

Notes about the Generalized Interpolation Material Point Method

Miguel Molinos Pérez

Dpto. de Matemáticas Aplicadas
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM)
`m.molinos@alumnos.upm.es`

June 12, 2019

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Governing Equations	4
2.1	Initial discretization	4
2.2	Discrete Solution Procedure	5
2.3	Observations	6
3	Stresses in the material-point-method	7
3.1	Objective evaluation of stresses	7
3.2	Grid-crossing errors in the MPM	7
3.3	Gravitational loading in the MPM	7
3.4	Alternative approaches for updating stresses	7
3.5	Reduced integration of stress	7
4	Large strain formulation for the material-point-method	8
4.1	Tracking large deformation	8
4.2	Large strain analysis by the material point method	8
4.2.1	Evaluationg the weighting functions using Gauss quadrature	8
4.2.2	Analytical approximation of the weighting functions	8
4.3	Refinement in the material-point-method	8
4.3.1	Material-point splitting	8
4.3.2	Material-point splitting in case of extreme deformations	8
4.3.3	Refining the computational grid	8

1 Introduction

The past several decades have brought tremendous advances in computing power and provided fertile ground for the development of the computational sciences. In computational solid mechanics the Finite Element Method (FEM) (METER CITA MOLONA) has been very successfully applied to a wide range of problems with good results. However, body fixed FEM meshes can be difficult and time consuming to generate for complex three-dimensional objects. Further, mesh distortion associated with large deformations compromises solution accuracy, ultimately requiring re-meshing. These difficulties have spurred the development of alternative discretization strategies which avoid mesh distortion by discretizing at points and never maintaining a body-fixed mesh.

Quite a number of "meshless methods" have been developed. Some of the ways in which the methods differ include whether or not a temporary mesh is used in the solution procedure, whether the discretization procedure begins with the

[5]

2 Governing Equations

In the following derivation of the discrete equations, bold face quantities indicate tensor, ∇ is the gradient operator, and \cdot , and $:$ are first order (vector) and second order tensor contraction, respectively. The subscript p is used to index material point variables and i grid vertex variables. The notation \sum_p^{Np} and \sum_i^{Np} is used to denote summation over all material points, and over all grid vertex, respectively.

Of interest in solid mechanics is the deformation and material response to a continuous solid body under prescribed loads and initial conditions, as governed by conservation of mass and momentum. Conservation of mass is satisfied implicitly by leaving discrete particle masses unchanged throughout a computation. Here we develop the discrete version of conservation of momentum, which permits evolution of particle momenta in time. We consider a deformable body acted upon by forces and subjected to either kinematic or traction boundary conditions every where on its surface. The continuum mechanics theory

- Balance of momentum
- Compatibility
-

We work over the balance of momentum in the strong formulation, as we can see in 1

$$\rho a = \nabla \cdot (\sigma) + \rho b \quad (1)$$

Multiplying (1) by ψ we get (2)

$$\psi^T \cdot \rho a = \psi^T \cdot \nabla \cdot (\sigma) + \psi^T \cdot \rho b \quad (2)$$

The function ψ belongs to a space \mathcal{H} (vectorial space with scalar product defined) which verifies $a(\ddot{u}, \dot{u}, u, \psi) = l(\psi) \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}$. In other hand, in every point of Ω where we have imposed the Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\psi(x) / \psi|_{\Gamma_D} = 0 \quad , \quad \psi \in H^1(\Omega)\} \quad (3)$$

In other words, \mathcal{H} is the space defined by all the functions g , that ψ and $\nabla \psi$ are FUNCIONES DE CUADRADO INTEGRABLE in Ω

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \, d\Omega < \infty \quad , \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \, d\Omega < \infty \quad (4)$$

Integrating (2) over Ω we get (5)

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot \rho a \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot \nabla \cdot (\sigma) \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot \rho b \, d\Omega \quad (5)$$

integrating by parts the term of the internal tension in (5), we get (6)

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot \rho a \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\psi^T \cdot \sigma) \, d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T : \sigma \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot b \, d\Omega \quad (6)$$

applying the Gauss theorem over (6), and following the definition of the stress vector $t = \sigma \cdot n$ where n is the vector orthogonal to a surface in the solid, finally we get the variational form for conservation of momentum may be written as 7

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot \rho a \, d\Omega = \int_{\Gamma} \psi^T \cdot \overbrace{\sigma \cdot n}^t \, d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T : \sigma \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \cdot b \, d\Omega \quad (7)$$

