

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

$$5 = A + B$$

Thinking reversibly

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

$$5 = A + B$$

Reversible embeddings:

$$+(2, 3) = ([(2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1), (5, 0)], 5)$$

Thinking reversibly

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

$$5 = A + B$$

Reversible embeddings:

$$+(2, 3) = ([(2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1), (5, 0)], 5)$$

$$+(2, 3) = ((2, 3), 5)$$

Thinking reversibly

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

$$5 = A + B$$

Reversible embeddings:

$$+(2, 3) = ([(2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1), (5, 0)], 5)$$

$$+(2, 3) = ((2, 3), 5)$$

Embedded addition

$$+(x, y) = (x, y + x)$$

Reversible embeddings

- How to get a reversible program from a known irreversible program?
- Can we do it automatically?
 - I.e. using program transformation

Embeddings

- Landauer embedding
- Bennett embedding
- (Incremental check-pointing)

Landauer embedding

$$+(x, y) = ([...trace...], x + y)$$

$$+(2, 3) = ([(2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1), (5, 0)], 5)$$

- Also called trace embedding
 - First (indirectly) proposed by Landauer
- Store a needed amount of information every time you make an irreversible choice
- Will have size equivalent to run-time



Bennett embedding

$$+(x, y) = ((x, y), x + y)$$

$$+(2, 3) = ((2, 3), 5)$$

- Input-output embedding
- First proposed by Bennett
- Can be implemented by Bennett's compute-copy-uncompute method
- Size is limited by input/output
 - but computational space is at the size of Landauer embedding
- Cannot be cascaded



Reversible implementation

$$+(x, y) = (x, x + y)$$

If function injective

- Know reversible implementation exist
 - McCarthy's generate-and-test approach
- Can still be hard to find

If function non-injective

- We have to redefine our problem

Considering your semantics

$$+(x, y) = ([...trace...], x + y)$$

$$+(x, y) = ((x, y), x + y)$$

$$+(x, y) = (x, x + y)$$

Garbage

- Semantically undesired values

Ancillae

- Values that are *guaranteed* unchanged over a computation



RFun



Fibonacci

```
procedure fib(int x1, int x2, int n)
  if n = 0 then
    x1 += 1
    x2 += 1
  else
    n -= 1
    call fib(x1, x2, n)
    x1 += x2
    x1 <=> x2
  fi x1 = x2
```



Fibonacci

```
procedure fib(int x1, int x2, int n)
  if n = 0 then
    x1 += 1
    x2 += 1
  else
    n -= 1
    call fib(x1, x2, n)
    x1 += x2
    x1 <=> x2
  fi x1 = x2
```

Comparison from Janus

```
fib :: Nat <-> (Nat, Nat)
fib Z      = ((S Z), (S Z))
fib (S m) =
  let (x,y) = fib m
      y' = plus x y
  in (y', x)
```



RFun

- A history-free functional reversible language
- Implements (often) injective **partial** functions
 - I.e. we are usually not implementing in bijections

Background

- First formalised in 2012 by Tetsuo Yokoyama, Robert Glück, and Holger Bock Axelsen [1]
 - Untyped, first-order language based on constructor terms
- Implemented and explored by Michael Kirkedal Thomsen and Holger Bock Axelsen [2]
 - Extension to (somewhat) second-order language with syntactical support for tuples, lists, and natural numbers
- New version designed for this training school by Michael Kirkedal Thomsen
 - Updated syntax and extended with type system

Important concepts

- Linearity
- Ancillae
- First-match policy

Important concepts - Linear typing

- Stems from linear logic
- We **must** use a resource exactly once

Examples of usage

- Handling of memory resources
- Seen in many modern language
 - most recently Rust



Linearity - explained

```
fib :: Nat <-> (Nat, Nat)
fib Z      = ((S Z), (S Z))
fib (S m) =
  let (x,y) = fib m
      y' = plus x y
  in (y', x)
```

- Variable **m**
 - introduced on the left-hand-side
 - used exactly in the recursive call to **fib**.
- Similarly for **y** and **y'**
 - also consider the return of **y'** as a usage.



Important concepts - Ancillae type

- Variables for which we can *guarantee* that the value is unchanged over a function call.
- The *guarantee* is important
 - using a conservative approach.

