```
# Data Science --- R --- Homework questions #2
# Student name: Mihir Sachdeva --- student ID: 14244197
# --- Excercise 6.7 --- Question 3
# calling iris and setting it as dataframe for 'data_iris'
data iris <- as.data.frame(iris)
#viewing the iris data
View(data iris)
# summary for the iris dataframe
summary(data_iris)
# Using the gsub function to create the groups by updating the binary variables
data iris$Group <- gsub("setosa", "0", data iris$Species)
data_iris$Group <- gsub("versicolor", "0", data_iris$Group)</pre>
data iris$Group <- gsub("virginica", "1", data iris$Group)
# changing the data type of the varaibles for the group created above
data_iris$Group <- as.numeric(data_iris$Group)</pre>
# summary for the iris dataframe
summary(data_iris)
#loading the library for scatter plots
library(ggplot2)
# checking the behavior of the group with the sepal length using scatter plot,
# violin and line
ggplot(data = data_iris,aes(x=Sepal.Length,y=Group))+
 geom point()
ggplot(data = data_iris,aes(x=Sepal.Length,y=Group))+
 geom violin()
ggplot(data = data iris,aes(x=Sepal.Length,y=Group))+
 geom_line()
# Analysis for 3A #
# combined the Setosa and Versicolor into group "0" and labelled the Virginica as "1".
# Created a new variable called data iris$Group with the 0 or 1 labels.
# The max Sepal.length is 7.9 for this particular run and the max Petal.Length is 6.9
# which is pretty close to the competitive.
# On plotting the ggplot as a scatter plot we can see that it is a S shaped linear
# curve which also represents a A sigmoid function is a mathematical function
# having a characteristic "S"-shaped curve or sigmoid curve.
# The violin and line plots are just visual statistics that are of no use for
# any of our analysis. I have just used them to see what insights the outputs
# could potentially provide us.
# using the desc function from the class
desc func <- function (x){
```

```
min <- try(min(x, na.rm=TRUE))
 mean <- try(mean(x, na.rm=TRUE))
 sd <- try(sd(x, na.rm=TRUE))
 max <- try(max(x, na.rm=TRUE))
 return(c(min, mean, sd, max))
} #Closing the i-loop
# using the Z score for standardization
s function <- function(var){</pre>
 s score <- (var - mean(var))/sd(var)
 return(s_score)
} #closing the standard function
# using the desc function from the class on the data iris group
desc func(s function(var = data iris$Group))
#recreating UDF - t score
s function <- function(var){
 s_score <- (var - mean(var))/sd(var)
 t_score <- s_score*10 + 50
 return(t_score)
} #closing the function
#creating UDF - in order to normalize with min and max
n function <- function(var){
 data iris norm <- (var - min(var))/(max(var) - min(var))
 return(data iris norm)
} #closing the norm func loop
data_iris$Sepal.Length_norm <- n_function(var = data_iris$Sepal.Length)
data iris$Sepal.Width norm <- n function(var = data iris$Sepal.Width)
data iris$Petal.Length norm <- n function(var = data iris$Petal.Length)
data iris$Petal.Width norm <- n function(var = data iris$Petal.Width)
#random sampling - training and testing
training idx <- sample(1:nrow(data iris), size = 0.8*nrow(data iris))
data_iris_train <- data_iris[training_idx, ]</pre>
data iris test <- data iris[-training idx,]
#Building a logistic regression for data iris
print(data_iris)
my logit <- glm(Group~Petal.Length+Petal.Width+Sepal.Length+Sepal.Width,
         data = data_iris_train, family = "binomial")
#summary for the iris dataframe - logistic regression model
summary(my_logit)
# logistic model coefficients - saving as vector
logit_coeff <- my_logit$coefficients
# it is important to create the exponential extraction of our coefficients to
# be able to take business insights and make a decision accordingly
exponential output <- exp(logit coeff)
# printing exponential_output
print(exponential output)
```

