We Showed Up

Prevent, Mitigate, and Recover (PMR) Insight Collective Knowledge System (PICK) Software Configuration Management Plan Version 2.0 2/2/2020

Document Control

Approval

The Guidance Team and the customer shall approve this document.

Document Change Control

| Initial Release: | 1.0 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Current Release: | 2.0 |
| Indicator of Last Page in Document: | * |
| Date of Last Review: | 2/18/2020 |
| Date of Next Review: | 3/1/2020 |
| Target Date for Next Update: | 3/3/2020 |

Distribution List

This following list of people shall receive a copy of this document every time a new version of this document becomes available:

Guidance Team Members:

Dr. Gates Dr. Salamah Dr. Roach Elsa Tai Ramirez Peter Hanson Jake Lasley

Customers:

Dr. Oscar Perez Vincent Fonseca

Herandy Denisse Vazquez

Baltazar Santaella Florencia Larsen Erick De Nava

Software Team Members:

Ricardo Alvarez Daniela Garcia Matthew Iglesias Jessica Redekop Diego Rincon

Change Summary

The following table details changes made between versions of this document

| Version | Date | Modifier | Description |
|---------|----------|------------------|--|
| 1.0 | 2/4/2020 | Matthew Iglesias | Section 3.1: Documentation |
| 1.1 | 2/5/2020 | Jessica Redekop | Section 3: Introduction |
| | | _ | Section 3.2: Configuration Control Board |
| | | | Section 3.3: Procedures (Intro) |
| 1.2 | 2/5/2020 | Jessica Redekop | Section 1: Introduction |
| 1.3 | 2/5/2020 | Daniela Garcia | Section 2.2: Software Configuration Item |

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | ii |

| | | | Organization |
|-----|-----------|------------------|---|
| 1.4 | 2/5/2020 | Daniela Garcia | Section 3.3: Procedures |
| 1.5 | 2/5/2020 | Ricardo Alvarez | Section 2.1: Software Configuration Item |
| | | | Identification |
| | | | Section 4: Software Configuration Auditing |
| 1.6 | 2/5/2020 | Matthew Iglesias | Section 1.1: References |
| 1.7 | 2/5/2020 | Diego Rincon | Revised Section 1-4 |
| | | | Added introduction and re-wrote Section 4 |
| 1.8 | 2/17/2020 | Jessica Redekop | Revised Introduction 1.1: Grammar |
| | | | Revised Section 2.2: Added folder |
| | | | organization for configuration items and |
| | | | removed detritus material |
| 1.9 | 2/22/2020 | Matthew Iglesias | Section 3.1: Revised changes upon Teacher |
| | | | Assistant requests |
| 2.0 | 2/23/2020 | Diego Rincon | Revised Section 4 and made corrections as |
| | | | specified by the teacher assistant |
| 2.1 | 2/24/2020 | Daniela Garcia | Revised section 3.3 and made corrections as |
| | | | specified by the Teaching Assistant |
| 2.2 | 2/24/2020 | Ricardo Alvarez | Revised section 2.1 Item identification and |
| | | | made corrections specified by the Teaching |
| | | | Assistant |
| | | | |

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | iii |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DO | CUME | ENT CONTROLII | Ĺ |
|----|--------------|--|---|
| | Docu | OVALMENT CHANGE CONTROL | I |
| | DISTR | GE SUMMARYGE SUMMARY | I |
| 1. | INTR | CHANGE CONTROL III ON LIST III MMARY III CCTION 1 EE ENCES 1 WARE CONFIGURATION IDENTIFICATION 2 IWARE CONFIGURATION ITEM IDENTIFICATION 2 WARE CONFIGURATION ITEM ORGANIZATION 2 WE CONFIGURATION CONTROL 3 UMENTATION 3 FIGURATION CONTROL BOARD 3 CEDURES 4 | |
| | 1.1. | REFERENCES | 1 |
| 2. | SOFT | WARE CONFIGURATION IDENTIFICATION2 | , |
| | 2.1. 2.2. | SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION ITEM IDENTIFICATION | |
| 3. | SOFT | WARE CONFIGURATION CONTROL | , |
| | 3.1. | DOCUMENTATION | |
| | 3.2. 3.3. | CONFIGURATION CONTROL BOARD | |
| 4. | SOFT | TWARE CONFIGURATION AUDITING4 | ļ |

1. Introduction

This document will describe the Software Configuration Management (SCM) Procedures for the PICK Software Tool. We are creating the SCM plan to follow a uniform set of rules that the Software Configuration Identification, Control, and Auditing process will be referred to throughout the PICK Tool development lifecycle for consistency and ease of modification management. This section provides an introduction and overview to the plan and provides references that will be used throughout the document. Section 2, the Software Configuration Identification, will describe the identification (labels) and organization for the configuration items. Section 3, the Software Configuration Control, describes the detailed mechanism for preparing, evaluating, and approving or disapproving all change proposals to the PICK configuration items throughout the life cycle. It will also describe the naming conventions for version control branches. Section 4, the Software Configuration Auditing, will describe how auditing will be done for the code pushes, pulls, and testing.