Also seen in

- Reversible logic
- Restore model



Ancillae - explained

```
fib :: Nat <-> (Nat, Nat)
fib Z      = ((S Z), (S Z))
fib (S m) =
  let (x,y) = fib m
      y' = plus x y
  in (y', x)
```

- Variable `x`
 - introduced by recursive call to `fib`
 - used by the `plus` function
 - returned by the `fib` function.
- Here `plus` is using `x` as an ancillae



Important concepts - First-match policy

- important to guarantee injectivity of the functions
- conceptually, function must not return value that can be the result of any previous branches
 - knowing nothing about the possible content of variables.

Two sides to the coin

- Pattern matching for clauses in inverse interpretation
- Alternative to Janus assertions

First-match policy - detailed

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{case } l \text{ of} \\ \quad l_1 \rightarrow \dots \text{ in } l'_1 \\ \quad \vdots \\ \quad l_i \rightarrow \dots \text{ in } l'_i \\ \quad \vdots \\ \quad l_n \rightarrow \dots \text{ in } l'_n \end{array}$$

- The value v of l is matched against the left-hand side of each branch (l_1, l_2, \dots) until the first successful match l_i .
- The right-hand side of the i -th branch is then evaluated in σ_i and a value v' is returned by l'_i .
- Now, for symmetry, v' must not match any of the preceding l'_1, \dots, l'_{i-1}
- Otherwise, the case-expression is undefined.



First-match policy - explained

```
procedure fib(int x1, int x2, int n)
  if n = 0 then
    x1 += 1
    x2 += 1
  else
    n -= 1
    call fib(x1, x2, n)
    x1 += x2
    x1 <=> x2
  fi x1 = x2
```

```
fib :: Nat <-> (Nat, Nat)
fib Z      = ((S Z), (S Z))
fib (S m) =
  let (x,y) = fib m
  y' = plus x y
  in (y', x)
```

- Base-clause matches the non-recursive branch



First-match policy - explained

```
fib :: Nat <-> (Nat, Nat)
fib Z      = ((S Z), (S Z))
fib (S m) =
  let (x,y) = fib m
      y' = plus x y
  in (y', x)
```

- FMP can often be checked statically
 - based on the type definitions
 - This is not yet implemented in RFun
- FMP is in general impossible to check statically.

E.g. **fib** **cannot** be statically checked



Implementation in RFun

Natural number arithmetic

Define Peano numbers

```
data Nat = Z | (S Nat)
```

- Read: a natural number (`Nat`) is either zero (`Z`) or the successor of a natural number (`(S Nat)`).

Type for simple incremental function

```
inc :: Nat <-> Nat
```

- Read: increment (`inc`) is a (reversible) function that transforms a `Nat` to a `Nat`



Natural number arithmetic

```
data Nat = Z | (S Nat)  
inc :: Nat -> Nat
```

Implementation of `inc`

Natural number arithmetic

```
data Nat = Z | (S Nat)  
inc :: Nat <-> Nat
```

Implementation of `inc`

```
inc n = (S n)
```

- Read: `inc` given a natural number `n` returns the successor of `n`.



Natural number arithmetic

```
data Nat = Z | (S Nat)  
inc :: Nat <-> Nat  
inc n = (S n)
```

Decremental can be implemented as

```
dec :: Nat <-> Nat
```



Natural number arithmetic

```
data Nat = Z | (S Nat)
inc :: Nat <-> Nat
inc n = (S n)
```

Decremental can be implemented as

```
dec :: Nat <-> Nat
dec (S n) = n
```



Natural number arithmetic

```
data Nat = Z | (S Nat)
inc :: Nat <-> Nat
inc n = (S n)
```

Decremental can be implemented as

```
dec :: Nat <-> Nat
dec (S n) = n
```

or even better

```
dec :: Nat <-> Nat
dec n = inc! n
```

- **!** at the end of the function specifies reverse execution.



Reversible Addition

$$+(x, y) = (x, y + x)$$

Type for addition?

Reversible Addition

$$+(x, y) = (x, y + x)$$

Type for addition

```
plus' :: (Nat, Nat) <-> (Nat, Nat)
```

Reversible Addition

$$+(x, y) = (x, y + x)$$

Type for addition

```
plus' :: (Nat, Nat) <-> (Nat, Nat)
```

Considering that x is an ancilla value

```
plus :: Nat -> Nat <-> Nat
```

- Read: `plus` is a function that given a natural number (`Nat`), will transform one natural number (`Nat`) to another natural number (`Nat`).



