
CTNDCl: Identifying the Challenges Towards a distributed Nano Data Center Infrastructure

Katrin Kolb

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
Katrin.Kolb@campus.lmu.de

Mengchu Li

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
mengchu.li@yahoo.com

Andreas Scholz

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Germany, Germany
andreas.scholz@campus.lmu.de

Katharina Rupp

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
katharina.rupp@web.de

Melanie Hauser

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Munich, Germany
melanie.hauser@campus.lmu.de

Diana Irmischer

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Germany
d.irmischer@campus.lmu.de

Document Identifier: GI 201711

ABSTRACT

In this paper we identify the challenges currently preventing nano data centers from becoming the dominant form of content provision on the internet. With the global increase in IP traffic the question of how to provide and deliver data is becoming increasingly important. Monolithic data centers, as they are used today, pose several problems, such as high energy consumption and lack of scalability.

SPWAL LMU, November 2017, Munich, Germany

© 2017 Association for Computing Machinery.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here for your personal use. Not for redistribution. The definitive Version of Record was published in *Proceedings of Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren, LMU (SPWAL LMU)*, <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>.

An alternative solution mitigating the problems of monolithic data centers has been proposed in the form of a distributed nano data center infrastructure. Research has shown this to be a superior solution. However, no widespread solution based on a nano data center infrastructure has been implemented as of yet. By identifying the main challenges nano data centers are facing steps can be taken to overcome these challenges in a more focused way, leading to a more economic data distribution.

Author: Andreas Scholz

KEYWORDS

Green IT; Nano data center; Energy consumption; Security; Availability; Scalability; Data distribution

ACM Reference Format:

Katrin Kolb, Katharina Rupp, Mengchu Li, Melanie Hauser, Andreas Scholz, Diana Irmscher, and Document Identifier: GI 201711. 2017. CTNDCl: Identifying the Challenges Towards a distributed Nano Data Center Infrastructure. In *Proceedings of Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten und Lehren, LMU (SPWAL LMU)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The global IP traffic is continually increasing. An analysis by Cisco shows, that global IP traffic will grow at a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 24 percent from 2016 to 2021 [1], of which 71 percent will be delivered by Content Delivery Networks (CDNs) [1]. To deliver these amounts of data, CDNs make use of large monolithic data centers. These data centers are energy-inefficient, lack scalability and are difficult to deploy. [6] A solution mitigating these problems has been proposed by Valancius et al. in 2009 [6] in the form of a distributed nano data center infrastructure. However, to date monolithic data centers are still the prevalent solution. It is therefore necessary to identify the reasons why nano data centers are not being actively used yet to enable insights into which challenges have still to be overcome to ensure a transition from monolithic data centers to distributed nano data centers as soon as possible.

Author: Andreas Scholz

INTRODUCTION

Energy consumption and energy efficiency is a big topic in the modern world. Politicians try to make the production of energy more ecoconscious with renewable energy and people buy refrigerators with energy efficiency category A+++.

While regulating these objectives one of the most energy consuming sectors grows faster and faster. Smartphones, Smartwatches and cars with internet connection are parts of the standard equipment

of a modern human. So, the number of web-enabled devices will grow to a number of more than three times the global population by 2021 [1]. Given the fact that this will consume additional energy, the actual big energy consumer is the internet itself. With a growth rate of 24 percent per year from 2016 to 2021 the IP traffic will increase heavily [1]. Therefore, large monolithic data centers are used to provide these amounts of data.

These data centers need a high amount of energy just for cooling the server system. About 50 percent of the energy of an average data center is spent on heat dissipation [6]. This shows that data centers are energy-inefficient and furthermore there is a lack of scalability plus a difficult deployment [6]. Due to the fact that internet usage will continue to be an important part of society, economy and industry, there are several approaches to solve these problems and make the internet “greener”. For example, Valancius et al. [6] proposed a distributed computing platform and ECHOS [4] is a nano data center and innovative solution to data hosting and delivery. The common feature of these solutions and new forms of data centers is that they are not used in practice.

Nevertheless, these approaches are innovative and have several advantages. There is also the opportunity to reduce energy consumption with these solutions and to change the state of the art of data provisioning. So, it is necessary to identify the challenges towards a distributed nano data center and its infrastructure.

Our goals are to identify these challenges as well as problems and requirements towards the development of nano data centers by studying and comparing the existing researches. With this knowledge it will be easier to focus on the problems and foster the development and introduction of these systems. This proposal is organized as follows. First, we review related research works. In section “justification”, we support why our work is necessary. In section “evaluation”, we describe our reference points for the evaluation of our outcomes. This is followed by a research plan with the milestones, which we want to achieve. Finally, we analyze the potential risks and reasons for a possible failure.

Author: Katrin Kolb

RELATED WORK

Some concepts in the field of nano data centers have been developed. For example, Valancious et al. [6] introduced NaDa. NaDa is a distributed computing platform, which uses a managed peer-to-peer model for its infrastructure. They furthermore evaluated their system in terms of energy savings and thus found that NaDa saves minimum 20% to 30% in comparison to traditional data centers.

