# in Systems Neuroscience (https://www.frontiersin.c

THIS ARTICLE IS PART OF THE RESEARCH TOPIC Augmentation of Brain Function: Facts, Fiction and Controversy View all 150 Articles (https://www.frontiersin.org/research-topics/1563#articles)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract

Introduction

Criteria of Mind Control

Limiting Mind to Behavior

Obvious Test Cases

Non-Obvious Test Case

Serendipity and Mind

Control

Conclusion

Conflict of Interest

Statement References

 $\textbf{Suggest a Research Topic} > (\text{https://spotlight.frontiersin.org/submit?utm\_source=fweb\&utm\_medium=fjour\&utm\_campaign=rtlg\_sl19\_gen}) \\$ 

**Download Article** 

22,802

SHARE ON

We use cookies on this website to improve your user experience. Learn more (//www.frontiersin.org/legal/list-of-cookies)

CLOSE

1 de 8 19/06/2020 23:54 LOGIN (HTTPS://www.frontiersin.org/people/login) / register/ihttps://gww.rbontiersin.org/people/login) / register/ihttps://gww.rbontiersin.org/journals/front. Syst. Neurosci., 14 October 2014 | https://doi.org/10.3389/insys.2014.00202 | https://doi.org/10.3389/insys.2014.00202

# AWhen "altering brain function" becomes "mind control"

োter/Andrew Kommuhiemi (http://www.frontiersin.org/people/u/139275)<sup>1</sup> and 🧘 Kevin Otto (http://www.frontiersin.org/people/u/3242)<sup>2,3</sup>

Limiting Mind to Behavior of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA O Weldon Schooled Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

<sup>5</sup>J. Crayton Pruitt Family Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA Non-Obvious Test Case

Serendipity and Mind Examptional neurosurgery has seen a resurgence of interest in surgical treatments for psychiatric illness. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) technology is the preferred tool in the current wave of clinical experiments because it allows clinicians to directly alter the functions of targeted brain regions, in a reversible manner, with the intent of correcting diseases of the mind, such as depression, addiction, Conflict of Interest Sancreria nervosa, dementia, and obsessive compulsive disorder. These promising treatments raise a critical philosophical and humanitarian question. "Under what conditions does 'altering brain function' qualify as 'mind control'?" In order to answer this question one needs a definition of mind control. To this end, we reviewed the relevant philosophical, ethical, and neurosurgical literature in order to create a set of criteria for what constitutes mind control in the context of DBS. We also outline clinical implications of these criteria. Finally, we demonstrate the relevance of the proposed criteria by focusing especially on serendipitous treatments involving DBS, i.e., cases in which an unintended therapeutic benefit occurred. These cases highlight the importance of gaining the consent of the subject for the new therapy in order to avoid committing an act of mind control.

#### Introduction

The use of deep brain stimulation (DBS) technology for the treatment of psychiatric disorders is one of the most promising and rapidly evolving areas of neurosurgical research (Abelson et al., 2005; Mayberg et al., 2005; Lozano and Lipsman, 2013). Nonetheless, in treating diseases of the mind by directly altering the brain's functioning, neurosurgeons, neurologists, psychiatrists, and neuro-engineers run the risk of having this effort interpreted as "mind control". The purpose of this paper is to address that specific concern in the context of DBS as it is currently practiced and studied by providing a definition of "mind control" that applies to DBS. That is, it is not intended to account for the neurosurgeons staffing the wards of philosophical thought experiments, whose powers to monitor and manipulate the brain and their patients' actions know no limits (Frankfurt, 1969). Therefore, this paper seeks to cover adult patients who have given informed consent for the treatment of their psychiatric or neurologic illness.

By narrowing the scope of the article, we hope to maximize its relevance while minimizing distracting (though philosophically interesting) cases. It is important to point out that the conditions under discussion (adults, undergoing treatment, who are capable of informed consent-including patients in states such as locked-in syndrome) describe nearly all individuals currently receiving DBS with the exception of those treated for persistent vegetative state who lack the capacity to do anything, including the ability to provide consent (Yamamoto et al., 2010).

While the phrase "mind control" appears in the contemporary literature discussing advances in DBS, it is often brought up dismissively (Fins et al., 2009) or to catch the reader's attention (Horgan, 2004) but never with an accompanying formal definition. This is surprising, especially given a sophisticated and robust ethics literature on DBS and psychiatry that deals with related topics such as autonomy and informed consent (Bell et al., 2009; Clausen, 2010), authenticity (Kraemer, 2013), enhancement (Earp et al., 2014), and paternalism (Sjöstrand and Juth, 2014) as well as unintended side effects of stimulation which alter personality (Synofzik and Schlaepfer, 2008) and the way in which DBS can influence patients' perceptions of their identity (Lipsman et al., 2009). Common features in this literature are an agreement that autonomy is one of the key features that must be preserved in the ethical practice of DBS and that this can been accomplished in psychiatric patients through the practice of informed consent (Dunn et al., 2011).

Beyond the contemporary neuro-ethics literature, mind control has been the subject of numerous books and articles. One of the most thorough accounts of mind control in the context of electrical stimulation of the human mind appears in Elliot Valenstein's aptly titled Brain Control (Valenstein, 1973). While Valenstein never supplies a formal definition of mind control, his primary argument focuses on discrediting the notion that a subject's thoughts, choices or actions could be manipulated through electrical stimulation of the brain by giving a detailed account of its known capabilities and limitations. Other discussions of mind control tend to have focused on psychopharmacologic methods or behavioral methods of altering brain function, such as those employed in the Central Intelligence Agency's MKULTRA program (Senate, 1977).

Possibly the richest source of accounts of mind control is not in the formal academic literature but in the online accounts of individuals who claim to have witnessed acts of mind control or who claim to be the target of mind control. In 2006 Bell et al. provided a formal textual analysis of 10 characteristic examples. Though the authors make it clear that they take these narratives as signs of a delusional disorder and their analysis focused primarily on the social network of the reports, they managed to highlight several themes which the accounts shared. These shared features help to establish an intuitive basis for what people believe qualifies as mind control. The accounts often focused on: (1) an authoritarian organization, such as "the police," "the Dutch government," or "freemasonic intelligence agencies;" (2) employing some tool to augment brain function, such as a "frequency weapon," "brain implant" or "network of transmitters," in order to; (3) alter the subject's thoughts or actions; (4) without the subjects

In proposing our criteria for mind control we retained and formalized all of the common themes of the internet accounts with the exception of the authoritarian organization. The authoritarian element was dropped because the authors saw no reason to exclude individuals acting alone from being capable of committing an act of mind control. This is especially true in the context of DBS where typically only one person or a few people are responsible for the management of the treatment. Therefore, we are proposing the phrase "mind control" be used to describe instances when researchers or clinicians using DBS intentionally alter patients' behavior without consent and define those instances using the criteria below.

