# Chap 11

## Mingjia Huo

## Problem 11.3. (a).

Given one-way public key encryption scheme (Gen,Enc,Dec) and randm oracle H, here is the construction:

- 1. Gen: Run Gen $(1^n)$  to obtain keys (pk, sk).
- 2. Encaps: Uniformly choose  $m \in \{0,1\}^n$ , compute  $c = \operatorname{Enc}_{pk}(m)$ . And k = H(m).
- 3. Decaps: Given c, pk, compute  $k = H(\operatorname{Dec}_{pk}(c)) = \operatorname{Decaps}_{nk}(c)$ .

Then prove it's CPA-secure.

Consider the experiment KEM<sup>cpa</sup><sub> $\mathcal{A},\Pi$ </sub>(n). For an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ , let Query be the event that  $\mathcal{A}$  queries m to H. Then,

$$\begin{split} \Pr[\mathrm{KEM}^{cpa}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1] &= \Pr[\mathrm{KEM}^{cpa}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1 \wedge \mathrm{Query}] + \Pr[\mathrm{KEM}^{cpa}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1 \wedge \overline{\mathrm{Query}}] \\ &\leq \Pr[\mathrm{Query}] + \Pr[\mathrm{KEM}^{cpa}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1 \mid \overline{\mathrm{Query}}] \times \Pr[\overline{\mathrm{Query}}] \\ &\leq \Pr[\mathrm{Query}] + \Pr[\mathrm{KEM}^{cpa}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1 \mid \overline{\mathrm{Query}}] \end{split}$$

Since H is a random oracle, H(m) is uniformly distributed from the perspective of the adversary, which is the same with a uniform string. So

$$\Pr[\text{KEM}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{cpa}(n) = 1 \mid \overline{\text{Query}}] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

As for Pr[Query], we can prove it is negligible to n:

Use  $\mathcal{A}$  to construct  $\mathcal{A}'$  in the experiment of *one-way* public key encryption scheme:

- When  $\mathcal{A}'$  is given (pk, c), choose a uniform  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .
- Give (pk, c, k) to  $\mathcal{A}$  and then run  $\mathcal{A}$ . Record all  $\mathcal{A}$ 's queries to  $\mathcal{H}$ , denote as set  $\mathcal{Q}$ .
- Assume |Q| = t, uniform choose an item  $m' \in Q$ , and output m'.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  queries on m, then  $\mathcal{A}'$  has probability  $\frac{1}{t}$  to output m. So

$$\Pr[m' = m] \ge \frac{1}{t} \Pr[\text{Query}].$$

Since Pr[m' = m] is negligible to n, Pr[Query] is also negligible to n.

Thus  $\Pr[\text{KEM}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{cpa}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \text{negl}(n)$ . And this scheme is CPA-secure.

(b)

Yes. Construct a scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  based on RSA.

- Gen(1<sup>n</sup>): Run GenRSA(1<sup>n</sup>) to obtain N, e, d. Here  $N > 2^n$ .
- Enc:  $c = m^e, m \in \{0, 1\}^n$
- Dec:  $m = c^d$ .

Assume RSA problem is hard relative to GenRSA. Then we prove the scheme is one-way: Given  $\mathcal{A}'$  for  $\Pi$ , construct  $\mathcal{A}$  for RSA-inv<sub> $\mathcal{A}$ ,GenRSA</sub>(n).

- $\mathcal{A}'$  is given (N, e, y).
- Run  $\mathcal{A}(N, e, y)$ , and get m.

• Output m.

Here,

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{negl}(n) &\geq \Pr[\operatorname{RSA-inv}_{\mathcal{A},\operatorname{GenRSA}}(n) = 1] \\ &= \Pr[\operatorname{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*] \\ &= (\Pr[\operatorname{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1] - \Pr[\operatorname{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1 \wedge m \not\in \mathbb{Z}_N^*]) \times (\Pr[m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*])^{-1} \\ &\geq (\Pr[\operatorname{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1] - \Pr[m \not\in \mathbb{Z}_N^*]) \times (\Pr[m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*])^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\phi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)>\frac{1}{2}N>\frac{1}{2}\cdot 2^n$ , we have  $(\Pr[m\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*])^{-1}\geq \frac{1}{2}$ . And we have  $\Pr[m\not\in\mathbb{Z}_N^*]$  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ ]  $\leq \text{negl}(n)$ .

