
Identifying the challenges towards distributed nano data center infrastructure

Katrin Kolb

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
katrinkolb@web.de

Mengchu Li

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
mengchu.li@yahoo.com

Andreas Scholz

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Germany, Germany
Andreas.Scholz@campus.lmu.de

Katharina Rupp

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
katharina.rupp@web.de

Melanie Hauser

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
Melanie.Hauser@campus.lmu.de

Diana Irmischer

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Germany
d.irmischer@campus.lmu.de

ABSTRACT

UPDATED—November 5, 2017.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Networks** → Data center networks; • **Social and professional topics** → Centralization / decentralization; • **Software and its engineering** → Distributed systems organizing principles; • **Computer systems organization** → Cloud computing; Peer-to-peer architectures;

SPWAL LMU, November 2017, Munich, Germany

© 2017 Association for Computing Machinery.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren, LMU (SPWAL LMU)*, <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>.

KEYWORDS

Authors' choice; of terms; separated; by semicolons; include commas, within terms only; required.

ACM Reference Format:

Katrin Kolb, Katharina Rupp, Mengchu Li, Melanie Hauser, Andreas Scholz, and Diana Irmscher. 2017. Identifying the challenges towards distributed nano data center infrastructure. In *Proceedings of Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren, LMU (SPWAL LMU)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

INTRODUCTION

RELATED WORK

Some concepts in the field of nano data centers have been developed. For example, Valancious et al. introduced NaDa. NaDa is a distributed computing platform, which uses a managed peer-to-peer model for its infrastructure. They furthermore evaluated their system in terms of energy savings.

Jalali et al.

Laoutaris et al.

JUSTIFICATION

Although a lot of research has been done and concepts have been developed, nano data centers have not yet actually been implemented in big extent. To realize nano data centers, it is important to know why it has not been done yet and which obstacles have to be resolved. That is why this paper analyses the causes and obstacles.

EVALUATION

Expected achievements of the project: A list of challenges towards the development of nano data center. We will first analyse the features that are related to nano data center development and then list the challenges that need to be overcome. For a feature to be listed as a challenge, the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. The feature is a necessary prerequisite for the development of nano data center;
2. the current status of the feature do not meet the demand of nano data center development.

Evaluation method:

1. To test the first condition, we will study the existing nano data center models proposed by other research, and find out how our proposed challenges are involved in these models. For example, whether a proposed challenge is related to the components that construct the infrastructure of

these nano data centers, or which functionality supported by these nano data centers will be influenced by the challenge?

2. To test the second condition, we will formulate a report of the current status of the proposed challenges, and compare the results with their expected status derived from the data center models proposed by other research. If the current status does not match the expected status, we will try to find out the reason and propose some approaches to narrow the gap.

Software and resources for the evaluation: According to the current evaluation plan, no software needs to be built. Paper survey will be essential for carrying out the evaluation.

RESEARCH PLAN

Table 1: Research Plan

17.10.17	Choosing a SPWL Research Area, join the team
24.10.17	
06.11.17	Upload final research proposal
07.11.17	Presentation of the research proposal
20.11.17	Upload progress report I
21.11.17	Progress report I
12.12.17	Mid term synchronisation
12.01.18	Upload Progress Report II
26.01.18	Upload final deliverables
30.01.18	Presentation of final deliverables
06.02.18	Presentation of final deliverables

RISK ANALYSIS

Das ist ein Text [2] und das auch [1]

REFERENCES

- [1] Fatemeh Jalali, Robert Ayre, Arun Vishwanath, Kerry Hinton, Tansu Alpcan, and Rodney S. Tucker. 2014. Energy Consumption of Content Distribution from Nano Data Centers versus Centralized Data Centers. *SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 42, 3 (2014), 49–54.
- [2] Vytautas Valancius, Nikolaos Laoutaris, Laurent Massoulié, Christophe Diot, and Pablo Rodriguez. 2009. Greening the internet with nano data centers. In *CoNEXT*. ACM, 37–48.