After stating our formal criteria, we explain why the criteria are limited to the subject's behavior and neutral with regards to the subject's mental events during the act of mind control. Then we provide test cases, which we argue intuitively do and do not qualify as mind control and are correctly included and excluded by the proposed criteria respectively. Next, we apply the criteria to a non-obvious case of mind control. Finally, we conclude with a discussion of mind control in the context of serendipitous therapy, i.e., cases where an individual sees a therapeutic effect for a psychiatric illness for which he or she did not give consent to have treated, such as in a patient treated with DBS for anxiety who saw a remission of his alcoholism. We argue that in such cases one should gain the individual's explicit consent for the treatment of the serendipitously improved co-morbid

illness or else one would qualify as committing an act of mind control.

cookies on this website to improve your user experience. Learn more (//www.frontiersin.org/legal/list-of-cookies)

LOGIN (HTTPS://www.frontiersin.org/people/login) / register (https://www.frontiersin.org/about/about-frontiers) Journals(https://www.frontiersin.org/journals)

RESEARCH

#### (https://w/Criteria.of/Mind Control

Address in of the brain's functioning through direct stimulation (either activation or suppression of action potentials) within the subject's brain and control when it meets all of the following three criteria:

CResult Control on the Direct alteration of the brain's function must result in a behavioral change in the subject.

LOWISE MICHER SUBject's consent.

Nated প্রাক্তি প্রাক্তি বিশ্ব behavioral change must have been the goal or the purpose of the person or the group controlling the DBS. It cannot be an Secridority জনোমানাntended consequence, including side effects, of the stimulation.

Control In summary, mind control must alter the patient's behavior in an observable way without the subject's consent and must be enacted for that purpose. Conclusion

Conflict of Interes

#### stimiting Mind to Behavior

References. The above criteria rest on an assumption that the ultimate purpose of "mind control" is to modify the behavior of an individual, and the word "mind" is used in a folk psychology manner to describe the intuitive mechanism of the control (Dennett, 1982). It is important to spell out the definition of "mind control" in the context of behavior because that is the relevant way DBS is currently employed. This is because neurosurgeons and neurologists cannot make perfectly reliable *a priori* guesses about what effect a given instance of DBS will have on a given patient. They must therefore rely entirely on their observations of the patients' behaviors, which include their patients' reports.

To understand this point, consider that neurosurgeons have a great deal of information about what parts of the brain are associated with certain faculties, such as the formation and comprehension of speech, sensation of touch over the body, execution of intended movement, and sight. Further, they know that the destruction of these regions will leave the patient with a deficit so protecting them during surgery is one of the surgeon's highest priorities. However, the surgeons cannot predict exactly where these regions are located in specific patients based on previous studies alone (Penfield and Perot, 1963; Kim et al., 2009). Therefore, some neurosurgical cases are performed with the patient awake so that he or she can report the sensations he or she experiences when the neurosurgeon applies electric current to the brain region of interest. Based on the patient's reports, the surgeon will individualize his approach in order to resect the pathological tissue while sparing the functionally important, so called eloquent, cortex. If the procedure were performed without the patient's behavioral feedback there would be a very high probability that an important cortical region would be damaged leaving the patient with a neurological deficit (Penfield and Boldrey, 1937).

The same type of procedure is also essential to the practice of DBS. For example patients must be closely observed intra-operatively for behavioral signs, such as a reflexive smile, in order for the surgical team to determine the effect of stimulation (Okun et al., 2004; Haq et al., 2011). Once the electrode and stimulator are implanted, specially trained neurologists adjust the stimulation parameters and closely observe the effect on the patient's symptoms (Volkmann et al., 2006). Finally, patients must be closely followed during treatment for signs of cognitive decline (Parsons et al., 2006), mood disorders (Bejjani et al., 1999; Kulisevsky et al., 2002), or other, sometimes serendipitous, behavioral changes (Kuhn et al., 2007). In summary, the use of DBS relies entirely on the patient's behavior as the sole feedback mechanism for targeting the electrode as well for modifying the stimulation parameters in order to achieve the desired effect. Because the person or persons controlling the DBS rely on observation of behavior, any instance of mind control using DBS would necessarily rely entirely on the subject's behavior. Therefore, a practical definition of mind control can be limited solely to behavior without directly addressing metaphysical questions related to the mind itself.

## **Obvious Test Cases**

Having proposed the criteria for mind control, it is important to test them. This is best done by asking whether the criteria account for cases of obvious mind control while excluding cases that are obviously not mind control.

For a clear example of mind control, we must (fortunately) look beyond the current practice of DBS into its murkier past. One such case was published in 1963 in the journal *Science* by a psychosurgery group working under Dr. Robert Heath at Tulane University (Bishop et al., 1963). This article detailed a "self-stimulation" experiment in which a 35 year old man was implanted with electrodes in eight different brain structures, including in the head of the caudate, the septal area, and the amygdala. These electrodes were labeled by researchers as either "rewarding" or "aversive" and the subject was given a lever and a button which, when operated, would activate one of the electrodes. As the experiment proceeded, the researchers varied the electrodes which the lever and button activated and also varied the stimulation parameters delivered through the electrodes.

This experiment was based on studies previously done in rats, cats, dogs, goats, monkeys, and bottle nosed dolphins (Olds, 1962) which had shown that the animals' behavior could be predictably controlled by placing stimulating electrodes into "rewarding" and "aversive" regions of the brain and then correlating stimulation through the electrodes to elements of the animals' environment. Therefore, the researchers had good reason to anticipate specific behavioral responses in the human subject. Further, at no point do the authors say that the subject, who was referred to as "clearly nonnormal," gave consent for the experiment or understood why the experiment was conducted.

Looking back to the proposed criteria for mind control, we see that this case satisfies all three. First, electrical stimulation of the brain was employed in a manner that clearly influenced the subject's behavior, satisfying the *Result Criterion*. Second, at no point did the authors state that the patient gave consent to have his behavior manipulated in this manner, satisfying the *Consent Criterion*. Finally, the behavior change was anticipated by the researchers controlling the stimulation of the subject's brain, satisfying the *Intent Criterion*.

