A FIC-FEM procedure for the shallow water equations over partially wet domains

Miguel Masó^{1,2}, Ignasi de-Pouplana^{1,2}, and Eugenio Oñate^{1,2}

¹Centre Int. de Mètodes Numèrics a l'Enginyeria (CIMNE), Barcelona, Spain ²Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC), Barcelona, Spain

February 26, 2021

Abstract

In this paper a stable finite element formulation for the hyperbolic shallow water equations is presented. This method takes care of the instabilities caused by the mixed wave form, the convective term and the dry domain. Etc...

Keywords - Shallow water equations, FIC, stabilization, dry-wetting model

1 Introduction

The FIC-based stabilization has been applied to convection-diffusion, incompressible flows and turbulent flows [1][2], in that cases where the convective term has an important role, a first order FIC term is enough to provide stability to the system. However, the shallow water equations are governed by the convective term and the wave equation in mixed formulation [3], in consequence the FIC-based stabilization is not enough to provide stability in all the range of applicability of the shallow water equations. A generalization of this method is proposed in order to provide a global stability for the shallow water equations. Other possibilities of the FIC-based formulations are explored to provide a shock capturing stabilization.

Additionally the dry domain requires an accurate modeling because the hyperbolic equations positive water depth in all the domain. Among several proposals [4][5][6] a modified depth integration [7] is performed in combination with the FIC stabilization.

$\mathbf{2}$ Governing equations and linearization

We start considering the shallow water equations, which come from the depth integrated Navier-Stokes equations and assuming the vertical velocity and its acceleration be negligible [8].

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_i}{\partial x_i} + \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$
 (1)

with

$$\Phi = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} h \\ hu_1 \\ hu_2 \end{array} \right\}$$
(2a)

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} hu_{i} \\ hu_{1}u_{i} + \delta_{1i}\frac{1}{2}g(h^{2} - z^{2}) \\ hu_{2}u_{i} + \delta_{2i}\frac{1}{2}g(h^{2} - z^{2}) \end{array} \right\}$$
 (2b)

$$\mathbf{G}_{i} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -(h/\rho)\bar{\tau}_{1i} \\ -(h/\rho)\bar{\tau}_{2i} \end{array} \right\}$$
 (2c)

$$\mathbf{F}_{i} = \begin{cases} hu_{i} \\ hu_{1}u_{i} + \delta_{1i}\frac{1}{2}g(h^{2} - z^{2}) \\ hu_{2}u_{i} + \delta_{2i}\frac{1}{2}g(h^{2} - z^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ -(h/\rho)\overline{\tau}_{1i} \\ -(h/\rho)\overline{\tau}_{2i} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{cases} r \\ -g(h-z)\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{h}{\rho}\frac{\partial p_{a}}{\partial x_{1}} - \frac{1}{\rho}\tau_{31}^{s} + \frac{1}{\rho}\tau_{31}^{b} \\ -g(h-z)\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{2}} + \frac{h}{\rho}\frac{\partial p_{a}}{\partial x_{2}} - \frac{1}{\rho}\tau_{32}^{s} + \frac{1}{\rho}\tau_{31}^{b} \end{cases}$$

$$(2b)$$

The problem is closed with an initial boundary condition

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 \tag{3}$$

where Φ_0 are the initial water height and velocities, verifying Equation (1) and the boundary conditions

$$\Phi = \Phi_D \qquad \text{in}\Gamma_D \tag{4}$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_D \qquad \text{in}\Gamma_D
\mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{n} = F_N \qquad \text{in}\Gamma_N$$
(4)

where Γ_D represents the part of the Dirichlet boundary conditions and Γ_N represents the part of the Newmann boundary conditions. We will consider also absorbing boundary conditions ind Γ_A , such that $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N \cap \Gamma_A = \delta \Omega$.

