## Integrability and complex structures adapted to smooth vector fields on the plane

Gaspar León-Gil and Jesús Muciño-Raymundo

Abstract. We describe the relations between two integrability notions for  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields X on the plane. The first integrability notion is the existence of non trivial first integrals. The second is related to Cauchy–Riemann equations under suitable complex structures; it means that a vector field X is integrable when is J-complex analytic, under a suitable complex structure J (a priori not from complex multiplication by  $\sqrt{-1}$ ). Geometrically, this last condition means that X admits a global flow box map outside of their singularities. Topological obstructions to both integrability notions are given.

## 1. Introduction

Any paracompact, Hausdorff, orientable,  $C^1$ , two-dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  admits a complex structure J, *i.e.*  $(\mathcal{M}, J)$  is a Riemann surface. We study the analogous problem for  $C^{\infty}$  vector fields X on the  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^2$ , requiring that X becomes the real part,  $\Re \mathbb{X}$ , of a complex analytic vector field on a Riemann surface  $(\mathcal{M}, J)$ .

Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We consider two notions of integrability. X is *integrable* if there exists an integrating factor  $\mu$  such that

$$\mu X = X_f, \tag{I}$$

here  $X_f$  is the Hamiltonian vector field of a suitable  $C^{\infty}$  function f. The second notion seems more recent, X admits a global flow box if there exists a scaling factor  $\rho$  and a local diffeomorphism map (both of  $C^{\infty}$  class) (g,f):  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}(X) \to \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$(g,f)_*(\rho X) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$
 (GFB).

Geometrically it means that outside of the zeros  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ , the associated foliation  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is a lift of the trivial foliation on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Liftable vector fields appear in many problems, in singularity theory Arnol'd [1] pp. 561 or du Plessis and