Econ 434 Project

July 20, 2024

1 Econ 434 Final Project

1.1 Team Members: Mihnea Tatu-Chitoiu, Anulika Nwude-Jacobs

The scope of this project is to analyze whether Uber is a substitute or a complement for public transit. To analyze this matter, we will be running a number of regressions whose dependent variable will be the log of the number of rides for a public transit agency in a given year-month. Each of the regressions that we will be running will also include at least one independent variable related to the presence of UBER in the corresponding Metropolitan Statistical Area of the public transit agency. By interpreting the coefficients of such variables throughout multiple regressions, we will obtain a better understanding of the relation between public transit activity and UBER presence.

We begin the project by importing some of the libraries we will be using.

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
    from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer
    import statsmodels.api as sm
    import numpy as np
    from sklearn.linear_model import LassoCV
    from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
    from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
    import warnings
    warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

Below we upload the dataframe we will use for this project. The columns of the dataframe are:

- -UPTTotal the number of rides for the public transit agency;
- -treatUberX a dummy for Uber presence in the corresponding MSA;
- -treatGTNotStd a variable measuring google search intensity for Uber in the corresponding MSA;
- -popestimate population in the corresponding MSA;
- -employment employment in the corresponding MSA;
- -aveFareTotal average fare for the public transit agency;
- -VRHTTotal vehicle hours for the public transit agency;
- -VOMSTotal number of vehicles employed by the public transit agency;
- -VRMTotal vehicle miles for the public transit agency;
- -gasPrice gas price in the corresponding MSA
- -agency the name of the public transit agency -city the city where the public transit agency is located

-state - the state where the public transit agency is located -dateSurvey- the date when the observation was registered

```
df.head()
[2]:
                               treatGTNotStd
        UPTTotal
                  treatUberX
                                               popestimate
                                                              employment
                                                                          aveFareTotal
         8296756
                          0.0
                                         0.00
                                                    3163703
                                                                 1572859
                                                                               0.778015
     0
                          0.0
     1
                                         1.40
         7847113
                                                    3163703
                                                                 1581307
                                                                               0.778015
     2
                          0.0
                                         3.00
         9011399
                                                    3163703
                                                                 1592152
                                                                               0.778015
                                         2.25
     3
         8656389
                          0.0
                                                    3163703
                                                                 1598167
                                                                               0.778015
         8378406
                          0.0
                                         2.60
                                                                               0.778015
                                                    3163703
                                                                 1593356
                   VOMSTotal
                                          gasPrice
        VRHTotal
                                VRMTotal
        333329.0
                      2626.0
                              4740396.0
                                              1.701
     0
        310535.0
                      2626.0
                              4398939.0
                                              1.862
        356761.0
                      2626.0
                              5176183.0
                                             2.063
        341191.0
                      2626.0
     3
                               4889387.0
                                             2.121
        333418.0
                      2626.0
                              4747018.0
                                             2.266
                                                      agency
                                                                  city state
        King County Department of Transportation - Met... Seattle
                                                                        WA
        King County Department of Transportation - Met...
                                                                        WA
        King County Department of Transportation - Met...
                                                                        WA
     3 King County Department of Transportation - Met...
                                                             Seattle
                                                                        WA
     4 King County Department of Transportation - Met...
                                                            Seattle
                                                                        WA
        dateSurvey
        2004-01-01
     0
     1
        2004-02-01
     2
        2004-03-01
        2004-04-01
     3
        2004-05-01
     df.shape
[3]: (76213, 14)
     df.describe()
[4]:
                UPTTotal
                             treatUberX
                                          treatGTNotStd
                                                           popestimate
                                                                            employment
            7.621300e+04
                           76213.000000
                                                          7.621300e+04
                                                                         7.621300e+04
                                           61824.000000
     count
                                                          3.287213e+06
                                                                          1.544130e+06
            1.557973e+06
                                0.125017
                                                2.711728
     mean
     std
            1.247141e+07
                                0.329335
                                                5.013406
                                                          5.090858e+06
                                                                          2.363277e+06
     min
            2.100000e+01
                                0.000000
                                                0.000000
                                                          6.944200e+04
                                                                         3.215000e+04
     25%
            3.866000e+04
                                0.000000
                                                0.000000
                                                          2.825200e+05
                                                                         1.314350e+05
     50%
            1.214730e+05
                                0.000000
                                                1.000000
                                                          8.370360e+05
                                                                          3.903810e+05
     75%
            4.169980e+05
                                0.000000
                                                2,250000
                                                          4.260236e+06
                                                                         1.891851e+06
```

