Michael_Ghattas_WP9

Michael Ghattas

2024-11-10

Exercise:

- 1. Fit a Cox proportional hazard model to the data set with the predictors sex, and wt.loss (as main effects).
- 2. Interpret the regression coefficients for the model.
- 3. Predict the survival of a female who has wt.loss = 20.
- 4. Assess the model assumptions

```
attach(lung)
lung <- lung |>
  filter(!is.na(ph.ecog)) |>
  mutate(
    ph.ecog = factor(
        ph.ecog,
        levels = c(0, 1, 2, 3, 4),
        labels = c("Asymptomatic", "Ambulatory", "In bed <50%", "In bed >50%", "Bedridden")),
    ph.ecog = fct_drop(ph.ecog),
    ph.ecog = fct_lump_n(ph.ecog, n = 2, other_level = "Bedridden"),
    sex = factor(sex, levels = c(1, 2), labels = c("Male", "Female")),
    patient_id = row_number()
)
head(lung)
```

```
##
     inst time status age sex
                                     ph.ecog ph.karno pat.karno meal.cal wt.loss
## 1
           306
                     2 74 Male
                                   Ambulatory
                                                    90
                                                              100
                                                                      1175
                                                                                 NA
                                                                      1225
## 2
        3
                     2 68 Male Asymptomatic
                                                    90
                                                               90
           455
                                                                                 15
## 3
        3 1010
                     1 56 Male Asymptomatic
                                                    90
                                                               90
                                                                        NA
                                                                                 15
           210
                                                    90
## 4
        5
                     2 57 Male
                                   Ambulatory
                                                               60
                                                                      1150
                                                                                 11
## 5
        1
           883
                     2 60 Male Asymptomatic
                                                   100
                                                               90
                                                                        NA
                                                                                  0
## 6
       12 1022
                     1 74 Male
                                   Ambulatory
                                                    50
                                                               80
                                                                       513
                                                                                  0
     patient_id
##
## 1
## 2
              2
## 3
              3
              4
## 4
## 5
              5
              6
## 6
```

1. Fit a Cox proportional hazard model to the data set with the predictors sex, and wt.loss (as main effects).

```
cox_lung <- coxph(Surv(time, status) ~ sex + wt.loss, data = lung)</pre>
summary(cox_lung)
## Call:
## coxph(formula = Surv(time, status) ~ sex + wt.loss, data = lung)
##
##
    n= 213, number of events= 151
##
      (14 observations deleted due to missingness)
##
##
                         exp(coef)
                                     se(coef)
                                                   z Pr(>|z|)
                   coef
                         0.5864892 0.1743363 -3.061
## sexFemale -0.5336010
                                                      0.00221 **
## wt.loss
              0.0001296 1.0001296 0.0061051 0.021
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
##
             exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
## sexFemale
                0.5865
                           1.7051
                                     0.4167
                                               0.8254
                           0.9999
## wt.loss
                1.0001
                                     0.9882
                                               1.0122
##
## Concordance= 0.587 (se = 0.026)
## Likelihood ratio test= 9.87 on 2 df,
                                           p=0.007
## Wald test
                        = 9.38 on 2 df,
                                           p=0.009
## Score (logrank) test = 9.6 on 2 df,
                                          p=0.008
```

2. Interpret the regression coefficients for the model.

Interpretation:

- The negative coefficient indicates that being female is associated with a lower hazard (risk of death) compared to being male.
- The hazard ratio of 0.5865 means that females have approximately 41.35% lower hazard than males, holding wt.loss constant.
- Since the p-value is less than 0.01, this effect is statistically significant.
- The coefficient is close to zero, and the hazard ratio is approximately 1, suggesting no significant effect of wt.loss on the hazard.
- The p-value is very high, indicating that variations in wt.loss are not significantly associated with the hazard rate in this model.

3. Predict the survival of a female who has wt.loss = 20.

```
# Define the new data point
new_data <- data.frame(sex = "Female", wt.loss = 20)

# Obtain the survival curve
surv_pred <- survfit(cox_lung, newdata = new_data)

# Display the survival probabilities at specific times
summary(surv_pred, times = c(100, 200, 300, 400, 500))</pre>
```

```
## Call: survfit(formula = cox_lung, newdata = new_data)
##
##
    time n.risk n.event survival std.err lower 95% CI upper 95% CI
     100
                            0.912
                                   0.0202
                                                   0.873
##
            186
                      26
                                                                 0.952
##
     200
            140
                      35
                            0.783
                                   0.0358
                                                   0.716
                                                                 0.857
##
     300
             89
                      29
                            0.651 0.0482
                                                   0.563
                                                                0.753
##
     400
                      22
                            0.521
                                   0.0569
                                                   0.421
                                                                 0.645
             57
                            0.434 0.0603
                                                   0.331
##
     500
             41
                      12
                                                                 0.570
```

Interpretation:

- Survival Probability at Time 100 Days: There's a 91.2% chance that the patient will survive beyond 100 days.
- At 200 Days: The survival probability decreases to 78.3%.
- At 300 Days: It further decreases to 65.1%.
- At 400 Days: The probability is 52.1%.
- At 500 Days: There's a 43.4% chance of surviving beyond 500 days.
- The standard error and 95% confidence intervals provide information about the precision of these estimates.

4. Assess the model assumptions

```
# Test proportional hazards assumption
ph_test <- cox.zph(cox_lung)
print(ph_test)</pre>
```

```
## sex 2.6849 1 0.10
## wt.loss 0.0305 1 0.86
## GLOBAL 2.7233 2 0.26
```

Interpretation:

- Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that the effect of sex is proportional over time. Thus, the PH assumption holds for sex.
- With a high p-value, there's no evidence against the PH assumption for wt.loss.
- The global test also suggests that the PH assumption holds for the model overall.
- The test results indicate that the PH assumption is not violated for either of the predictors or the model as a whole.

END.