

Numerical analysis

Chapter 1: Solving equations

Equation solving methods are important
for effectivizing computer calculations.

The bisection method

Bracketing a root

def 1.1: $f(x)$ has a root r if $f(r) = 0$

th. 1.2: if f is cont. in $[a, b]$ and

$f(a)f(b) < 0$ a root $r \in [a, b]$ exists

Finding the roots of a function

can be made more efficient by

repetitively narrowing our search interval

(increasing certainty).

This is called bracketing.

Bisection method

if $c = \text{middle}$, $f(c) = 0 \therefore \text{end, } c \text{ is a root}$
else narrow until the TOLerance is reached

How accurate & fast?

$$\text{Error} : |x_c - r| < \frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}}$$

No. evals $\approx n + 2$

A solution is correct within p decimals
if error $< 0,5 \times 10^{-p}$

Fixed point iteration

def 1.4: the real num. r is a fixed point of
 g if $g(r) = r$

Such values are found by repeatedly
feeding the function the result of the
previous iteration, until the value stops
changing

Geometry

If we graphically represent these steps we get a cobweb diagram, spiralling around r in a spiral pattern

Linear convergence

The slope of the function determines the spiralling direction: whether it converges or diverges

Convergence means the error grows smaller whilst the opposite holds for divergence

def 1.5: the rate of convergence is

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e_{i+1}}{e_i} = s \text{ where } e_n \text{ is error at step } n$$

def 1.7: an iterative method is locally convergent within a neighborhood if all gerences within it converge to r

Stopping criteria

Knowing when to Stop with FPI is hard
we need a Stopping criterion

Absolute

$$|x_{i+1} - x_i| < TOL$$

Relative

If the solution is too near zero

$$\frac{|x_{i+1} - x_i|}{|x_{i+1}|} < TOL$$

Hybrid

$$\frac{|x_{i+1} - x_i|}{\max(|x_{i+1}|, \theta)}$$

FPI is only locally convergent, and even then linearly

Unlike bisection method, errors grow/shrink at different rates, so FPI may either be slower or faster than it depending on circumstance

Limits of accuracy

Some calculations cannot be made accurate on a computer, to the same degree as others.

Forward and backward error

def. 1.8: given $x_a \approx r$ where r is a root of f

the backward error is $|f(x_a)|$

and the forward error is $|r - x_a|$

Backward error

How much the function f would need to change to nullify the error

Forward error

How much the solution x_a would need to change to nullify the error

Root multiplicity

A root is a multiple root of multiplicity m

if $0 = f(r) = f'(r) = \dots = f^{(m-1)}(r)$

but $f^{(m)}(r) \neq 0$

A root is simple if $m=1$

Wilkinson polynomial

Hard to determine roots due to cancellation of nearly equal large numbers

Sensitivity of root finding

A problem is sensitive if small errors in the input lead to large errors in the output.

$$\text{Sensitivity factor} = \Delta r = \frac{\epsilon g(r)}{f'(r)}$$

where r is root for f
and $r + \Delta r$ is root for $f + \epsilon g$

$$\text{error magnification factor} = \frac{\text{relative forward err.}}{\text{relative backward err.}}$$

Newton's method

This method takes into account the differential at the current estimate, and adjusts it by an inverse factor. It is a form of FPI

If the function is slowing down, e.g. nearing a root, we hasten the movement.

Therefore, this method is even faster than previous ones

x_0 = initial guess

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)}$$

Quadratic convergence

def 1.10: a method is quadratically convergent if $M = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e_{i+1}}{e_i^2} < \infty$

Root finding w/o derivatives

Secant method

Converges almost as quickly
as Newton's method

Replaces tangent w/ secant
or (in variants) an approx. parabola

Original

Replace $f'(x_i)$ w/ $\frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}}$

in Newton's method

x_0, x_1 init. guesses

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{f(x_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})}{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$

The convergence is superlinear
e.g. between linear and quad.
convergent.

Regula Falsi

Like bisection, but midpoint
is calc. with a secant-like approx

The new point is guaranteed to
lie in $[a, b]$ unlike the secant method

Muller's method

Use a parabola by using three
prev. guesses

Check for intersections

Inverse quas. interpolation (IGI)

Like Muller's, but parabola is
of form $x = p(y)$ (laying down \leftrightarrow)
so it only intersects at one point.

Three init guesses

Converges faster than og. secant

Brent's method

Combines $|Q|$, secant method
and bisection method

Uses backwards error as metric

1. apply $|Q|$

2. bracketing interval should be halved
if not, apply secant

3. if it still fails, apply bisection