[2]: df = pd.read_csv('/Users/sandinatatu/Desktop/uber_dataset.csv', index_col = 0)

max	3.227260e+08	1.000000	56.024097	1.944570e+07	9.357873e+06
	TT-+-1	WDITE - + - 1	VOMOT - + - 1	UDMT - + - 1	D
	aveFareTotal	VRHTotal	${\tt VOMSTotal}$	${\tt VRMTotal}$	gasPrice
count	72016.000000	7.602000e+04	76066.000000	7.603200e+04	76213.000000
mean	1.766518	4.040557e+04	185.220690	6.165114e+05	2.980399
std	4.134002	1.589262e+05	590.133284	2.326701e+06	0.653412
min	0.000026	4.000000e+01	1.000000	2.740000e+02	1.541000
25%	0.651672	4.076750e+03	22.000000	6.293075e+04	2.471000
50%	0.914369	8.701000e+03	48.000000	1.351800e+05	2.970000
75%	1.427222	2.191775e+04	125.000000	3.456725e+05	3.563000
max	135.849040	3.370515e+06	11260.000000	4.548309e+07	4.423000

Then, we check for the presence of mising values.

```
[5]: df.isna().sum()
```

```
[5]: UPTTotal
                            0
     treatUberX
                            0
     treatGTNotStd
                        14389
     popestimate
                            0
     employment
                            0
     aveFareTotal
                         4197
     VRHTotal
                          193
     VOMSTotal
                          147
     VRMTotal
                          181
     gasPrice
                            0
     agency
                            0
                            0
     city
                            0
     state
     dateSurvey
                            0
     dtype: int64
```

Since some of our variables contain missing value, we will imputation via their mean to ensure that the dataset we will use for our regressions does not contain any missing values.

We check to make sure that we do not have missing values anymore in our dataset.

```
[7]: df.isna().sum()
```

```
employment
                  0
aveFareTotal
                  0
VRHTotal
                  0
VOMSTotal
                  0
VRMTotal
                  0
gasPrice
                  0
                  0
agency
city
                  0
state
                  0
dateSurvey
                  0
dtype: int64
```

Prior to running our regressions, we create two dataframes whose contentes will be used as independent variables:

-agency_dummies (a dataframe containing dummy values for the public transit agency of an observation)

-date_dummies (a dataframe containing dummy values for the year-month of an observation)

```
[8]: agency_dummies = pd.get_dummies(df['agency']).astype('int')
date_dummies = pd.get_dummies(df['dateSurvey']).astype('int')
```

1.2 Regression 1

Our first regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta D_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- -alpha is a constant
- -Y is UPTTotal
- -D is treatUberX
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

```
[9]: df['log_UPTTotal'] = np.log(df['UPTTotal'])

y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()

d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()

w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal', 'URMTotal', 'gasPrice']].copy()

w = sm.add_constant(w)

x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, w], axis=1)
```

```
model1 = sm.OLS(y, x_uberx).fit()

summary = model1.summary()
coef_table = summary.tables[1]
titles = coef_table.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef_table.data:
    if 'treatUberX' in row:
        first_variable_row = row
        break

df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 treatUberX 0.1694 0.018 9.364 0.000 0.134 0.205
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 0.1694 with a standard error of 0.018. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to increase the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 16.94%, keeping everything else the same.

1.3 Regression 2

Our second regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta D_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

```
titles = coef_table.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef_table.data:
    if 'treatUberX' in row:
        first_variable_row = row
        break

df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 treatUberX -0.0606 0.006 -9.829 0.000 -0.073 -0.048
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is -0.0606 with a standard error of 0.006. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 6.06%, keeping everything else the same.

1.4 Regression 3

Below we create P, a a dummy that takes value 1 if the corresponding MSA has population larger than the median population in the dataset and 0 otherwise. We also create a column we call 'D * P', which is the product between our newly-created P column and the 'treatUberX' column.

```
[11]: df['P'] = np.where(df['popestimate'] > df['popestimate'].median(),1,0)
df['D*P'] = df['P'] * df['treatUberX']
```

Our third regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta_1 D_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} P_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * P is the product between our newly-created P column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

```
x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, dp_uberx, w, agency_dummies, date_dummies],u
axis=1)

model3 = sm.OLS(y, x_uberx).fit()

summary = model3.summary()
coef_table = summary.tables[1]
titles = coef_table.data[0]
first_two_rows = []
for row in coef_table.data[1:3]:
    first_two_rows.append(row)

df_summary = pd.DataFrame(first_two_rows, columns=titles)
print(df_summary)
```

		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
0	treatUberX	-0.0303	0.009	-3.218	0.001	-0.049	-0.012
1	D*P	-0.0397	0.009	-4.249	0.000	-0.058	-0.021

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is -0.0303 with a standard error of 0.009. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 3.03%, keeping everything else the same.

The coefficient of D * P in this regression is -0.0397 with a standard error of 0.009. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a larger MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a further 3.97%, keeping everything else the same.

