

TA Evaluation Form

Ph.D. Program

Student Name: Miguel Velez Course Number/Title: 17-313Instructor Name: Hilton & Kaestner Semester Taught: F18

This form provides feedback to the student in their role as TA. Please include constructive comments, both positive and negative, about the TA's performance, with an aim toward helping them improve these skills. Please skip any items that are not applicable or where you have insufficient information to evaluate the student.

Reset Check Boxes

Reset Text Boxes

1. Preparation of Assignments ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☒ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

In generating homework or exam problems, was the TA thorough, prompt, creative, etc.? Were the assignments challenging, yet fair? Please indicate the extent to which the students was involved in assignment preparation.

We reused mostly old assignments and did not do many changes. Miguel supported the process but was not deeply involved in it (not his fault).

2. Recitations ☒ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

Was the TA well prepared? Did they exhibit good presentation skills? The the recitations sufficiently add to the course experience?

Miguel prepared and revised recitations and managed them mostly independently. From what we can tell, recitations are well received and well prepared.

3. Grading ☒ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

Was the TA thorough, prompt and fair in grading assignments? Did the TA provide the students with adequate feedback along with grades?

Miguel has a high level of independence in grading assignments and does this well. He provides good and constructive feedback to the students. Grading is done in a timely matter.

4. Office Hours ☐ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

Was the TA always available during posted office hours? Did they adequately answer student questions in a clear manner?

Cannot judge

5. Knowledge of Material ☐ excellent ☒ very good ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

In the various capacities (assignments, recitations, office hours), did the TA exhibit adequate understanding of the course material? Did the TA adequately fill in any gaps they might have had before the course began?

Miguel knows the material well and fills gaps quickly where needed.

6. Other ☒ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

Please comment on other aspects of the student's TA experience that may be relevant, such as communication skills, demonstrations of leadership and initiative, help in developing or maintaining software systems needed for the course, etc.

Miguel gave a guest lecture. Overall, we were very happy with Miguel's support for this course.

7. Your overall evaluation ☒ excellent ☐ very good ☐ good ☐ fair ☐ poor

Instructor Signature



Date

2012-12-05