Norway

Elisabeth Ivarsflaten, Coordinator, University of Bergen
Sveinung Arnesen, Bjarte Folkestad, Endre Tvinnereim, Åsta Dyrnes
Nordø, Mikael Poul Johannesson, Erik Knudsen, Nina Serdarevic,
Scientific team, University of Bergen and UNI Research Rokkan Centre

Norwegian Citizen Panel 2016

Study Documentation

December 16, 2016

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Asle Høgestøl , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Jostein Ryssevik , ideas2evidence , Quality assurance
Production Date	December 4, 2016
Identification	NMP-16-2

Table of Contents

Norwegian Citizen Panel 2016

Overview

Identification	NMP-16-2

Abstract
The Norwegian Citizen Panel is a platform for internet surveys of public opinion in important areas of society and politics in Norway. Participants are randomly recruited from the Norwegian population register, and they are encouraged to participate over time. The panel was fielded for the first time the fall of 2013 and the survey is carried out twice a year. The University of Bergen owns and is responsible for the Citizen panel. The company Ideas 2 Evidence recruits respondents, produces the survey, and provides documentation of the data. Data is stored and shared by the Norwegian Social Science Data services (NSD). The Norwegian Citizen Panel welcomes research proposals for survey content. More information about calls and other updates is available at www.medborger.uib.no <http://www.medborger.uib.no>

Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

Countries	Norway

Geographic Coverage
National geographic coverage. Counties as geographic coding.

Universe
Norwegian Citizens above the age of 18.

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Elisabeth Ivarsflaten, Coordinator, University of Bergen Sveinung Arnesen, Bjarte Folkestad, Endre Tvinnereim, Åsta Dyrnes Nordø, Mikael Poul Johannesson, Erik Knudsen, Nina Serdarevic, Scientific team, University of Bergen and UNI Research Rokkan Centre
Other Producer(s)	Asle Høgestøl , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Jostein Ryssevik , ideas2evidence , Quality assurance

Sampling

Sampling Procedure
Members of the Norwegian Citizen Panel have been recruited in two waves, wave 1 and wave 3. In wave 1 4.870 panel members were recruited (see documentation from wave 1). In wave 3 5.623 members were recruited. Prior to wave 7 the Norwegian Citizen Panel had 10,179 members.
The sampling procedure of wave and wave 1 is identical. 25 000 individuals over the age of 18 were randomly drawn from the Norwegian National Population Registry. The extracted data was a) last name, b) first name, c) address, d) gender, and e) age. The sample excluded people with no current home address in Norway.

Weighting
To compensate for the observed bias, a set of weights has been calculated. The weights equal the relation between a given strata in the population and the total population, divided by the relation between a given strata in the net sample and the total net sample. This procedure returns values around 1, but above 0. Respondents who are underrepresented will receive a weight above 1 and respondents who are overrepresented a weight below 1. The weights of the different stratums are listed in the documentation report (table 8 in the appendix).
When calculating the weights, the information regarding the respondent's geographical location, gender and age are based on registry data. These attributes were included in the sample file we received from the Norwegian Population

Register. Information regarding the level of education is provided by the respondents when answering the questionnaire. Approximately 9 percent of the net sample did not answer this question. Because of this, two different weights have been calculated:

- Weight 1 based on demographic variables (age, gender and geography)
- Weight 2 combining the demographic variables with education. Respondents with missing data on the education variable are only weighted on demography (the education component of the weight is set to 1 in these cases).

When applied, both weights will provide a weighted N equal to the number of cases in the dataset.

We will strongly recommend using weight 2 in any statistical analysis, as this weight provides the most accurate compensation for the various sources of bias in the net sample.

Data Collection

Data Collection Mode	The survey is based on a online questionnaire. Existing members receives e-mail invitations asking for their participation in the current wave.
	New panel members were recruited in multiple steps.
	First, letters were sent to everyone in the sample. The letters contained the following information: a) a description of the project, b) the Citizen Panel's policy on privacy and measures taken to protect the anonymity of the participants, c) the time-frame of the project, d) the participants' rights to opt of the panel at any time in the future, e) contact information for the people responsible for the project, f) a unique log-in id and the web address to the panel's web site and g) the estimated time required to complete the survey (20 minutes).
	Prosepective respondents in the sample that did not register as a panel member after a certain time period recieved a reminder sent as a post card
	In the second recruitment round all respondents that had not accessed or completed the survey after receiving both a letter and a post card were sent a reminder to their mobile phones (depending on whether or not they were registered with a phone number in the Population Register). A randomly drawn subset of the gross sample were also contacted via a telephone call.

Depositor(s)	

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7	
# Cases	4689
# Variable(s)	613

Variables List

Dataset contains 613 variable(s)

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	responseid	responseid	discrete	numeric-12.0	-	-	-
2	r7u	Respondent sub-group	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	-
3	r7recrui ..	Recruitment wave	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	-
4	r7browse ..	Browertype used by respondent	discrete	character-16	4689	0	-
5	r7browse ..	Browser version used by respondent	discrete	character-4	4689	0	-
6	r7mobile	Determines if the respondents uses mobile	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	-
7	r7device ..	Screen resolution of the applied device	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
8	r7device	Determines respondents device type.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	-
9	r7advanc ..	Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/ check-boxes etc.	discrete	character-5	4689	0	-
10	r7ktilfr ..	Satisfied with: Life at the moment	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Life at the moment?
11	r7ktilfr ..	Satisfied with: Your personal economy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Your personal economy?
12	r7ktilfr ..	Satisfied with: The government in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The present government in Norway?
13	r7ktilfr ..	Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The way in which democracy works in Norway?
14	r7k10	Perception of the economic situation in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
15	r7k204	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
16	r7k204_1 ..	Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	4689	0	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
17	r7k6_1	Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Christian Democrats
18	r7k6_2	Like/dislike: The Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Conservative Party
19	r7k6_3	Like/dislike: The Progress Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Progress Party
20	r7k6_4	Like/dislike: The Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Liberal Party

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
21	r7k6_5	Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Socialist Left Party
22	r7k6_6	Like/dislike: The Centre Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Centre Party
23	r7k6_7	Like/dislike: The Green Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Green Party
24	r7k6_8	Like/dislike: The Labour Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Labour Party
25	r7k6_9	Like/dislike: The Red Party	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Red Party
26	r7k9_1	[1] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
27	r7k9_2	[2] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
28	r7k9_3	[3] Most important political issues [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	4689	0	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
29	r7ocrecs ..	R7OCRECSM_mobil	discrete	numeric-12.0	1199	3490	-
30	r7ran20	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1199	3490	-
31	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
32	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
33	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
34	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
35	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
36	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
37	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
38	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
39	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		deciding whether someone could come and live here?					in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
40	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
41	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
42	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
43	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
44	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
45	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
46	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
47	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
48	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
49	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
50	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
51	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
52	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
53	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
54	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
55	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
56	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
57	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
58	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
59	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
60	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
61	r7mi1	Personal thoughts when your hear the word "Muslim" [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	What are your personal thoughts when you hear the word "Muslim"?
62	r7ran1	[Randomizes if u!=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	3490	1199	-
63	r7km1a	Thoughts when you hear/ read the words "oil and gas" [anonymized]	discrete	character-56	4689	0	What do you think when you hear or read the words "oil and gas"?
64	r7km1b	Thoughts when you hear/read the word "energy" [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word "energy"?
65	r7km1c	Thoughts when you hear/read the word "reorganisation" [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	What do you think when you hear or read the word "reorganisation"?
66	r7dv30_1	Statement: The tax burden should be reduced	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
67	r7dv30_2	Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Commercial private schools should be allowed.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
68	r7dv30_3	Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
69	r7dv30_4	Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.
70	r7ran40	[All respondents randomized.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1199	3490	-
71	r7dvh_1	Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.
72	r7dvh_2	Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
73	r7dvh_3	Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.
74	r7dvh_4	Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.
75	r7ran2	[All respondents randomized.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4681	8	-
76	r7km13_1	Should put a greater stake into reducing emission in Norway, abroad or both	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Should the authorities put a greater stake into reducing emissions in Norway or abroad, or the same amount for both?
77	r7km13_2	Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad"
78	r7elite_1	Statement: We agree on which actions that needs to be completed, but little is happening because of the authorities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	We are in agreement regarding most of the actions that need to be completed in this country, but very little is happening because the authorities are standing in the way.
79	r7elite_2	Statement: Politicians maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Most of the professional politicians in Norway maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country.
80	r7elite_3	Statement: Many important decisions made by small group without ordinary people's knowledge	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In this country, many important decisions are made by a small group of people without the ordinary people being told.
81	r7elite_4	Statement: Difference between politicians same as between ordinary people	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The differences amongst politicians are the same as between ordinary people.
82	r7ran5	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1195	3494	-
83	r7mi4_1	Do leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What is your opinion?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
84	r7mi4_2	Do Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What is your opinion?
85	r7pad10_1	Statement: Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents should be able to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway should have the right to remain in the country if the parents are deported.
86	r7pad10_2	Statement: If an employer supplies you with a phone, the employer should have the right to read text-messages/e-mails on the phone	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If an employer supplies you with a mobile phone for use in connection with your employment, the employer should have the right to read all the text messages or e-mails you send and receive with this phone.
87	r7pad10_3	Statement: Not possible to bring neighbour disputes to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	It should not be possible to bring neighbour disputes (like the height of trees and fences) to court, without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
88	r7k15_1	Can most people be trusted?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
89	r7pad14_..	Randomly selects a version of the conjunction-experiment. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	30	-
90	r7pad14_..	The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	30	-
91	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
92	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
93	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
94	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
95	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
96	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen source nr. 6. Background variable	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		conjunction experiment R7PAD14.					
97	r7pad14_..	Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with endorsements, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	30	-
98	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-23	4689	0	-
99	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-23	4689	0	-
100	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-23	4689	0	-
101	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-23	4689	0	-
102	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-23	4689	0	-
103	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-23	4689	0	-
104	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
105	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
106	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
107	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
108	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
109	r7pad14_..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
110	r7selexp ..	The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	30	-
111	r7selexp ..	Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with sources, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	30	-
112	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
113	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
114	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
115	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen source nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-18	4689	0	-
116	r7selexp ..	Randomly decides whether the article headlines should appear with party and valence, or any combination of the two. Background variable conjunction experiment R7selexp2	discrete	numeric-12.0	4659	30	-
117	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
118	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
119	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
120	r7selexp ..	Randomly chosen article headline nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.	discrete	character-55	4689	0	-
121	r7pad14_ ..	Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
122	r7pad14_ ..	Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
123	r7pad14_ ..	Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
124	r7pad14_ ..	Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
125	r7pad14_ ..	Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
126	r7pad14_ ..	Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
127	r7pad14_ ..	Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
128	r7pad14_ ..	Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							chosen articles. See background variables]
129	r7pad14_..	Article 5. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
130	r7pad14_..	Article 6. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
131	r7selexp ..	Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
132	r7selexp ..	Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
133	r7selexp ..	Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
134	r7selexp ..	Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article / articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
135	r7Error_..	36 respondents experienced an error in experiment r7pad14 and r7selexp2. The error stopped them from progressing in the questionnaire.	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	-
136	r7selexp ..	The order of which r7pad14 and r7selexp is asked. The order is randomized.	discrete	character-17	4689	0	-
137	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
138	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: gender. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
139	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
140	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status.	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Background variable for r7pad6.					
141	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-13	4689	0	-
142	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: religion. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
143	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-28	4689	0	-
144	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
145	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: gender. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
146	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
147	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
148	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-13	4689	0	-
149	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: religion. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
150	r7pad6_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad6.	discrete	character-28	4689	0	-
151	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
152	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: gender. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
153	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
154	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
155	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-13	4689	0	-
156	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: religion. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
157	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-28	4689	0	-
158	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
159	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: gender. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
160	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
161	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
162	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-13	4689	0	-
163	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: religion. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
164	r7pad7_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad7.	discrete	character-28	4689	0	-
165	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
166	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: gender. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
167	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
168	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
169	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-13	4689	0	-
170	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: religion. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
171	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-28	4689	0	-
172	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
173	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: gender. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-6	4689	0	-
174	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
175	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-9	4689	0	-
176	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-13	4689	0	-
177	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: religion. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-10	4689	0	-
178	r7pad8_p ..	Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad8.	discrete	character-28	4689	0	-
179	r7ran34	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1092	3597	-
180	r7pad6a	Attitudes related to background: Persons, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
181	r7pad6b	Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
182	r7pad7a	Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
183	r7pad7b	Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
184	r7pad8a	Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad"	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
185	r7pad8b	Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad." [Here follows a list of