1.5 Regression 4

Below we create F, a a dummy that takes value 1 iif the number of rides of the public travel agency is larger than the median number of rides among all public transit agencies in the dataset. We also create a column we call 'D * F', which is the product between our newly-created F column and the 'treatUberX' column.

```
[13]: df['F'] = np.where(df['UPTTotal'] > df['UPTTotal'].median(),1,0)
df['D*F'] = df['F'] * df['treatUberX']
```

Our fourth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta_1 D_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} F_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * F is the product between our newly-created F column and the 'treatUberX' column.

-W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

		coef	std err	t	P> t	L0.025	0.975]
0	treatUberX	-0.0537	0.008	-6.742	0.000	-0.069	-0.038
1	D*F	-0.0110	0.008	-1.370	0.171	-0.027	0.005

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is -0.0537 with a standard error of 0.008. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 5.37%, keeping everything else the same.

The coefficient of D * F in this regression is -0.0110 with a standard error of 0.008. This result is not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in an area with a high number of public transit agency rides is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a further 1.11%, keeping everything else the same.

1.6 Regression 5

Our fifth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta_1 D_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} P_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created

- -D is treatUberX
- -D * P is the product between our newly-created P column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

It is identical to our third regression. However, we will estimate this regression this time through LASSO. Prior to running LASSO we will scale our variables using sklearn's StandardScaler. We will select the LASSO penalty parameter in this case through 5-fold cross-validation

```
[15]: y = df['log UPTTotal'].copy()
     w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal',
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
     dp_uberx = df['D*P'].copy()
     x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, dp_uberx, w, agency_dummies, date_dummies],_
      ⇒axis=1)
     scaler5 = StandardScaler()
     x_uberx = scaler5.fit_transform(x_uberx)
     lasso5 = LassoCV(cv = 5, fit_intercept = False)
     model5 = lasso5.fit(x_uberx, y)
     coefficients = model5.coef_
     feature_names = ['treatUberX', 'D*P'] + w.columns.tolist() + agency_dummies.

→columns.tolist() + date_dummies.columns.tolist()
     coef df = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': feature names, 'Coefficient': coefficients})
     print(coef_df.head(2))
```

```
Feature Coefficient

0 treatUberX 0.0

1 D*P 0.0
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 0, indicating that the presence of UBER in a MSA is not expected to impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

The coefficient of D * P is 0, indicating that presence of UBER in a larger MSA is not expected to further impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

1.7 Regression 6

Our sixth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta_1 D_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} F_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * F is the product between our newly-created F column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

It is identical to our fourth regression. However, we will estimate this regression this time through LASSO. Prior to running LASSO we will scale our idnependent variables using sklearn's Standard-Scaler. We will select the LASSO penalty parameter in this case through 5-fold cross-validation

```
Feature Coefficient

0 treatUberX 0.0

1 D*F 0.0
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 0, indicating that the presence of UBER in a MSA is not expected to impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

The coefficient of D * F is 0, indicating the presence of UBER in an area with a high number of public transit agency rides is not expected to further impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

1.8 Regression 7

Our seventh regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta_1 D_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} P_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * P is the product between our newly-created P column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

This regression is identical to our third and fifth regressions. However, this time we will obtain estimates for beta1 and beta2 through Double Lasso. We first focus on obtaining a coefficient for beta 1 through Double Lasso. To do so, we will first run Lasso of Y on the variables from above. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from LASSO are different from 0 in a list i call 'nz1'.

```
[17]: y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
     w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal',
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
     dp uberx = df['D*P'].copy()
     x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, dp_uberx, w, agency_dummies, date_dummies],_
      ⇔axis=1)
     scaler7 = StandardScaler()
     x_uberx = scaler7.fit_transform(x_uberx)
     lasso7 = LassoCV(cv = 5, fit_intercept = False)
     model7 = lasso7.fit(x_uberx, y)
     coefficients = model7.coef_
     feature_names = ['treatUberX', 'D*P'] + w.columns.tolist() + agency_dummies.
      ⇔columns.tolist() + date_dummies.columns.tolist()
     coef_df = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': feature_names, 'Coefficient': coefficients})
     nz1 = coef_df[coef_df['Coefficient'] != 0]['Feature'].tolist()
```

Then, I run LASSO of D (treatUberX) on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list i call 'nz2'.

I also run LASSO of P * D on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list i call 'nz3'.

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'treatUberX', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first two LASSO regressions.

```
[20]: all_nz = list(set(['treatUberX'] + nz1 + nz2))
      y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
      w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal',

¬'VRMTotal', 'gasPrice']].copy()
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
      dp_uberx = df['D*P'].copy()
      x uberx = pd.concat([d uberx, dp uberx, w, agency_dummies, date_dummies],_
       ⇒axis=1)
      lhs7= x_uberx[all_nz].copy()
      lhs7 = sm.add constant(lhs7)
      model7final = sm.OLS(y, lhs7).fit()
      summary = model7final.summary()
      coef_table = summary.tables[1]
      titles = coef_table.data[0]
      first_variable_row = []
      for row in coef_table.data:
          if 'treatUberX' in row:
              first_variable_row = row
              break
      df summary = pd.DataFrame([first variable row], columns=titles)
      print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 treatUberX -0.0864 0.032 -2.733 0.006 -0.148 -0.024
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is -0.0864 with a standard error of 0.032. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 8.64%, keeping everything else the same.

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'D * P', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the unscaled independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first and third LASSO regressions.

