# Homological Algebra

# Sheet 2 — MT23

### Section A

1. Show  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

**Solution:**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, thus projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mods are free. Divisible abelian groups can't be free.

More explicit alternative:  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Z}e_i \to \mathbb{Q} \to 0$ ,

 $Hom(\mathbb{Q}, \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Z}e_i)=0$  thus  $h^{\mathbb{Q}}$  not exact, i.e.  $\mathbb{Q}$  not projective.

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford Kobi Kremnizer: kremnitzer@maths.ox.ac.uk

## Section B

- 2. Write an injective resolution for  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- 3. Write free resolutions for:
  - 1.  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  in  $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,
  - 2.  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  in  $Mod_{(\mathbb{Z}/2)[x]}$ ,
  - 3.  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  in  $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}[x]}$ ,
  - 4.  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  in  $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}[x]/2x}$ .
- 4. R: commutative ring,  $r \in R$ ,  $M \in Mod_R$ .  $R[r^{-1}] := \frac{R[x]}{rx-1} = coker(R[x] \overset{rx-1}{\to} R[x])$ ,  $M[r^{-1}] = coker(M[x] \overset{rx-1}{\to} M[x])$  where  $M[x] = \{\sum_i m_i x^i\}$  is viewed naturally as an R[x]-module

Show  $M \otimes_R R[r^{-1}] \simeq M[r^{-1}].$ 

5. Prove the general Frobenius reciprocity formula (Tensor-Hom adjunction):

 $Hom_S(A, Hom_R(B, C)) \cong Hom_R(A \otimes_S B, C)$ . where A is a right S-module, B is an S-R-bimodule, and C is a right R-module.

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford Kobi Kremnizer: kremnitzer@maths.ox.ac.uk

#### Section C

6. Show that every R-submodule of a free R-module M is free when R is a PID.

**Solution:** Note that this would be a trivial statement if we were thinking about finitely-generated modules by the structure theorem (which implies free iff torsion-free. Generally for domain R, we only have free  $\Longrightarrow$  projective  $\Longrightarrow$  flat  $\Longrightarrow$  torsion-free. For a Dedekind domain, torsion-free  $\Longrightarrow$  flat! Note ideals in a Dedekind domain are projective, but may not be free).

Let  $N \leq M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} Re_i$ . The well-ordering principle (equivalently, Zorn's lemma) equips I with an ordering < rendering I well-ordered.

For all  $j \in I$ , set  $M_{< j} := \bigoplus_{i < j} Re_i$  and  $M_j := M_{< j} \oplus Re_j$ . Denote  $\pi_j : N \cap M_j \to Re_j$ Observe we have the following SES:  $0 \to N \cap M_{< j} \to N \cap M_j \to im\pi_j \to 0$  since  $\ker \pi_j \subseteq M_{< j}$  and  $\subseteq N$  (and  $N \cap M_{< j} \subseteq \ker \pi_j$ ).

R is a PID, so  $im\pi_j = a_jRe_j$  for some  $a_j \in R$ . Choose  $n_j \in N \cap M_j$  such that  $\pi_j(n_j) = a_je_j$ ; in particular, set  $n_j = 0$  iff  $a_j = 0$ . Let  $J \subseteq I$  denote the j such that  $a_j \neq 0$ .

(Linear Independence) Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^k r_{j_i} n_{j_i} = 0$  for some  $r_{j_i} \in R$  with  $j_1 < \cdots < j_k$ . Thus  $0 = \pi_{j_k}(\sum_{i=1}^k r_{j_i} n_{j_i}) = r_{j_k} a_{j_k}$ ; since R is a PID, this implies  $r_{j_k} = 0$ . Induction shows that  $r_{j_{k-1}} = \cdots = r_{j_1} = 0$  as well. So we have  $\sum R n_j = \bigoplus R n_j \subseteq N$ .

(Spanning) Assume  $\bigoplus_{j\in J} Rn_j \subsetneq N$ . Thus there exists a minimal  $i\in J$  such that  $\exists n\in M_i\cap N$  and  $n\notin\bigoplus_{j\in J} Rn_j$ . If  $a_i=0$ , then  $n\in M_i\cap N=M_{< i}\cap N$ , which contradicts minimality of i; so  $a_i\neq 0$ , and there exists an  $r\in R-\{0\}$  such that  $\pi_i(n)=ra_ie_i$ . Now  $n-rn_i$  must also not be in  $\bigoplus_{j\in J} Rn_j$  (since that would imply  $n\in\bigoplus_{j\in J} Rn_j$ ). However,  $\pi_i(n-rn_i)=0$ , thus  $n-rn_i\in M_{< i}\cap N$ , and this contradicts minimality of i.

Thus,  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} Rn_i \cong N$ , and so N is a free R-module.

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford Kobi Kremnizer: kremnitzer@maths.ox.ac.uk