2.1 Initial discretization

The particle characteristic function are required to be a partition of unity in the initial configuration as we can see in (8).

$$\sum_{p=1}^{Np} \chi_p^i(x) = 1 \quad (8)$$

Where χ_p^i denotes the particle characteristic functions restricted to their initial positions and undeformed state. In the simplest cases, particle characteristic function are taken to be initially non-overlapping. However, nothing precludes overlapping, or "fuzzy" particles, as discussed later. Initial particle volumes V_p^i are defined by (9).

$$V_p^i = \int_{\Omega^i} \chi_p^i(x) d\Omega \quad (9)$$

where Ω^i is the initial volume of the continuum body to be discretized. In addition to initial particle volumes, the material point initial masses, m_p^i , momenta, p_p^i and stresses, σ_p^i , must be defined. These properties of the continuum against the particle characteristic functions, as we can see in the equations (10) and (11)

$$m_p^i = \int_{\Omega^i} \rho^i(x) \chi_p^i(x) d\Omega \quad (10)$$

$$p_p^i = \int_{\Omega^i} \rho^i(x) v^i(x) \chi_p^i(x) d\Omega \quad (11)$$

where ρ^i

2.2 Discrete Solution Procedure

Given a material point property, f_p , a representation consistent with the initial discretization procedure is the sum over the material points.

$$f(x) = \sum_p^{Np} f_p \chi_p(x) \quad (12)$$

The particle characteristic functions are used as a basis for representing particle data throughout the computational domain and determine the degree of smoothness of the spatial variation.

Approaching term by term of (7) using (12) we get the following :

- Acceleration term :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \rho \cdot a \cdot d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \frac{m}{V} \dot{v} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \frac{1}{V} \overbrace{m \dot{v}}^{\dot{p}} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \frac{\dot{p}}{V} d\Omega = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \left[\sum_{p=1}^{Np} \frac{\dot{p}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) \right] d\Omega = \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \psi^T \frac{\dot{p}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) d\Omega \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

- Internal forces :

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T \sigma d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi^T \left[\sum_{p=1}^{Np} \sigma_p \chi_p(x) \right] d\Omega = \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \nabla \psi^T \sigma_p \chi_p(x) d\Omega \right] \quad (14)$$

- External forces :

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi^T \rho b d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \frac{m}{V} b d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \psi^T \left[\sum_{p=1}^{Np} \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) \right] b d\Omega = \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \psi^T \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) b d\Omega \right] \quad (15)$$

Finally we get the (1) with the GIPM discretization as (16):

$$\sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \psi^T \frac{\dot{p}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) d\Omega \right] + \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \nabla \psi^T \sigma_p \chi_p(x) d\Omega \right] = \int_{\Gamma} \psi^T t d\Gamma + \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \psi^T \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) b d\Omega \right] \quad (16)$$

where Ω_p denotes the current support of particle characteristic function p, and the current particle volumes are defined by (17)

$$V_p = \int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \chi_p(x) d\Omega \quad (17)$$

Rewriting the balance of momentum, the equation

The other fundamental aspect of PIC methods is the use of a computational grid. In MPM the grid serves as a scratch pad for the solution of conservation of momentum, from which particle states are updated. To complete the discretization procedure, approximations to the admissible velocity fields, or test functions, are introduced in terms of

grid vertex quantities and grid shape functions. This step is analogous to the development of FEM discrete equations. However, use of both grid and particle basis functions to represent test functions and trial functions, respectively, is a Petrov–Galerkin method, [Johnson (1987)], and therefore more akin to some of the meshless methods (in particular [Demkowicz and Oden (1986); Atluri and Zhu (2000)]) than the FEM. The continuous representation, $g(x)$, of grid data, g_i , then

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{Nn} g_i N_i(x) \quad (18)$$