Next, we must ask is there an example of altering brain function which obviously is not mind control and, also, is correctly excluded by the *Result, Consent*, and *Intent Criteria?* Consider the treatment of essential tremor with DBS. It is safe, effective, and has been approved by the FDA (Koller et al., 2001). It is believed to work through altering the function of the brain (more specifically by causing a reversible, functional lesion (Grill et al., 2004) in a malfunctioning part of the brain), ultimately permitting the patient to accomplish routine daily activities free from the violent hand tremors that are the hallmark of the disease. This relief of symptoms is the direct result of the electrical pulses in the brain, which alter its standard pattern of firing; however, it is not an instance of mind control.

Why is DBS for the treatment of essential tremor not an example of mind control? After all, it could be argued that one is altering the behavior of the patient's hands, from a tremulous grasp to a stable grip, and that this was explicitly the purpose of the individual programing the DBS device.

However, while this example meets the requirements of the *Result Criterion* as well as the *Intent Criterion*, it fails to meet the *Consent Criterion*.

We use a**bsonance in all cases rof DBS foycas antiak teennes, a<del>blanction of your account fan at your and the later and the late**</del>

CLOSE

3 de 8 19/06/2020 23:54

Longershingly DBS for system of the most continuent of the control 

The same argument also holds for DBS treatments of psychiatric diseases like depression (Lozano et al., 2008). One might make the argument that Abstract being a psychiatric disease, depression is classically described as a disease of the mind. Therefore, if one can control the patient's disease one must be Ctherease Wir DBS for the treatment of essential tremor, the effect on the patient was with the patient's consent, and, thus, it fails the Consent Criterion. Limiting Mind to Behavior

# Non-Obvious Test Case

Non-Obvious Test Case

While it is important that the criteria capture one's intuition, they should also go beyond and clarify murkier territory. The criteria should be able to Challonne examine non-obvious cases and arrive at a reasoned judgment about their status as mind control or as non-mind control. Thus, the criteria above are especially useful when attempting to identify borderline instances of mind control.

Chinning against to the past, consider the following case of an experiment conducted by Jose Delgado and his collaborators Drs. Obrador and Martin-Standriguez into the stimulation of the caudate nucleus of an epileptic patient:

Refaces to be direct observation and by analysis of the record, within 30 s after application of caudate stimulation there was a significant change in the patient's mood. During controls, he was reserved, his conversation was limited and he was concerned about his illness. After caudate stimulation, his spontaneous verbalization increased more than twofold and contained expressions of friendliness and euphoric behavior which culminated in jokes and loud singing in a gay cante jondo style, accompanied by tapping with his right hand, which lasted for about 2 min. The euphoria ued for about 10 min and then the patient gradually reverted to his usual, more reserved attitude. This increase in friendliness was observed following three different stimulation sessions of the caudate, and did not appear when other areas were tested (Valenstein, 1973).

In the above description, the researchers are attempting to correct the patient's epilepsy with the use of electrical current. In testing one of their hypothesized targets, they managed to elicit a strong behavioral effect. The patient's attitude changed from quiet reserve to expressive joviality, i.e., the researchers significantly altered the patient's behavior and in doing so satisfied the Result Criterion, as well as the Consent Criterion because they did not have the patient's consent to alter his behavior in this manner. At this point one could argue, correctly, that this was an accident. The experimenters had no a priori knowledge that the patient would respond to stimulation in this fashion so it could not have been their intention to do so; thus, they failed to satisfy the Intent Criterion.

The essential issue arose when the stimulation was repeated, three different times, without any documentation that the patient wanted to have his personality manipulated in this manner. While this might, at first, seem like nit picking, it is important to appreciate that the experimenters now had reason to believe that the behavior of the individual would be affected in a specific way. When they activated the stimulation and produced the anticipated effect, it was purposeful. In this way, the experimenters fulfilled the Intent Criterion. As in the case of a schizophrenic patient subjected to the self-stimulation experiment above, it seems clear that the researchers' motivation was intellectual curiosity and not malice. Nevertheless, both of these cases demonstrate that malice is not necessary for mind control.

### **Serendipity and Mind Control**

The above case raises a critical question with regard to several recently published studies in which subjects received DBS in an effort to treat one illness, but instead saw serendipitous improvement in a comorbid psychiatric illness. One serendipitous discovery was reported by Kuhn et al. (2007) who attempted to treat a man with anxiety disorder by placing DBS electrodes into his nucleus accumbens, a major component in the reward circuit of the mammalian brain. While the patient's anxiety did not improve, he did see significant remission in his alcohol dependency, leading the group to propose the target as a potential treatment for alcoholism and addiction.

A second example comes from Hamani et al. (2008) who used DBS of the hypothalamus in an effort to help control a patient with morbid obesity. Although the patient continued to gain weight (a fact left out of the primary article and only included in the online supplemental materials) he did experience a flashback while receiving intra-operative test stimulation. This led the researchers to do a battery of studies to determine if stimulation to the same area at a lower level, which did not cause a flashback, could improve memory. To the surprise of the researchers, they found a significant increase in the subject's verbal memory. Based on this finding the authors proposed the anterior fornix (a structure adjacent to the hypothalamus) as a target for the treatment of dementia and began enrolling patients to study it further.

Finally, Israël et al. (2010) describes a case in which a woman was receiving DBS of the subgenual cingulate gyrus (Cg25) for treatment of depression. The authors noted that, although the patient continued to have relapses of major depression, she stopped experiencing symptoms related to a significant comorbid anorexia nervosa. Based on the remarkable improvement the patient experienced, despite her less remarkable improvement for her depression, the authors proposed Cg25 as a target for the treatment of anorexia nervosa.

There are several curious similarities among the cases above. First, the intended effect of DBS was either not seen or was not particularly robust. Second, the serendipitous effect on the comorbid illness (or enhancement of normal faculties in the case of anterior fornix stimulation for memory) was remarkable. Third, based on these cases all authors proposed that the stimulated sites be tested as targets for monotherapy for the responding illness. A final common feature was that at no point did the authors describe the patient receiving informed consent for the managing of the comorbid illness or for enhancing the patient's faculties (Earp et al., 2014), with DBS. The only paper that commented on informed consent was Hamani et al. which stated:

The procedure was approved by the University Health Network Research Ethics Board, and written informed consent was obtained under the guidance of a hospital ethicist, who served as a consent monitor. The basis of the approval for this man was the refractory nature of the obesity, the exhaustion of reasonable therapeutic alternatives, and the possibility of reducing the health risks of chronic obesity should the intervention prove successful (Hamani et al., 2008).

In the passage above, the authors clearly stated a reasonable approach for obtaining informed consent for the treatment of the patient's obesity. However, they did not describe receiving the patient's consent for the use of DBS in order to enhance his verbal memory. Despite not reporting the patient's informed consent to have his memory augmented, they proceeded to run a battery of tests on the patient's memory function and, furthermore, did not mention discontinuing the treatment once it became apparent that DBS was not effective for the treatment of obesity.