```
[21]: all_nz3 = list(set(['D*P'] + nz1 + nz3))

lhs72= x_uberx[all_nz3].copy()
```

```
lhs72 = sm.add_constant(lhs72)
model72final = sm.OLS(y, lhs72).fit()

summary = model72final.summary()
coef_table = summary.tables[1]
titles = coef_table.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef_table.data:
    if 'D*P' in row:
        first_variable_row = row
        break

df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] 0 D*P -0.2231 0.042 -5.346 0.000 -0.305 -0.141
```

The coefficient of D * P in this regression is -0.2231 with a standard error of 0.042. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a large MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a further 22.31%, keeping everything else the same.

1.9 Regression 8

Our eighth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + \beta_1 D_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} F_{it} + \gamma W_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * F is the product between our newly-created F column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

This regression is identical to our fourth and sixth regressions. However, this time we will obtain estimates for beta1 and beta2 through Double Lasso. We first focus on obtaining a coefficient for beta 1 through Double Lasso. To do so, we will first run Lasso of Y on the variables from above. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz4'.

Then, I run LASSO of D (treatUberX) on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz5'.

```
nz5 = coef_df8[coef_df8['Coefficient'] != 0]['Feature'].tolist()
```

I also run LASSO of F * D on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz6'.

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'treatUberX', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first two LASSO regressions (that we ran after the "Regression 8" tite)

```
model8final = sm.OLS(y, lhs8).fit()

summary2 = model8final.summary()
coef_table2 = summary2.tables[1]
titles = coef_table2.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef_table2.data:
    if 'treatUberX' in row:
        first_variable_row = row
        break

df_summary2 = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary2)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975] 0 treatUberX -0.0305 0.015 -2.044 0.041 -0.060 -0.001
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is -0.0305 with a standard error of 0.015. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 3.05%, keeping everything else the same.

```
[26]: y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
all_nz6 = list(set(['D*F'] + nz4 + nz6))

lhs82= x_uberx[all_nz6].copy()
lhs82 = sm.add_constant(lhs82)

model82final = sm.OLS(y, lhs82).fit()

summary2 = model82final.summary()
coef_table2 = summary2.tables[1]
titles = coef_table2.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef_table2.data:
    if 'D*F' in row:
        first_variable_row = row
        break

df_summary2 = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary2)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 D*F 2.5126 0.034 74.436 0.000 2.446 2.579
```

The coefficient of D * F in this regression is 2.5126 with a standard error of 0.034. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER

in an area with a high number of public transit agency rides is expected to increase the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a further 251.26%, keeping everything else the same. This result is an exaggeration which occured because of the absence of several variables from this last regression, such as 'gasPrice'. Furthermore, this last regression yielded more exaggerated results, as the coefficient of "treatUberX" is -1.1798. This would mean that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by more than 100%, keeping everything else constant.

1.10 Regression 9

Our ninth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + D_{it}\beta_1 + D_{it}P_{it}\beta_2 + \gamma \tilde{W}'_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * P is the product between our newly-created P column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W~, which includes all interactions of order 5 of variables in the vector W

We will estimate this regression this through LASSO. Prior to running LASSO we will scale our variables using sklearn's StandardScaler. We will select the LASSO penalty parameter in this case through 5-fold cross-validation.

```
Feature Coefficient
0 treatUberX 0.0
1 D*P 0.0
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 0, indicating that the presence of UBER in a MSA is not expected to impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

The coefficient of D * P is 0, indicating that presence of UBER in a larger MSA is not expected to further impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

1.11 Regression 10

Our tenth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + D_{it}\beta_1 + D_{it}F_{it}\beta_2 + \gamma \tilde{W}'_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * F is the product between our newly-created F column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W~, which includes all interactions of order 5 of variables in the vector W

We will estimate this regression through LASSO. Prior to running LASSO we will scale our variables using sklearn's StandardScaler. We will select the LASSO penalty parameter in this case through 5-fold cross-validation.

```
Feature Coefficient
0 treatUberX 0.0
1 D*F 0.0
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 0, indicating that the presence of UBER in a MSA is not expected to impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

The coefficient of D * F is 0, indicating that presence of UBER in an area with a high number of public transit agency rides is not expected to impact the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area.

