

Solving Semantic Analogy Problems Using ConceptNet

Mika Braginsky and Will Whitney

December 13, 2012

Summary

We implemented a system for solving SAT analogy problems, using ConceptNet. The system attempts to find the relationship between the target pair of words (such as *mason:stone*) and each option pair (such as *teacher:chalk*, *carpenter:wood*, *soldier:gun*, *photograph:camera*, *book:word*), scores the similarity of each option's relationship to the target's relationship, and selects the option with the highest score. On a dataset of 374 questions, it achieves an accuracy rate of 29.7%, with an accuracy rate of 47% on the 99 questions for which it can obtain at least minimal information.

1 Problem Overview

Reasoning by analogy has long been recognized in the cognitive sciences as a key component of cognition, in that it forms the basis for processes such as decision making, object recognition, face perception, problem solving, and creativity. Modeling this type of reasoning and enabling computer systems to make use of it should therefore be an important step in enabling artificial intelligence systems to emulate vital aspects of cognition.

As a way of exploring the more general phenomenon of reasoning by analogy, we implemented a system for solving analogy questions from the SAT (a standardized test used for college admissions). SAT analogy questions are specifically designed to test students' skill in solving problems using analogy-driven logic, and so they are a reasonable test base for evaluating the ability of a computer system to reason by analogy.

An SAT analogy question consists of a target pair of words and five option pairs of words. The task is to select the option pair that “best expresses a relationship similar to that expressed in the original pair”, as stated in the test's directions. For example:

```
ostrich:bird
(a) lion:cat
(b) goose:flock
(c) ewe:sheep
(d) cub:bear
(e) primate:monkey
```

To solve the problem, one must determine the relationship between each word pair:

- An OSTRICH is a species of BIRD
 (a) LION is a species of CAT
 (b) FLOCK is a group of GOOSE
 (c) EWE is a female SHEEP
 (d) CUB is a young BEAR
 (e) MONKEY is a sub-group of PRIMATE

Solving the problem requires a lot of semantic knowledge about how concepts are related to each other. In this question, the solver needs to not only know what ostriches and birds and lion and cats are, but also how ostriches are related to birds, how lions are related to cats, etc. This knowledge must be also be at a rather specific level of detail – if the solver only knew that an ostrich is a type of bird, it would conclude that the same relation holds not just between lion and cat, but also between ewe and sheep and between cub and bear.

In general, the solver must make use of extensive information about the relationships between concepts and make inferences about the similarities between relationships. Achieving competence on this task, then, is an indicator of considerable mastery of reasoning abilities and common sense knowledge, both for a human and a computer system.

2 Previous Work

TODO: summarize previous work, show results table

Reference for algorithm	Type	Correct
<i>Random guessing</i>	<i>Random</i>	<i>20.0%</i>
Jiang and Conrath (1997)	Hybrid	27.3%
Lin (1998)	Hybrid	27.3%
Leacock and Chodrow (1998)	Lexicon-based	31.3%
Hirst and St.-Onge (1998)	Lexicon-based	32.1%
Resnik (1995)	Hybrid	33.2%
Turney (2001)	Corpus-based	35.0%
Mangalath et al. (2004)	Corpus-based	42.0%
Veale (2004)	Lexicon-based	43.0%
Bicici and Yuret (2006)	Corpus-based	44.0%
Herdadelen and Baroni (2009)	Corpus-based	44.1%
Turney and Littman (2005)	Corpus-based	47.1%
Turney (2012)	Corpus-based	51.1%
Bollegala et al. (2009)	Corpus-based	51.1%
Turney (2008)	Corpus-based	52.1%
Turney (2006a)	Corpus-based	53.5%
Turney (2006b)	Corpus-based	56.1%
<i>Average US college applicant</i>	<i>Human</i>	<i>57.0%</i>

3 Approach

TODO: explain our approach, connect to human strategies, describe ConceptNet

4 Implementation

TODO: explain our implementation: queries to ConceptNet, parallelization, finding paths, similarity metric

5 Results

TODO: show our results, discuss error types

6 Further Work

TODO: give options of ways this could be improved/extended