The above cases raises a critical question: were these examples of "mind control"? The patients had unexpected alterations in their behavior and it appears, based on the descriptions of the cases, that the DBS was continued primarily because of these unexpected results. Further, the authors did not report that they repeated the informed consent process for the serendipitous alteration in the patient's behavior. The authors of this paper could conjecture that, once the researchers realized the unexpected effect DBS was having on their patient they consulted with him or her and received his

or her blessing to continue therapy. Nonetheless, if they (or others) had not secured the consent of their patients for these new treatment indications, We use cookies on this website to improve your user experience. Learn more (//www.frontiersin.org/legal/list-of-cookies)

then they would be satisfying the Result (behavior change) Consent (happening without patient's consent) and Intent (behavioral change was the goal LOGIN) (REGISTER IN THE STAW WAS ARROWNED TO THE (https://www.incoviers.inc

therapeutic benefit in order to avoid the charge that they are committing an act of mind control.

# Conclusion

LMehlane argued that DBS is not synonymous with mind control; however, if not appropriately safeguarded, patients can be victims of mind control even without malice on the part of those controlling the stimulation, especially in the case of serendipitous treatment of co-morbid psychiatric Obvious Test Cases illnesses. While many instances of mind control are easily identified, there are certain instances where the distinction is more ambiguous. This paper Non-Obvious Test Case outlines a clear set of criteria to help more effectively and reliably clarify those ambiguous cases. For an act to be considered mind control it must Safter the fidth and all sehavior (Result Criterion) without his consent (Consent Criterion) and this alteration to the behavior of the individual must be the goal of the person or group controlling the alteration (*Intent Criterion*). Relying on the researchers' or clinicians' intuitions alone is not sufficient CDECHTISE those intuitions might easily become clouded such as in the serendipitous discovery of an effect of DBS. It is, therefore, important to note Cthat cinclastes of serendipitous treatments of psychiatric illness patients also require the explicit consent for the treatment of the co-morbid illness, or States fill case would qualify as mind control. It is the intention of the authors to minimize the risk of such accidents by clarifying the underlying

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### References

Abelson, J. L., Curtis, G. C., Sagher, O., Albucher, R. C., Harrigan, M., Taylor, S. F., et al. (2005). Deep brain stimulation for refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biol. Psychiatry 57, 510-516. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.042

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=15737666) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15737666) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.042) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com  $/s cholar\_lookup?title=Deep+brain+stimulation+for+refractory+obsessive-compulsive+disorder \& author=Abelson+J.+L. \& author=Curtis+G.+C. \& author=Sagher+O. \& author=Curtis+G.+C. \& author=$  $author = Albucher + R. + C. \& author = Harrigan + M. \& author = Taylor + S. + F. \& + \& publication\_year = 2005 \& journal = Biol. + Psychiatry \& volume = 57 \& pages = 510-516)$ 

Bejjani, B.-P., Damier, P., Arnulf, I., Thivard, L., Bonnet, A.-M., Dormont, D., et al. (1999). Transient acute depression induced by high-frequency deep-brain stimulation. N. Engl. J. Med. 340,

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=10320386) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=10320386) \mid CrossRef Full \ Text \ (http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199905133401905) \mid Google \ Scholar \ (http://scholar.google.com/lines/scho$ /scholar lookup?title=Transient+acute+depression+induced+by+high-frequency+deep-brain+stimulation&author=Bejjani+B.-P.&author=Damier+P.&author=Arnulf+I.&  $author=Thivard+L.\& author=Bonnet+A.-M.\& author=Dormont+D.\&+\& publication\_year=1999\& journal=N.+Engl.+J.+Med.\& volume=340\& pages=1476-1480)$ 

Bell, E., Mathieu, G., and Racine, E. (2009). Preparing the ethical future of deep brain stimulation. Surg. Neurol. 72, 577-586. doi: 10.1016/j.surneu.2009.03.029

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=19608246) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils.ncbi.nlm.ni  $tils/elink.fegi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=19608246) \mid \textbf{CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.surneu.2009.03.029)} \mid \textbf{Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Preparing+the+ethical+future+of+deep+brain+stimulation\&author=Bell+E.\&author=Mathieu+G.\&author=Racine+E.\&publication\_year=2009\&$ journal=Surg.+Neurol.&volume=72&pages=577-586)

Bishop, M. P., Elder, S. T., and Heath, R. G. (1963). Intracranial self-stimulation in man. Science 140, 394-396. doi: 10.1126/science.140.3565.394

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=13971228) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13971228) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.140.3565.394) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com /scholar\_lookup?title=Intracranial+self-stimulation+in+man&author=Bishop+M.+P.&author=Elder+S.+T.&author=Heath+R.+G.&publication\_year=1963&journal=Science&volume=140&

Clausen, J. (2010). Ethical brain stimulation—neuroethics of deep brain stimulation in research and clinical practice. Eur. J. Neurosci. 32, 1152-1162. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07421.x

 $\label{lem:pubmed_Abstract} \begin{tabular}{l} Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=21039955) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu-pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=21039955) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu-pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=210399555 | Pubmed Full Tex$  $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=21039955) \mid \textbf{CrossRef Full Text} \ (http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07421.x) \mid \textbf{Google Scholar} \ (http://scholar.google.com) \mid \textbf{Google Scholar.google.com) \mid \textbf{Google Scholar.google.com} \ (http://scholar.google.com) \mid \textbf{Google.google.com} \ (http://scholar.google.com) \mid \textbf{Google.google.com} \ (http://scholar.google.com) \mid \textbf{Google.google.com$  $/s cholar\_lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+research+and+clinical+practice \& author=Clausen+J. \& publication\_vear=2010\& jour-lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+research+and+clinical+practice \& author=Clausen+J. \& publication\_vear=2010\& jour-lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+research+and+clinical+practice \& author=Clausen+J. \& publication\_vear=2010\& jour-lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+research+and+clinical+practice & author=Clausen+J. \& publication\_vear=2010\& jour-lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+research+and+clinical+practice & author=Clausen+J. \& publication\_vear=2010\& jour-lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+research+and+clinical+practice & author=Clausen+J. \& publication\_vear=2010\& jour-lookup? title=Ethical+brain+stimulation-neuroethics+of+deep+brain+stimulat$ nal=Eur.+J.+Neurosci.&volume=32&pages=1152-1162)

 $Dennett, D. C. \ (1982). \ How to study human consciousness empirically or nothing comes to mind. \textit{Synthese} 53, 159-180. \ doi: 10.1007/bf00484895. \ do$ 

CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00484895) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com

