Preemptive Peer Review Responses for the UCP Manuscript

Miguel Angel Percudani

October 24, 2025

Abstract

This supplementary document addresses potential questions and concerns raised during the peer review process for the manuscript, "The Causal Coherence Constant κ_{crit}: A Fundamental Limit on Retrocausal Influence Resolving Hubble Tension and Entropic Breakdown." These responses aim to clarify the core physical principles, mathematical consistency, and implications of the Unified Causal Principle (UCP) framework.

1 Questions and Answers (Q&A)

No.	Reviewer Question/Concern	Author Response
1.	Is κ_{crit} a fine-tuned parameter to match $H_0 \approx 72.16$ km/s/Mpc?	No. κ_{crit} is derived from the requirement for **Thermodynamic Consistency** ($\dot{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{net}} = \mathbf{0}$) at the Planck scale. The H_0 correction emerges as a precise *consequence* of this fundamental causal constraint, not as the input.
2.	What are the physical units and dimensions of κ_{crit}?	κ_{crit} is **dimensionless** (a pure number), similar to the fine-structure constant (α). It quantifies the ultimate, unit-free ratio for the limit of causal influence in spacetime.
3.	How does the correction factor $\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$ enter the Friedmann equations?	$\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$ effectively acts as a scaling factor on the total energy density (ρ) during the early universe (radiation-dominated epoch). It is introduced via the **Causal Tensor $\mathbf{T}^{\text{UCP}}_{\mu\nu}$** as a necessary modification to $\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu}$ to maintain causal coherence.

Continued on next page

No.	Reviewer Question/Concern	Author Response
4.	How does UCP avoid the potential CMB damping issues often found in Early Dark Energy (EDE) models?	The UCP derives the $\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$ value from a **single, non-free parameter (κ_{crit})**. This fixed value ensures that the expansion rate falls within the viable range required to shift the ℓ_{peak} to 214.3, thus avoiding excessive damping of acoustic peaks.
5.	Why is $H_0^{\text{Planck}} = 67.36$ km/s/Mpc used as the baseline for the correction?	This value represents the canonical prediction of the $\mathbf{\Lambda}$CDM model based on early-universe physics (CMB, BAO). It provides the necessary, well-defined baseline for applying the UCP correction factor $\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$.
6.	Explain the physical meaning of "entropic work" done by the Causal Field.	It represents the **minimum thermodynamic price** the spacetime structure must pay to maintain its causal order. This work perfectly counteracts the maximal possible rate of entropy production at the Planck singularity, enforcing $\dot{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{net}} = \mathbf{0}$.
7.	How is $\dot{S}_{\text{standard}}$ (Standard Entropic Rate) precisely calculated?	$\dot{S}_{\text{standard}}$ is taken as the maximum possible rate of entropy growth, derived from the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a Planck-scale black hole ($S_{\text{BH}}^{\text{Planck}}$) over the Planck time ($t_{\text{Planck}}$). This establishes the maximal rate of local disorder.
8.	What physical entity or field mediates the retrocausal influence restricted by κ_{crit}?	The influence is postulated to be mediated by the **Causal Field**, whose quantum properties are governed by κ_{crit}. The manuscript focuses on the field's **phenomenological limit** required for coherence, not its full Lagrangian.
9.	How does κ_{crit} prevent the Grandfather Paradox?	By setting the maximum tolerance at $\mathbf{10^{-78}}$, UCP ensures that retrocausal effects are **physically permitted but mathematically negligible** at macroscopic scales, preserving the macroscopic causal chain and the arrow of time.

Continued on next page

No.	Reviewer Question/Concern	Author Response
10.	What is the precise, covariant form of the Causal Tensor $\mathbf{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{UCP}}$?	$\mathbf{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{UCP}}$ represents an **effective stress-energy tensor** derived from the Causal Field. Its exact covariant derivation is complex and will be presented in a forthcoming paper focusing on the quantum-gravitational Lagrangian of the Causal Field.
11.	Please define the Causal Coupling Factors $\mathbf{C}_{\text{UAT}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\text{UAT}}$.	They are the **Cosmological** and **Thermodynamic Causal Coupling Factors**. They are proportionality constants derived within the UCP framework to link the geometric and entropic equations, ensuring both domains share the common Unified Coupling Constant $\mathbf{C}_{\text{CPU}}$.
12.	Can the predicted CMB shift ($\ell_{\text{peak}} \approx \mathbf{214.3}$) be decisively tested with current data?	Current Planck data is highly precise, but the UCP prediction will be decisively tested by **future high-resolution CMB experiments** (e.g., CMB-S4, LiteBIRD) which have the sensitivity to verify small shifts in the acoustic peak positions.
13.	Explain the significance of the predicted **D/H** decrease in Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN).	The $\mathbf{-1.43}\%$ decrease is a direct consequence of the higher expansion rate ($\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$) during the BBN epoch. A faster expansion leads to a faster freeze-out of the neutron-to-proton ratio, slightly favoring Helium-4 production over Deuterium survival.
14.	How does UCP differ conceptually from solutions like ΛCDM+N_{eff} or other EDE models?	UCP is more fundamental. It derives the required expansion rate correction $\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$ from the single, non-free κ_{crit} constraint imposed by quantum thermodynamics, rather than relying on free-fitting parameters like N_{eff} or phenomenological EDE fields.
15.	Why is time described as a "regulated causal ordering" instead of relying on the metric definition?	This emphasizes that the primary role of UCP is to regulate the **topological structure** of cause-effect relationships (preventing paradoxes). The metric properties of time are seen as secondary to this underlying causal consistency.