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{negl}(n) \geq & (\Pr[\operatorname{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1] - \Pr[m \notin \mathbb{Z}_N^*]) \times (\Pr[m \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*])^{-1} \\ \geq & \frac{1}{2} (\Pr[\operatorname{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1] - \operatorname{negl}(n)). \end{split}$$

That is

$$\Pr[\text{One-Way}_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}(n) = 1] \leq 3 \operatorname{negl}(n).$$

So the experiment of one-way successes with probability negligible to n.

**Problem 11.7.** It's not CPA-secure. Give an attack of adversary A:

- When  $\mathcal{A}$  is given  $(\mathbb{G}, g, q, h)$ , uniformly choose  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , output  $(m_0, m_1) = (0, r)$ .
- When given ciphertext c, if  $c^q \equiv 1 \mod p$ , then output 0; otherwise, output 1.

Here

$$\Pr[\mathrm{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{cpa}(n)=1] = \frac{1}{2}\Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G},g,q,h,c)=0 \mid b=0] + \frac{1}{2}\Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G},g,q,h,c)=1 \mid b=1].$$

If b=0, then  $c=h^r+m_0$ , which is a quadratic residue. And  $c^q\equiv 1 \mod p$ , so the output of  $\mathcal{A}$  is 0. Thus,

$$\Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G}, g, q, h, c) = 0 \mid b = 0] = 1.$$

If b=1, then  $c=h^r+m_1$ , with probability  $\frac{q+1}{p}$  to be a quadratic residue. So the probability that  $c^q \not\equiv 1 \mod p \text{ is } \frac{q}{p} > \frac{1}{4}.$ 

$$\Pr[\mathrm{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{cpa}(n)=1] = \frac{1}{2}\Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G},g,q,h,c) = 0 \mid b=0] + \frac{1}{2}\Pr[\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G},g,q,h,c) = 1 \mid b=1] > \frac{5}{8}.$$

So it's not CPA-secure.

#### **Problem 11.8.** (a).

Denote the bit of two parties as a, b, and assume Pr[a = 0] = p. Let the result be value  $r \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $\Pr[r=0] = \Pr[a=0 \land b=0] + \Pr[a=1 \land b=1] = p \cdot \frac{1}{2} + (1-p) \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Also  $\Pr[r=0] = \frac{1}{2}$ . So the result is uniform.

(b).

Assume A outputs  $(c_1, c_2)$ .

If b wants the result to be 0, just output  $(c_1g, c_2h)$ . So the value it decrypts is the same as  $b_A$ . If b wants the result to be 1, just output  $(\frac{1}{c_1}, \frac{g}{c_2})$ . So the value it decrypts is  $\frac{g}{g^{b_A}} = 1 \oplus b_A$ .

(c).

We should use a CCA-secure scheme.

**Definition 1** (secure coin flip protocol). A secure coin flip protocol with public key encryption scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec) \text{ should satisfy: } \forall \text{ adversary } A,$ 

$$\Pr[Pubk_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1] \le \frac{1}{2} + negl(n).$$

Here we define the experiment  $\operatorname{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n)$  with the decryption oracle:

- 1. Run Gen(1<sup>n</sup>) to obtain (pk, sk). Randomly choose  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  and compute  $\operatorname{Enc}_{pk}(b) = c$ . Then uniformly choose the expected result bit  $r \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- 2. Give (pk, c, r) and the oracle  $\mathcal{O}(\cdot) = \mathrm{Dec}_{sk}(\cdot)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . But  $\mathcal{A}$  can't ask the oracle to decrypt c directly.
- 3.  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs c'.
- 4. If  $b \oplus \operatorname{Dec}_{sk}(c') = r$ , the experiment outputs 1; otherwise, outputs 0.

We prove if  $\Pi$  is a CCA-secure scheme, then the coin flip protocol is secure.