 $/s cholar\_lookup?title = How+to+study+human+consciousness+empirically+or+nothing+comes+to+mind\& author=Dennett+D.+C.\& publication\_year=1982\& journal=Synthese\& volume=53\& journal=Synthese\&$ 

Dunn, L. B., Holtzheimer, P. E., Hoop, J. G., Mayberg, H. S., Roberts, L. W., and Appelbaum, P. S. (2011). Ethical issues in deep brain stimulation research for treatment-resistant depression: focus on risk and consent. AJOB Neurosci. 2, 29-36. doi: 10.1080/21507740.2010.533638

CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21507740.2010.533638) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar\_lookup?title=Ethical+issues+in+deep+brain+stimulation+research+for+treatment-resistant+depression % 3A+focus+on+risk+and+consent & author=Dunn+L.+B. & author=Holtzheimer+P.+E. & author=Hoop+J.+G. & author=Mayberg+H.+S. & author=Hoop+J.+G. & $author=Roberts+L.+W.\& author=Appelbaum+P.+S.\& publication\_year=2011\& journal=AJOB+Neurosci.\& volume=2\& pages=29-36)$ 

Earp, B. D., Sandberg, A., Kahane, G., and Savulescu, J. (2014). When is diminishment a form of enhancement? Rethinking the enhancement debate in biomedical ethics. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 8:12. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=24550792) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=24550792) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00012) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=24550792) \mid$  $/s cholar\_lookup?title=When+is+diminishment+a+form+of+enhancement\%3F+Rethinking+the+enhancement+debate+in+biomedical+ethics\&author=Earp+B.+D.\&author=Sandberg+A.\&au$ author=Kahane+G.&author=Savulescu+J.&publication\_year=2014&journal=Front.+Syst.+Neurosci.&volume=8&pages=12)

Fins, J. J., Krames, E., Peckham, P., and Rezai, A. (2009). "Deep brain stimulation: ethical issues in clinical practice and neurosurgical research," in Neuromodulation, eds Elliot S. Krames, P. Hunter Peckham and Ali R. Rezai (London: Elsevier), 81-91.

 $\label{prop:condition} {\bf Google~Scholar~(http://scholar.google.com/scholar\_lookup?title=Deep+brain+stimulation\%3A+ethical+issues+in+clinical+practice+and+neurosurgical+research\&author=Fins+J.+J.\&au-brain-bra$ thor=Krames+E.&author=Peckham+P.&author=Rezai+A.&publication\_year=2009&pages=81-91)

Frankfurt, H. G. (1969). Alternate possibilities and moral responsibility. J. Philos. 66, 829-839. doi: 10.2307/2023833

CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2023833) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar lookup?title=Alternate+possibilities+and+moral+responsibility& author=Frankfurt+H.+G.&publication\_year=1969&journal=J.+Philos.&volume=66&pages=829-839)

Grill, W. M., Snyder, A. N., and Miocinovic, S. (2004). Deep brain stimulation creates an informational lesion of the stimulated nucleus. Neuroreport 15, 1137-1140. doi:

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=15129161) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils /elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15129161) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200405190-00011) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com  $/s cholar\_lookup?title=Deep+brain+stimulation+creates+an+informational+lesion+of+the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+S.\&publi-the+stimulated+nucleus\&author=Grill+W.+M.\&author=Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinovic+Snyder+A.+N.\&author=Miocinov$  $cation\_year = 2004 \& journal = Neurore port \& volume = 15 \& pages = 1137-1140)$ 

Hamani, C., McAndrews, M. P., Cohn, M., Oh, M., Zumsteg, D., Shapiro, C. M., et al. (2008). Memory enhancement induced by hypothalamic/fornix deep brain stimulation. Ann. Neurol. 63, We use considerable to interpret the control of the control of

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=18232017) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils.ncbi.nlm.n

ዶኬኒኒ, Foote, K. D., Goodman, W. G., Wu, S. S., Sudhyadhom, A., Ricciuti, N., et al. (2011). Smile and laughter induction and intraoperative predictors of response to deep brain stimulation for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Neuroimage 54, S247–S255. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.03.009 Introduction

ubstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=20226259) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu-Crits/@inft.Mgndts-90000cd&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=20226259) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.03.009) | Google Scholar

http://scholar.google.com/scholar\_lookup?title=Smile+and+laughter+induction+and+intraoperative+predictors+of+response+to+deep+brain+stimulation+for+obsessive-compulsive+disorder-kauthor=Haq+I.+U.&author=Foote+K.+D.&author=Goodman+W.+G.&author=Wu+S.+S.&author=Sudhyadhom+A.&author=Ricciuti+N.&+&publication\_year=2011& Cinumal=Neuroimage&volume=54&pages=S247-S255)

Norman J (2004) The myth of mind control. Discover 25, 40-47.

Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar\_lookup?title=The+myth+of+mind+control&author=Horgan+J.&publication\_year=2004&journal=Discover&volume=25&pages=40-47)
Serendipity and Mind

Clamebim, Steiger, H., Kolivakis, T., McGregor, L., and Sadikot, A. F. (2010). Deep brain stimulation in the subgenual cingulate cortex for an intractable eating disorder. Biol. Psychiatry 67, es3-e54. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.11.016

Conclusion

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=20044072) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu-

 $\label{eq:cond_problem} \textbf{Ctils/libihs/fdgitelles} \\ \textbf{pulmed} \\ \textbf{kcmd=prlinks} \\ \textbf{kcmd=prlinks}$ 

Statement Kim, S. S., McCutcheon, I. E., Suki, D., Weinberg, J. S., Sawaya, R., Lang, F. F., et al. (2009). Awake craniotomy for brain tumors near eloquent cortex: correlation of intraoperative cortical Repare with neurological outcomes in 309 consecutive patients. Neurosurgery 64, 836–846. doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000342405.80881.81

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=19404147) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=19404147) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000342405.80881.81) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Awake+craniotomy+for+brain+tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortical+mapping+with+neurologi-tumors+near+eloquent+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperative+cortex%3A+correlation+of+intraoperat$ cal+out comes + in + 309 + consecutive + patients & author = Kim + S. + S. & author = McCutcheon + I. + E. & author = Suki + D. & author = Weinberg + J. + S. & author = Sawaya + R. & author = Lang + F. + F. & + & publication + S. & Author = Suki + D. & author $lication\_year = 2009\&journal = Neurosurgery\&volume = 64\&pages = 836-846)$ 

Koller, W. C., Lyons, K. E., Wilkinson, S. B., Troster, A. I., and Pahwa, R. (2001). Long-term safety and efficacy of unilateral deep brain stimulation of the thalamus in essential tremor. Mov. Disord. 16, 464-468. doi: 10.1002/mds.1089