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		committed to, should be carried out abroad"					randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
186	r7fri1_1	Volunteering: Art and culture, band and choir	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
187	r7fri1_2	Volunteering: Athletics and sport	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]
188	r7fri1_3	Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
189	r7fri1_4	Volunteering: Education, training and research	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	r7fri1_4 question details
190	r7fri1_5	Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
191	r7fri1_6	Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
192	r7fri1_7	Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
193	r7fri1_8	Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
194	r7fri1_9	Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
195	r7fri1_10	Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
196	r7fri1_11	Volunteering: Political parties	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Political parties [Youth political parties]
197	r7fri1_12	Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
198	r7fri1_13	Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]
199	r7fri1_14	Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
200	r7fri1_15	Volunteering: Immigrant organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
201	r7fri1_16	Volunteering: Other, specify	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Other, specify
202	r7fri1_1 ..	Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]	discrete	character-34	4689	0	Other, specify
203	r7fri1_17	No, not volunteering	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	No
204	r7fri2	How much time spent volunteering during a week, last year	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?
205	r7fri1b_1	Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Health	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Health
206	r7fri1b_2	Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Nursing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Nursing
207	r7fri1b_3	Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Emergency/Rescue work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Emergency/Rescue work
208	r7fri4_1	Knowledge of emergency work in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How good is your knowledge of emergency work in Norway?
209	r7fri4_2	Knowledge of voluntary emergency organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How good is your knowledge of the voluntary emergency organisations?
210	r7fri4c_1	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: NAK air services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	NAK air services
211	r7fri4c_2	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian rescue dogs	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norwegian rescue dogs
212	r7fri4c_3	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services)
213	r7fri4c_4	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Speleological Society	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norwegian Speleological Society
214	r7fri4c_5	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Radio Relay League	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norwegian Radio Relay League (amateur radio organisation)

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
215	r7fri4c_6	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Rovers response group	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (The Norwegian Guide and Scout Association - Rovers response group)
216	r7fri4c_7	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Red Cross	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Norwegian Red Cross
217	r7fri4c_8	Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue
218	r7fri4d	Member of an organisation which takes part in emergency response work in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Are you a member of an organisation which takes part in the emergency response work in Norway?
219	r7fri4e	Participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have you participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action?
220	r7fri4f	Has assisted the police/ voluntary organisation as a volunteer during an accident	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have you as a volunteer assisted the police or a voluntary organisation during an accident?
221	r7ran38	[Randomizes if u=1 and R7FRI4d=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1004	3685	-
222	r7fri4g	Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation? (Members of emergency organisations undergoes courses and must be ready at a moments notice).	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation?
223	r7fri4g1	If no, why not? [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	4689	0	If no, why not?
224	r7fri4h	Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?
225	r7fri4h1	If no, why not? [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	If no, why not?
226	r7fri4i	Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by the police	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you were asked by the police to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
227	r7fri4j	Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by voluntary organisation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you were asked by a voluntary organisation to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
228	r7fri4k	Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by family/someone you know	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you were asked by your family or someone you know to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
229	r7fri4l_1	Statement: Voluntary organisation's role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The voluntary organisations' role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media.
230	r7fri4l_2	Statement: Important to my participation in rescue work that I personally know the leader/members	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If I am to participate as a volunteer in rescue work it is important to me that I know the leader or other members of the organisation on a personal basis.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
231	r7fri4l_3	Statement: Emergency response work public responsibility limited to public institutions	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Emergency response work should be the responsibility of the public authorities and limited to public institutions.
232	r7fri4l_4	Statement: Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work in Norway.
233	r7fri4l_5	Statement: Essential for my participation as a volunteer that employer provides paid leave	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If I should participate in voluntary rescue work it is essential that the employer provides paid leave during assignments.
234	r7fri11	How good is your health?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Generally speaking, how good is your health?
235	r7fri12	Do you suffer from health problems expected to last for at least six months?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems that are expected to last for at least six months or more?
236	r7fri13	Has, due to health problems, been prevented from undertaking usual activities during the last six months	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	During the last six months have you been prevented due to health problems from undertaking any activities that people usually carry out?
237	r7fri32	Extent of satisfaction with life at the moment	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
238	r7fri33	To what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
239	r7fri36_1	How satisfied with: Your standard of living	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Your standard of living
240	r7fri36_2	How satisfied with: Your health	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Your health
241	r7fri36_3	How satisfied with: What you are achieving in life	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What you are achieving in life
242	r7fri36_4	How satisfied with: Personal relationships	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Your personal relationships
243	r7fri36_5	How satisfied with: Feeling secure	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How secure you feel
244	r7fri36_6	How satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community
245	r7fri36_7	How satisfied with: The prospects for security in the future	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The prospects for security in the future
246	r7fri36_8	How satisfied with: The amount of time to do things you like doing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing
247	r7fri36_9	How satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area
248	r7fri36_10	How satisfied with: Your job	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Your job
249	r7friend	Amount of friends	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Approximately how many friends do you have at the moment?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
250	r7frnet_1	Are there any people you know personally who: Could help you find a job	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?
251	r7frnet_2	Are there any people you know personally who: Could lend you a large amount of money	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?
252	r7frnet_3	Are there any people you know personally who: Have a high position in business/ public/politics	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?
253	r7frnet_4	No such people among those I know personally	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	There are no such people among the people I know personally
254	r7fri5	How often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, how often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?
255	r7fri5b	Has been travelling continuously at least 3 weeks during the last year	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have you been travelling continuously for at least 3 weeks during the last year?
256	r7fri5c_1	Length of longest lasting travel last year	continuous	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How long was the period of your longest lasting travel during the last year?
257	r7fri6	Time spent on hobbies and recreational activities a normal week	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How much time do you spend on hobbies and recreational activities during a normal week?
258	r7fri7_1	Assists: Relatives not living with you, neighbours/friends/ colleagues	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Relatives not living with you, neighbours, friends or colleagues (for example giving lifts, shopping, gardening, tidying and cleaning, supervision and attention, care or any other type of assistance).
259	r7fri7_2	Assists: Someone in your home with special care requirements	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Someone in your household that have special care requirements (for example assistance due to age, illness or disabilities).
260	r7fri7_3	Assists: No	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	No
261	r7fri7_4	Assists: Don't know	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Don't know
262	r7fri7b_1	Provides type of assistance: Visits	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Visits, contact
263	r7fri7b_2	Provides type of assistance: Supervision	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Supervision or attention (for example, looking after children, regular telephone calls, other types of attention)
264	r7fri7b_3	Provides type of assistance: Personal care	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Personal care (for example hygiene, assistance with medicines, mobility)
265	r7fri7b_4	Provides type of assistance: Housework	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Housework (for example, washing, cooking, shopping for food)
266	r7fri7b_5	Provides type of assistance: Administrative	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Administrative tasks (for example contact authorities, health care or banking)
267	r7fri7b_6	Provides type of assistance: Transport	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Transport (for example giving lifts in your car, collect/deliver to kindergarten/ to school)
268	r7fri7b_7	Provides type of assistance: Gardening and the like	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Gardening, repair work in the home and the like.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
269	r7fri7b_8	Provides type of assistance: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Other
270	r7fri7b_9	Provides type of assistance: Don't know	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Don't know
271	r7fri7c	Total time spent assisting during a normal week	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How much time in total do you spend doing this during a normal week?
272	r7fri7d	Who do you assist the most?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Who do you assist the most?
273	r7fr31_1	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Satisfactorily	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Satisfactorily
274	r7fr31_2	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Rewarding	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Rewarding
275	r7fr31_3	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Exciting	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Exciting
276	r7fr31_4	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Important	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Important
277	r7fr31_5	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Fun	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Fun
278	r7fr31_6	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Challenging	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Challenging
279	r7fr31_7	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Disappointing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Disappointing
280	r7fr31_8	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Emotionally exhausting	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Emotionally exhausting
281	r7fr31_9	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Physically tiring	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Physically tiring
282	r7fr31_10	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Frustrating	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Frustrating
283	r7fr31_11	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Depressing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Depressing
284	r7fr31_12	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Time consuming	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Time consuming
285	r7fr31_13	How well does description of voluntary work fit: Easy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Easy
286	r7fri14	Have you participated in any protests the past year to improve society?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have you participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year in an attempt to bring about improvements in society?
287	r7fri15	Who organised the most recent protest you participated in?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you cast your mind back to the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in, who was it organised by?
288	r7fri16	What type of organisation/ union/party was it?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What type of organisation, union or party was it?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
289	r7fri16_..	What type of organisation/ union/party was it? Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-8	4689	0	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
290	r7fri17	How did you first hear about this protest?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How did you first hear about this protest?
291	r7fri18	The way you first heard about this protest	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In what way did you first hear about this protest?
292	r7km2	Concern about climate change	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How concerned are you about climate change?
293	r7km3_2	Positive/negative: Tighten up regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Tighten up the regulations governing the permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe.
294	r7km3_3	Positive/negative: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed/ underground	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.
295	r7km3_4	Positive/negative: Increased funding for renewable energy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy
296	r7km3_5	Positive/negative: Tighten up fiscal regulations to oil exploration on Norwegian Continental Shelf	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
297	r7km4	Discussion about cars, alternative closest to your view	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Which of the following alternatives is closest to your view?
298	r7km4_co ..	Further comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:
299	r7km5_1	Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
300	r7km5_2	Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
301	r7km6	To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change, statement closest to your viewpoint	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:
302	r7km7_1	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Housing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4022	667	Housing
303	r7km7_2	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Roads	discrete	numeric-12.0	3838	851	Roads
304	r7km7_3	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Food production	discrete	numeric-12.0	4253	436	Food production
305	r7km7_4	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten	discrete	numeric-12.0	4031	658	Railway/Underground/Tramway etc.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		years: Railway/Underground/ Tramway					
306	r7km7_5	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Commercial activity	discrete	numeric-12.0	3919	770	Commercial activity
307	r7km7_6	How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Public buildings	discrete	numeric-12.0	4103	586	Public buildings: School, sports etc.
308	r7km8	Would install solar panels if authorities cover half the cost	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you install solar panels/solar collectors if you could receive a grant from the authorities to cover half the cost?
309	r7km8_co ..	Further comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	4689	0	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:
310	r7ran3	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1182	3507	-
311	r7pilotk ..	Balance between using nature and desire not to harm it	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Considering the current situation in Norway, how do you feel the balance is today?
312	r7pilotk ..	How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.
313	r7pilotk ..	How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.
314	r7pilotk ..	Further comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
315	r7ran4	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1182	3507	-
316	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
317	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
318	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument, then proponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
319	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument, then opponent's argument	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
320	r7pilotk ..	Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
321	r7pilotk ..	Comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
322	r7km10_1	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Sinusitis	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Sinusitis
323	r7km10_2	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Bronchitis	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Bronchitis
324	r7km10_3	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: The common cold	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The common cold
325	r7km10_4	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Streptococci	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Throat infection (streptococci)
326	r7km10_5	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Influenza	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Influenza
327	r7km10_6	Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Ear infection	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Ear infection
328	r7km10_c ..	Comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-55	4689	0	You can write any comments you might have here:
329	r7km12_1	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms last longer than expected	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	That the symptoms last longer than I expect
330	r7km12_2	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms more severe than expected	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	That the symptoms are much more severe than I expect
331	r7km12_3	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Need a doctor's certificate	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	That I need a doctor's certificate
332	r7km12_4	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Encouraged by others	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	That others (family, friends) encourage me to visit the doctor
333	r7km12_5	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Others I know with similar symptoms were helped	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	That others I know with similar symptoms were helped by the doctor
334	r7km12_6	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Other, note
335	r7km12_6 ..	Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	Other, note
336	r7km12_c ..	Comments [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	You can write any comments you might have here:

