
# QCMHR-GMRF Workshop Results

#### Response rate


Activity Type	Response % (n)
One	63.6% (n = 14)
Three	63.6% (n = 14)
Evaluaton	90.9% (n = 20)

# Activity 1

## Target Audience




## Learning Objective




# Activity 3

## Video topic



# Package style



## **Evaluation**

## Rating the effectiveness of the workshop



## Questions about process

#### Best

#### Comments

Collaborative approach

Co-design

The collaborative and collegial approach.

Open communication with multiple perspectives and view points assessed.

Collaboration all had a voice

It produced output!

Sharing of research that mirrors the findings and direction of education within my organisation

The collective conversation that was created.

The use of the topic specific round table discussions gave us the opportunity to learn from each others expertise and to add our own experience of the issues under discussion

Diverse table groups so different perspectives were covered.

Inclusive multi faceted ability to contribute

#### Comments

Group discussion and gaining perspectives from everyone involved. Involving the right people in the process.

Discussions with, and the opportunity to hear from First Response and clinical sunkect mattee experts.

These occasions always lead to better informed outcomes that directly benefit persons in crisis. Sometimes the agencies can be siloed in our responses/actions

Diverse group of professionals

The use of qualtronics to collect information.

Very good mix of people per table

#### Worst

#### Comments

Sometimes gif off topic

Nil

Not enough time

I'd like to have heard from, perhaps the ADF Pshcy present, or any other of the eminently qualified people, as to her input on how an ADF Vet in crisis is different or unique to anyone else in crisis and how to better deal with them

Could have benefited from more time, but then again getting these people into the same room at the same time was a huge achievement!

When facilitating discussion as a group have clearly defined roles.

We are out of time to facilitate enough content for the videos.

Not enough time to unpack the specifics of the video content

Probably didn't make full use of people's experience - but that may come later with further collaboration.

It would have been good to understand why first responders are the focus of this intervention...

Have there been problems in how first responders respond, if so what?

There was nothing negative about the day.

I would have liked more whole of room discussion

The time allocated for activities was not enough. An extra 20-30 minutes for each would have been appreciated.

#### Changes

#### Comments

Nope

No

No, I really valued havthe range of people and organizations here

Have people present with lived experience if available

We need more time to work on the content to ensure we capture the knowledge across the agencies.

No, it was well done and the right people were in the room.

Perhaps a pre-survey for attendees to help spark/focus conversations.

To bring Emergency Departments and Acute MH services into the conversation as important stakeholders. No, it was a well organised event.

Being it was tailored to Defence, perhaps a short talk (or video) from an ADF lived experience person?

1. Invite some veterans 2. Get people to move tables to get greater cross-population

A change of tables/groups may have resulted in more collaboration across the entire attendance cohort. Some more time for distillation and focusing of ideas could have been useful

## Other thoughts and comments

### Comments

Thanks superstars

Well done and many thanks to the organisers.

No

Need more

Thank you very much for the opportunity to attend!

Wonderful opportunity to discuss these issues with all agencies in the room.

Congratulations to the team.

Good context setting in the morning, knew why we were here and what trying to achieve for the day.

Great workshop and valuable use of time