Identifying the challenges towards distributed nano data center infrastructor

Katrin Kolb
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
katrinkolb@web.de

Mengchu Li
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
mengchu.li@yahoo.com

Andreas Scholz
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Germany, Germany
Andreas.Scholz@campus.lmu.de

Katharina Rupp
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
katharina.rupp@web.de

Melanie Hauser
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
Melanie.Hauser@campus.lmu.de

Diana Irmscher
Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Germany
d.irmscher@campus.lmu.de

ABSTRACT

UPDATED—November 5, 2017.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Networks** → **Data center networks**; • **Social and professional topics** → **Centralization / decentralization**; • **Software and its engineering** → *Distributed systems organizing principles*; • **Computer systems organization** → Cloud computing; Peer-to-peer architectures;

KEYWORDS

Authors' choice; of terms; separated; by semicolons; include commas, within terms only; required.

INTRODUCTION

RELATED WORK

Some concepts in the field of nano data centers have been developed. For example, Valancious et al. introduced NaDa. NaDa is a distributed computing platform, which uses a managed peer-to-peer model for its infrastructure. They furthermore evaluated their system in terms of energy savings.
Jalali et al.
Laoutaris et al.

JUSTIFICATION

Although a lot of research has been done and concepts have been developed, nano data centers have not yet actually been implemented in big extent. To realize nano data centers, it is important to know why it has not been done yet and which obstacles have to be resolved. That is why this paper analyses the causes and obstacles.

EVALUATION

Expected achievements of the project: A list of challenges towards the development of nano data center. We will first analyse the features that are related to nano data center development and then list the challenges that need to be overcome. For a feature to be listed as a challenge, the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. The feature is a necessary prerequisite for the development of nano data center;
2. the current status of the feature do not meet the demand of nano data center development.

Evaluation method:

1. To test the first condition, we will study the existing nano data center models proposed by other research, and find out how our proposed challenges are involved in these models. For example, whether a proposed challenge is related to the components that construct the infrastructure of

these nano data centers, or which functionality supported by these nano data centers will be influenced by the challenge?

2. To test the second condition, we will formulate a report of the current status of the proposed challenges, and compare the results with their expected status derived from the data center models proposed by other research. If the current status does not match the expected status, we will try to find out the reason and propose some approaches to narrow the gap.

Software and resources for the evaluation: According to the current evaluation plan, no software needs to be built. Paper survey will be essential for carrying out the evaluation.

RESEARCH PLAN

Table 1: Research Plan

until 06.11.2017	Preparation and submission of research proposal Literature review to determine SRP's context Narrow the scope of the project
07.11.2017	Presentation of the research proposal Meeting with the instructor
08.11.2017	Starting project work: Research on current nano data centers in practical use What has been treated theoretically and practically as nano data centers (projects, etc.)
until 20.11.2017	Preparation and submission of progress report I
21.11.2017	Presentation of the progress report I Meeting with the instructor
22.11.2017	Continue the project work: Research on current nano data centers in practical use What has been treated theoretically and practically as nano data centers (projects, etc.)
12.12.2017	Mid term synchronisation Meeting with the instructor
13.12.2017	Continue the project work: Search for obstacles, why the examined works have not yet been practically implemented or applied Evaluation of the search results
until 12.01.2018	Preparation and submission of progress report II
13.01.2018	Continue the project work: Evaluation of the search results
until 26.01.18	Preparation and submission of final deliverables
30.01.18 or 06.02.18	Presentation of final deliverables

RISK ANALYSIS

Das ist ein Text [2] und das auch [1]

REFERENCES

[1] Fatemeh Jalali, Robert Ayre, Arun Vishwanath, Kerry Hinton, Tansu Alpcan, and Rodney S. Tucker. 2014. Energy Consumption of Content Distribution from Nano Data Centers versus Centralized Data Centers. *SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 42, 3 (2014), 49–54.

[2] Vytautas Valancius, Nikolaos Laoutaris, Laurent Massoulié, Christophe Diot, and Pablo Rodriguez. 2009. Greening the internet with nano data centers. In *CoNEXT*. ACM, 37–48.