Here $N_i(x)$ is a computational grid shape function, which takes unit value at node i and zero value all the other nodes. Further, the shape function are required to be a partition of unity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{Nn} N_i(x) = 1 \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \psi^T \frac{\dot{p}_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) d\Omega \right] &= \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\frac{1}{V_p} \int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} N_i(x) \chi_p(x) d\Omega \dot{p}_p \right] = \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \bar{S}_{ip} \cdot \dot{p}_p = \dot{p}_i \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \nabla \psi^T \sigma_p \chi_p d\Omega \right] &= - \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \nabla N_i(x) \sigma_p \chi_p d\Omega \right] = \\ &= - \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\frac{V_p}{V_p} \int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \nabla N_i(x) \chi_p d\Omega \sigma_p \right] = \\ &= - \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[V_p \bar{\nabla} S_{ip} \sigma_p \right] = f_i^{int} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma=\partial\Omega} \psi^T t d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma=\partial\Omega} N_i(x) t d\Gamma = f_i^t \quad (22)$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} \psi^T \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) b d\Omega \right] = \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[\int_{\Omega_p \cap \Omega} N_i(x) \frac{m_p}{V_p} \chi_p(x) b d\Omega \right] = \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \bar{S}_{ip} b m_p = f_i^b \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \bar{S}_{ip} \cdot \dot{p}_p &= - \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \left[V_p \bar{\nabla} S_{ip} \sigma_p \right] + \int_{\Gamma=\partial\Omega} N_i(x) t d\Gamma + \sum_{p=1}^{Np} \bar{S}_{ip} b m_p \\ &\quad \Downarrow \\ \dot{p}_p &= f_i^{int} + f_i^t + f_i^b \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

2.3 Observations

3 Stresses in the material-point-method

As we can see in [1]

3.1 Objective evaluation of stresses

3.2 Grid-crossing errors in the MPM

3.3 Gravitational loading in the MPM

3.4 Alternative approaches for updating stresses

The question of when to update stresses has been subjected of research by [2]. In it, Bardenhagen discusses two different ways to update the stresses, either before or after the calculation of internal forces.

3.5 Reduced integration of stress

4 Large strain formulation for the material-point-method

4.1 Tracking large deformation

The geometric area associated with a material point is the given the notion *voxel*. In the reference configuration, the voxel is defined such that V_p corresponds to the size of the *voxel*. The *voxel* notion, was introduced by [6]. The location of the corners associated with each voxel at a deformed state is specified through the deformation-gradient tensor which needs to be tracked throughout the simulation. Adopting this concept, a deformation gradient is associated with each material point in the current state as

$$F_p = \frac{x_p}{\partial x^0} = \frac{1}{V_p^0} \int_{\Omega_p^0} F(x) d\Omega \quad (25)$$

In the initial configuration, the deformation gradient tensor is known. Typically, an undeformed material state will be prescribed ($F^0 = I$, where I is the identity tensor). An explicit forward difference updating scheme for updating the deformation gradient is employed as we can see in

$$F^{k+1} = \Delta F^{k+1} F^k \quad (26)$$

This way of integrating the deformation gradient, using the grid velocities, is also employed by [3] and [4]

4.2 Large strain analysis by the material point method

4.2.1 Evaluating the weighting functions using Gauss quadrature

4.2.2 Analytical approximation of the weighting functions

4.3 Refinement in the material-point-method

4.3.1 Material-point splitting

4.3.2 Material-point splitting in case of extreme deformations

4.3.3 Refining the computational grid

References

- [1] ANDERSEN, S. M., AND ANDERSEN, L. V. Modelling of landslides with the material-point method. *Comput. Geosci.* 14, 1 (jan 2010), 137–147.
- [2] BARDENHAGEN, S. Energy Conservation Error in the Material Point Method for Solid Mechanics. *J. Comput. Phys.* 180, 1 (jul 2002), 383–403.
- [3] GUILKEY, J., AND WEISS, J. A. Implicit time integration for the material point method : Quantitative and algorithmic comparisons with the finite element method, 2003.
- [4] LOVE, E., AND SULSKY, D. L. An energy-consistent material-point method for dynamic finite deformation plasticity. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.* 65, 10 (mar 2006), 1608–1638.
- [5] SCHREYER, H., SULSKY, D., CHEN, Z., AND SCHREYER, H. A particle method for history-dependent materials. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* 118, 1 (1994), 179–196.
- [6] STEFFEN, M., KIRBY, R. M., AND BERZINS, M. Analysis and reduction of quadrature errors in the material point method (MPM). *Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.* 76, 6 (nov 2008), 922–948.
- [7] TRAN, Q.-A., WOBES, E., SOŁOWSKI, W. T., MÖLLER, M., AND VUIK, C. Moving least squares reconstruction for B-spline Material Point Method. In *2nd Int. Conf. Mater. Point Method Model. Soil-Water-Structure Interact. Anura3D* (2019), no. January, pp. 35–41.