In [3] the energy consumption of traditional and nano data centers is compared. Here, nano data centers are described as a very efficient alternative to monolithical data centers.

Another example that advocates the usage of nano data centers is ECHOS [4]. Although not many words are spent on ECHOS’ disadvantages, the paper implies that most of the disadvantages of nano

data centers are inherited from its peer-to-peer architecture. Therefore, analyses of those weaknesses have to be taking into consideration, like in [2], [5] and others.

Authors: Melanie Hauser, Katharina Rupp

JUSTIFICATION

Although a lot of research has been done and concepts have been developed, nano data centers have not yet actually been implemented in big extent. To realize nano data centers, it is important to know why it has not been done yet and which obstacles have to be resolved. That is why the paper will analyse the causes and obstacles.

Authors: Melanie Hauser, Katharina Rupp

EVALUATION

Expected achievements of the project: A list of challenges towards the development of nano data center. We will first analyse the features that are related to nano data center development and then list the challenges that need to be overcome. For a feature to be listed as a challenge, the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. The feature is a necessary prerequisite for the development of nano data center;
2. the current status of the feature do not meet the demand of nano data center development.

Evaluation method:

1. To test the first condition, we will study the existing nano data center models proposed by other research, and find out how our proposed challenges are involved in these models. For example, whether a proposed challenge is related to the components that construct the infrastructure of these nano data centers, or which functionality supported by these nano data centers will be influenced by the challenge?
2. To test the second condition, we will formulate a report of the current status of the proposed challenges, and compare the results with their expected status derived from the data center models proposed by other research. If the current status does not match the expected status, we will try to find out the reason and propose some approaches to narrow the gap.

Software and resources for the evaluation: According to the current evaluation plan, no software needs to be built. Paper survey will be essential for carrying out the evaluation.

Author: Mengchu Li

RESEARCH PLAN**Table 1: Research Plan**

until 06.11.2017	Preparation and submission of research proposal Literature review to determine SRP's context Narrow the scope of the project
07.11.2017	Presentation of the research proposal Meeting with the instructor
08.11.2017	Starting project work: Research on current nano data centers in practical use What has been treated theoretically and practically as nano data centers (projects, etc.)
until 20.11.2017	Preparation and submission of progress report I
21.11.2017	Presentation of the progress report I Meeting with the instructor
22.11.2017	Continue the project work: Research on current nano data centers in practical use What has been treated theoretically and practically as nano data centers (projects, etc.)
12.12.2017	Mid term synchronisation Meeting with the instructor
13.12.2017	Continue the project work: Search for obstacles, why the examined works have not yet been practically implemented or applied Evaluation of the search results
until 12.01.2018	Preparation and submission of progress report II
13.01.2018	Continue the project work: Evaluation of the search results
until 26.01.18	Preparation and submission of final deliverables
30.01.18 or 06.02.18	Presentation of final deliverables

At the beginning of the project the question is asked, why there are no practical implementations despite some research projects and works on nano data centers. It is researched whether there is

a comparable practical use of distributed nano data centers. It will be searched for corresponding research projects and works. Based on these initial research results, a hypothesis is put forward why there is still no practical use of such distributed systems. This is followed by a search for the reasons why it has not yet come to the use of such nano data centers. The search results will be evaluated at the end.

Author: Diana Irmscher

RISK ANALYSIS

There is the possibility that no corresponding literature can be found. In addition, there is a risk that the search lasts too long. Maybe the individual questions in the problem statement can not be answered specifically. The topic could be too comprehensive or too complex, therefore we could stay with the research on specific topics.

Author: Diana Irmscher

REFERENCES

- [1] Inc. Cisco Systems. 2017. The Zettabyte Era: Trends and Analysis - Cisco. (07 2017). https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/vni-hyperconnectivity-wp.html#_Toc484556821 (Accessed on 11/05/2017).
- [2] D. Dumitriu, E. Knightly, A. Kuzmanovic, I. Stoica, and W. Zwaenepoel. 2005. Denial-of-Service Resilience in Peer-to-Peer File Sharing Systems, Vol. 33. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1071690.1064218>
- [3] Fatemeh Jalali, Robert Ayre, Arun Vishwanath, Kerry Hinton, Tansu Alpcan, and Rodney S. Tucker. 2014. Energy Consumption of Content Distribution from Nano Data Centers versus Centralized Data Centers. *SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 42 (2014), 49–54.
- [4] Nikolaos Laoutaris, Pablo Rodriguez, and Laurent Massoulié. 2008. ECHOS: Edge Capacity Hosting Overlays of Nano Data Centers. *SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev.* 38 (Jan. 2008), 51–54. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1341431.1341442>
- [5] Darshan Mhapasekar. 2011. Accomplishing anonymity in peer to peer network. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1947940.1948055>
- [6] Vytautas Valancius, Nikolaos Laoutaris, Laurent Massoulié, Christophe Diot, and Pablo Rodriguez. 2009. Greening the internet with nano data centers. In *CoNEXT*. ACM, 37–48.