1.12 Regression 11

Our eleventh regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + D_{it}\beta_1 + D_{it}P_{it}\beta_2 + \gamma \tilde{W}'_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * P is the product between our newly-created P column and the 'treatUberX' column.
- -W~ includes all interactions of order 5 of variables in the vector W

This regression is identical to our ninth regression. However, this time we will obtain estimates for beta1 and beta2 through Double Lasso. We first focus on obtaining a coefficient for beta 1 through Double Lasso. To do so, we will first run Lasso of Y on the variables from above. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz111'.

```
polint = PolynomialFeatures(degree=5, include_bias=False)
wnew = pd.DataFrame(polint.fit_transform(w))
d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
dp_uberx = df['D*P'].copy()
x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, dp_uberx, wnew, agency_dummies, date_dummies],_
 ⊶axis=1)
x_uberx.columns = x_uberx.columns.astype('str')
scaler111 = StandardScaler()
x_uberx = scaler111.fit_transform(x_uberx)
lasso111 = LassoCV(cv = 5, fit_intercept = False)
model111 = lasso111.fit(x_uberx, y)
coefficients = model111.coef_
feature names = ['treatUberX', 'D*P'] + wnew.columns.tolist() + agency dummies.
 Golumns.tolist() + date_dummies.columns.tolist()
coef_df = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': feature_names, 'Coefficient': coefficients})
nz111 = coef_df[coef_df['Coefficient'] != 0]['Feature'].tolist()
```

Then, I run LASSO of D (treatUberX) on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list i call 'nz112'.

I also run LASSO of P * D on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz113'.

```
[31]: w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal', '

¬'VRMTotal', 'gasPrice']].copy()
      polint = PolynomialFeatures(degree=5, include_bias=False)
      wnew = pd.DataFrame(polint.fit_transform(w))
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
      dp_uberx = df['D*P'].copy()
      temp2 = pd.concat([d_uberx, wnew, agency_dummies, date_dummies], axis = 1)
      temp2.columns = temp2.columns.astype('str')
      scaler113 = StandardScaler()
      temp2 = scaler113.fit transform(temp2)
      lasso113 = LassoCV(cv = 5)
      model113 = lasso113.fit(temp2, dp_uberx)
      coefficients113 = model113.coef_
      feature_names113 = ['treatUberX'] + wnew.columns.tolist() + agency_dummies.
       →columns.tolist() + date_dummies.columns.tolist()
      coef_df = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': feature_names113, 'Coefficient':
       ⇔coefficients113})
      nz113 = coef_df[coef_df['Coefficient'] != 0]['Feature'].tolist()
```

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'treatUberX', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first two LASSO regressions.

```
[32]: all_nz = list(set(['treatUberX'] + nz111 + nz112))
y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
```

```
w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal', '
 polint = PolynomialFeatures(degree=5, include_bias=False)
wnew = pd.DataFrame(polint.fit transform(w))
d uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
dp_uberx = df['D*P'].copy()
x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, dp_uberx, wnew, agency_dummies, date_dummies],_
 ⇒axis=1)
x_uberx.columns = x_uberx.columns.map(str)
all_nz = list(map(str, all_nz))
all_nz_filtered = [col for col in all_nz if col in x_uberx.columns]
lhs111 = x_uberx[all_nz_filtered]
lhs111 = sm.add_constant(lhs111)
model111final = sm.OLS(y, lhs111).fit()
summary = model111final.summary()
coef_table = summary.tables[1]
titles = coef_table.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef table.data:
   if 'treatUberX' in row:
       first_variable_row = row
       break
df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 treatUberX 1.899e-07 3.19e-09 59.574 0.000 1.84e-07 1.96e-07
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 1.958e-07 with a standard error of 3.29e-09. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to increase the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a very small amount, keeping everything else the same.

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'D * P', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the unscaled independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first and third LASSO regressions.

```
[33]: all_nz112 = list(set(['D*P'] + nz111 + nz113))
```

```
all_nz112 = list(map(str, all_nz112))
all_nz112_filtered = [col for col in all_nz112 if col in x_uberx.columns]
lhs112= x_uberx[all_nz112_filtered].copy()
lhs112 = sm.add_constant(lhs112)

model112final = sm.OLS(y, lhs112).fit()

summary = model112final.summary()
coef_table = summary.tables[1]
titles = coef_table.data[0]
first_variable_row = []
for row in coef_table.data:
    if 'D*P' in row:
        first_variable_row = row
        break

df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
print(df_summary)
```

coef std err t
$$P>|t|$$
 [0.025 0.975] 0 $D*P$ -0.2213 0.042 -5.308 0.000 -0.303 -0.140

The coefficient of D * P in this regression is -0.2213 with a standard error of 0.042. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a large MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a further 22.13%, keeping everything else the same.

1.13 Regression 12

Our twelth regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \eta_i + \delta_t + D_{it}\beta_1 + D_{it}F_{it}\beta_2 + \gamma \tilde{W}'_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -tau is the year-month dummy we previously created
- -D is treatUberX
- -D * F is the product between our newly-created F column and the 'treatUberX' column
- -W~ includes all interactions of order 5 of variables in the vector W

This regression is identical to our tenth regression. However, this time we will obtain estimates for beta1 and beta2 through Double Lasso. We first focus on obtaining a coefficient for beta 1 through Double Lasso. To do so, we will first run Lasso of Y on the variables from above. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz121'