*Proof.* Construct an adversary  $\mathcal{A}'$  for CCA-secure scheme based on  $\mathcal{A}$  for coin flip protocol.

- $\mathcal{A}'$  is given pk and decryption oracle  $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$ .
- Output  $(m_0, m_1) = (0, 1)$  and get  $c = \operatorname{Enc}_{pk}(m_b)$ .
- Run  $\mathcal{A}(pk, c, 0)$ , and get c' from  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Output the bit  $b' = \mathcal{O}(c')$ .

In this construction,  $\Pr[b = b'] = \Pr[\operatorname{Dec}_{sk}(c) = \operatorname{Dec}_{sk}(c')] = \Pr[\mathcal{A}(pk, c, 0) = 1]$ . Since  $\Pi$  is CCA-secure, so  $\Pr[b = b'] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n)$ . So

$$\Pr[Pubk_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(n) = 1] = \Pr[\mathcal{A}(pk, c, 0) = 1] \le \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n).$$

Problem 11.13.

**Definition 2** (secure under t-multiple receivers). A public key encryption scheme  $\Pi = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$  is secure under t-multiple receivers if  $\forall A$ ,

$$\Pr[Pubk_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{t-multi}(n) = 1] \le \frac{1}{2} + negl(n).$$

Here, t should be poly(n).

Here we define the experiment  $\operatorname{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{t-\operatorname{multi}}(n)$ :

- Run Gen(1<sup>n</sup>) for t times, and get  $(pk_i, sk_i), 1 \le i \le t$ . Choose uniform  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- Give  $pk_i, i \in [t]$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $(m_0, m_1)$ .
- Give  $c = (\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_1}(m_b), \cdots, \operatorname{Enc}_{pk_t}(m_b))$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  outputs b'. If b = b', output 1; otherwise output 0.

We prove if  $\Pi$  is a CPA-secure scheme, then it's secure under t-multiple receivers.

*Proof.* Construct an adversary  $\mathcal{A}'$  for CPA-secure scheme experiment based on  $\mathcal{A}$  for security under t-multiple receivers experiment.

- $\mathcal{A}'$  is given pk. Uniform choose  $r \in [t]$ , and denote pk as  $pk_r$ .
- Run Gen(1<sup>n</sup>) for t-1 times and get  $(pk_i, sk_i)$ , with  $i \in [t], i \neq u$ .
- Run  $\mathcal{A}(pk_1, \dots, pk_t)$  and then  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $(m_0, m_1)$ .
- $\mathcal{A}'$  outputs  $(m_0, m_1)$  and get c.
- Then  $\mathcal{A}'$  computes  $c_i = \operatorname{Enc}_{pk_i}(m_0), 1 \leq i < r$  and  $c_i = \operatorname{Enc}_{pk_i}(m_1), r < i \leq t$ . Then give  $c_i, i \in [t]$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- Output the same bit as what  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs, denoted as b'.

In this construction,  $\Pr[Pubk_{\mathcal{A}',\Pi}^{cpa}(n)=1] = \Pr[b=b'] = \Pr[\mathrm{Dec}_{sk}(c_r)=m_b] = \Pr[\mathcal{A}(c_1,\dots,c_t)=b].$  Denote the choice of r as event R, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr[\mathcal{A}(c_{1},\cdots,c_{t})=b] \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{t} \Pr[R=r] \times \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{1}}(m_{0}),\cdots,\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r-1}}(m_{0}),\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r}}(m_{b}),\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r+1}}(m_{1}),\cdots,\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{t}}(m_{1})) = b] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{r=1}^{t} \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{1}}(m_{0}),\cdots,\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r-1}}(m_{0}),\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r}}(m_{0}),\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r+1}}(m_{1}),\cdots,\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{t}}(m_{1})) = 0] \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \sum_{r=1}^{t} \frac{1}{2} \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{1}}(m_{0}),\cdots,\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r-1}}(m_{0}),\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r}}(m_{1}),\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{r+1}}(m_{1}),\cdots,\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{t}}(m_{1})) = 1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2t}(t-1+\Pr[\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{i}}(m_{1})) = 1] + \Pr[\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{Enc}_{pk_{i}}(m_{0})) = 0]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2t}(t-1+2\Pr[\operatorname{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{t-\operatorname{multi}}(n) = 1]). \\ &\operatorname{Since} \Pr[\mathcal{A}(c_{1},\cdots,c_{t}) = b] = \Pr[\operatorname{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{cpa}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n), \text{ we have} \\ &\frac{1}{2t}(t-1+2\Pr[\operatorname{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{t-\operatorname{multi}}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\Pr[\operatorname{Pubk}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{t-\operatorname{multi}}(n) = 1] \le \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n).$$

