Cost vs prediction error

T-procedure error comparison *(Interpretation p(a,b) > 1/2 : b tends to be larger than a)*

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi – T with 749 DF

Running Example

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 9 DF

Pngtastic Counter

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 24 DF

Pngtastic Optimizer

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 18 DF

Elevator

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 110 DF

Grep

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 73 DF

Kanzi

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 32 DF

p(fw , pw)

p(fb , pw)

p(fb , fw)

p(cc , pw)

p(cc , fw)

p(cc , fb)

0.0   0.1   0.2   0.3   0.4   0.5   0.6   0.7   0.8   0.9   1.0

Email

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi − T with 745 DF

Prevayler

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi – T with 1358 DF

Sort

95 % Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
Type of Contrast: Tukey
Method: Multi – T with 1468 DF

Prediction error of entire configuration space

S	BF/SA	FW	PW	SAD	FB	CC
1	0.18	56.51	5.89	0.18	N/A	0.07
2	1.54	0.79	1.52	1.59	N/A	1.07
3	0.88	16.74	0.81	0.88	N/A	0.99
4	1.23	46.98	1.44	1.23	2.81	1.23
5	1.95	30.45	89.77	1.99	N/A	3.53
6	1.23	1.82	1.21	1.22	N/A	3.14
7	0.35	100	32.97	1.68	N/A	19.36
8	2.84	109.30	26.87	2.70	N/A	9.08
11	2.31	89.23	614.95	2.47	N/A	1.52
12	0.72	625.37	179.78	N/A	N/A	6.52