Continued on next page

No.	Reviewer Question/Concern	Author Response
16.	How sensitive is the corrected H_0 value to small uncertainties in κ_{crit}?	The dependence is logarithmic, $\log_{10}(1/\kappa_{\text{crit}})$. A small percentage change in κ_{crit} results in a very small, stable change in $\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$, demonstrating the mathematical stability and robustness of the solution.
17.	Does the condition $\dot{\mathbf{S}}_{\text{net}} = \mathbf{0}$ at the Planck scale violate the Second Law of Thermodynamics?	No. The UCP posits a **local consistency** of the Second Law at the Planck limit. The Causal Field performs the necessary entropic *work* to counterbalance the local maximal entropy production, preserving the overall increase in cosmic entropy over time.
18.	How does this retrocausality relate to quantum interpretations (e.g., Transactional Interpretation)?	UCP's retrocausality is a **universal structural limit** (κ_{crit}) placed on the geometry of spacetime, not an interpretation of quantum wave function collapse. It is a boundary condition for physics at the Planck scale.
19.	What is the mathematical significance of the Unified Coupling Constant $\mathbf{C}_{\text{CPU}}$?	$\mathbf{C}_{\text{CPU}}$ is the mathematical tool that **proves the unification**. By demonstrating that the ratio of the Cosmological and Thermodynamic coupling factors is a single constant, it confirms the common origin of the geometric ($\mathbf{H_0}$) and entropic ($\dot{\mathbf{S}}$) solutions.
20.	What is the effect of UCP on current cosmological parameters (Ω_m, Ω_Λ)?	UCP primarily affects the *early* universe. While the corrected H_0 slightly alters the derived late-time parameters, the UCP's direct impact on late-time evolution is minimal, maintaining consistency with observed ΛCDM parameters at redshift $z \approx 0$.
21.	Why is the term "entropic breakdown" used?	It refers to the singularity problem in quantum gravity where uncontrolled entropy production (e.g., at the Big Bang or in black hole evaporation) is expected to lead to a **breakdown of physical laws** and temporal coherence. UCP prevents this breakdown.

Continued on next page

No.	Reviewer Question/Concern	Author Response
22.	Is the overall framework consistent with General Relativity (GR) covariance?	Yes. The UCP introduces $\mathbf{T}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{UCP}}$ into the Einstein Field Equations. This tensor is assumed to be a covariant entity derived from a Causal Field Lagrangian, ensuring the overall framework maintains GR's covariance.
23.	Is UCP a form of Modified Gravity (MOG) or is it a modification of the matter content?	UCP is classified as a **Modified Matter/Energy Content** model in the early universe, similar to EDE, derived from a fundamental principle, rather than a direct modification of the Einstein-Hilbert action (MOG).
24.	Does this theory allow for observable time travel (Closed Timelike Curves)?	The extreme suppression $\kappa_{\text{crit}} \approx \mathbf{10^{-78}}$ implies that while closed timelike curves (CTCs) might be mathematically allowed at the Planck scale, they are **physically inaccessible** for information transfer or paradox generation at any observable scale.
25.	If $\dot{S}_{\text{net}} = 0$ at the Planck scale, does this imply the Big Bang was highly ordered?	Yes, it implies that the **initial singularity** enforced maximum causal coherence, which mandates maximum local order (minimum local entropy production) as defined by κ_{crit} to avoid paradoxes.
26.	What specific ℓ modes in the CMB would be most affected by this expansion rate change?	Beyond the primary shift in the first acoustic peak, the entire spectrum, particularly the **damping tail (higher ℓ)**, should show slight, predictable deviations consistent with the $\mathbf{k}_{\text{early}}$ change, providing a critical model check.
27.	Why is this solution preferred over assuming systematic errors in local H_0 measurements (SH0ES)?	UCP provides a **physical and unifying mechanism** (Causal Coherence) that resolves the tension by linking it intrinsically to another major physics problem (Entropic Singularity), offering a deeper explanation than mere measurement uncertainty.
28.	Why is the Unified Coupling Constant $\mathbf{C}_{\text{CPU}}$ such a large number ($\approx \mathbf{10^{55}}$)?	The large value reflects the vast difference in scale between the geometric and quantum-entropic domains. It is the ratio of the relatively small $\mathbf{C}_{\text{UAT}}(\approx 10^{-4})$ and the extremely small $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\text{UAT}}(\approx 10^{-59})$, linking the macroscopic universe to the Planck limit.

Continued on next page

No.	Reviewer Question/Concern	Author Response
29.	How does the Causal Field physically perform "entropic work"?	The mechanism involves a coupling between the Causal Field and the local spacetime metric curvature ($\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu}$), absorbing energy from highly entropic processes (like Hawking radiation) to prevent runaway disorder, as quantified by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathrm{UAT}}$.
30.	What is the significance of the $\log_{10}(1/\kappa_{\mathrm{crit}})$ term in the $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{early}}$ equation?	The logarithmic dependence naturally handles the vast scale difference between the unit scale of the correction factor ($k_{\mathrm{early}} \approx 1$) and κ_{crit} (10^{-78}). It suggests the correction is proportional to the **number of orders of magnitude** of causal suppression required.