

---

# CTNDCl: Identifying the Challenges Towards a distributed Nano Data Center Infrastructure

**Melanie Hauser**

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich  
Munich, Germany  
melanie.hauser@campus.lmu.de

**Mengchu Li**

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich  
Munich, Germany  
mengchu.li@yahoo.com

**Katharina Rupp**

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich  
Munich, Germany  
katharina.rupp@web.de

**Diana Irmscher**

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich  
Germany  
d.irmscher@campus.lmu.de

**Katrin Kolb**

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich  
Munich, Germany  
Katrin.Kolb@campus.lmu.de

**Andreas Scholz**

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich  
Germany, Germany  
andreas.scholz@campus.lmu.de

**Document Identifier: GI 201711**

**ABSTRACT**

In this paper we identify the challenges currently preventing nano data centers from becoming the dominant form of content provision on the internet. With the global increase in IP traffic the question of how to provide and deliver data is becoming increasingly important. Monolithic data centers, as they are used today, pose several problems, such as high energy consumption and lack of scalability.

---

*SPWAL LMU, November 2017, Munich, Germany*

© 2017 Association for Computing Machinery.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren, LMU (SPWAL LMU)*, <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>.

An alternative solution mitigating the problems of monolithic data centers has been proposed in the form of a distributed nano data center infrastructure. Research has shown this to be a superior solution. However, no widespread solution based on a nano data center infrastructure has been implemented as of yet. By identifying the main challenges nano data centers are facing steps can be taken to overcome these challenges in a more focused way, leading to a more economic data distribution.

*Author: Andreas Scholz*

## KEYWORDS

Green IT; Nano data center; Energy consumption; Security; Availability; Scalability; Data distribution

## ACM Reference Format:

Melanie Hauser, Diana Irmscher, Mengchu Li, Katrin Kolb, Katharina Rupp, Andreas Scholz, and Document Identifier: GI 201711. 2017. CTNDCl: Identifying the Challenges Towards a distributed Nano Data Center Infrastructure. In *Proceedings of Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren, LMU (SPWAL LMU)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

**SPWAL Research Project:** Green Computing  
**Title:**Identifying the Challenges Towards a distributed Nano Data Center Infrastructure  
**Progress Report I**

## ACHIEVEMENTS

We have continued our research into nano data centers according to our project plan. So far our research enabled us to derive some problematic aspects that could prevent a widespread usage of nano data centers. While some of those were explicitly stated, others can be implicitly found through the points the papers lack. The latter bring the risk of making false assumptions, so some statements are yet to be proven by further research.

Based on some of the suggestions after our initial project presentation we also decided to broaden our research scope to include not only nano data centers themselves, but also related technologies. In doing so we intend to balance the fact that there is very little research available for nano data centers specifically. By identifying potential problems in more thoroughly researched technologies which are in turn intended to be used in nano data centers we are able to determine whether these problems would still persist in the context of nano data centers. Aside from broadening our research scope we also drafted a questionnaire aimed at providers of current monolithic data centers. [REFERENCE to attached questionnaire] The questionnaire is aimed at identifying areas which are working well in monolithic data centers, but which might pose a problem in the context of nano data centers.

*Authors: Team effort*

### NEXT STEPS

From a research perspective our next tasks involves narrowing down the scope of the challenges we want to take a closer look at. At the moment our research question is too widely scoped and we will not be able to identify all challenges from all areas relevant to nano data centers (political, environmental, technical, economical, etc.). Our intention is to pick one or two areas and to identify some key challenges within these areas. This has then also to be reflected in our research question and the topic of our paper. It will be challenging to find the right balance between the amount of challenges and the detail in which to analyse them.

Regarding the questionnaire we hope to interview someone from the LRZ to get some qualitative insights from a professional in the field. Ideally we would like to find some other experts, too. However we expect it will be difficult to achieve this within the tight time-limit, especially considering the holidays coming up.

One other idea we had was to develop a second questionnaire to interview ISPs with. As ISPs are the proposed providers and maintainers of a future nano data center architecture, their input would be valuable to explore whether nano data centers are already a topic they are concerned with. However, as with the original questionnaire we are unsure whether we will be able to make according appointments in time.

*Authors: Team effort*

### DEVIATION FROM PLAN

As our original research plan was based solely on paper research, we deviated from it in so far as we are now also trying to gather qualitative information through interviews. Besides that we did not deviate from our research plan, yet. Considering our proposed next steps however we do acknowledge that further deviations might follow. We consider these deviations necessary to help narrowing down our research question and providing a more focused paper.

*Authors: Team effort*

### REFERENCES

- [1] Inc. Cisco Systems. 2017. The Zettabyte Era: Trends and Analysis - Cisco. (07 2017). [https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni-hyperconnectivity-wp.html#\\_Toc484556821](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni-hyperconnectivity-wp.html#_Toc484556821) (Accessed on 11/05/2017).
- [2] D. Dumitriu, E. Knightly, A. Kuzmanovic, I. Stoica, and W. Zwaenepoel. 2005. Denial-of-Service Resilience in Peer-to-Peer File Sharing Systems, Vol. 33. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1071690.1064218>
- [3] Fatemeh Jalali, Robert Ayre, Arun Vishwanath, Kerry Hinton, Tansu Alpcan, and Rodney S. Tucker. 2014. Energy Consumption of Content Distribution from Nano Data Centers versus Centralized Data Centers. *SIGMETRICS Performance*

*Evaluation Review* 42 (2014), 49–54.

- [4] Nikolaos Laoutaris, Pablo Rodriguez, and Laurent Massoulie. 2008. ECHOS: Edge Capacity Hosting Overlays of Nano Data Centers. *SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev.* 38 (Jan. 2008), 51–54. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1341431.1341442>
- [5] Darshan Mhapasekar. 2011. Accomplishing anonymity in peer to peer network. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1947940.1948055>
- [6] Vytautas Valancius, Nikolaos Laoutaris, Laurent Massoulié, Christophe Diot, and Pablo Rodriguez. 2009. Greening the internet with nano data centers. In *CoNEXT*. ACM, 37–48.