1.1. References

- [1] O. Perez et al, Requirements Definition Document, Lethality, Survivability and HSI Directorate, 2019.
- [2] "Components and Containers in AWT". Internet:

https://www.cs.utexas.edu/~mitra/csSpring2009/cs313/lectures/GUIComponents.html, 2009 [Jan. 28, 2019]

[3] "PEP 8 -- Style Guide for Python Code." (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0008/

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | 1 |

2. Software Configuration Identification

This section provides a description of the configuration items identified to be relevant to the configurations of the PICK Tool project as well as the directory structure to be followed for each of them. The purpose of this is to keep track of the relevant files of the system and provide an organizational plan to maintain consistency between each configuration.

2.1. Software Configuration Item Identification

The software configuration items (CIs) are the items relevant to each configuration of the PICK Tool being developed, those identified as necessary include:

- 1. Source Code: This refers to the source code developed by team We Showed Up for the PICK Tool.
- 2. Software Requirements Specification (SRS): This document serves as the fundamental guideline of the elicited requirements from the clients and will help shape the development of the software in accordance to them.
- Docstring Derived Documentation: In order to document the Python code being developed, each
 method will feature documentation following the Docstring convention which will be also exported for
 quick reference to the team, clients and future maintenance.
- 4. Test Plan Document: This document includes the test objectives, procedures, scope, results, schedules, features to be tested, features not to be tested, assumptions and tools to be used for testing.
- 5. Tools Documentation: Due to the functionality being implemented to the PICK Tool, there shall be documentation derived from the current releases of the tools that the software shall interact with in order to ensure consistence between configurations. The tools being considered include:
 - a. Optical Character Recognition (OCR): Due to the cruciality of the role of this tool in the software for transcribing image logs, the OCR shall be tracked as a feature of the configurations. The OCR used for this project is pytesseract.
 - b. Transcriber: This tool takes a big role in the system since it will be used to transcribe the audio and video logs into text. The audio/video transcriber used for this project is pocket sphinx which is part of the python speech recognition library.
 - c. SPLUNK: Since this tool is used to convert the logs into manageable structures to be gathered from the Splunk API into the system.
 - d. QGraphViz: This tool will be used by the system in order to create the graph of nodes.
- 6. SCM: This document will be prone to change throughout configurations if there is a procedure found to be better than the ones expressed at the time of writing.

All documents on this list are in the team GitHub under the "doc" folder.

2.2. Software Configuration Item Organization

The Software Configuration Item Organization will be organized in folders on the version control system, git. The file directory in the team GitHub is as follows:

Project documentation *doc/* contains important documentation such as the test plan, installation process, CRC, and SCM, the source code directory is located in *src/* where all source code files will reside, inside of src/ there are folders for the transcribers, UI files, ingestion, connections to DB and Splunk, gv files(created by QGraphViz), and all .py program files, the /tutorialdata file contains log files, audio files, and image files, which we used to demo the ingestion process. As we are modelling our software structure through responsibility-driven design, we will populate the *src/* folder by subdividing the Software Configuration Items into their respective components into respective folders. For example:

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | 2 |

| Inge | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| i doc | Added testplan | 11 days ago |
| src src | Splunk session token is send through the network | 5 days ago |
| tutorialdata | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| .DS_Store | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| :travis.yml | Update .travis.yml | 3 months ago |
| README.md | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| requirements.txt | initial | 2 months ago |
| test.txt test.txt test.txt | initial | 2 months ago |
| | | |
| | | |
| Connections | Splunk session token is send through the network | 5 days ago |
| IngestionSubProcesse | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| Transcribers | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| ™ UI | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| maltego | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| ☐ Cleanser.py | Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| EventConfiguration.py | Modified object_id to facilitate the use of object id in Database.py | 9 days ago |
| ■ IngestionFunctionality | r.py Refactor new code to master branch | 15 days ago |
| ■ LogFile.py | added object id methods in relevant classes (missing graph class) | 13 days ago |
| ■ Node.py | Modified object_id to facilitate the use of object id in Database.py | 9 days ago |

3. Software Configuration Control

This following section describes the detailed mechanism for preparing, evaluating, and approving or disapproving all change proposals to the PICK configuration items throughout the life cycle. The purpose of this section is to identify what mechanisms will be used to control access to items in the configuration in order to prevent unauthorized updates and collisions between team members working on the system simultaneously.