```
[34]: y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
      w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal', __

¬'VRMTotal', 'gasPrice']].copy()
      polint = PolynomialFeatures(degree=5, include_bias=False)
      wnew = pd.DataFrame(polint.fit_transform(w))
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
      df uberx = df['D*F'].copy()
      x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, df_uberx, wnew, agency_dummies, date_dummies],__
       ⇒axis=1)
      x_uberx.columns = x_uberx.columns.astype('str')
      scaler121 = StandardScaler()
      x_uberx = scaler121.fit_transform(x_uberx)
      lasso121 = LassoCV(cv = 5, fit_intercept = False)
      model121 = lasso121.fit(x_uberx, y)
      coefficients = model121.coef_
      feature_names = ['treatUberX', 'D*F'] + wnew.columns.tolist() + agency_dummies.
       Golumns.tolist() + date_dummies.columns.tolist()
      coef_df = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': feature_names, 'Coefficient': coefficients})
     nz121 = coef_df[coef_df['Coefficient'] != 0]['Feature'].tolist()
```

Then, I run LASSO of D (treatUberX) on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz122'.

I also run LASSO of F * D on all of the other independent variables. Again, we obtain the regularization parameter for LASSO through cross-validation. Then, I store the name of variables whose coefficients from this LASSO are different from 0 in a list I call 'nz123'

```
[36]: | w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal', u
      polint = PolynomialFeatures(degree=5, include_bias=False)
     wnew = pd.DataFrame(polint.fit_transform(w))
     d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
     df_uberx = df['D*F'].copy()
     temp2 = pd.concat([d_uberx, wnew, agency_dummies, date_dummies], axis = 1)
     temp2.columns = temp2.columns.astype('str')
     scaler123 = StandardScaler()
     temp2 = scaler123.fit_transform(temp2)
     lasso123 = LassoCV(cv = 5)
     model123 = lasso123.fit(temp2, df_uberx)
     coefficients123 = model123.coef_
     feature_names123 = ['treatUberX'] + wnew.columns.tolist() + agency_dummies.
       →columns.tolist() + date_dummies.columns.tolist()
     coef_df = pd.DataFrame({'Feature': feature_names123, 'Coefficient':
       ⇔coefficients123})
     nz123 = coef_df[coef_df['Coefficient'] != 0]['Feature'].tolist()
```

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'treatUberX', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first two LASSO regressions.

```
[37]: all_nz = list(set(['treatUberX'] + nz121 + nz122))
      y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
      w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal', __

¬'VRMTotal', 'gasPrice']].copy()
      polint = PolynomialFeatures(degree=5, include_bias=False)
      wnew = pd.DataFrame(polint.fit_transform(w))
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
      df_uberx = df['D*F'].copy()
      x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, df_uberx, wnew, agency_dummies, date_dummies],_
       ⇒axis=1)
      x_uberx.columns = x_uberx.columns.map(str)
      all_nz_filtered = [col for col in all_nz if col in x_uberx.columns]
      lhs121 = x_uberx[all_nz_filtered]
      lhs121 = sm.add_constant(lhs121)
      model121final = sm.OLS(y, lhs121).fit()
      summary = model121final.summary()
      coef_table = summary.tables[1]
      titles = coef table.data[0]
      first_variable_row = []
      for row in coef table.data:
          if 'treatUberX' in row:
              first_variable_row = row
              break
      df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
      print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 treatUberX -0.0725 0.029 -2.498 0.013 -0.129 -0.016
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is -0.0725 with a standard error of 0.029. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 7.25%, keeping everything else the same.

To obtain an estimate and standard errors for 'D * F', we now run OLS on the unscaled 'treatUberX' and the unscaled independent variables that yielded non-zero coefficients in at least one of the first and third LASSO regressions.

```
all_nz122 = list(set(['D*F'] + nz121 + nz123))
[38]:
      all_nz122 = list(map(str, all_nz122))
      all_nz122_filtered = [col for col in all_nz122 if col in x_uberx.columns]
      lhs122= x_uberx[all_nz122_filtered].copy()
      lhs122 = sm.add_constant(lhs122)
      model122final = sm.OLS(y, lhs122).fit()
      summary = model122final.summary()
      coef_table = summary.tables[1]
      titles = coef_table.data[0]
      first_variable_row = []
      for row in coef_table.data:
          if 'D*F' in row:
              first_variable_row = row
              break
      df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
      print(df summary)
```

The coefficient of D * F in this regression is 2.4669 with a standard error of 0.034. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in an area with a high number of public transit agency rides is expected to increase the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by a further 246.69%, keeping everything else the same. This result is an exaggeration which occured because of the absence of several variables from this last regression, such as 'gasPrice'. Furthermore, this last regression yielded more exaggerated results, as the coefficient of "treatUberX" is -1.1699. This is equivalent to saying that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to decrease the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by more than 100%, keeping everything else constant.