The bottom friction is modelled with the Manning formula extended to two dimensions

$$\frac{\tau_{31}^b}{\rho} = -gn^2 \frac{|\mathbf{q}|\mathbf{q}}{h^{7/3}} \tag{6}$$

The averaged horizontal stresses are combination of the molecular stresses and the Reynolds stresses as follows

$$\frac{\tau_{ij}}{\rho} = (\nu + \nu_t) \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} \right) \tag{7}$$

The balance equation (1) is linearized in the following form

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{A}_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\mathbf{K}_{ik} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \right) + \mathbf{S}\Phi + \mathbf{F} = 0$$
 (8)

where the matrices \mathbf{A}_i and \mathbf{K}_{ik} are the linearization matrices of the convective fluxes and the diffusive fluxes. The convective matrix is obtained applying the chain rule to the vector of fluxes \mathbf{F}_i

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_i}{\partial \Phi} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \tag{9a}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{i} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{i}}{\partial \Phi} \tag{9b}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_{1} & 0 & -u_{1}^{2} + c^{2} \\ u_{2} & u_{1} & -u_{1}u_{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} , \quad \mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} & u_{2} & -u_{1}u_{2} \\ 0 & 2u_{2} & -u_{2}^{2} + c^{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9c)

and $c = \sqrt{gh}$ is the wave speed. The \mathbf{A}_i matrix defines an hyperbolic ODE and its eigenvalues are u + c, u and u - c, which requires positivity of the water column depth h.

And the bottom friction term is linearized using a reaction matrix **S**

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{g|\mathbf{u}|}{n^2 h^{4/3}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{g|\mathbf{u}|}{n^2 h^{4/3}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

In the following sections, the rain, atmospheric pressure gradient and the wind friction will be neglected.

3 Stabilization

We will consider the quasi-linear balance equations written in residual form as

$$r_{j} := \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{A}_{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left(\mathbf{K}_{ik} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} \right) + \mathbf{S}\Phi + \mathbf{F} \qquad j \in \{1, n_{d}\}$$
 (11)

It is well known that in the one dimensional case, the FIC-based stabilization has a unique definition and the stabilization parameter l^e is usually the element length

$$r - \frac{1}{2}l^e \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{12}$$

However, in greater space dimensions n_d or more than one balance equations n_b , the choice of the l^e parameter is non trivial. Several approaches can be defined, taking l^e as a vector [1], in later publications Oñate [2] presented a generalized formulation for different n_d and n_b , for the stabilization of the Navier-Stokes equations Cotela [9] proposed different projections of the element size over the velocity or projecting it onto the velocity gradient.

$$r_j - \frac{1}{2} l_i^e \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i \in \{1, n_d\} , \ j \in \{1, n_b\}$$
 (13a)

$$r_j - \frac{1}{2} l_u^e \frac{u_i}{\|\mathbf{u}\|} \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial x_i} = 0 \qquad i, j \in \{1, n_d\}$$
 (13b)

$$r_{j} - \frac{1}{2} l_{g_{i}}^{e} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}/\partial x_{i}}{\|\nabla u_{i}\|} \frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} = 0 \qquad i, j \in \{1, n_{d}\}$$

$$(13c)$$

In practice the FIC stabilization has been applied to problems with one balance equation (see [1] for the stabilization of the convection diffusion problem) or problems where the number of balance equations coincide with the number of space dimensions $(n_d = n_b)$ (see [1] for the stabilization of the momentum and pressure equations of the Navier-Stokes equations). In this paper is proposed a stabilization parameter oriented along the characteristics of the hyperbolic equations

$$r_j - \frac{1}{2} l^e \frac{\mathbf{A}_i}{\lambda} \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial x_i} \qquad i \in \{1, n_d\} \ , \ j \in \{1, n_b\}$$
 (14)

for consistency the linearization matrix \mathbf{A}_i is normalized with the maximum eigenvalue λ . Note that this stabilization is analogue to the virtual multiscale stabilization proposed in [10]. The linearization matrix \mathbf{A}_i is providing

a weighting procedure among the stabilization of the convective and the mixed wave equation terms. In practice the element size is multiplied by a stabilization factor in order to control the amount of diffusion added by the stabilization and it will be studied in the examples section (5).

