

Chapter 1 and Problems in hw-1

Mingjia Huo

Problem 1.Part A. *Proof.* Define $A = \{s \in S \mid \Pr[X = s] \geq \Pr[Y = s]\}$, so $A \subseteq S$.

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{s \in S} \Pr[X = s] = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr[Y = s], \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{s \in A} \Pr[X = s] + \sum_{s \in S \setminus A} \Pr[X = s] &= \sum_{s \in A} \Pr[Y = s] + \sum_{s \in S \setminus A} \Pr[Y = s], \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{s \in A} (\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]) &= \sum_{s \in S \setminus A} (\Pr[Y = s] - \Pr[X = s]). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} |\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{s \in A} (\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]) + \sum_{s \in S \setminus A} (\Pr[Y = s] - \Pr[X = s]) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s \in A} (\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\max_{T \subseteq S} (\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]) = \sum_{s \in A} (\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]),$$

so

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} |\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]| = \max_{T \subseteq S} (\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]).$$

□

Problem 1.Part B. *Proof.* Define $B = \{s \in S \mid D(s) = 1\}$, so $B \subseteq S$.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[D(X) = 1] - \Pr[D(Y) = 1] &= \sum_{s \in B} \Pr[X = s] - \sum_{s \in B} \Pr[Y = s] \\ &= \Pr[X \in B] - \Pr[Y \in B] \\ &\leq \max_{T \subseteq S} (\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]) \\ &= \Delta(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 1.Part C. *Proof.* By the definition of D and X , we have

$$\Pr[D(X) = 1] = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr[X = s]p_s.$$

So

$$\Pr[D(X) = 1] - \Pr[D(Y) = 1] = \sum_{s \in S} (\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s])p_s \quad (1)$$

First, we prove that the optimal D satisfies $p_s \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $s \in S$. If not, there is some $s_0 \in S$, such that $p_{s_0} = q \in (0, 1)$ for D .

1. If $\Pr[X = s_0] \geq \Pr[Y = s_0]$, adjust p_{s_0} to 1. Then by equation (1), the value is non-decreasing.
2. If $\Pr[X = s_0] < \Pr[Y = s_0]$, adjust p_{s_0} to 0. Then by equation (1), the value is non-decreasing.

So the optimal D satisfies $p_s \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $s \in S$.

With the proof of Part B, when D is deterministic, we have

$$\Pr[D(X) = 1] - \Pr[D(Y) = 1] = \Pr[X \in B] - \Pr[Y \in B]$$

Assume $\arg \max_{T \subseteq S} (\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]) = T_{max}$. Then define

$$D_{max}(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & s \in T_{max} \\ 0, & s \notin T_{max} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[D_{max}(X) = 1] - \Pr[D_{max}(Y) = 1] &= \Pr[X \in T_{max}] - \Pr[Y \in T_{max}] \\ &= \max_{T \subseteq S} (\Pr[X \in T] - \Pr[Y \in T]) \\ &= \Delta(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Pr[D(X) = 1] - \Pr[D(Y) = 1] \leq \Delta(X, Y).$$

So

$$\max_D (\Pr[D(X) = 1] - \Pr[D(Y) = 1]) = \Delta(X, Y)$$

□

Problem 2. Given $c \in \mathcal{C}$, we can get at most $|\mathcal{K}|$ plaintexts. That is, there are at least $(|\mathcal{M}| - |\mathcal{K}|)$ plaintexts $m \in \mathcal{M}$, s.t.

$$\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m) = c] = 0.$$

Define $\mathcal{M}_c = \{m \mid \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m) = c] = 0\}$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\text{Enc}_K(m_0), \text{Enc}_K(m_1)) &= \max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} (\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) \in C] - \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_1) \in C]) \\ &= \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \max\{\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) = c] - \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_1) = c], 0\}\end{aligned}$$

Fix m_0 , compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \Delta(\text{Enc}_K(m_0), \text{Enc}_K(m_1)) &= \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \max\{\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) = c] - \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_1) = c], 0\} \\ &= \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \max\{\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) = c] - \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_1) = c], 0\} \\ &\geq \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_c} \max\{\Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) = c] - \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_1) = c], 0\} \\ &= \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_c} \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) = c] \\ &\geq \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} (|\mathcal{M}| - |\mathcal{K}|) \Pr[\text{Enc}_K(m_0) = c] \\ &= |\mathcal{M}| - |\mathcal{K}|.\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is because that there are at least $(|\mathcal{M}| - |\mathcal{K}|)$ items in \mathcal{M}_c . Then with Pigeonhole principle, there exists m_1^* , such that

$$\Delta(\text{Enc}_K(m_0), \text{Enc}_K(m_1^*)) \geq \frac{|\mathcal{M}| - |\mathcal{K}|}{|\mathcal{M}|} = 1 - \frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{|\mathcal{M}|}.$$

Problem 3. First Part. A *secret-sharing scheme* is a 5-tuple $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ where \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} are finite sets and where

- $\text{Enc}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{R}$ is a randomized algorithm;
- $\text{Dec}: \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a deterministic algorithm.

Moreover, we require that $\text{Dec}(\text{Enc}(m)) = m$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$.

Second Part. Let $\Pi = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ be a secret-sharing scheme. Let \mathcal{L} be the distribution over \mathcal{L} induced by the first output of Enc , write down as $\text{Enc}.L$. So $\text{Enc}.L$ is a random variable of range \mathcal{L} . Similarly, $\text{Enc}.R$ is a random variable of range \mathcal{R} .

We say that Π is *perfectly secure* if for every two messages $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\Delta(\text{Enc}(m_0).L, \text{Enc}(m_1).L) = 0, \quad \Delta(\text{Enc}(m_0).R, \text{Enc}(m_1).R) = 0.$$

Problem 1.3. Assume the plaintext is in English. That is, the letter is from a to z . First, we define two functions:

- *to_number*: $\{a, b, \dots, z\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 25\}$

- $to_letter: \{0, 1, \dots, 25\} \rightarrow \{A, B, \dots, Z\}$

Let $\Pi = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ be a Vigenere cipher encryption, where \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{C} are finite sets which represent key, plaintext and ciphertext space respectively. And

- Gen: $\{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a randomized algorithm which generate a key k with its length equal to the input string.
- Enc: $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a deterministic encryption algorithm. Assume the length of k is l . Assume $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n (m_i \in \{a, b, \dots, z\}), k = k_1 k_2 \dots k_l (k_i \in \{a, b, \dots, z\})$, then define ciphertext $c = c_1 c_2 \dots c_n (c_i \in \{A, B, \dots, Z\})$ as followed:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, c_i = to_letter((to_number(m_i) + to_number(k_{i'}) \mod 26),$$

where $i' \equiv i \mod l$.

- Dec: $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a deterministic decryption algorithm. To get plaintext m , do:

$$\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, m_i = to_number^{-1}((to_letter^{-1}(c_i) - to_number(k_{i'}) \mod 26),$$

where $i' \equiv i \mod l$.