Identifying the challenges towards a distributed nano data center infrastructure

Katrin Kolb

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich Munich, Germany katrinkolb@web.de

Mengchu Li

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich Munich, Germany mengchu.li@yahoo.com

Andreas Scholz

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich Germany, Germany andreas.scholz@campus.lmu.de

Katharina Rupp

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich Munich, Germany katharina.rupp@web.de

Melanie Hauser

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich Munich, Germany melanie.hauser@campus.lmu.de

Diana Irmscher

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich Germany d.irmscher@campus.lmu.de

ABSTRACT

In this paper we identify the challenges currently preventing nano data centers from becoming the dominant form of content provision on the internet. With the global increase in IP traffic the question of how to provide and deliver data is becoming increasingly important. Monolithic data centers, as they are used today, pose several problems, such as high energy consumption and lack of scalability. An alternative solution mitigating the problems of monolithic data centers has been proposed in the form of a distributed nano data center infrastructure. Research has shown this to be a superior solution.

SPWAL LMU, November 2017, Munich, Germany

© 2017 Association for Computing Machinery.

However, no widespread solution based on a nano data center infrastructure has been implemented as of yet. By identifying the main challenges nano data centers are facing steps can be taken to overcome these challenges in a more focused way, leading to a more economic data distribution.

KEYWORDS

Green IT; Nano data center; Energy consumption; Security; Availability; Scalability; Data distribution

ACM Reference Format:

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The global IP traffic is continually increasing. An analysis by Cisco shows, that global IP traffic will grow at a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 24 percent from 2016 to 2021 [1], of which 71 percent will be delivered by Content Delivery Networks (CDNs) [1]. To deliver these amounts of data, CDNs make use of large monolithic data centers. These data centers are energy-inefficient, lack scalability and are difficult to deploy. [6] A solution mitigating these problems has been proposed by Valancius et al. in 2009 [6] in the form of a distributed nano data center infrastructure. However, to date monolithic data centers are still the prevalent solution. It is therefore necessary to identify the reasons why nano data centers are not being actively used yet to enable insights into which challenges have still to be overcome to ensure a transition from monolithic data centers to distributed nano data centers as soon as possible.

INTRODUCTION

RELATED WORK

Some concepts in the field of nano data centers have been developed. For example, Valancious et al. [6] introduced NaDa. NaDa is a distributed computing platform, which uses a managed peer-to-peer model for its infrastructure. They furthermore evaluated their system in terms of energy savings and thus found that NaDa saves minimum 20% to 30% in comparison to traditional data centers.

In [3] the energy consumption of traditional and nano data centers is compared. Here, nano data centers are described as a very efficient alternative to monolithical data centers.

Another example that advocates the usage of nano data centers is ECHOS [4]. Although not many words are spent on ECHOS' disadvantages, the paper implies that most of the disadvantages of nano data centers are inherited from its peer-to-peer architecture. Therefore, analyses of those weaknesses

have to be taking into consideration, like in [2], [5] and others.

JUSTIFICATION

Although a lot of research has been done and concepts have been developed, nano data centers have not yet actually been implemented in big extent. To realize nano data centers, it is important to know why it has not been done yet and which obstacles have to be resolved. That is why the paper will analyse the causes and obstacles.

EVALUATION

Expected achievements of the project: A list of challenges towards the development of nano data center. We will first analyse the features that are related to nano data center development and then list the challenges that need to be overcome. For a feature to be listed as a challenge, the following conditions must be satisfied:

- 1. The feature is a necessary prerequisite for the development of nano data center;
- 2. the current status of the feature do not meet the demand of nano data center development.

Evaluation method:

- 1. To test the first condition, we will study the existing nano data center models proposed by other research, and find out how our proposed challenges are involved in these models. For example, whether a proposed challenge is related to the components that construct the infrastructure of these nano data centers, or which functionality supported by these nano data centers will be influenced by the challenge?
- 2. To test the second condition, we will formulate a report of the current status of the proposed challenges, and compare the results with their expected status derived from the data center models proposed by other research. If the current status does not match the expected status, we will try to find out the reason and propose some approaches to narrow the gap.

Software and resources for the evaluation: According to the current evaluation plan, no software needs to be built. Paper survey will be essential for carrying out the evaluation.

RESEARCH PLAN

Table 1: Research Plan

until 06.11.2017	Preparation and submission of research proposal
	Literature review to determine SRP's context
	Narrow the scope of the project
07.11.2017	Presentation of the research proposal
	Meeting with the instructor
08.11.2017	Starting project work:
	Research on current nano data centers in practical use
	What has been treated theoretically and practically as nano data centers
	(projects, etc.)
until 20.11.2017	Preparation and submission of progress report I
21.11.2017	Presentation of the progress report I
	Meeting with the instructor
22.11.2017	Continue the project work:
	Research on current nano data centers in practical use
	What has been treated theoretically and practically as nano data centers
	(projects, etc.)
12.12.2017	Mid term synchronisation
	Meeting with the instructor
13.12.2017	Continue the project work:
	Search for obstacles, why the examined works have not yet
	been practically implemented or applied
	Evaluation of the search results
until 12.01.2018	Preparation and submission of progress report II
13.01.2018	Continue the project work:
	Evaluation of the search results
until 26.01.18	Preparation and submission of final deliverables
30.01.18 or	Presentation of final deliverables
06.02.18	

RISK ANALYSIS

Das ist ein Text [6] und das auch [3]

REFERENCES

- [1] Inc. Cisco Systems. 2017. The Zettabyte Era: Trends and Analysis Cisco. (07 2017). https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/vni-hyperconnectivity-wp.html#_Toc484556821 (Accessed on 11/05/2017).
- [2] D. Dumitriu, E. Knightly, A. Kuzmanovic, I. Stoica, and W. Zwaenepoel. 2005. Denial-of-Service Resilience in Peer-to-Peer File Sharing Systems, Vol. 33. ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/1071690.1064218
- [3] Fatemeh Jalali, Robert Ayre, Arun Vishwanath, Kerry Hinton, Tansu Alpcan, and Rodney S. Tucker. 2014. Energy Consumption of Content Distribution from Nano Data Centers versus Centralized Data Centers. *SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 42 (2014), 49–54.
- [4] Nikolaos Laoutaris, Pablo Rodriguez, and Laurent Massoulie. 2008. ECHOS: Edge Capacity Hosting Overlays of Nano Data Centers. SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev. 38 (Jan. 2008), 51–54. https://doi.org/10.1145/1341431.1341442
- [5] Darshan Mhapasekar. 2011. Accomplishing anonymity in peer to peer network. ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/1947940. 1948055
- [6] Vytautas Valancius, Nikolaos Laoutaris, Laurent Massoulié, Christophe Diot, and Pablo Rodriguez. 2009. Greening the internet with nano data centers. In CoNEXT. ACM, 37–48.