The FIC formulation is obtained introducing the residual of the shallow water equations (11) into the expression from Eq (14). Finally, multiplying the equation by a test function ω_k and integrating over the domain Ω we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\omega_i r_j + \omega_k \frac{1}{2} l^e \frac{\mathbf{A}_i}{\lambda} \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial x_i} \right) d\Omega = 0$$
 (15)

The second term of expression (15) is integrated by parts. Note that the element length l^e the linearization matrix \mathbf{A}_i and its eigenvalue λ are defined constant inside the element, hence the boundary integral which appears after integrating by parts should be understood as the boundary of all the elements, since there is a discontinuity

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_k r_j d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} l^e \frac{\mathbf{A}_i}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial x_i} r_j d\Omega + \sum_e \int_{\Gamma_e} \frac{1}{2} l^e \frac{\mathbf{A}_i}{\lambda} \omega_k n_k r_j d\Gamma = 0$$
 (16)

In this work we have neglected the boundary integrals assuming the residual r_j is null at the boundary of the elements. Here we introduce the balance equation (11) and we integrate by parts the diffusive term

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\omega_{k} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \omega_{k} \mathbf{A}_{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial \omega_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \mathbf{K}_{jk} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} + \mathbf{S}\Phi + \mathbf{F} \right) d\Omega
+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{l^{e}}{2\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial \omega_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \mathbf{A}_{j} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \omega_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{A}_{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{k}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{K}_{jk} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} \right.
\left. + \frac{\partial \omega_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \mathbf{A}_{j} (\mathbf{S}\Phi + \mathbf{F}) \right) d\Omega = 0 \quad (17)$$

Equivalence with SUPG and VMS if parallel projection are used.

3.1 Shock capturing

In this section we explore other possibilities of the characteristic length definition in order to obtain a shock capturing stabilization. In this case, the

mass balance and the momentum balance are considered separately and the characteristic length is projected onto the gradient of the unknown

$$r_i^q + \frac{l^e}{2\|\nabla q_i\|} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial r_i^q}{\partial x_j} = 0$$
 (18a)

$$r^{h} + \frac{l^{e}}{2\|\nabla h\|} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial r^{h}}{\partial x_{j}} = 0$$
 (18b)

It is possible to obtain a variational formulation multiplying by a test function ω_k and integrating over the domain in the same way as in equation 15, and then integrating by parts:

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_{k} r_{i}^{q} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \omega_{k} \frac{l^{e}}{2 \|\nabla q_{i}\|} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial r_{i}^{q}}{\partial x_{j}} d\Omega = 0$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_{k} r_{i}^{q} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(\omega_{k} \frac{l^{e}}{2 \|\nabla q_{i}\|} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right) r_{i}^{q} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(\omega_{k} \frac{l^{e}}{2 \|\nabla q_{i}\|} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} r_{i}^{q} \right) d\Omega = 0$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_{k} r_{i}^{q} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \omega_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{l^{e} r_{i}^{q}}{2 \|\nabla q_{i}\|} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} d\Omega$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \omega_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{l^{e}}{2 \|\nabla q_{i}\|} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) r_{i}^{q} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\omega_{k} \frac{l^{e}}{2 \|\nabla q_{i}\|} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} r_{i}^{q} \right) d\Omega = 0 \quad (19)$$

After the integration by parts, the last two terms are dropped because involve derivatives of the characteristic and can be transformed into a boundary integral. The same procedure is applied to the mass balance equation and we obtain the following expressions for both unknowns

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_k r_i^q d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{l^e r_i^q}{2||\nabla q_i||} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_i} d\Omega = 0$$
 (20a)

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_k r^h d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial x_j} \frac{l^e r^h}{2||\nabla h_i||} \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_j} d\Omega = 0$$
 (20b)

The above expressions (20) are equivalent to a classical shock capturing method, which designs artificial diffusivity k_{art} and artificial viscosity ν_{art}

$$\nu_{art} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha l_e \frac{|R(u_i)|}{|\nabla u_i|} \tag{21a}$$

$$k_{art} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha l_e \frac{|R(h)|}{|\nabla h|} \tag{21b}$$

where α is an algorithmic constant. This approach can be refined introducing stabilization along the streamlines, therefore k_{art} and ν_{art} needs to be added only in the crosswind direction. This is accomplished by adding a diffusive term with the orthogonal tensor

$$\mathbf{D}_{art} = k_{art} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}|^2} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \right)$$
 (22)

The viscosity is introduced with a fourth order tensor in the crosswind direction using Voigt's notation:

$$\mathbf{C}_{art} = \nu_{art} \mathbf{I}_4 \mathbf{O} \tag{23a}$$

$$\mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{q_1 q_1}{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}} & -\frac{q_1 q_2}{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}} & 0 \\ -\frac{q_1 q_2}{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}} & 1 - \frac{q_2 q_2}{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \frac{q_1 q_2}{\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(23b)

where I_4 is the fourth order identity tensor for the stresses derived from equation (7) and will be defined in section (4).