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=11391740) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=11391740) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.1089) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Long-term+safety+and+efficacy+of+unilateral+deep+brain+stimulation+of+the+thalamus+in+essential+tremor&author=Koller+W.+C.&author=Lyons+K.+E.&author$  $author=Wilkinson+S.+B.\& author=Troster+A.+I.\& author=Pahwa+R.\& publication\_year=2001\& journal=Mov.+Disord.\& volume=16\& pages=464-468)$ 

Kraemer, F. (2013). Me, myself and my brain implant: deep brain stimulation raises questions of personal authenticity and alienation. Neuroethics 6, 483-497. doi: 10.1007/s12152-011-9115-7

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=24273619) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=24273619) \mid CrossRef Full\ Text\ (http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12152-011-9115-7) \mid Google\ Scholar\ (http://scholar.google.com) \mid Google\ Scholar\ (http://schol$  $/scholar\_lookup?title=Me, +myself+ and +my + brain+ implant\%3A + deep + brain+ stimulation + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation \& author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation \& author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation \& author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation \& author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation & author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation & author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation & author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + of + personal + authenticity + and + alienation & author=Kraemer + F.\& publication + raises + questions + author=Kraemer + Author=Krae$ tion year=2013&journal=Neuroethics&volume=6&pages=483-497)

Kuhn, J., Lenartz, D., Huff, W., Lee, S., Koulousakis, A., Klosterkoetter, J., et al. (2007). Remission of alcohol dependency following deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens: valuable  $the rapeutic implications? \textit{J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 78, 1152-1153.} \ doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2006.113092$ 

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=17878197) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=17878197) \mid \textbf{CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2006.113092)} \mid \textbf{Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/linear-complexed-linear-comple$  $/scholar\_lookup?title=Remission+of+alcohol+dependency+following+deep+brain+stimulation+of+the+nucleus+accumbens\%3A+valuable+therapeutic+implications\%3F\&author=Kuhn+J.\&Au$  $author = Lenartz + D.\& author = Huff+W.\& author = Lee+S.\& author = Koulous akis + A.\& author = Klosterkoetter + J.\& + \& publication\_year = 2007\& journal = J. + Neurol. + Neurosurg. + Psychiatry\& A.\& author = Lee+S.\& author = Klosterkoetter + J.\& + \& publication\_year = 2007\& journal = J. + Neurol. + Neurosurg. + Psychiatry\& A.\& author = Lee+S.\& author = Lee+S.$ volume=78&pages=1152-1153)

Kulisevsky, J., Berthier, M., Gironell, A., Pascual-Sedano, B., Molet, J., and Parés, P. (2002). Mania following deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. Neurology 59, 1421–1424. doi:

 $\label{lem:pubmed_Abstract} $$ Pubmed_Abstract_(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=12427895) | Pubmed_Full_Text_(http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=12427895) | CrossRef_Full_Text_(http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/wnl.59.9.1421) | Google_Scholar_(http://scholar.google.com_abstract_organization_a$  $/s cholar\_lookup? title=Mania+following+deep+brain+stimulation+for+Parkinson's+disease \& author=Kulisevsky+J. \& author=Berthier+M. \& author=Gironell+A. \& author=Pascual-Sedano+B. \& author=Berthier+M. \& author=Gironell+A. \& author=Pascual-Sedano+B. \& author=Berthier+M. \& author=Gironell+A. \& author=Pascual-Sedano+B. \& author=Berthier+M. \& aut$  $author = Molet + J.\& author = Par\'{e}s + P.\& publication\_year = 2002\& journal = Neurology\& volume = 59\& pages = 1421-1424)$ 

Lipsman, N., Zener, R., and Bernstein, M. (2009). Personal identity, enhancement and neurosurgery: a qualitative study in applied neuroethics. Bioethics 23, 375-383. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8519.2009.01729.x

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=19527265) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=19527265) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8519.2009.01729.x) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/links/lin$ /scholar\_lookup?title=Personal+identity,+enhancement+and+neurosurgery%3A+a+qualitative+study+in+applied+neuroethics&author=Lipsman+N.&author=Zener+R.&author=Bernstein+M.&publication\_year=2009&journal=Bioethics&volume=23&pages=375-383)

Lozano, A., and Lipsman, N. (2013). Probing and regulating dysfunctional circuits using deep brain stimulation. Neuron 77, 406-424. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.020

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=23395370) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils.ncbi.nlm.ni  $tils/elink.fegi?db-pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=23395370) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.01.020) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Probing+and+regulating+dysfunctional+circuits+using+deep+brain+stimulation&author=Lozano+A.&author=Lipsman+N.&publication\_year=2013&$ journal=Neuron&volume=77&pages=406-424)

Lozano, A. M., Mayberg, H. S., Giacobbe, P., Hamani, C., Craddock, R. C., and Kennedy, S. H. (2008). Subcallosal cingulate gyrus deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. Biol. Psychiatry 64, 461-467. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.05.034

 $\label{lem:pubmed_Abstract} $$ Pubmed_Abstract_(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=18639234) | Pubmed_Full_Text_(http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=18639234) | CrossRef_Full_Text_(http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.05.034) | Google_Scholar_(http://scholar.google.com_abstract_organization_abstract_organ$  $/s cholar\_lookup? title=Subcallosal+cingulate+gyrus+deep+brain+stimulation+for+treatment-resistant+depression\& author=Lozano+A.+M.\& author=Mayberg+H.+S.\& author=Giacobbe+P.\& author=Giacobbe+P.\& author=Giacobbe+D.\& author=Gia$  $author = Hamani + C.\& author = Craddock + R. + C.\& author = Kennedy + S. + H.\& publication\_year = 2008\& journal = Biol. + Psychiatry\& volume = 64\& pages = 461-467)$ 

Mayberg, H. S., Lozano, A. M., Voon, V., Mcneely, H. E., Seminowicz, D., Hamani, C., et al. (2005). Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. Neuron 45, 651-660. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014

 $\label{lem:pubmed_abstract} Pubmed Abstract (\mbox{http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed\&Cmd=ShowDetailView\&TermToSearch=15748841) | \mbox{Pubmed Full Text (\mbox{http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/e$  $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=15748841) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/link.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15748841) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/link.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15748841) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/link.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15748841) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/link.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15748841) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/link.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15748841) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.02.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.014) \mid Google Scholar (http://dx.do$  $/s cholar\_lookup? title=Deep+brain+stimulation+for+treatment-resistant+depression\& author=Mayberg+H.+S.\& author=Lozano+A.+M.\& author=Voon+V.\& author=Mcneely+H.+E.\& author=Lozano+A.+M.\& author=Voon+V.\& author=Mcneely+H.+E.\& author=Mayberg+H.+S.\& author=Lozano+A.+M.\& author=Voon+V.\& author=Mcneely+H.+E.\& au$ author=Seminowicz+D.&author=Hamani+C.&+&publication\_year=2005&journal=Neuron&volume=45&pages=651-660)