Reversible Addition

Implementation

```
plus :: Nat -> Nat <-> Nat
plus Z    x = x
plus (S y) x =
  let x' = plus y x
  in (S x')
```

Reversible Addition

Implementation

```
plus :: Nat -> Nat <-> Nat
plus Z    x = x
plus (S y) x =
  let x' = plus y x
  in (S x')
```

- Why is the first argument guaranteed to be unchanged?
- Why is the second argument linear?
- Why is the first-match policy upheld?



Reversible Addition

```
plus :: Nat -> Nat <-> Nat
plus Z    x = x
plus (S y) x =
  let x' = plus y x
  in (S x')
```

- Why is the first argument guaranteed to be unchanged?
 - **y** is only used as an ancilla in the recursive call
- Why is the second argument linear?
 - Both **x** and **x'** is first introduced then used
- Why is the first-match policy upheld?
 - First (ancilla) argument of two clauses are disjoint.



Addition - FMP explained

```
plus :: Nat -> Nat <-> Nat
plus Z    x = x
plus (S y) x =
  let x' = plus y x
  in (S x')
```

We transform `plus` into

```
plusP :: (Nat, Nat) <-> (Nat, Nat)
plusP (Z,    x) = (Z, x)
plusP (S(y), x) =
  let (y, x') = plusP (y, x)
  in (S(y), S(x'))
```

- Here it is clear that the first element of the branch tuples are disjoint, making the entire tuple disjoint.



Addition transformation explained

```
plusP :: (Nat, Nat) <-> (Nat, Nat)
plusP (Z, x) = (Z, x)
plusP (S(y), x) =
  let (y, x') = plusP (y, x)
  in (S(y), S(x'))
```

How do we generate `plusP`?

- wrap our input into a tuple,
- add the ancillae arguments to all output leaves,
- wrap all function calls into tuples,
- add the ancillae inputs to function calls to the output.



Transformation of ancilla functions

With the above method, we can always transform

```
f :: a -> b <-> c
```

into

```
f :: (a, b) <-> (a, c)
```

- This does not (in general) work in the opposite direction.



List functions

- List is a predefined type in RFun
- Use standard notation
 - `[` and `]` for list specification
 - `(1 : 1s)` for list construction

Examples

- List of 5 elements and empty list
 - `[1,2,3,4,5]` and `[]`
- A list construction
 - `(1:2:[3,4,5])`



The length of a list

The type of this is

```
length :: [a] -> Nat
```

!!!!



The lenght of a list

The type of this is

```
length_wrong :: [a] <-> Nat
```

- Length should be treated as a property of a list
 - I.e. given a list we need to extract the information

```
length :: [a] -> () <-> Nat
```

- Read: `length` is a function that given a list, transforms nothing to a natural number.
 - Empty tuple does not contain any information
- I.e. we are making a Bennett embedding of the normal length function.



Implementation of length

We can then implement length as the standard

```
length :: [a] -> () <-> Nat
length []      () = Z
length (x : xs) () =
  let n = length xs ()
  in  (S n)
```

- Why is the input list guaranteed to be ancillae?
- How is linear typing upheld?
- How is FMP upheld?



Implementation of length

We can then implement length as the standard

```
length :: [a] -> () <-> Nat
length []      () = Z
length (x : xs) () =
  let n = length xs ()
  in  (S n)
```

- Why is the input list guaranteed to be ancillae?
 - `xs` is only used for ancilla to `length`
- How is linear typing upheld?
 - The introduced variable `n` is used again
- How is FMP upheld?
 - `Z` is disjoint from `(S Nat)`



Mapping function over list

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

- Read: given a function that transforms **a**'s to **b**'s, **map** will transform a list of **a**'s to a list of **b**'s.
 - This is exactly how we consider the normal map-function.

Mapping function over list

Implementation

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map fun [] = []
map fun (x : xs) =
    let x' = fun x
        xs' = map fun xs
    in (x' : xs')
```

- Ancilla of the mapped function?
- Linearity of the lists?
- Is FMP upheld?



List reversal

```
reverse :: [a] <-> [a]
```

Two different approaches

- Appending a first element of a list to the end of a reversed list
 - Squared to the length of the list run-time
- Using an accumulator
 - Linear to the length of the list run-time
 - Helper function moves elements from one list to the other

We will go for the fast version.



List reversal

Function from Haskell

```
reverse_haskell l = rev l []  
  where  
    rev []      a = a  
    rev (x:xs) a = rev xs (x:a)
```



List reversal

Function from Haskell

```
reverse_haskell l = rev l []  
  where  
    rev []      a = a  
    rev (x:xs) a = rev xs (x:a)
```

Reversible implementation of `rev`