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
337	r7dvm1	Agree/disagree: A rejected adult asylum seeker should be allowed residence if the children are entitled to live in the country	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
338	r7ran11	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	631	4058	-
339	r7dvm2a	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, Austrian Constitutional Court	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
340	r7dvm2b	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, US Federal Court	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
341	r7dvm2c	Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
342	r7ran12	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1179	3510	-
343	r7dv13a	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you know/respect	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
344	r7dv13b	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you don't agree with politically	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
345	r7dv13c	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you know/respect	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
346	r7dv13d	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you don't agree with politically	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
347	r7dv13e	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you know/respect	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
348	r7dv13f	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you don't agree with politically	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
349	r7dv13g	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
350	r7dv13h	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
351	r7dv13i	Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you have signed the petition?
352	r7dv13_2	Probability of such an action succeeding	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How do you assess the probability of such an action succeeding?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
353	r7dv13_3	Has participated in a petition on the internet	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have you ever participated in a petition on the internet?
354	r7dv13_3b	What was the last action you participated in about? [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	4689	0	What was the last action you participated in about?
355	r7dv13_3c	What was the action you participated in about? [anonymized]	discrete	character-52	4689	0	What was the action you participated in about?
356	r7ran6	[Randomizes if u=2.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1178	3511	-
357	r7mi5_1	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
358	r7mi5_2	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, reflect the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
359	r7mi5_3	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
360	r7mi5_4	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written in order to place more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
361	r7mi5_5	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, reflect the new cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
362	r7mi5_6	Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
363	r7dvby1	How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
364	r7dvby2	Has your local area become safer/more unsafe/no change last couple of years	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In the last couple of years would you say your local area has become safer, more unsafe or has there been no change to safety?
365	r7dv5	Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
366	r7dv6	How important is immigration policy to you?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
367	r7dv7	Party with the best immigration policy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
368	r7dv7_10 ..	Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]	discrete	character-50	4689	0	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
369	r7ran7	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1192	3497	-
370	r7dvex1_1	Should party be prohibited from standing for election:	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		You disagree with all standpoints					
371	r7dvex1_2	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Promotes undemocratic values	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
372	r7dvex1_3	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect democratic ground rules	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
373	r7dvex1_4	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect Norwegian legislation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
374	r7dvex1_5	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Used violence to achieve its goals	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
375	r7dvex1_6	Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Responsible for a terrorist attack	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
376	r7dvby5	How often do you spot persons you believe/know to be asylum seekers during a normal week	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often do you spot persons you believe or know to be asylum seekers where you go during a normal week?
377	r7dvby6	Amount of contact with asylum seekers	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Would you say that you have had....
378	r7dvby7	Contact with asylum seekers has been positive/negative	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what degree have you found the contact you have had to be negative or positive.
379	r7dvby8	Has asylum seekers' centre in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
380	r7dvby8aja	When was the asylum seekers' centre established?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was established?
381	r7dvby8bja	Distance from asylum seekers' centre to where you live	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Approximately what distance is it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?
382	r7dvby8 ..	Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?
383	r7dvby8 ..	When was the asylum seekers' centre closed down?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was closed down?
384	r7dvby8 ..	Distance from closed down asylum seekers' centre to where you live	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Approximately what distance was it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?
385	r7dvby8 ..	Opinion about having asylum seekers' centre in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What is your opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
386	r7dvby8 ..	Opinion about getting asylum seekers' centre in local area	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What is your opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
387	r7dvby9_1	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Said hello	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers.
388	r7dvby9_2	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Contact via the internet	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Had contact via the internet with asylum seekers (for example Facebook or Finn).
389	r7dvby9_3	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become friends with some	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers.
390	r7dvby9_4	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Recieved assistance	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Received assistance from one or more asylum seekers.
391	r7dvby9_5	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become member of support group	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Become a member of a support group for asylum seekers (for example on the net).
392	r7dvby9_6	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Expressed scepticism	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Expressed scepticism to asylum seekers to others.
393	r7dvby9_7	Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Avoided as far as possible	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Avoided the asylum seekers as far as possible.
394	r7dvby9_..	Other experiences [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	Please write:
395	r7dvby10_1	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Admiration
396	r7dvby10_2	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Sympathy	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Sympathy
397	r7dvby10_3	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Anxiety	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Anxiety
398	r7dvby10_4	Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Fear	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Fear
399	r7dvby11_1	To what extent have you imagined what it is like arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent have you thought about or imagined what it is like to arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?
400	r7padpil ..	For/against: EU-membership	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Firstly: Are you for or against?
401	r7padpil ..	R7PADPILOT2_ran	discrete	numeric-12.0	1082	3607	-
402	r7padpil ..	Should the government act according to result of advisory referendum even if majority in Storting disagree?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In such a case the government must act according to the result of the referendum even if the majority in the Storting disagree?
403	r7dv29_1	Statement: Begging should be prohibited	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
404	r7dv29_2	Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
405	r7dv29_3	Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.
406	r7dv39	How often do you think/read/ talk about something related to 22. July?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	As for you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
407	r7dvby3_1	Extent of fear of new terrorist attack in Norway	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
408	r7dvby4	Danger of terrorist attack become greater/less last couple of years	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your experience, has the danger of a terrorist attack become greater or less during the last couple of years, or has there been no change?
409	r7pad9_1	Statement: Can in general rely on the Supreme Court taking the right decisions	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	We can in general rely on the Supreme Court of Norway taking the right decisions.
410	r7pad9_2	Statement: Power of the Supreme Court in controversial cases must be reduced	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The power of the Supreme Court of Norway to decide some types of controversial cases must be reduced.
411	r7pad9_3	Statement: Supreme Court favours some groups	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The decisions from the Supreme Court of Norway favour some groups more than others.
412	r7pad9_4	Statement: Abolish Supreme Court if it makes too many decisions most people disagree with	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If the Supreme Court of Norway starts to make too many decisions most people disagree with, it might be an advantage to abolish the court altogether.
413	r7ran31	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1080	3609	-
414	r7pad11a	Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
415	r7pad11b	Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
416	r7pad11c	Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
417	r7pad11d	Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
418	r7pad11e	Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
419	r7pad11f	Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
420	r7ran32	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1080	3609	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
421	r7pad12a	Acceptable: Decision, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
422	r7pad12b	Acceptable: Decision, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
423	r7pad12c	Acceptable: Unanimous, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
424	r7pad12d	Acceptable: Unanimous, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
425	r7pad12e	Acceptable: Bare majority, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
426	r7pad12f	Acceptable: Bare majority, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
427	r7ran33	[Randomizes if u=3.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1080	3609	-
428	r7pad13a	Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
429	r7pad13b	Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
430	r7pad13c	Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
431	r7pad13d	Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
432	r7pad13e	Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
433	r7pad13f	Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
434	r7ran17	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1184	3505	-
435	r7pad1a	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Britain	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
436	r7pad1b	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Denmark	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
437	r7pad1c	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Germany	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
438	r7pad1d	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in the USA	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
439	r7pad1e	Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
440	r7pad2_1	Done the last year to change society: Boycotted goods	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Boycotted goods.
441	r7pad2_2	Done the last year to change society: Purchased goods based on ethical/moral reasons	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Purchased specific goods based on ethical, environmental or moral reasons.
442	r7pad2_3	Done the last year to change society: Purchased Fairtrade products despite cheaper alternatives	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Purchased Fairtrade products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
443	r7pad2_4	Done the last year to change society: Purchased organic products despite cheaper alternatives	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Chosen organic products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
444	r7pad2_5	Done the last year to change society: Reduced/cut the amount of meat in diet	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Reduced or cut the amount of meat in your diet.
445	r7pad2_6	Done the last year to change society: Purchased fewer good than normally	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Purchased fewer goods than you would as a norm.
446	r7pad2_7	Done the last year to change society: Purchased reusable products instead of new ones	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Purchased reusable products instead of new ones.
447	r7pad2_8	Done the last year to change society: Participated in protest against brand/shop	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Participated in any protest actions against specific brands or shops.
448	r7pad2_9	Done the last year to change society: Invested in company with a social profile you support	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Made investments in companies that have a social profile that you support.
449	r7pad3_1	Statement: Politicians unable to do anything about problems in society, everyone must do their bit	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	As politicians are unable to do anything about the problems in society, everyone must do their bit.
450	r7pad3_2	Statement: Politicians' responsibility to ensure that our society is sustainable	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	It is the politicians' responsibility, not individuals', to ensure that our society is sustainable.