Okun, M. S., Bowers, D., Springer, U., Shapira, N. A., Malone, D., Rezai, A. R., et al. (2004). What's in a "smile?" Intra-operative observations of contralateral smiles induced by deep brain stimulation. Neurocase 10, 271-279. doi: 10.1080/13554790490507632

 $\label{lem:pubmed_Abstract} $$\operatorname{Pubmed Abstract(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed\&Cmd=ShowDetailView\&TermToSearch=15788264)} | $\operatorname{Pubmed Full Text(http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils.ncbi.n$ tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=15788264) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13554790490507632) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com  $/scholar\_lookup?title=What's+in+a+"smile%3F"+Intra-operative+observations+of+contralateral+smiles+induced+by-deep+brain+stimulation&author=Okun+M.+S.&author=Bowers+D.\&author=Bowers+D.&author=$  $author = Springer + U.\& author = Shapira + N. + A.\& author = Malone + D.\& author = Rezai + A. + R.\& + \& publication\_year = 2004 \& journal = Neurocase \& volume = 10 \& pages = 271-279)$ 

Olds, J. (1962). Hypothalamic substrates of reward. Physiol. Rev. 42, 554-604.

 $\label{lem:pubmed_Abstract} $$ Pubmed_Abstract_(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=13939938) | Pubmed_Full_Text_(http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of+reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of+reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of+reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of+reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of+reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of+reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of-reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of-reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of-reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Hypothalamic+substrates+of-reward&au-tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=ref&id=13939938) | Google_Scholar_f(http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup.google.com/scholar_google.com/scholar_google.com/scholar_google.com/scholar_google.com/scholar_google.com/scholar_google.com/$  $thor = Olds + J. \& publication\_year = 1962 \& journal = Physiol. + Rev. \& volume = 42 \& pages = 554-604)$ 

Parsons, T. D., Rogers, S. A., Braaten, A. J., Woods, S. P., and TrÖster, A. I. (2006). Cognitive sequelae of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol. 5, 578-588. doi: 10.1016/s1474-4422(06)70475-6

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=16781988) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=16781988) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(06)70475-6) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com /scholar\_lookup?title=Cognitive+sequelae+of+subthalamic+nucleus+deep+brain+stimulation+in+Parkinson's+disease%;3A+a+meta-analysis&author=Parsons+T.+D.& author=Rogers+S.+A.&author=Braaten+A.+J.&author=Woods+S.+P.&author=TrÖster+A.+I.&publication\_vear=2006&journal=Lancet+Neurol.&volume=5&pages=578-588)

We use cookies on this website to improve your user experience. Learn more (//www.frontiersin.org/legal/list-of-cookies)

Penfield, W., and Boldrey, E. (1937). Somatic motor and sensory representation in the cerebral cortex of man as studied by electrical stimulation. Brain 60, 389-443. doi: 10.1093/brain

| 60.4,380 | Local Harths://www.frontiersin.org/people/Localin/ | register (https://www.frontiersin.org/about/about-frontiers) | Journals/https://www.frontiersin.org/journals | research CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/60.4,389) | Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_lookup?title=Somatic+motor+and+sensory+representa-(https://www.frontiersin.org/about-people/Localin-people/Loc

pages=389-443)
Abstract
Penfield, W., and Perot, P. (1963). The brain's record of auditory and visual experience. A final summary and discussion. Brain 86, 595-696. doi: 10.1093/brain/86.4.595

Ctike Aline Kegi Albertande prinks & retmode = ref&id=14090522) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/86.4.595) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com /scholar\_lookup?title=The+brain's+record+of+auditory+and+visual+experience.+A+final+summary+and+discussion&author=Penfield+W.&author=Perot+P.&publication\_year=1963& Limiting Mirathtev Dahavio 6&pages = 595-696)

Charletgs Tekto 72 heselect committee on intelligence and subcommittee on health and scientific research of the committee on human resources. Project MKULTRA: the CIA's program of research in behavioral modification. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.

Non-Obvious Test Case
Sjöstrand, M., and Juth, N. (2014). Authenticity and psychiatric disorder: does autonomy of personal preferences matter? *Med. Health Care Philos.* 17, 115–122. doi: Secentrality/systemed-Migret/509-x

 $\frac{\mathsf{Cpntrol}}{\mathsf{Pubmed}} \\ \textbf{Abstract} (\texttt{http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed\&Cmd=ShowDetailView\&TermToSearch=24072638}) \\ | \\ \textbf{Pubmed Full Text} (\texttt{http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils.ncbi.$ Ctils/eligks/frgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=24072638) | CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11019-013-9509-x) | Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com ar\_lookup?title=Authenticity+and+psychiatric+disorder%3A+does+autonomy+of+personal+preferences+matter%3F&author=Sjöstrand+M.&author=Juth+N.&publica  $\label{linear_constraints} \textbf{Chonf} \underline{\textbf{linear_exp}} \textbf{exp} = \textbf{linear_exp} \textbf{exp} \textbf{exp}$ 

Statement Synoizik, M., and Schlaepfer, T. E. (2008). Stimulating personality: ethical criteria for deep brain stimulation in psychiatric patients and for enhancement purposes. Biotechnol. J. 3, References doi: 10.1002/biot.200800187

 $tils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=19072907) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/biot.200800187) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.co.doi.org/10.1002/biot.200800187) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar (http://scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar (http://scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar (http://scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google Scholar.google$  $/scholar\_lookup?title=Stimulating+personality\%3A+ethical+criteria+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+enhancement+purposes\&author=Synofzik+M.\&au-lookup?title=Stimulating+personality\%3A+ethical+criteria+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+enhancement+purposes\&author=Synofzik+M.\&au-lookup?title=Stimulating+personality\%3A+ethical+criteria+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+enhancement+purposes\&author=Synofzik+M.\&au-lookup?title=Stimulating+personality\%3A+ethical+criteria+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+enhancement+purposes&author=Synofzik+M.\&au-lookup?title=Stimulating+personality\%3A+ethical+criteria+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+enhancement+purposes&author=Synofzik+M.\&au-lookup?title=Stimulating+personality\%3A+ethical+criteria+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+patients+and+for+deep+brain+stimulation+in+psychiatric+p$ thor=Schlaepfer+T.+E.&publication\_year=2008&journal=Biotechnol.+J.&volume=3&pages=1511-1520)