1.14 Bonus Regression

Our bonus regression is:

$$\log Y_{it} = \alpha + \eta_i + D_{it}\beta_1 + \gamma W'_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- -eta is the agency dummy that we previously created
- -D is treatUberX

-W is the vector including remaining variables: popestimate, employment, aveFareTotal, VRHT-Total, VOMSTotal, VRMTotal, gasPrice

This regression does not include our year-month dummy and will be estimated through OLS. The penalty parameter in this case will be chosen through cross-validation.

```
[39]: df['log_UPTTotal'] = np.log(df['UPTTotal'])
      y = df['log_UPTTotal'].copy()
      d_uberx = df['treatUberX'].copy()
      w = df[['popestimate', 'employment', 'aveFareTotal', 'VRHTotal', 'VOMSTotal',

¬'VRMTotal', 'gasPrice']].copy()
      w = sm.add_constant(w)
      x_uberx = pd.concat([d_uberx, w, agency_dummies], axis=1)
      modelb = sm.OLS(y, x_uberx).fit()
      summary = modelb.summary()
      coef table = summary.tables[1]
      titles = coef_table.data[0]
      first variable row = []
      for row in coef_table.data:
          if 'treatUberX' in row:
              first_variable_row = row
              break
      df_summary = pd.DataFrame([first_variable_row], columns=titles)
      print(df_summary)
```

```
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
0 treatUberX 0.0270 0.004 6.326 0.000 0.019 0.035
```

The coefficient of treatUberX in this regression is 0.0270 with a standard error of 0.004. This result is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. This result indicates that the presence of UBER in a MSA is expected to increase the number of rides for the public transit agency in that area by 2.7%, keeping everything else the same.

1.15 Summary Tables

```
[40]: import numpy as np
  import pandas as pd
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  import seaborn as sns
  from matplotlib import font_manager

# Data for treatUberX
```

```
data_treatUberX = {
    'Regression': [
        'Regression 1', 'Regression 2', 'Regression 3', 'Regression 4',
        'Regression 5', 'Regression 6', 'Regression 7', 'Regression 8',
        'Regression 9', 'Regression 10', 'Regression 11', 'Regression 12',
        'Bonus Regression'
    ],
    'Coefficient': [
        0.1694, -0.0606, -0.0303, -0.0537,
        0.0, 0.0, -0.0864, -0.0305,
        0.0, 0.0, 1.012e-05, -0.0725,
        0.0270
    ],
    'Interpretation': [
        'Increase by 16.94\%', 'Decrease by 6.06\%', 'Decrease by 3.03\%',
 \hookrightarrow 'Decrease by 5.37%',
        'No impact', 'No impact', 'Decrease by 8.64%', 'Decrease by 3.05%',
        'No impact', 'No impact', 'Increase by 0.001%', 'Decrease by 7.25%',
        'Increase by 2.7%'
    ]
}
# Convert to DataFrame
df_treatUberX = pd.DataFrame(data_treatUberX)
# Plotting the table for treatUberX
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(14, 7))
ax.axis('off')
font_properties = font_manager.FontProperties(family='DejaVu Sans',_
 ⇔weight='bold', size=14)
colors = sns.color_palette("Pastel1", len(df_treatUberX.columns))
tbl = ax.table(
    cellText=df treatUberX.values,
    colLabels=df_treatUberX.columns,
    cellLoc='center',
    loc='center',
    colColours=colors
tbl.auto_set_font_size(False)
tbl.set_fontsize(12)
tbl.scale(1.5, 1.5)
for key, cell in tbl.get_celld().items():
    cell.set_edgecolor('navy')
    cell.set_linewidth(1.5)
    if key[0] == 0:
        cell.get_text().set_fontproperties(font_properties)
```

```
cell.get_text().set_color('navy')
cell.get_text().set_fontproperties(font_properties)
cell.PAD = 0.3
ax.set_title('Summary of Regression Results for treatUberX', fontsize=20,__
fontweight='bold', color='navy', fontname='DejaVu Sans')
ax.title.set_position([0.5, 1.1]) # Adjust spacing

plt.show()
```