# Analysis for 3B #
# The p values for the coefficients are very good outputs and this shows that are
# train and test data is very suitable for our regression model. However, this
# data set is also close to an over fit due to the near 0 values of the
# Petal.length and the Petal.Width. These observations are key for further
# investigation of the data.
<u> </u>
# The AIC for this test was 21.824 is low as per the expectation. It is known that
# the lower AIC score of the model means the lesser the significance of the
# model is in predicting the accuracy of the species.
######################################
# spotting the variables and declaring values for species prediction
# creating dataframe previously created variables
data_iris_prediction <- data.frame(Sepal.Width = 5, Petal.Length = 10,
Petal.Width = 7, Sepal.Length = 9)
, ota , oopan201.8 o,
# predicting species
pred_val <- predict(my_logit, newdata = data_iris_prediction, type = "response")
prod_ran + product(,_rogs, new adda _ addao_production, t)po
# printing the output for species prediction
print(pred val)
print(pred_var)
# Analysis for 20 #
# Analysis for 3C #
# Calculated the probability of the new plant being a Virginica for the following parameters:
# Sepal.Width =5 Petal.Length =10 Petal.Width =7 Sepal.Length=9
# We cannot fully comment of the output of this prediction whether it is a true
# positive or a false negative.
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################
######################################

```
#random sampling - training and testing
training idx <- sample(1:nrow(k data), size = 0.8*nrow(k data))
k_data_train <- k_data[training_idx, ]</pre>
k data test <- k data[-training idx,]
#Building a logistic regression for kyphosis
k my logit <- glm(Kyphosis~Number+Age+Start,
        data = k data train, family = "binomial")
#summary for k_my_logit - logistic regression model
summary(k my logit)
# logistic model coefficients - saving as vector
k_my_logit_coeff <- k_my_logit$coefficients
# it is important to create the exponential extraction of our coefficients to
# be able to take business insights and make a decision accordingly
k_exponential_output <- exp(k_my_logit_coeff)</pre>
# printing k exponential output
print(k_exponential_output)
# predicting group
k_dependent <- predict(k_my_logit, newdata = k_data_test, type = "response")</pre>
print(round(k dependent, digits = 0))
# _____ Analysis for 4B _____ #
# The p value for start coefficients is that this value is highly significant
# for our data. This has been a growth from the previously created models in 3.
# The AIC of 51.2 is really 5 and this reflects that on the totality this model
# is less significant for our analysis and business decision making.
# spotting the variables and declaring values for k data
# creating dataframe for the defined variables
k pred <- data.frame(Age = 50, Start = 10, Number = 7)
# kyphosis prediction for the above data frame
k pred val <- predict(k my logit, newdata = k pred,
           type = "response")
# printing the results
print(k_pred_val)
# _____ Analysis for 4C _____ #
# The probability of kyphosis being present in the resultant is 33.12%
```

# This is a decent score however, anything below a 50% is not a suitable

# choice for business decisions.

## 

#summary for linear regression 2 summary(lin 2)

lin\_3 <- Im(Sepal.Length~Petal.Width, data = data\_iris)</pre>

#summary for linear regression 3
summary(lin\_3)

# \_\_\_\_\_ Analysis for 5 part 1 \_\_\_\_\_ #

# Adjusted r-squared for lin1 - 0.007 high significance

# Adjusted r-squared for lin2 - 0.758 high significance

# Adjusted r-squared for lin3 - 0.667 high significance

## 

## #script for plots

plot(x=data\_iris\$Sepal.Length, y=data\_iris\$Sepal.Width, type= "p")
# heteroscedastic

plot(x=data\_iris\$Sepal.Length, y=data\_iris\$Petal.Length, type= "p")
# homoscedastic

plot(x=data\_iris\$Sepal.Length, y=data\_iris\$Petal.Width, type= "p")
# heteroscedastic

- # \_\_\_\_\_ Analysis for 5 \_\_\_\_\_ #
- # Sepal.Length by Sepal.Width
- # Sepal.Length by Petal.Length
- # Sepal.Length by Petal.Width

ee i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		<u>≡</u> List -   © -			
			O data_iris	150 obs. of 10 variables	
			○ data_iris_prediction	1 obs. of 4 variables	
O data_iris_test	30 obs. of 10 variables				
O data_iris_train	120 obs. of 10 variables				
○ k_data	81 obs. of 4 variables				
O k_data_test	17 obs. of 4 variables				
○ k_data_train	64 obs. of 4 variables				
O k_my_logit	List of 30	Q			
O k_pred	1 obs. of 3 variables				
Olin_1	List of 12	Q			
Olin 2	List of 12	Q			
O lin 3	List of 12	Q			
O my_logit	List of 30	Q			
Values					
exponential_output	Named num [1:5] 1.59e-18 9.01e+03 4.96e+07 9.04e-02 1.49e-03				
k_dependent	Named num [1:17] 0.01871 0.09307 0.00256 0.46723 0.65498				
k_exponential_output	Named num [1:4] 0.0318 1.8453 1.0223 0.768				
k_my_logit_coeff	Named num [1:4] -3.45 0.613 0.022 -0.264				
k_pred_val	Named num 0.332				
logit_coeff	Named num [1:5] -40.98 9.11 17.72 -2.4 -6.51				
pred_val	Named num 1				
training_idx	int [1:64] 61 16 44 49 13 55 19 65 74 15				
Functions					
desc_func	function (x)				
n_function	function (var)				
s_function	function (var)				





