2.2 Anti-Fraud Strategy #AntiFraud

2.2.1 Intro

This systems serve to hinder as much as possible fraudsters and other criminals.

- #DEF #FraudDetection Fraud Detection: we try to recognize or discover fraudulent activities (also called *ex-post approach*, after the fact has happened).
- #DEF #FraudPrevention Fraud Prevention: we try to entirely avoid or reduce fraud (also called ex-ante approach, before the fact has happend).

2.2.2 Fraud Prevention Examples #PreventionExamples

STRONG CUSTOMER AUTHENTICATION (PSD2)** #PSD2

PSD2 is a european regulatory requirement designed to:

- · Reduce fraud;
- More secure online payments. #SCA
 Achieved by meeting SCA (Secure Customer Authentication) requirements, namely authentication must use at least two of these factors:
 - Something the customer knows (PIN, password ecc.);
 - Something the customer has (phone, tablet ecc.);
 - Something the customer is (fingerprint, face recognition ecc.).

This standard is now required in every online payment within Europe except for these cases:

- Low risk transactions;
- Payments below 30€;
- Fixed-amount subscription;
- Transactions initiated by the seller;
- Trusted beneficiaries;
- · Phone sales;
- Corporate payments.

Ways to secure a payment:

- 3D Secure
- 3D Secure 2
- Apple Pay or Google Pay

SMART CARDS (OR USB KEYS) #SMARTCARDS

CIAO

2.2.3 Fraud Detection and Fraud Prevention #AntiFraudLifecycle

Anti-Fraud strategies cycle:

- 1. Fraud Detection or Prevention mechanism deployed;
- 2. Fraudsters adapt and change their behavior;
- 3. Decrease in fraud detection or prevention power;
- 4. Back to point 1.

From this facts, we can infer that an effective anti-fraud strategy has 4 main points:

- 1. Prevention;
- 2. Detection;
- 3. Deterrence;
- 4. Response.

2.2.4 Expert-based Approach #ExpertBasedApproach

#DEF Anti-Fraud approach built on the domain knowledge of the fraud analyst.

This approach is carried out by doing manual investigations of suspicious cases.

Whenever a <u>new fraud scheme</u> is found, a <u>detailed investigation</u> is requiered in order to address how to tackle the new threat.

==> Once the new fraud mechanism is comprehended, the fraud detection and prevention mechanisms are extended.

2.2.4.1 Rule-based engine #RuleBasedEngine

If-Then Rules: With a rule-based engine, previously detected fraud patterns are defined in rules that are then applied to transactions and trigger an alert when a fraud may be committed. #IfThenRules

Disadvantages:

- Expensive to deploy;
 - Requieres manual input;
 - Difficult to update and mantain
 - ==> Every signaled case requires human follow-up and investigation.
- Fraudsters can learn the rules and circumvent them
- New fraud patterns are not automatically signaled

A rule-based engine must continuously monitored, improved, and updated to remain effective.

Rule-based engine VS Automated Fraud-Detection Systems

- <u>Expert-based</u> fraud-detection system relies on human expert input, evaluation, and monitoring ==> labour intensive, requires human interventions.
- <u>Automated Fraud-Detection Systems</u> require less human involvement and could lead to a more efficient and effective system.

However, expert knowledge remains crucial in order to build effective systems.

2.2.5 Fraud Management #FraudManagement

Upon detection of a fraudolent activity, two measures can be taken:

- Corrective measures;
- Preventive measures.

2.2.5.1 Corrective Measures

Corrective measures aim to resolve the fraud and correct the consequences.

With this approach, actions are taken to **retrospectively detect** and subsequently **address** similar **fraud cases**.

This retrospective approach is composed of two phases:

- Assessment of the impact of the newly detected type of fraud;
- Resolution, by means of corrective measures.

The sooner the corrective measures are taken and fraud is detected ==> the more effective such measures are and the more losses can be avoided.

2.2.5.2 Preventive Measures

Actions that aim at preventing future frauds, making the organization more robust and less vulnerable.

Usual process:

- 1. **Investigate** the fraud case to understand the underlying mechanisms.
- 2. **Extend** the available expert **knowledge** with the discovered mechanisms.
- 3. Adjust the detection and prevention system.

2.2.5.3 Fraud Becomes Easier to Detect As Time Passes

Cycle:

- 1. A new fraud mechasism is used;
- 2. Increase in the usage:
 - Fraudsters share the knowledge about this new type of fraud.
- 3. Fraud becomes more popular and statistically easier to detect;
- 4. Fraudsters' risk of being exposed increases;
- 5. The fraud mechanism is discovered and detected;
- 6. Similar frauds, committed in the past, are discovered.

The more frauds are discovered ==> the more data is collected and is available ==> Better detection techniques are being developed

2.2.6 Data-Driven Fraud Detection

Data-driven fraud detection in an approach that shifts the focus of the technique from the expertise of the expert to the data collected on previous frauds.

Classic expert-based fraud-detection approaches are:

- Widespread;
- The starting point for anti-fraud strategies and a complementary tool to data-driven fraud detection.

A shift is taking place toward data-driven or statistically-based fraud-detection systems, in order to optimize:

- Precision
- Operational efficiency
- Cost efficiency

2.2.6.1 Precision

Data-driven fraud detection **increases detection power** w.r.t to classic approaches. This is because it **processess massive volumes** of **information to uncover frauds** that are not apparent to the human eye.

Higher precision ==> Higher fraction of frauds inspected

2.2.6.2 Operational and Cost Efficiency

Increasing amount of cases to be analyzed ==> requires automated processes.

Operational requirements exist ==> imposing time constraints on the processing of a case.

Next Chapter: Fraud Detection Techniques