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
451	r7pad3_3	Statement: Conscious decisions in our daily life can alter social development	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	By making conscious decisions in our daily life, it is possible to alter social development.
452	r7pad3_4	Statement: Naive to believe major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	It is naive to believe that major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices.
453	r7ran8	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1176	3513	-
454	r7dvex2_1	Should party be banned: Abolish all production of oil	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
455	r7dvex2_2	Should party be banned: Abolish free and fair elections	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
456	r7dvex2_3	Should party be banned: Abolish freedom of the press	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
457	r7dvex2_4	Should party be banned: Abolish endorsement of the Convention on Human Rights	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
458	r7dvex2_5	Should party be banned: Abolish the rights of minorities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
459	r7ran9	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1176	3513	-
460	r7dvg_1	Statement: Believable that those who state sexual orientation as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	There is reason to believe that most of those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
461	r7dvg_2	Statement: Believable that the reason to seek asylum is true	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	There is reason to believe that most of those who state the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
462	r7dvg_3	Statement: Believable that those who state conversion to new religion as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	There is reason to believe that most of those who state conversion to a new religion as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
463	r7dvg_4	Statement: Believable that the asylum seekers who state that they are minors are telling the truth	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	There is reason to believe that most of those who state that they are minors when seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
464	r7ran10	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1175	3514	-
465	r7dvkost1	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
466	r7dvkost2	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
467	r7dvkost3	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
468	r7dvkost4	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Expense	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
469	r7dvkost5	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Income	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
470	r7dvkost6	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%, expense	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
471	r7dvkost7	How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%, income	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
472	r7dvinn	How mild/strict should Norway's immigration politics be?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How mild or strict should Norway's immigration politics be?
473	r7nfc_1	Statement: Prefers complicated rather than easy tasks	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks
474	r7nfc_2	Statement: Likes tasks where you come up with new solutions	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions.
475	r7nfc_3	Statement: Would prefer a task that is intellectual rather than one needing little thought	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought.
476	r7nte_1	Statement: Opinion about most things	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	I have an opinion about most things
477	r7nte_2	Statement: Important to have a view on whether something is right/wrong	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong
478	r7k13	How often do you discuss politics with others?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often would you say that you discuss politics with others?
479	r7k33	Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
480	r7k33_9_..	Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week? Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-48	4689	0	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
481	r7k34_1	What industry do you work in: Public central administration	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
482	r7k34_2	What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/ teaching	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
483	r7k34_3	What industry do you work in: Health services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
484	r7k34_4	What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
485	r7k34_5	What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
486	r7k34_6	What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
487	r7k34_7	What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/ fishing	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
488	r7k34_8	What industry do you work in: Industry/technology	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
489	r7k34_9	What industry do you work in: Building/construction	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
490	r7k34_10	What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
491	r7k34_11	What industry do you work in: Transport/ communications	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
492	r7k34_12	What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/ organisations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
493	r7k34_13	What industry do you work in: Media/advertising	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
494	r7k34_14	What industry do you work in: Research/analysis	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
495	r7k34_15	What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
496	r7k34_16	What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
497	r7k34_17	What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
498	r7k34_18	What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/ finance	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
499	r7k34_19	What industry do you work in: Commercial services	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
500	r7k34_20	What industry do you work in: Oil/gas	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
501	r7k34_21	What industry do you work in: Other	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
502	r7k34_21 ..	What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]	discrete	character-51	4689	0	What industry do you (your company) work in?
503	r7k35	Which description best fits the area you live in?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Which description best fits the area you live in?
504	r7ran24	[All respondents are randomized.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	4581	108	-
505	r7ran24_1	[Randomizes if ran24=1.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	789	3900	-
506	ocr7matc1	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel,	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		allow large corporations to pollute					
507	ocr7matc1a	Should Norway allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you think Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?
508	ocr7matc2	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
509	ocr7matc2a	Should Norway allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you think Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?
510	ocr7matc3	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
511	ocr7matc3a	Should Norway allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you think Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?
512	ocr7matc4	Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
513	ocr7matc4a	Should Norway allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Do you think Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?
514	ocr7matt1	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Muslim countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here
515	ocr7matt2	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Eastern European countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here
516	ocr7matt3	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, immigrants from Muslim countries live here	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here
517	ocr7matt4	Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, refugees from Muslim countries live here					allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here
518	r7ran25	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	3611	-
519	r7ran26	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	3611	-
520	r7ran27	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	3611	-
521	r7ran28	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	3611	-
522	r7ran29	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	3611	-
523	r7ran30	[Randomizes if u=3]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1078	3611	-
524	ocr7mari ..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
525	ocr7mari ..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
526	ocr7mari ..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure according to Gazprom/Russian media	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
527	ocr7mari ..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
528	ocr7mari ..	Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries according to antitrust authorities	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
529	ocr7mari ..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
530	ocr7mari ..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
531	ocr7mari ..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents, pointed out by Russian experts	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
532	ocr7mari ..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
533	ocr7mari ..	Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies, pointed out by US State Department	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
534	ocr7mari ..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
535	ocr7mari ..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		to expand the sphere of influence of the West					
536	ocr7mari ..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West, according to Russian leadership	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
537	ocr7mari ..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
538	ocr7mari ..	Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective, noted by Norwegian/Western politicians	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
539	ocr7mari ..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
540	ocr7mari ..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
541	ocr7mari ..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies, argued by Russian government	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
542	ocr7mari ..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
543	ocr7mari ..	Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations, pointed out by NATO officials	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
544	ocr7mari ..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
545	ocr7mari ..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
546	ocr7mari ..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		has suffered, suggested by Sputnik					
547	ocr7mari ..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
548	ocr7mari ..	How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look, pointed out by media experts	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
549	ocr7mari ..	How justified is an increase in military spending	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
550	ocr7mari ..	How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
551	ocr7mari ..	How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup, warned by Lavrov	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
552	ocr7mari ..	How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
553	ocr7mari ..	How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region, argued by Norwegian security experts	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
554	r7random ..	randomizedBlockOrder	discrete	character-17	4689	0	-
555	r7ocrecs ..	R7OCRECSM_mobile2	discrete	numeric-12.0	1176	3513	-
556	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
557	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
558	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
559	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
560	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
561	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
562	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
563	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
564	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
565	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
566	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
567	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
568	r7ocrecs ..	How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
569	r7ocrecs ..	How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
570	r7ocrecs ..	How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
571	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
572	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
573	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
574	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
575	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
576	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
577	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
578	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
579	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
580	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
581	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
582	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
583	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
584	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
585	r7ocrecs ..	To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
586	r7ran37	[Randomizes if u=1 OR u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	2323	2366	-
587	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Questions in this survey	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
588	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
589	r7ran18	[Randomizes if u=1.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1149	3540	-
590	r7pad4a	Contribution to joint kitty: Random person excluded	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
591	r7pad4b	Contribution to joint kitty: Person who contributes the least excluded	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
592	r7ran19	[Randomizes if u=4.]	discrete	numeric-12.0	1173	3516	-
593	r7pad5a1_1	Choice between yellow/blue box	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Please take a decision.
594	r7pad5b1	Justify choice of yellow/blue box [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
595	r7pad5b2_1	Choice between yellow/blue box	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Please take a decision:
596	r7pad5c1_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The other person has chosen the yellow box. Please take a decision:
597	r7pad5d1	Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow [anonymized]	discrete	character-53	4689	0	The other person has chosen the yellow box. We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
598	r7pad5d2_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Please take a decision:
599	r7pad5e1_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The other person has chosen the blue box. Please take a decision:
600	r7pad5f1	Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue [anonymized]	discrete	character-54	4689	0	The other person has chosen the blue box. We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
601	r7pad5f2_1	Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Please take a decision:
602	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Questions in this survey	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?
603	r7qrevie ..	Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel	discrete	numeric-12.0	4689	0	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
604	r7munici ..	Size of municipality	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
605	r7P1	Gender	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
606	r7P2	Region	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
607	r7P3	County	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
608	r7P4_1	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
609	r7P4_2	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
610	r7P5_1	Age	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-
611	r7P5_2	Age	discrete	numeric-55.0	4689	0	-

File Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
612	r7Weight1	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report	continuous	numeric-55.4	4689	0	-
613	r7Weight2	Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report	continuous	numeric-55.4	4689	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 613 variable(s)

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

responseid: responseid

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

r7u: Respondent sub-group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	U1	1184	25.3%
2	U2	1199	25.6%
3	U3	1103	23.5%
4	U4	1203	25.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7recruited: Recruitment wave

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wave 1	2190	46.7%
3	Wave 3	2499	53.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7browsertype: Browertype used by respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Chrome		1957	41.7%
Firefox		373	8.0%
IE		31	0.7%
InternetExplorer		741	15.8%
Mozilla		115	2.5%
Opera		4	0.1%
Safari		1467	31.3%
Unknown		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7browserversion: Browser version used by respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		155	3.3%
10		945	20.2%
10,3		1	0.0%
11		741	15.8%
27		1	0.0%
28		5	0.1%
30		3	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7browserversion: Browser version used by respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31		2	0.0%
33		3	0.1%
34		9	0.2%
36		1	0.0%
38		35	0.7%
39		2	0.0%
40		1	0.0%
41		3	0.1%
42		7	0.1%
43		4	0.1%
44		132	2.8%
45		11	0.2%
46		71	1.5%
47		11	0.2%
48		21	0.4%
49		366	7.8%
5		4	0.1%
5,1		6	0.1%
50		20	0.4%
51		408	8.7%
52		11	0.2%
53		99	2.1%
54		1096	23.4%
54,2		1	0.0%
56		7	0.1%
6		4	0.1%
6,1		5	0.1%
6,2		7	0.1%
7		33	0.7%
8		68	1.5%
9		316	6.7%
9,1		70	1.5%
9,8		4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mobile: Determines if the respondents uses mobile

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3396	72.4%
1	Mobile	1293	27.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0|0		3405		72.6%
106|284		1	0.0%	
1098|800		1	0.0%	
1112|800		2	0.0%	
112|199		1	0.0%	
1143|800		1	0.0%	
115|320		1	0.0%	
125|90		3	0.1%	
128|90		52	1.1%	
129|90		2	0.0%	
131|180		1	0.0%	
131|90		2	0.0%	
132|90		1	0.0%	
136|90		2	0.0%	
139|90		1	0.0%	
140|90		59	1.3%	
141|90		1	0.0%	
142|90		18	0.4%	
143|90		2	0.0%	
154|90		1	0.0%	
160|284		7	0.1%	
163|120		1	0.0%	
166|120		7	0.1%	
170|120		81	1.7%	
171|115		1	0.0%	
172|117		7	0.1%	
173|120		1	0.0%	
174|120		23	0.5%	
178|120		1	0.0%	
178|160		4	0.1%	
186|117		6	0.1%	
186|120		65	1.4%	
186|125		21	0.4%	
186|160		35	0.7%	
187|120		2	0.0%	
187|333		3	0.1%	
188|117		1	0.0%	
189|120		5	0.1%	
193|120		3	0.1%	
202|160		1	0.0%	
205|120		4	0.1%	

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
207|160		1	0.0%
209|138		40	0.9%
213|120		1	0.0%
2176|1440		1	0.0%
220|138		1	0.0%
220|160		1	0.0%
230|156		1	0.0%
230|160		264	5.6%
246|160		4	0.1%
249|160		1	0.0%
252|160		1	0.0%
255|180		19	0.4%
256|180		36	0.8%
260|172		1	0.0%
262|180		5	0.1%
266|180		1	0.0%
268|180		1	0.0%
270|213		4	0.1%
272|180		1	0.0%
273|187		2	0.0%
279|180		10	0.2%
279|187		311	6.6%
280|180		3	0.1%
283|180		8	0.2%
284|180		3	0.1%
292|213		1	0.0%
295|187		7	0.1%
301|213		1	0.0%
302|213		4	0.1%
306|213		1	0.0%
307|180		1	0.0%
316|640		1	0.0%
324|512		1	0.0%
325|213		1	0.0%
325|722		1	0.0%
328|213		1	0.0%
331|722		1	0.0%
332|640		1	0.0%
333|213		1	0.0%
334|640		4	0.1%
335|640		2	0.0%
340|640		3	0.1%
341|240		2	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
347|205		1	0.0%
350|240		1	0.0%
350|640		1	0.0%
361|722		1	0.0%
363|640		1	0.0%
372|240		5	0.1%
373|240		3	0.1%
375|240		1	0.0%
378|240		1	0.0%
388|640		1	0.0%
394|240		3	0.1%
396|300		1	0.0%
398|300		1	0.0%
401|320		2	0.0%
410|240		1	0.0%
414|1024		1	0.0%
414|853		1	0.0%
416|853		1	0.0%
420|853		4	0.1%
421|853		1	0.0%
427|384		1	0.0%
452|384		3	0.1%
463|962		1	0.0%
492|324		1	0.0%
541|357		1	0.0%
579|400		1	0.0%
581|383		3	0.1%
596|451		1	0.0%
616|1280		1	0.0%
618|1280		3	0.1%
619|452		1	0.0%
623|1280		1	0.0%
625|381		1	0.0%
631|1280		4	0.1%
637|1024		1	0.0%
638|1024		1	0.0%
638|1280		2	0.0%
642|1280		1	0.0%
648|1024		2	0.0%
661|1280		6	0.1%
665|1280		1	0.0%
666|1280		7	0.1%
666|1281		1	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7deviceResolution: Screen resolution of the applied device

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
679|1280		8	0.2%
696|1280		1	0.0%
70|160		1	0.0%
740|533		1	0.0%
741|533		1	0.0%
74|0		1	0.0%
773|533		1	0.0%
894|768		2	0.0%
896|768		1	0.0%
903|600		1	0.0%
903|768		1	0.0%
904|768		1	0.0%
910|600		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7device: Determines respondents device type.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC	3463	73.9%
2	Touch	1217	26.0%
3	Generic	9	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7advancedwifeaturesenabled: Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Determine whether the respondent's browser supports Advanced WI Features that require client side scripts, such as sliders, drag-n-drop ranking, images instead of radio-buttons/check-boxes etc.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
FALSE		17	0.4%
TRUE		4672	99.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ktilfreds_1: Satisfied with: Life at the moment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:
Literal question	Life at the moment?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Livet nå om dagen? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor tilfreds er du med:)

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ktilfreds_1: Satisfied with: Life at the moment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1121	23.9%
2	Satisfied	2687	57.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	636	13.6%
4	Slightly satisfied	195	4.2%
5	Not satisfied at all	30	0.6%
97	No answer	20	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ktilfreds_2: Satisfied with: Your personal economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:
Literal question	Your personal economy?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Din personlige økonomi? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor tilfreds er du med

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	820	17.5%
2	Satisfied	2616	55.8%
3	Somewhat satisfied	787	16.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	317	6.8%
5	Not satisfied at all	83	1.8%
97	No answer	66	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ktilfreds_3: Satisfied with: The government in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:
Literal question	The present government in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Dagens regjering i Norge? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor tilfreds er du me

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	183	3.9%
2	Satisfied	1362	29.0%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1557	33.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	1135	24.2%
5	Not satisfied at all	386	8.2%
97	No answer	66	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ktilfreds_4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ktilfreds_4: Satisfied with: How democracy works in Norway

Pre-question	We would like to ask some questions about satisfaction. How satisfied are you with:
Literal question	The way in which democracy works in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Måten demokratiet fungerer på i Norge? (Vi ønsker å starte med å stille deg noen spørsmål om tilfredshet. Hvor ti

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	490	10.4%
2	Satisfied	2473	52.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1182	25.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	391	8.3%
5	Not satisfied at all	90	1.9%
97	No answer	63	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k10: Perception of the economic situation in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you perceive the current economic situation to be in Norway?
Post-question	Do you think it is:
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	569	12.1%
2	Good	2592	55.3%
3	Quite good	922	19.7%
4	Neither good nor bad	318	6.8%
5	Quite bad	212	4.5%
6	Bad	48	1.0%
7	Very bad	12	0.3%
97	No answer	16	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Christian Democrats	201	4.3%
2	The Conservative Party of Norway	1097	23.4%
3	The Progress Party	418	8.9%
4	The Liberal Party	232	4.9%
5	The Socialist Left Party	263	5.6%
6	The Centre Party	261	5.6%
7	The Green Party	177	3.8%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7k204: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	The Labour Party	1519	32.4%
9	The Red Party	126	2.7%
10	Would not vote	152	3.2%
11	Not entitled to vote	83	1.8%
12	Other:	124	2.6%
97	No answer	36	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k204_12_other: Would vote for following party at parliamentary election tomorrow, other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party would you vote for if there were a parliamentary election tomorrow?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Annet: (Hvilket parti ville du ha stemt på dersom det var stortingsvalg i morgen?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_1: Like/dislike: The Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Christian Democrats
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Kristelig Folkeparti (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	492	10.5%
2	Really dislike	769	16.4%
3	Dislike somewhat	1156	24.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1059	22.6%
5	Like somewhat	857	18.3%
6	Really like	224	4.8%
7	Intensely like	43	0.9%
97	No answer	89	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Conservative Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7k6_2: Like/dislike: The Conservative Party

Notes	Høyre (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	197	4.2%
2	Really dislike	522	11.1%
3	Dislike somewhat	972	20.7%
4	Neither dislike nor like	611	13.0%
5	Like somewhat	1143	24.4%
6	Really like	940	20.0%
7	Intensely like	209	4.5%
97	No answer	95	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_3: Like/dislike: The Progress Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Progress Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Fremskrittspartiet (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1222	26.1%
2	Really dislike	925	19.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	721	15.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	460	9.8%
5	Like somewhat	712	15.2%
6	Really like	422	9.0%
7	Intensely like	135	2.9%
97	No answer	92	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_4: Like/dislike: The Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Liberal Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Venstre (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	439	9.4%
2	Really dislike	643	13.7%
3	Dislike somewhat	1011	21.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1087	23.2%
5	Like somewhat	1034	22.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7k6_4: Like/dislike: The Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Really like	326	7.0%
7	Intensely like	53	1.1%
97	No answer	96	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_5: Like/dislike: The Socialist Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Socialist Left Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Sosialistisk Venstreparti (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i No

