

# Advent of Code in Clojure



2018-12-13 / Miikka Koskinen, Metosin

# Agenda

- Theory:
  - Miikka's Clojure story time: my history with Clojure and why I still like it
  - Basic functional looping patterns
- Practice: Let's solve some Advent of Code puzzles
  - <https://github.com/miikka/aoc2018-clojure>
- Beer and/or sauna?!

# Clojure

```
(defn add-company-count [{:keys [companies] :as workshop}]
  (assoc workshop :company-count (count companies)))

(let [workshop {:title      "Advent of Code"
                 :start-time #inst "2018-12-13T15:00:00Z"
                 :companies  #{ "Codento" "Fraktio" "SysArt" }
                 :agenda     [:theory :practice :beer-and-sauna] }]
  (-> (update workshop :agenda reverse)
      (add-company-count)
      (prn) ))

;; {:title      "Advent of Code",
;;  :start-time  #inst "2018-12-13T15:00:00.000-00:00",
;;  :companies   #{ "SysArt" "Codento" "Fraktio" },
;;  :agenda      (:beer-and-sauna :practice :theory),
;;  :company-count 3}
```

# Functional programming

- Functions are, or should be, reliable mappings
  - according to Alan Kay, the OOP pioneer
- Look at this function:  $f(x) = x + 1$ 
  - Always gives the same result for the same  $x$
  - Does not alter  $x$
  - Does not do anything spooky

# Looping in functional programs

- Loops are all about the mutable state, right?

```
let fruits = ["apples", "oranges"];  
let count = 0;  
for (let i = 0; i < fruits.length; i++) {  
    console.log("I like", fruits[i]);  
    count++;  
}  
console.log("I like " + count + " fruits in total");
```

- We often think about loops in imperative ways. Our computational substrate is all about mutable state!

# reduce

- A lot of our loops look like this:

```
let myArray = [...];  
let myState = null;
```

```
for (let i = 0; i < myArray.length; i++) {  
    myState = someFunction(myState, myArray[i]);  
}
```

- reduce is the abstraction for exactly this kind of loop

```
let myArray = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5];  
let myState = 0;
```

```
for (let i = 0; i < myArray.length; i++) {  
    myState = myState + myArray[i];  
}
```

```
console.log(myState);
```

```
(reduce + [1 2 3 4 5])    ;;=> 15  
(reduce + [])             ;;=> 0  
(reduce + [1])            ;;=> 1  
(reduce + [1 2])          ;;=> 3  
(reduce + 1 [])           ;;=> 1  
(reduce + 1 [2 3])        ;;=> 6
```

# Passing around state

- What if you really want to do this?

```
let it = [1, 2, 3].values()
```

```
let result = it.next();
```

```
while (!result.done) {  
    console.log(result.value);  
    result = it.next();  
}
```

```
console.log(result);
```



# Passing around state

```
(defn do-it [it value]
  (if-let [head (first it)]
    (do
      (prn :head head :value value)
      (do-it (next it) head))
    value))
```

```
(do-it [1 2 3] nil)
```

- Beware stack overflows if your function calls itself too many times. Using loop avoids this.

# Passing around state

- Use loop:

```
(loop [it [1 2 3], value nil]
  (if-let [head (first it)]
    (do
      (prn head)
      (recur (next it) head))
    value))
```

- loop only allows tail-recursion

# Atom anti-pattern

- Please do not use atoms as mutable variables in loops. It's not functional and it's slow. This is not good:

```
(let [value (atom nil)]  
  (doseq [item [1 2 3]]  
    (prn item)  
    (reset! value item))  
  @value)
```

- Do use atoms for sharing state between threads – they're great for that!

<https://github.com/miikka/aoc2018-clojure>