${\it FYS-STK4155~project~1}$ Regression analysis and resampling methods

Mikael B. Kiste, Erik F. Skaar

September 26, 2018

Contents

1	intr	oduction	3
2	The	eory	4
	2.1	Ordinary least squares	4
	2.2	Ridge	4
	2.3	Lasso	4
	2.4	K-fold and and bootstrap	4

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

1 introduction

A typical problem within the natural sciences is how to interpret the trends and behavior of the results and data from an experiment. As a first approximation this will often be done qualitatively, e.g. "These values appear to increase linearly with time", but a more rigorous approach through regression analysis and resampling methods is eventually more preferable. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

2 Theory

2.1 Ordinary least squares

We want to get a specific solution of the equation

$$\hat{y} = \hat{X}\hat{\beta} + \hat{\epsilon}$$

Where \hat{y} is a vector of our measured values, \hat{X} is a matrix containing variables and determines how we want to fit our data, $\hat{\beta}$ is a vector of the parameters for our fit and $\hat{\epsilon}$ is a vector representing the error in our datapoints. The variables \hat{y} and \hat{X} are fixed and we want to choose parameters $\hat{\beta}$ in such a way that the errors $\hat{\epsilon}$ are minimized. An example might help clarify the situation

Lets say we have conducted an experiment where we have measured the position of a ball launched straight up into the air from a cannon. Neglecting air resistance we know that the analytical solution is on the form of a second order polynomial $x(t) = x_0 + v_0 t + a t^2$, where x_0 and v_0 are the initial conditions for the position and velocity respectively. This analytical solution is our model, but the actual measured values could (and indeed probably would) differ from this, simply due to errors in the measurement or other effects coming into play that the model has not accounted for (like wind resistance). In any case, if we measured the position n times our linear algebra problem could be stated like this:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(t_0) \\ x(t_1) \\ \vdots \\ x(t_{n-1}) \\ x(t_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (t_0)^0 & (t_0)^1 & (t_0)^2 \\ (t_1)^0 & (t_1)^1 & (t_1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (t_{n-1})^0 & (t_{n-1})^1 & (t_{n-1})^2 \\ (t_n)^0 & (t_n)^1 & (t_n)^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ v_0 \\ a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_0 \\ \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n-1} \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Or, again, stated through vectors and matrix notation

$$\hat{x} = \hat{T}\hat{\beta} + \hat{\epsilon}$$

With some of the variable names adjusted simply to better indicate the represented values we have in this problem. Note that there are two dimensionalitys coming into play here. n is the number of measurements and determines the length of the column vectors \hat{x} and $\hat{\epsilon}$. In addition there is a second dimension that determines the number of columns in the matrix \hat{T}

- 2.2 Ridge
- 2.3 Lasso
- 2.4 K-fold and and bootstrap