**Problem 11.15.** The algorithm is not CCA-secure, since it's deterministic.

The adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  just gets the encryption of  $(m_0, m_1)$ , denoted as  $(c_0, c_1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs  $(m_0, m_1)$ . Compare the answer to  $(c_0, c_1)$ , he can know the value of b.

#### Problem 11.20.

### **Algorithm 1** Get\_value(N, e, y): Return x such that $x^e \equiv y \mod N$

```
1: LB \leftarrow 0;
 2: UB \leftarrow N; // Lower bound and upper bound of x;
 3: for each i \in [1, \log_2 N] do
       if A(y) == 0 then
           UB = (UB + LB)/2;
 5:
 6:
       else
           LB = (UB + LB)/2;
 7:
 8:
       end if
       y = (2^e)y;
 9:
10:
       if There is only one number x in [LB, UB). then
           Break;
11:
       end if
12:
13: end for
14: return x;
```

The first i iterations decide a value t such that  $\frac{t}{2^i} < x < \frac{t+1}{2^i}, 0 \le t \le 2^i - 1$ . For iteration i+1:

- $0 < 2^i x \equiv x_i < \frac{N}{2} \mod N$ : We have  $2^{i+1} x \equiv 2x_i \mod N$ . So  $lsb(2^{i+1} x \mod N) = lsb(2x_i) = 0$ .
- $\frac{N}{2} < 2^i x \equiv x_i < N \mod N$ : We have  $2^{i+1} x \equiv 2x_i N \mod N$ . So  $lsb(2^{i+1} x \mod N) = lsb(2x_i N) = 1$ .

$$\frac{2t}{2^{i+1}} < x < \frac{2t+1}{2^{i+1}} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{lsb}(2^{i+1}x \mod N) = 0.$$

$$\frac{2t+1}{2^{i+1}} < x < \frac{2t+2}{2^{i+1}} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{lsb}(2^{i+1}x \mod N) = 1.$$

Thus the algorithm can return x, such that  $x^e \equiv y \mod N$ .

**Problem 11.21.** Given  $\mathcal{A}$  for experiment RSA-half<sub> $\mathcal{A}$ ,GenRSA</sub>(1<sup>n</sup>), construct  $\mathcal{A}'$  for RSA-lsb<sub> $\mathcal{A}'$ ,GenRSA</sub>(1<sup>n</sup>):

- $\mathcal{A}'$  computes  $t = 2^e \mod N$ .
- When  $\mathcal{A}'$  is given (N, e, y), compute  $y' = yt \mod N$ . So  $x' = 2x \mod N$ . Give (N, e, y') to  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- ullet Output the same as what  ${\mathcal A}$  outputs.

If half(x) = 0, then  $y' = yt \equiv x^e \cdot 2^e \equiv (2x)^e \mod N$ . Since 2x < N, we have lsb(x') = 0. If half(x) = 1, then  $y' = yt \equiv x^e \cdot 2^e \equiv (x \cdot 2 - N)^e \mod N$ , where 0 < 2x - N < N. Then lsb(x') = lsb(2x - N) = 1. So

$$half(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow lsb(x') = 0, \quad half(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow lsb(x') = 1.$$

Since x is uniform in  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ , so  $(2x \mod N)$  is also uniform in  $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$ . Thus we have

$$\Pr[\mathrm{RSA-half}_{\mathcal{A},\mathrm{GenRSA}}(1^n)] = \Pr[\mathrm{RSA-lsb}_{\mathcal{A},\mathrm{GenRSA}}(1^n)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{negl}(n).$$

So half(x) is also a hard-core prediction for the RSA problem.