Summary of Regression Results for treatUberX

Regression	Coefficient	Interpretation
Regression 1	0.1694	Increase by 16.94%
Regression 2	-0.0606	Decrease by 6.06%
Regression 3	-0.0303	Decrease by 3.03%
Regression 4	-0.0537	Decrease by 5.37%
Regression 5	0.0	No impact
Regression 6	0.0	No impact
Regression 7	-0.0864	Decrease by 8.64%
Regression 8	-0.0305	Decrease by 3.05%
Regression 9	0.0	No impact
Regression 10	0.0	No impact
Regression 11	1.012e-05	Increase by 0.001%
Regression 12	-0.0725	Decrease by 7.25%
Bonus Regression	0.027	Increase by 2.7%

```
[41]: import numpy as np
     import pandas as pd
     import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
     import seaborn as sns
     from matplotlib import font_manager
     # Data for D*P
     data_DP = {
          'Regression': [
             'Regression 3', 'Regression 5', 'Regression 7', 'Regression 9',
       ],
         'Coefficient': [
             -0.0397, 0.0, -0.2231, 0.0, -0.2213
         ],
         'Interpretation': [
             'Decrease by 3.97%', 'No impact', 'Decrease by 22.31%', 'No impact',
       ⇔'Decrease by 22.13%'
         ]
     }
     # Convert to DataFrame
     df_DP = pd.DataFrame(data_DP)
```

```
# Plotting the table for D*P
fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(14, 7))
ax.axis('off')
font_properties = font_manager.FontProperties(family='DejaVu Sans', __
 ⇔weight='bold', size=14)
colors = sns.color_palette("Pastel1", len(df_DP.columns))
tbl = ax.table(
    cellText=df_DP.values,
    colLabels=df_DP.columns,
    cellLoc='center',
    loc='center',
    colColours=colors
tbl.auto_set_font_size(False)
tbl.set_fontsize(12)
tbl.scale(1.5, 1.5)
for key, cell in tbl.get_celld().items():
    cell.set_edgecolor('navy')
    cell.set linewidth(1.5)
    if key[0] == 0:
        cell.get_text().set_fontproperties(font_properties)
        cell.get_text().set_color('navy')
    cell.get_text().set_fontproperties(font_properties)
    cell.PAD = 0.3
ax.set_title('Summary of Regression Results for D*P', fontsize=20,_
 ⇔fontweight='bold', color='navy', fontname='DejaVu Sans')
ax.title.set_position([0.5, 1.05]) # Decrease the distance between title and_
 \hookrightarrow table
plt.show()
```

Summary of Regression Results for D*P

Regression	Coefficient	Interpretation
Regression 3	-0.0397	Decrease by 3.97%
Regression 5	0.0	No impact
Regression 7	-0.2231	Decrease by 22.31%
Regression 9	0.0	No impact
Regression 11	-0.2213	Decrease by 22.13%

```
[42]: import numpy as np
      import pandas as pd
      import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
      import seaborn as sns
      from matplotlib import font_manager
      # Data for D*F
      data_DF = {
          'Regression': [
              'Regression 4', 'Regression 6', 'Regression 8', 'Regression 10',
       ⇔'Regression 12'
          ],
          'Coefficient': [
              -0.0110, 0.0, 2.5126, 0.0, 2.4669
          ],
          'Interpretation': [
              'Decrease by 1.11%', 'No impact', 'Increase by 251.26%', 'No impact', '
       →'Increase by 246.69%'
          ]
      }
      # Convert to DataFrame
      df_DF = pd.DataFrame(data_DF)
      # Plotting the table for D*F
      fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(14, 7))
      ax.axis('off')
      font_properties = font_manager.FontProperties(family='DejaVu Sans',_
       ⇒weight='bold', size=14)
      colors = sns.color_palette("Pastel1", len(df_DF.columns))
      tbl = ax.table(
          cellText=df_DF.values,
          colLabels=df_DF.columns,
          cellLoc='center',
          loc='center',
          colColours=colors
      tbl.auto_set_font_size(False)
      tbl.set fontsize(12)
      tbl.scale(1.5, 1.5)
      for key, cell in tbl.get_celld().items():
          cell.set_edgecolor('navy')
          cell.set_linewidth(1.5)
          if key[0] == 0:
              cell.get_text().set_fontproperties(font_properties)
              cell.get_text().set_color('navy')
```

```
cell.get_text().set_fontproperties(font_properties)
cell.PAD = 0.3

ax.set_title('Summary of Regression Results for D*F', fontsize=20, _____
fontweight='bold', color='navy', fontname='DejaVu Sans')

ax.title.set_position([0.5, 1.05]) # Decrease the distance between title and_____
table

plt.show()
```

Summary of Regression Results for D*F

Regression	Coefficient	Interpretation
Regression 4	-0.011	Decrease by 1.11%
Regression 6	0.0	No impact
Regression 8	2.5126	Increase by 251.26%
Regression 10	0.0	No impact
Regression 12	2.4669	Increase by 246.69%

1.16 Conclusion

While the effect of Uber on Public Transit is uncertain, most of our regressions where we employoed some type of variable selection (through either LASSO or Double-LASSO) yielded negative statistically significant coefficients for our treatment variable, 'treatUberX'. This indicated that when analyzing the variables with a high predictive power for the number of rides in an MSA, the presence of Uber in that MSA will likely have a negative effect on the number of rides in the MSA.

To reach a more definit conclusion with regard to the effect of Uber presence on the number of rides from an MSA, it would be useful to have additional covariates, such as the efficiency of the public transit in an MSA and the cleanliness of public transit vehicles in an MSA.