4 Finite element formulation

It is conventional to use higher order of interpolation for the momentum or velocity than for the water depth or free surface [11, 4, 12] in order to develop stable finite elements formulations. In this research we restrict ourselves to use linear triangles to both ${\bf q}$ and h unknowns, since the FIC-FEM procedure is stable. For that reason, the terms including spatial derivatives of order higher than two will be neglected. Bilinear quadrilaterals and higher order elements with the same number of degrees of freedom for all the variables will be also stables.

4.1 Spatial discretization

A finite element discretization Ω_h is introduced in the domain Ω and the problem variables can be interpolated with the basis functions of the finite elements space as

$$\phi_i = \sum_{a}^{N_{\Omega}} N_a(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{ai} \tag{24}$$

where N_{Ω} represents the total number of nodes in Ω_h and ϕ_i are the problem variables defined in (2a). Here we introduce the notation Φ_h for the vectors of nodal unknowns -momentum and water height- on all the finite element domain. The dot $\dot{\Phi}_h$ means temporal derivative. Following the Galerkin discretization, the shape functions N_a are used to interpolate the test functions ω_k and the unknowns. The continuous equation (17) ins combined with equation (20) and can be expressed as the following algebraic system of equations

$$[\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M}_K]\dot{\Phi}_h + [\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}_K + \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L}_{SC} + \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}_K]\Phi_h = \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{F}_K$$
(25)

The matrices from equation (25) without subscript are related to the original problem (11), the matrices with subscript K correspond the terms added by the FIC procedure to ensure stability, and those with the subscript SC are the terms added by the shock capturing. Using a, b to denote the nodes, i, j to denote the space dimension index and k, l to denote the balance equation number, the matrices from equation (25) are defined as

$$\mathbf{M}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_e} N_a \mathbf{I} N_b d\Omega \qquad \mathbf{G}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_e} N_a \mathbf{A}_i \frac{\partial N_b}{\partial x_i} d\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{L}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_e} \mathbf{B}_a \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_b^T d\Omega \qquad \mathbf{R}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_e} N_a \mathbf{S} N_b d\Omega \qquad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{F}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_e} N_a g h \mathbf{z}_b d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_e} N_a \mathbf{t}_b d\Gamma$$

where the diffusive matrix \mathbf{L}^{ab} is defined using the derivatives matrix \mathbf{B}_a and the isotropic tensors \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{D}

$$\mathbf{B}_{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{1}} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{2}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{1}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(27a)

$$\mathbf{C} = \nu \mathbf{I}_4 , \quad \mathbf{D} = k \mathbf{I}_2 , \quad \mathbf{I}_4 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} , \quad \mathbf{I}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (27b)

The stabilization and shock capturing terms from equation (25) result in analogous matrices with higher derivatives order, the boundary integral is neglected

$$\mathbf{M}_{K}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_{e}} \frac{\beta l^{e}}{2} \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{i}} \mathbf{A}_{i} N_{b} d\Omega \qquad \mathbf{G}_{K}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_{e}} \frac{\beta l^{e}}{2} \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{i}} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{A}_{j} \frac{\partial N_{b}}{\partial x_{j}} d\Omega$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{SC}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_{e}} \frac{\beta l^{e}}{2} \mathbf{B}_{a} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\text{art}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D}_{\text{art}} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{b}^{T} d\Omega \qquad \mathbf{R}_{K}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_{e}} \frac{\beta l^{e}}{2} \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{i}} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{S} N_{b} d\Omega \qquad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{K}^{ab} = \int_{\Omega_{e}} \frac{\beta l^{e}}{2} \frac{\partial N_{a}}{\partial x_{i}} \mathbf{A}_{i} g h \mathbf{x}_{b} d\Omega$$

4.2 Temporal integration

The resulting expression from the spatial discretization (25) can be written in compact form

$$\mathbf{M}\dot{\Phi}_h + \mathbf{K}\Phi_h = \mathbf{F} \tag{29}$$

We have integrated this equation introducing a time discretization t^n and using the well known BDF2 implicit scheme. The system in a discrete time domain yields

$$\mathbf{M}\dot{\Phi}_{h}^{n+1} + \mathbf{K}^{n+1}\Phi_{h}^{n+1} = \mathbf{F}^{n+1}$$

$$\dot{\Phi}_{h}^{n+1} = \beta_{0}\Phi_{h}^{n+1} + \beta_{1}\Phi_{h}^{n} + \beta_{2}\Phi_{h}^{n-1}$$
(30)