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	708	15.1%
2	Really dislike	844	18.0%
3	Dislike somewhat	814	17.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	882	18.8%
5	Like somewhat	850	18.1%
6	Really like	408	8.7%
7	Intensely like	87	1.9%
97	No answer	96	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_6: Like/dislike: The Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Centre Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Senterpartiet (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	264	5.6%
2	Really dislike	559	11.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	964	20.6%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1497	31.9%
5	Like somewhat	959	20.5%
6	Really like	299	6.4%
7	Intensely like	53	1.1%
97	No answer	94	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7k6_7: Like/dislike: The Green Party

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Green Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Miljøpartiet De Grønne (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Nor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	995	21.2%
2	Really dislike	729	15.5%
3	Dislike somewhat	814	17.4%
4	Neither dislike nor like	805	17.2%
5	Like somewhat	874	18.6%
6	Really like	320	6.8%
7	Intensely like	64	1.4%
97	No answer	88	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_8: Like/dislike: The Labour Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Labour Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Arbeiderpartiet (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	142	3.0%
2	Really dislike	339	7.2%
3	Dislike somewhat	716	15.3%
4	Neither dislike nor like	640	13.6%
5	Like somewhat	1357	28.9%
6	Really like	1167	24.9%
7	Intensely like	247	5.3%
97	No answer	81	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to ask you to consider how much you like or dislike the various political parties in Norway.
Literal question	The Red Party
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Rødt (Vi vil gjerne be deg vurdere hvor mye du liker eller misliker de ulike politiske partiene i Norge.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Intensely dislike	1097	23.4%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7k6_9: Like/dislike: The Red Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Really dislike	839	17.9%
3	Dislike somewhat	714	15.2%
4	Neither dislike nor like	1027	21.9%
5	Like somewhat	617	13.2%
6	Really like	233	5.0%
7	Intensely like	57	1.2%
97	No answer	105	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k9_1: [1] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k9_2: [2] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k9_3: [3] Most important political issues [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are the most important political issues or topics for you personally?
Post-question	Please list the three most important issues where 1 is the most important, 2 is the next most important and 3 is the third most important issue.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm_mobil: R7OCRECSM_mobil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=3490 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Does not use smartphone	885	73.8%
1	Uses smartphone	314	26.2%
Sysmiss		3490	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran20: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=3490 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	372	31.0%
2	2	410	34.2%
3	3	417	34.8%
Sysmiss		3490	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1a1_1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM1A1_1 (Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	104	2.2%
2	2	71	1.5%
3	3	108	2.3%
4	4	64	1.4%
5	Completely	20	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1a2_1: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM1A2_1 (Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?)

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1a2_1: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	225	4.8%
2	2	46	1.0%
3	3	58	1.2%
4	4	29	0.6%
5	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1a3_1: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM1A3_1 (Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	75	1.6%
2	2	77	1.6%
3	3	97	2.1%
4	4	96	2.0%
5	Completely	25	0.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1B1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	33	0.7%
1	1	6	0.1%
2	2	8	0.2%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	1	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

 # r7ocrecsm1b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	8	0.2%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	0	
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	4	0.1%
13	13	7	0.1%
14	14	9	0.2%
15	15	8	0.2%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	6	0.1%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	7	0.1%
20	20	5	0.1%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	38	0.8%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	4	0.1%
28	28	10	0.2%
29	29	8	0.2%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	7	0.1%
32	32	5	0.1%
33	33	5	0.1%
34	34	4	0.1%
35	35	12	0.3%
36	36	9	0.2%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	5	0.1%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	4	0.1%
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	4	0.1%
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	0	

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1B1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	13	0.3%
1	1	0	
2	2	2	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	15	0.3%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	0	
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	3	0.1%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	4	0.1%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	2	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	117	2.5%
1	1	6	0.1%
2	2	8	0.2%
3	3	6	0.1%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

 # r7ocrecsm1b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	12	0.3%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	6	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	8	0.2%
14	14	6	0.1%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	5	0.1%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	4	0.1%
25	25	32	0.7%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1b2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	39	0.8%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	0	
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	20	0.4%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1b2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	0	
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	0	
35	35	0	
36	36	0	
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1B3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	22	0.5%
1	1	0	
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

 # r7ocrecsm1b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	7	0.1%
13	13	10	0.2%
14	14	0	
15	15	5	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	8	0.2%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	7	0.1%
25	25	45	1.0%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	6	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	12	0.3%
31	31	7	0.1%
32	32	7	0.1%
33	33	5	0.1%
34	34	12	0.3%
35	35	10	0.2%
36	36	14	0.3%
37	37	7	0.1%
38	38	8	0.2%
39	39	7	0.1%
40	40	7	0.1%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	3	0.1%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	4	0.1%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	3	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
48	48	1	0.0%	
49	49	2	0.0%	
50	Completely	10	0.2%	
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4389		93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not at all	7	0.1%	
1	1	1	0.0%	
2	2	0		
3	3	0		
4	4	2	0.0%	
5	5	2	0.0%	
6	6	2	0.0%	
7	7	3	0.1%	
8	8	3	0.1%	
9	9	1	0.0%	
10	10	2	0.0%	
11	11	2	0.0%	
12	12	1	0.0%	
13	13	1	0.0%	
14	14	1	0.0%	
15	15	3	0.1%	
16	16	1	0.0%	
17	17	0		
18	18	0		
19	19	0		
20	20	1	0.0%	
21	21	2	0.0%	
22	22	0		
23	23	0		
24	24	1	0.0%	

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	21	0.4%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	0	
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	4	0.1%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	3	0.1%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	7	0.1%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	9	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1c1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1C1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	16	0.3%
1	1	65	1.4%
2	2	7	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	7	0.1%
4	4	2	0.0%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	10	0.2%
11	11	3	0.1%
12	12	6	0.1%
13	13	11	0.2%
14	14	3	0.1%
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	22	0.5%
25	25	34	0.7%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	4	0.1%
33	33	6	0.1%
34	34	7	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	5	0.1%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	7	0.1%
39	39	5	0.1%
40	40	3	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1c1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1C1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og bor utenfor Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	6	0.1%
1	1	26	0.6%
2	2	3	0.1%
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	0	
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	0	
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	2	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	3	0.1%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	3	0.1%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	3	0.1%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	9	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1c2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1C2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	28	0.6%
1	1	174	3.7%
2	2	9	0.2%
3	3	4	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	6	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	7	0.1%
25	25	12	0.3%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	4	0.1%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	0	
46	46	3	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c2: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1c2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1C2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	11	0.2%
1	1	70	1.5%
2	2	4	0.1%
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	0	
7	7	0	
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c2_mobile: How important is a Christian background in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	1	0.0%
26	26	0	
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	0	
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	0	
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1c3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM1C3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	8	0.2%
1	1	57	1.2%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

 # r7ocrecsm1c3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	3	0.1%
3	3	5	0.1%
4	4	4	0.1%
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	10	0.2%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	9	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	8	0.2%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	5	0.1%
24	24	14	0.3%
25	25	32	0.7%
26	26	4	0.1%
27	27	6	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	8	0.2%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	3	0.1%
36	36	7	0.1%
37	37	7	0.1%
38	38	6	0.1%
39	39	5	0.1%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	5	0.1%
42	42	0	
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	3	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	0	
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	17	0.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm1c3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM1C3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	3	0.1%
1	1	17	0.4%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	3	0.1%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	0	
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	2	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm1c3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	0	
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	0	
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	12	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2a1_1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM2A1_1 (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontrover

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	123	2.6%
2	2	76	1.6%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm2a1_1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	114	2.4%
4	4	33	0.7%
5	Completely	8	0.2%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2a2_1: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM2A2_1 (I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	91	1.9%
2	2	90	1.9%
3	3	136	2.9%
4	4	27	0.6%
5	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2a3_1: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran20=1 .]
Notes	R7OCRECSM2A3_1 (Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	152	3.2%
2	2	81	1.7%
3	3	85	1.8%
4	4	33	0.7%
5	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4317	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm2b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2B1_mobil.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	33	0.7%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	10	0.2%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	9	0.2%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	10	0.2%
12	12	11	0.2%
13	13	7	0.1%
14	14	6	0.1%
15	15	8	0.2%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	5	0.1%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	8	0.2%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	4	0.1%
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	92	2.0%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	3	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm2b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
36	36	1	0.0%	
37	37	1	0.0%	
38	38	2	0.0%	
39	39	2	0.0%	
40	40	1	0.0%	
41	41	0		
42	42	3	0.1%	
43	43	1	0.0%	
44	44	0		
45	45	0		
46	46	0		
47	47	0		
48	48	0		
49	49	1	0.0%	
50	Completely	4	0.1%	
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4389		93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2B1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	12	0.3%
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	0	
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	3	0.1%

File : Norsk medborgerpanel - runde 7

r7ocrecsm2b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	37	0.8%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCRECS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	24	0.5%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	8	0.2%
4	4	8	0.2%
5	5	4	0.1%
6	6	7	0.1%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	5	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	7	0.1%
14	14	7	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	6	0.1%
17	17	5	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	3	0.1%
25	25	106	2.3%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	7	0.1%
28	28	4	0.1%
29	29	6	0.1%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	8	0.2%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	6	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	4	0.1%

r7ocrecsm2b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37	37	0	
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	0	
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	2	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm2b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	53	1.1%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	0	
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2B3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]

r7ocrecsm2b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Notes		Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka	
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	Not at all	59	1.3%
1	1	9	0.2%
2	2	11	0.2%
3	3	8	0.2%
4	4	8	0.2%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	6	0.1%
9	9	9	0.2%
10	10	8	0.2%
11	11	6	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	5	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	5	0.1%
19	19	6	0.1%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	8	0.2%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	4	0.1%
25	25	52	1.1%
26	26	3	0.1%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	4	0.1%
33	33	3	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	4	0.1%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	0	

r7ocrecsm2b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4389	93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	25	0.5%
1	1	0	
2	2	4	0.1%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm2b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	25	0.5%
26	26	0	
27	27	0	
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	0	
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4579	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2c1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2C1_mobile.]

[Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.] |
| **Notes** | Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker b |

 # r7ocrecsm2c1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	8	0.2%
1	1	133	2.8%
2	2	6	0.1%
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	9	0.2%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	5	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	0	
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	25	0.5%
25	25	33	0.7%
26	26	8	0.2%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	4	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm2c1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	2	0.0%
50	Completely	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2c1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2C1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker b

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	4	0.1%
1	1	49	1.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	0	
6	6	0	
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm2c1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	0	
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	4	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	9	0.2%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2C2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCREC

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	6	0.1%
1	1	113	2.4%
2	2	3	0.1%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	9	0.2%

r7ocrecsm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	10	0.2%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	4	0.1%
20	20	0	
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	24	0.5%
25	25	35	0.7%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	3	0.1%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	6	0.1%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%

r7ocrecsm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

r7ocrecsm2c2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2c2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2C2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	3	0.1%
1	1	45	1.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	0	
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	4	0.1%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	8	0.2%
31	31	6	0.1%

r7ocrecsm2c2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2c3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM2C3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	10	0.2%
1	1	156	3.3%
2	2	9	0.2%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	4	0.1%
5	5	4	0.1%
6	6	7	0.1%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%

 # r7ocrecsm2c3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	11	6	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	26	0.6%
26	26	4	0.1%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	4	0.1%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4384	93.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm2c3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=3 and R7OCRECSM_mobil=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM2C3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	51	1.1%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	0	
6	6	0	
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	5	0.1%
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	0	
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	0	
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	

r7ocrecsm2c3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	2	0.0%
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4577	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi1: Personal thoughts when your hear the word "Muslim" [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What are your personal thoughts when you hear the word "Muslim"?
Post-question	Please write, using your own words, the first thing that comes into your mind. We are interested in both long and short answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran1: [Randomizes if u!=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3490 /-] [Invalid=1199 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u!=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1183	33.9%
2	2	1163	33.3%
3	3	1144	32.8%
Sysmiss		1199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km1a: Thoughts when you hear/read the words "oil and gas" [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7km1a: Thoughts when you hear/read the words "oil and gas" [anonymized]

Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the words "oil and gas"?
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u!=2 and ran1=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
			4689		100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km1b: Thoughts when you hear/read the word "energy" [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word "energy"?
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u!=2 and ran1=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
			4689		100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km1c: Thoughts when you hear/read the word "reorganisation" [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think when you hear or read the word "reorganisation"?
Post-question	Please write the first thing that comes into your mind. We want all types of answers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u!=2 and ran1=3.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
			4689		100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The tax burden should be reduced, even if it leads to cutbacks in the welfare state.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Skattetrykket bør senkes, selv om det fører til nedskjæringer i velferdsstaten. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	112		2.4%
2	Agree	296		6.3%
3	Somewhat agree	606		12.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	497		10.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	877		18.7%
6	Disagree	1266		27.0%

r7dv30_1: Statement: The tax burden should be reduced

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	1007	21.5%
97	No answer	28	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv30_2: Statement: Commercial private schools should be allowed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Commercial private schools should be allowed.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Kommersielle privatskoler bør være tillatt. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstander:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	299	6.4%
2	Agree	849	18.1%
3	Somewhat agree	962	20.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	591	12.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	696	14.8%
6	Disagree	656	14.0%
7	Strongly disagree	595	12.7%
97	No answer	41	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv30_3: Statement: Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Heterosexual and homosexual couples should have equal rights.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Heterofile og homofile par bør ha like rettigheter. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstander:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2118	45.2%
2	Agree	1284	27.4%
3	Somewhat agree	421	9.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	299	6.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	213	4.5%
6	Disagree	176	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	148	3.2%
97	No answer	30	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv30_4: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs.

r7dv30_4: Statement: It is better for a country if there is a diversity of different religions and beliefs

Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Det er bedre for et land hvis det finnes et mangfold av ulike religioner og livssyn. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	581	12.4%
2	Agree	1082	23.1%
3	Somewhat agree	1001	21.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	666	14.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	569	12.1%
6	Disagree	444	9.5%
7	Strongly disagree	317	6.8%
97	No answer	29	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran40: [All respondents randomized.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=3490 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	287	23.9%
2	2	333	27.8%
3	3	287	23.9%
4	4	292	24.4%
Sysmiss		3490	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvh_1: Statement: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten and Vesterålen areas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the area by Lofoten and Vesterålen.
Interviewer's instructions	[Respondents in sub-group u=2: asked if ran40!=4. U2 respondent are asked R7DVH_1 or R7PILOTKM2. All other respondents are asked.]
Notes	Vi bør ikke tillate olje- og gassutvinning i området ved Lofoten og Vesterålen. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1056	22.5%
2	Agree	745	15.9%
3	Somewhat agree	466	9.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	765	16.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	499	10.6%
6	Disagree	517	11.0%
7	Strongly disagree	311	6.6%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	310	6.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvh_2: Statement: Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Flyktninger bør ha samme rett til sosialhjelp som nordmenn, selv om de ikke er norske statsborgere. (Hvor enig eller uen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	300	6.4%
2	Agree	765	16.3%
3	Somewhat agree	822	17.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	472	10.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	950	20.3%
6	Disagree	810	17.3%
7	Strongly disagree	539	11.5%
97	No answer	31	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvh_3: Statement: Many public activities could be done better and cheaper by the private sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Many public activities could have been carried out both with better results and at lower cost, if they were operated by the private sector.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Mange offentlige aktiviteter kunne blitt utført både bedre og billigere, dersom de ble overlatt til private aktører. (

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	282	6.0%
2	Agree	715	15.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1148	24.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	608	13.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	683	14.6%
6	Disagree	779	16.6%
7	Strongly disagree	437	9.3%
97	No answer	37	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvh_4: Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The government should contribute to reducing income differences in society.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Staten bør bidra til å redusere inntektsforskjeller i samfunnet. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstander:)

r7dvh_4: Statement: The state should help reduce income disparities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	855	18.2%
2	Agree	1380	29.4%
3	Somewhat agree	1168	24.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	493	10.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	429	9.1%
6	Disagree	249	5.3%
7	Strongly disagree	80	1.7%
97	No answer	35	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran2: [All respondents randomized.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4681 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	2294	49.0%
2	2	2387	51.0%
Sysmiss		8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km13_1: Should put a greater stake into reducing emission in Norway, abroad or both

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Climate researchers are of the opinion that emission of greenhouse gases must be greatly reduced in order to counteract climate change caused by human activities. A debate is taking place to establish whether Norway should put a stake into such reductions in Norway or abroad. Reducing emissions abroad is often cheaper than in Norway, but measures abroad have also been criticised because the desired effect has not been achieved. What do you think?
Literal question	Should the authorities put a greater stake into reducing emissions in Norway or abroad, or the same amount for both?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran2=1.]
Notes	Klimaforskerne mener at utslipp av drivhusgasser må reduseres kraftig dersom man ønsker å motvirke menneskeskapte klim

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The authorities should concentrate most on reducing emissions in Norway	521	11.1%
2	The authorities should concentrate most on reducing emissions abroad	308	6.6%
3	The authorities should concentrate on reducing emissions equally in Norway and abroad	1413	30.1%
97	No answer	52	1.1%
98	Not asked	2395	51.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km13_2: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statement below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with that?
Literal question	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad"

r7km13_2: Statement: Most of the reductions in emissions Norway has committed to should be carried out abroad

	Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran2=2.]
	Notes	Se på utsagnet nedenfor. Hvor enig eller uenig er du i det? «Mesteparten av utslippsreduksjonene av klimagasser som Nor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	2.6%
2	Agree	167	3.6%
3	Somewhat agree	336	7.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	558	11.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	375	8.0%
6	Disagree	557	11.9%
7	Strongly disagree	254	5.4%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	2302	49.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_1: Statement: We agree on which actions that needs to be completed, but little is happening because of the authorities

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
	Literal question	We are in agreement regarding most of the actions that need to be completed in this country, but very little is happening because the authorities are standing in the way.
	Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
	Notes	Vi er enige om de fleste ting som må gjøres i dette landet, men lite skjer fordi myndighetene står i veien. (Hvor enig

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	151	3.2%
2	Agree	431	9.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1200	25.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1035	22.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	713	15.2%
6	Disagree	938	20.0%
7	Strongly disagree	150	3.2%
97	No answer	71	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_2: Statement: Politicians maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
	Literal question	Most of the professional politicians in Norway maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country.
	Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
	Notes	De fleste yrkespolitikere i Norge har god kontakt med velgere i hele landet. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende på

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	12	0.3%
2	Agree	269	5.7%
3	Somewhat agree	808	17.2%

r7elite_2: Statement: Politicians maintain close contact with the electorate in the whole of the country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither agree nor disagree	1033	22.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	1193	25.4%
6	Disagree	991	21.1%
7	Strongly disagree	319	6.8%
97	No answer	64	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_3: Statement: Many important decisions made by small group without ordinary people's knowledge

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	In this country, many important decisions are made by a small group of people without the ordinary people being told.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Mange viktige avgjørelser i dette landet blir tatt av en liten gruppe mennesker uten at vanlige folk får vite om det. (

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	471	10.0%
2	Agree	1158	24.7%
3	Somewhat agree	1528	32.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	642	13.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	459	9.8%
6	Disagree	326	7.0%
7	Strongly disagree	47	1.0%
97	No answer	58	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7elite_4: Statement: Difference between politicians same as between ordinary people

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The differences amongst politicians are the same as between ordinary people.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Det er like stor forskjell mellom politikere som det er mellom folk ellers. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende pås