3.1. Documentation

All team members are subject to documenting pull requests, commits and changes to the software program. This includes providing adequate, detailed information in the form of git commit messages and merge requests each time a change is made. In addition, providing each team member with a subsequent branch in which he or she will contribute, will be carefully documented and revised before merging the changes/additions to the master branch. It is the responsibility of each team member to commit their changes/additions made to the software program on the team repository. Change requests are to be descriptively documented by the team member initiating the pull request. This ensures any errors that may arise to be fixed accordingly.

Change requests will be made through a user who seeks to make a change request. The user is also responsible for ensuring the change request contains start and delivery dates, priority level and required approval signatures, this will be done through pull requests when merging new changes into the master branch.

3.2. Configuration Control Board

The Configuration Control Board is an organizational body for formally evaluating and approving or disapproving a proposed change to the PICK software system. Each branch created from the deliverable branch will be assigned to one team member or a group of team member working on a specific area of the project,

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | 3 |

meaning that each item in a deliverable will be assigned to the responsible party who will create a branch from the deliverable branch to configure the item. Only the specific team member assigned to the configuration item will have access to the branch before the push into the deliverable branch. Changes will be approved or disapproved thorough Travis CI; once all team members audit the section and accept the merge onto the deliverable branch, the branch will be pushed onto the master branch.

The factors taken into consideration to begin a merge will be described in Section 4. Team will document errors in the code (Section 3.1) found through the process described in Section 4. We will use every team member to accept the change to keep everyone up to date with the code and the changes implemented in it. Once the code is audited, the team will approve the code and the branch will be merged onto the deliverable branch. This will be tested through Travis CI unit testing. If any other changes need to be made after the merge, a new branch will be pulled, modified, and pushed with the correction using the labeling scheme described in Section 2.2. Pull requests for a new deliverable branch from the master and a configuration item modification/addition from a deliverable branch will be done through Travis CI which will advise of any collisions in code.

3.3. Procedures

This section will describe the procedures for controlling changes to the PICK software system. The configuration items will be managed through manual pull requests on GitHub. For each deliverable we will create a branch from the master branch, and the changes will be controlled by pull request approvals.

The procedure for making changes will be as follows:

- 1. proposed changes will be discussed in person during team meetings and distributed among team members
- 2. A change log will be documented by the lead, who will be responsible for making sure the changes are made before sending as a pull request.
- 3. Once the change has been approved and assigned the programmer will update the log file with their name on it.
- 4. The programmer(s) will pull the most recent stable version depending on the change and implement it.
- 5. After it is implemented, the programmer will upload the changes to the repository in their branch.
- 6. When the working version is uploaded and tested, the programmer will update the change log to reflect that the change has been completed and where the change is located.
- 7. Lastly, the programmer will notify another member of the team the changes have been uploaded so they are able to review the changes.

The procedures defined in this section must be consistent with the considerations and procedures defined in other sections of this document. In order to maintain consistency in the repository, the lead of the current deliverable will oversee making sure the branch creation, commits, and pull requests are done correctly. The individual branches will be created by the lead by making sure the master branch is updated, creating the branch from the master branch.

4. Software Configuration Auditing

The following section will be describing the processes through which the configuration procedures mentioned in Section 3 are tracked, measured, and evaluated to ensure that the same are being followed to comply with the client's requirements.

The primary tool to track SCC will be the SRS, which will be used to check that all user interfaces and their components are included in the system, and the correct components and data types are implemented in all classes. The naming convention for the classes will follow the camel-case convention (i.e., UserInterface(PickUI)) and the naming conventions for the methods' variables will follow the snake-case convention (i.e., process_image_logs(log_path)). Finally, the SRS, the client feedback from previous demos,

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | 4 |

Software Configuration Management Plan

and the test plan will be used as a guideline to test the behavior of each UI component in the system to ensure that the system is functional in accordance with the clients' specifications and requirements.

Audits will be carried out once a week, with each audit covering the user interfaces, class inspection, and testing the behavior of the software to ensure it complies with the specifications of section 3.2.3(Stimulus) of the SRS. Once all elements in the audits are addressed, they will be validated, which will ensure the progress made on the deliverables of the project do not deviate from the client's requirements unless explicitly specified by them on later stages of the project's development.

Reports on updates will be traced back to the SRS and other documents based on documents where programmer's change requests were based off of, such as recordings and notes taken during demos to ensure the features being implemented in the software comply with the client's specifications. The executable file in the main repository "MainExecutable.py" will be undergoing black box and sanity testing to ensure, from the perspective of the end-user, that the features on the update reports are included and implemented in the main executable file, as well as considering the basic usability and accessibility of the application. The tests for the project have been explained and the results have been documented in the test plan which is located in the /src folder in the GitHub repository.

*

| SCM | We Showed Up | Date | Page |
|-----|--------------|----------|------|
| | | 2/6/2020 | 5 |