We will consider a variable time step to compute the BDF coefficients using the notation $t^{n+1} = t^n + \Delta t^n$:

$$\beta_0 = \tau(\rho^2 + 2\rho)$$

$$\beta_1 = -\tau(\rho^2 + 2\rho + 1)$$

$$\beta_2 = \tau$$
(31)

with

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\Delta t^n (\rho^2 + \rho)}$$

$$\rho = \frac{\Delta t^{n-1}}{\Delta t^n}$$
(32)

The solution of this implicit system requires an iterative procedure which is defined in an incremental way as $\Phi_h^{n+1,i+1} = \Phi_h^{n+1,i} + \delta \Phi_h^i$ where the superscript i denotes the non linear iteration. This notation allows us to rewrite the system of equations (30) defining a left hand side matrix multiplied by the increment $\delta \Phi_h^i$ and a right hand side vector which depends on the previous non linear iteration:

$$[\beta_0 \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{K}^{n+1}] \delta \Phi_h^i = \mathbf{F}^{n+1,i} - \mathbf{K}^{n+1,i} \Phi_h^{n+1,i} - \mathbf{M} \dot{\Phi}_h^{n+1,i}$$
(33)

The first non linear iteration $\Phi_h^{n+1,0}$ is initialized using a prediction given from the BDF formula at the last time step:

$$\Phi_h^{n+1,0} = \Phi_h^n + \Delta t^n \dot{\Phi}_h^n \tag{34}$$

4.3 Dry domain model

When small or quasi zero water depths are involved in simulations some instabilities may arise. The first issue is the computation of the velocity field, since it involves the division of the discharge by the water height. In this research, the velocity field is computed in a two step procedure, first of all, the velocity is computed at each element following the next expression, initially proposed by Kurganov [13]:

$$h_k^{-1} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\max(h,0)}{\sqrt{h^4 + \max(h^4, \varepsilon^4)}}$$
 (35)

where ε is a threshold which depends on the elements size, usually $\varepsilon = 0.1 l_e$ is chosen. The second step in the velocity computation is a diffusive projection on the nodes:

$$\mathbf{M}_L \mathbf{u} = h_k^{-1} \mathbf{M}_c \mathbf{q} \tag{36}$$

This projection will introduce some artificial diffusion in the velocity field near the dry-wet interface reducing the possible maxima extrema. Additionally, the expressions (35) tends to 0 when the water height becomes small, while the original expression is recovered when $h > \varepsilon$.

The second issue to overcome with small water depths is the singularity of the system matrix. The mass balance is satisfied in the dry state, but the momentum equation will become unstable. The easiest (but not more accurate) way to develop a stable scheme is to add a diagonal of non zero terms to the momentum equation:

$$\mathbf{K} := \mathbf{K} + \alpha \operatorname{diag}(0, 1, 1) \tag{37}$$

The selection of the areas where there is a dry domain is controlled with a wet fraction function. The expression (35) allows us to define a wet fraction as

$$\eta = hh_k^{-1} \tag{38}$$

5 Examples

This formulation has been implemented in KratosMultiphysics [14, 15, 16].

5.1 Flow past a backward step

In this section a channel with a backward step is presented. The inlet is at the left side of the domain and the outlet is at right, see Fig. (). A vortex is generated when the flow pass through the backward step, as seen in Fig. (). In Fig. () there is a comparison of different stabilization parameters.

5.2 Oscillation in a parabolic basin

This benchmark tests the accuracy of the location of the moving boundary.

5.3 Short channel with smooth transition and shock

This benchmark is based on the Mac Donald's type solutions.