Valenstein, E. S. (1973). Brain Control. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Volkmann, J., Moro, E., and Pahwa, R. (2006). Basic algorithms for the programming of deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 21, S284-S289. doi: 10.1002/mds.20961

Pubmed Abstract (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=16810675) | Pubmed Full Text (http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eu $tils/elink.fegi?db=pubmed\&cmd=prlinks\&retmode=ref\&id=16810675) \mid CrossRef Full Text (http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.20961) \mid Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Basic+algorithms+for+the+programming+of+deep+brain+stimulation+in+Parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Moro+E.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-parkinson's+disease&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-pathor=Volkmann+J.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-pathor=Volkmann+J.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-pathor=Volkmann+J.&author=Volkmann+J.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-pathor=Volkmann+J.&author=Pahwa+R.&publica-the-pathor=Volkmann+J.&auth$ tion\_year=2006&journal=Mov.+Disord.&volume=21&pages=S284-S289)

Yamamoto, T., Katayama, Y., Kobayashi, K., Oshima, H., Fukaya, C., and Tsubokawa, T. (2010). Deep brain stimulation for the treatment of vegetative state. Eur. J. Neurosci. 32, 1145–1151. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07412.x

 $\label{lem:pubmed_Abstract} $$ Pubmed_Abstract_(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=pubmed&Cmd=ShowDetailView&TermToSearch=21039954) | Pubmed_Full_Text_(http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/elink.fcgi?db=pubmed&cmd=prlinks&retmode=ref&id=21039954) | CrossRef_Full_Text_(http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07412.x) | Google_Scholar_(http://scholar_google.com_ref_Full_Text_(http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07412.x) | Google_Scholar_(http://scholar_google.com_ref_Full_Text_(http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07412.x) | Google_Scholar_http://scholar_google.com_ref_Full_Text_(http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2010.07412.x) | Google_Scholar_http://scholar_google.com_ref_Full_Te$  $/s cholar\_lookup? title=Deep+brain+stimulation+for+the+treatment+of+vegetative+state \& author=Yamamoto+T. \& author=Katayama+Y. \& author=Kobayashi+K. \& author=Oshima+H. \& author=Vatayama+Y. \& autho$ thor=Fukaya+C.&author=Tsubokawa+T.&publication\_year=2010&journal=Eur.+J.+Neurosci.&volume=32&pages=1145-1151)

Keywords: philosophy of mind, ethics, neurosurgery, deep brain stimulation, psychiatry

Citation: Koivuniemi A and Otto K (2014) When "altering brain function" becomes "mind control". Front. Syst. Neurosci. 8:202. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00202

Received: 31 May 2014; Accepted: 24 September 2014;

Published online: 14 October 2014.

Edited by:

Mikhail Lebedev (http://www.frontiersin.org/people/u/3821), Duke University, USA

Mikhail Lebedev (http://www.frontiersin.org/people/u/3821), Duke University, USA Brian D. Earp (http://www.frontiersin.org/people/u/82792), University of Oxford, UK

Jan-Hendrik Heinrichs (http://www.frontiersin.org/people/u/131451), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany

Copyright © 2014 Koivuniemi and Otto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/). The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms

\*Correspondence: Andrew Koivuniemi, Indiana University School of Medicine, 340 W 10th Street, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA e-mail: akoivuni@iupui.edu

2 Comments - Lisa Tataryn (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/54408/overview) and Barb Minton (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/192530

(https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/54408/overview) Lisa Tataryn (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/54408/overview) In David Linden's new book Brain Control he suggests Neurofeedback as transfer technology to DBS - it is safer, effective and

it puts the power into the hands of the patient with self regulation.

3:03 PM, 03 November 2014

(https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/192530/overview) Barb Minton (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/192530/overview) I agree with Lisa -using less invasive and destructive, yet still effective, interventions, such as neurofeedback, should be

implemented prior to DBS.

10:06 PM, 03 November 2014

Write a comment.

Add

PEOPLE ALSO LOOKED AT

#### Cognitive biases can affect moral intuitions about cognitive enhancement (/articles/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00195/full)

Lucius Caviola (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/108702/overview), Adriano Mannino (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/186575/overview), Julian Savulescu (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/169105/overview) and Nadira Faulmüller (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/108674/overview)

#### Experimental enhancement of neurphysiological function (/articles/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00189/full)

Diana Deca (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/22652/overview) and Randal A. Koene (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/2701/overview)

Pharmacological cognitive enhancement—how neuroscientific research could advance ethical debate (/articles/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00107/full)

Hannah Maslen (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/135014/overview), Nadira Faulmüller (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/108674/overview) and Julian Savulescu

Grid cell firing properties vary as a function of theta phase locking preferences in the rat medial entorhinal cortex (/articles/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00193/full)

Ehren L. Newman (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/49649/overview) and Michael E. Hasselmo (https://loop.frontiersin.org/people/797/overview). We use cookies on this website to improve your user experience. Learn more (//www.frontiersin.org/legal/list-of-cookies)

Abstract

Criteria of Mind Control

Limiting Mind to Behavior

Obvious Test Cases

Non-Obvious Test Case

Serendipity and Mind

Control

Conclusion

Conflict of Interest

Statement

References

 $\textbf{Suggest a Research Topic} > (\text{https://spotlight.frontiersin.org/submit?utm\_source=fweb&utm\_medium=fjour&utm\_campaign=rtlg\_sl19\_gen)}$ 

(https://www.khitasithelayaku/chinvkedimstagram.com

/Front/fersint/ersint/frontiersin\_)
About Frontiers (https://www.frontiersin.org/about) Institutional Membershirers)
(https://www.frontiersin.org/about
/Institutional\_Membership) Books (https://www.frontiersin.org/books/all\_books)

News (https://blog.frontiersin.org)
Frontiers' social media (https://blog.frontiersin.org /2013/11/01/frontiers-social-media-and-rss) Contact (https://www.frontiersin.org/about/contact) Careers (https://www.frontiersin.org/Careers)

Submit (https://www.frontiersin.org/submissioninfo) Newsletter (http://connect.frontiersin.org /subscriptions/subscribe) Help Center (https://frontiers.zendesk.com/hc/enTerms & Conditions (https://www.frontiersin.org /TermsandConditions.aspx) Privacy Policy (https://www.frontiersin.org/legal/privacypolicy)

© 2007 - 2020 Frontiers Media S.A. All Rights Reserved

We use cookies on this website to improve your user experience. <u>Learn more (//www.frontiersin.org/legal/list-of-cookies)</u>