```
rev :: ([a], [a]) <-> ([a], [a])  
rev ([], l) = ([], l)  
rev ((x:xs), l) = move (xs, (x:l))
```

- Is linearity guaranteed?
- Is FMP upheld?



Moving elements

Instead lets make a function that moves some elements

```
move :: Nat -> ([a], [a]) <-> ([a], [a])  
move Z      (x, l) = (x, l)  
move (S s) ((x:xs), l) = move s (xs, (x:l))
```

- Is Ancilla types guaranteed?
- Is linearity guaranteed?
- Is FMP upheld?



List reversal

Final reversal of list

```
reverse :: [a] <-> [a]
reverse xs =
  let xs_s = length xs ()
      ([], ys) = move xs_s (xs, [])
      () = length! ys xs_s
  in ys
```

- How is Ancilla types guaranteed?
- Is linearity guaranteed?
- Is FMP upheld?
- Is this linear run-time to the size of the list?



Equality and Duplication

Equality (and duplication) have a special place in FRun.

- Predefined type

```
data EQ = Eq | Neq a
```

- This is equivalent to the definition of Maybe monad from Haskell
 - We will not use it as a monad...

Predefine function of type

```
eq :: a -> a <-> EQ
```



Equality and Duplication

```
data EQ = Eq | Neq a
eq :: a -> a <-> EQ
```

Given `eq x y`,

- first argument (`x`) is ancillae
- second argument (`y`), will be transformed into a `EQ` type, where the result is
 - `Eq` if `x` is equal to `y`
 - `Neq y` if `x` is different from `y`.

Note, equality can remove one copy of the two values.

- No implementation of `eq` is possible in RFun.



Equality and Duplication

```
data EQ = Eq | Neq a
eq :: a -> a <-> EQ
```

Based on `eq` we can then make a duplication function by inverse execution

```
dup :: a -> () <-> a
dup x () = eq! x Eq
```

Run-length encoding

```
pack :: [a] <-> [(a, Nat)]
pack [] = []
pack (c1 : r) =
  case (pack r) of
    [] -> [(c1, 1)]
    ((c2, n) : t) ->
      case (eq c1 c2) of
        (Neq c2p) -> ((c1, 1) : (c2p, n) : t)
        (Eq) -> ((c1, (S n)) : t)
```

- Notice usage of `eq`

Example of Running it

```
pack [1,1,3,2,2,2] = [(1,2),(3,1),(2,3)]
```

- Why is FMP upheld? Can we statically check it?



Summing of RFun

RFun

- A history-free functional reversible language
- Implements (often) injective **partial** functions
 - I.e. we are usually not implementing in bijections
- Looks like a functional language
- First steps toward higher-order language
- Type system with linear and ancilla types
- Support for tuples and lists

Future work and extensions

- Int type
- Guards
- Static check of FMP
- Support for kinds; especially with usage for `eq`
- Partial applications and higher-order functions

Arithmetic Exercises

- Implement a `minus` function
- Implement a function that multiplies by 2
- Implement a multiplication function (**hard**)
 - What embedding makes sense?
- Implement a function `even`, that checks if a natural number is even
 - What embedding of `even` makes sense?

Arithmetic List

- Implement a function that checks if all elements of a list is even
- Implement `splitAt` that splits a list in two after a given length
 - What is a good embedding?
 - You can find the Haskell definition here <http://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.10.0.0/docs/Prelude.html#v:splitAt>
- Implement an `append` function
 - Again, the embedding is not clear?



Arithmetic List - continued

- ○ Implement `scanl` and `scanr` (**hard**)
 - You can find the Haskell definition here <http://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.10.0.0/docs/Prelude.html#v:scanl>
- Implement `foldl` and `foldr` (**even hard**)
 - What is a resonable embedding?
 - How does this differ from the scan's
- Continue with `interleave`, `intercalate`, `permutations`, `group`, `subsequences`, `transpose`, ...

References

- [1] T. Yokoyama and H. B. Axelsen and R. Gluck, Towards a reversible functional language, Reversible Computation, RC '11, 7165 14--29 (2012)
- [2] M. K. Thomsen and H. B. Axelsen, Interpretation and Programming of the Reversible Functional Language, Proceedings of the 27th Symposium on the Implementation and Application of Functional Programming Languages, 8:1--8:13 (2016)
- [3] M. K. Thomsen, RFun tutorial,
<http://topps.diku.dk/pirc/rfundocs/>

Thank you!