5.4 Experiment

6 Concluding remarks

Appendix A

The stabilization matrices ere the result of multiplying **A** tensor by itself:

$$\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3u_{1}^{2} + c^{2} & 0 & -2u_{1}^{3} + 2u_{1}c^{2} \\ 2u_{1}u_{2} & u_{1}^{2} & -2u_{1}^{2}u_{2} + u_{2}c^{2} \\ 2u_{1} & 0 & -u_{1}^{2} + c^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(39a)

$$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{2}^{2} & 2u_{1}u_{2} & -2u_{1}u_{2}^{2} + u_{1}c^{2} \\ 0 & 3u_{2}^{2} + c^{2} & -2u_{2}^{3} + 2u_{2}c^{2} \\ 0 & 2u_{2} & -u_{2}^{2} + c^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(39b)

$$\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u_{1}u_{2} & u_{1}^{2} + c^{2} & -2u_{1}^{2}u_{2} \\ u_{2}^{2} & 2u_{1}u_{2} & -2u_{1}u_{2}^{2} + u_{1}c^{2} \\ u_{2} & u_{1} & -u_{1}u_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(39c)

$$\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{A}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u_{1}u_{2} & u_{1}^{2} & -2u_{1}^{2}u_{2} + u_{2}c^{2} \\ u_{2}^{2} + c^{2} & 2u_{1}u_{2} & -2u_{1}u_{2}^{2} \\ u_{2} & u_{1} & -u_{1}u_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(39d)

References

- [1] E. Oñate, "Derivation of stabilized equations for numerical solution of advective-diffusive transport and fluid flow problems," Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 233 265, 1998. Containing papers presented at the Symposium on Advances in Computational Mechanics.
- [2] E. Oñate, "Possibilities of finite calculus in computational mechanics," in *Computational Mechanics–New Frontiers for the New Millennium* (S. Valliappan and N. Khalili, eds.), pp. 107 116, Oxford: Elsevier, 2001.
- [3] R. Codina, "Finite element approximation of the hyperbolic wave equation in mixed form," Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, vol. 197, no. 13, 2008.
- [4] M. Heniche, Y. Secretan, P. Boudreau, and M. Leclerc, "A two-dimensional finite element drying-wetting shallow water model for rivers and estuaries," *Advances in Water Resources*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 359 372, 2000.
- [5] A. S. Candy, "An implicit wetting and drying approach for non-hydrostatic baroclinic flows in high aspect ratio domains," *Advances in Water Resources*, vol. 102, pp. 188 205, 2017.
- [6] M. L. C. Barros, P. C. C. Rosman, J. C. F. Telles, and J. P. S. Azevedo, "A simple wetting and drying method for shallow water flow with application in the vitória bay estuary, brazil," in *Water Resources Manage*ment VI, vol. 145, pp. 215 – 225, 2011.
- [7] A. Defina, "Two-dimensional shallow flow equations for partially dry areas," Water Resources Research WATER RESOUR RES, vol. 36, 11 2000.
- [8] O. C. Zienkiewicz and R. L. Taylor, *Finite Element Method: Fluid dynamics*, vol. 3. Butterworth-Heinemann, 5th ed., 2000.
- [9] J. Cotela-Dalmau, R. Rossi, and E. Oñate, "A fic-based stabilized finite element formulation for turbulent flows," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 315, 11 2016.

- [10] R. Codina, J. M. González-Ondina, G. Díaz-Hernández, and J. Principe, "Finite element approximation of the modified boussinesq equations using a stabilized formulation," *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 1249–1268, 2008.
- [11] P. Hood and C. Taylor, Navier Stokes equations using mixed interpolation. Finite-element Methods in Flow Problems. Huntsville Press, 1974.
- [12] M. Bercovier and O. Pironneau, "Error estimates for finite element method solution of the stokes problem in the primitive variables.," Numerische Mathematik, vol. 33, pp. 211–224, 1979.
- [13] A. Kurganov and G. Petrova, "A second-order well-balanced positivity preserving central-upwind scheme for the saint-venant system," *Communications in mathematical sciences*, vol. 5, 03 2007.
- [14] P. Dadvand, R. Rossi, and E. Oñate, "An object-oriented environment for developing finite element codes for multi-disciplinary applications," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, vol. 17(3), 2010.
- [15] P. Dadvand, R. Rossi, M. Gil, X. Martorell, J. Cotela, E. Juanpere, S. Idelsohn, and E. Oñate, "Migration of a generic multi-physics framework to hpc environments," *Computers and Fluids*, vol. 80, 2013.
- [16] V. Mataix, P. Bucher, R. Rossi, R. Zorrilla, J. Cotela, J. Maria, M. A. Celigueta, and G. Casas, "Kratosmultiphysics/kratos: Kratosmultiphysics 8.1," Nov. 2020.