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	468	10.0%
2	Agree	1778	37.9%
3	Somewhat agree	1042	22.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	589	12.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	446	9.5%
6	Disagree	247	5.3%
7	Strongly disagree	57	1.2%
97	No answer	62	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran5: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

r7ran5: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1195 /-] [Invalid=3494 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	609	51.0%
2	2	586	49.0%
Sysmiss		3494	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi4_1: Do leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Some are of the opinion that leaders normally care mostly for themselves. Others are of the opinion that they try their best to do what they feel is right.
Literal question	What is your opinion?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran5=1.]
Notes	Noen mener at ledere i sin alminnelighet bryr seg mest om seg selv. Andre mener at de prøver så godt de kan å gjøre

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Leaders care greatly for themselves	28	0.6%
2	Leaders care mostly for themselves	111	2.4%
3	Leaders care somewhat for themselves	118	2.5%
4	Neither of these	69	1.5%
5	Leaders try somewhat to do what is right	133	2.8%
6	Leaders try mostly to do what is right	133	2.8%
7	Leaders try greatly to do what is right	10	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4080	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi4_2: Do Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Some are of the opinion that Muslim leaders normally care mostly for themselves. Others are of the opinion that they try their best to do what they feel is right.
Literal question	What is your opinion?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran5=2.]
Notes	Noen mener at muslimske ledere i sin alminnelighet bryr seg mest om seg selv. Andre mener at de prøver så godt de kan

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muslim leaders care greatly for themselves	68	1.5%
2	Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves	109	2.3%
3	Muslim leaders care somewhat for themselves	56	1.2%
4	Neither of these	158	3.4%
5	Muslim leaders try somewhat to do what is right	110	2.3%
6	Muslim leaders try mostly to do what is right	46	1.0%
7	Muslim leaders try greatly to do what is right	4	0.1%
97	No answer	35	0.7%
98	Not asked	4103	87.5%

r7mi4_2: Do Muslim leaders care mostly for themselves, or do they try to do what they feel is right?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad10_1: Statement: Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents should be able to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements?
Literal question	Immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway should have the right to remain in the country if the parents are deported.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge bør ha krav på å få bli i landet dersom foreldrene

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	48	1.0%
2	Agree	150	3.2%
3	Somewhat agree	222	4.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	186	4.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	169	3.6%
6	Disagree	214	4.6%
7	Strongly disagree	94	2.0%
97	No answer	20	0.4%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad10_2: Statement: If an employer supplies you with a phone, the employer should have the right to read text-messages/e-mails on the phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements?
Literal question	If an employer supplies you with a mobile phone for use in connection with your employment, the employer should have the right to read all the text messages or e-mails you send and receive with this phone.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Dersom en arbeidsgiver gir deg en mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid, bør arbeidsgiveren ha lov til å lese alle te

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.7%
2	Agree	108	2.3%
3	Somewhat agree	85	1.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	72	1.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	96	2.0%
6	Disagree	301	6.4%
7	Strongly disagree	388	8.3%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad10_3: Statement: Not possible to bring neighbour disputes to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad10_3: Statement: Not possible to bring neighbour disputes to court before mediation

Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you disagree or agree with the following statements?
Literal question	It should not be possible to bring neighbour disputes (like the height of trees and fences) to court, without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Det bør ikke være lov å bringe nabokonflikter (for eksempel om høyde på trær og gjerder) inn for domstolene uten at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	422	9.0%
2	Agree	483	10.3%
3	Somewhat agree	79	1.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	43	0.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	14	0.3%
6	Disagree	24	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k15_1: Can most people be trusted?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Would you say that most people in general can be trusted, or do you think that one cannot be careful enough when dealing with others?
Post-question	State your opinion on the scale below. This goes from 0 to 10, where 0 means "Cannot be careful enough" and 10 means "Most people can be trusted".
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	R7K15_1 (Vil du si at de fleste mennesker i sin alminnelighet er til å stole på, eller mener du at man ikke kan være f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 - Cannot be careful enough	68	1.5%
2	1	50	1.1%
3	2	116	2.5%
4	3	242	5.2%
5	4	239	5.1%
6	5	537	11.5%
7	6	506	10.8%
8	7	1104	23.5%
9	8	973	20.8%
10	9	415	8.9%
11	10- Most people can be trusted	382	8.1%
97	No answer	57	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_version: Randomly selects a version of the conjunction-experiment. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects the number and combination of news and entertainment articles.]

r7pad14_version: Randomly selects a version of the conjunction-experiment. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	4 news articles	1576	33.8%
2	6 news articles	1556	33.4%
3	4 entertainment articles and 2 news articles	1527	32.8%
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_selections: The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects, between 1 and 3, how many news articles the respondent may choose.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 selection	1565	33.6%
2	2 selections	1497	32.1%
3	3 selections	1597	34.3%
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_1: Randomly chosen source nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source nr. 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		30	0.6%
Aftenposten		660	14.1%
Dagbladet		675	14.4%
Dagens Næringsliv		636	13.6%
Klassekampen		668	14.2%
NRK		682	14.5%
TV2		683	14.6%
VG		655	14.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_2: Randomly chosen source nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source nr. 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		30	0.6%
Aftenposten		620	13.2%
Dagbladet		688	14.7%
Dagens Næringsliv		656	14.0%
Klassekampen		670	14.3%

r7pad14_sourcesRan_2: Randomly chosen source nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
NRK		702	15.0%
TV2		643	13.7%
VG		680	14.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_3: Randomly chosen source nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source nr. 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		30	0.6%
Aftenposten		673	14.4%
Dagbladet		656	14.0%
Dagens Næringsliv		689	14.7%
Klassekampen		655	14.0%
NRK		653	13.9%
TV2		663	14.1%
VG		670	14.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_4: Randomly chosen source nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source nr. 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		30	0.6%
Aftenposten		651	13.9%
Dagbladet		680	14.5%
Dagens Næringsliv		679	14.5%
Klassekampen		658	14.0%
NRK		666	14.2%
TV2		647	13.8%
VG		678	14.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_5: Randomly chosen source nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source nr. 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		1606	34.3%
Aftenposten		443	9.4%
Dagbladet		417	8.9%

r7pad14_sourcesRan_5: Randomly chosen source nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Dagens Næringsliv		453	9.7%
Klassekampen		433	9.2%
NRK		478	10.2%
TV2		427	9.1%
VG		432	9.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_sourcesRan_6: Randomly chosen source nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Source nr. 6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		1606	34.3%
Aftenposten		441	9.4%
Dagbladet		474	10.1%
Dagens Næringsliv		412	8.8%
Klassekampen		441	9.4%
NRK		444	9.5%
TV2		424	9.0%
VG		447	9.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likes01: Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with endorsements, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly decides whether the articles should be listed with endorsements, or not.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2374	51.0%
1	Yes	2285	49.0%
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_1: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement nr. 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2404	51.3%
0 personer anbefaler		754	16.1%
1054 personer anbefaler		261	5.6%
106 personer anbefaler		269	5.7%

r7pad14_likesRan_1: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1133 personer anbefaler		278	5.9%
1211 personer anbefaler		236	5.0%
95 personer anbefaler		248	5.3%
98 personer anbefaler		239	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_2: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement nr. 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2404	51.3%
0 personer anbefaler		751	16.0%
1054 personer anbefaler		256	5.5%
106 personer anbefaler		245	5.2%
1133 personer anbefaler		235	5.0%
1211 personer anbefaler		279	6.0%
95 personer anbefaler		244	5.2%
98 personer anbefaler		275	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_3: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement nr. 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2404	51.3%
0 personer anbefaler		768	16.4%
1054 personer anbefaler		258	5.5%
106 personer anbefaler		237	5.1%
1133 personer anbefaler		264	5.6%
1211 personer anbefaler		250	5.3%
95 personer anbefaler		274	5.8%

r7pad14_likesRan_3: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
98 personer anbefaler		234		5.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_4: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement nr. 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2404	51.3%
0 personer anbefaler		719	15.3%
1054 personer anbefaler		243	5.2%
106 personer anbefaler		270	5.8%
1133 personer anbefaler		250	5.3%
1211 personer anbefaler		276	5.9%
95 personer anbefaler		266	5.7%
98 personer anbefaler		261	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_5: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement nr. 5]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3175	67.7%
0 personer anbefaler		497	10.6%
1054 personer anbefaler		158	3.4%
106 personer anbefaler		175	3.7%
1133 personer anbefaler		168	3.6%
1211 personer anbefaler		175	3.7%
95 personer anbefaler		167	3.6%
98 personer anbefaler		174	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_likesRan_6: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If endorsements are to be listed: endorsement nr. 6]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3175	67.7%

r7pad14_likesRan_6: Randomly chosen endorsement nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0 personer anbefaler		511	10.9%
1054 personer anbefaler		167	3.6%
106 personer anbefaler		188	4.0%
1133 personer anbefaler		169	3.6%
1211 personer anbefaler		144	3.1%
95 personer anbefaler		164	3.5%
98 personer anbefaler		171	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_headlinesRan_1: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7pad14_headlinesRan_2: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7pad14_headlinesRan_3: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7pad14_headlinesRan_4: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		1606	34.3%
Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere inn		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekts		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere ol		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefel		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NS		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile p		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere inn		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekts		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatise		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskelig		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kult		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kult		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelige		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vansk		5	0.1%
Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere mu		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fle		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsforsk		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjelle		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke kan		5	0.1%
Ap-politiker mener alle offentlige		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
aktiviteter kan gjør			
Ap-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for homo		8	0.2%
Ap-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og r		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og r		5	0.1%
Ap-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere for		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til so		5	0.1%
Ap-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett t		15	0.3%
Ap-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fler		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiseri		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med flere		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Ap-profil blir hedret etter		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
twittermelding ? vil stenge			
Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne f		2	0.0%
Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vil		4	0.1%
Ap-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger ska		5	0.1%
Ap-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		2	0.0%
Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norg		3	0.1%
Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norg		3	0.1%
Ap-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		3	0.1%
Ap-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		2	0.0%
Ap-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sko		2	0.0%
Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privat		2	0.0%
Ap-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle sk		3	0.1%
Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priva		2	0.0%
Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		3	0.1%
Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
for oljeboring i nord			
Ap-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		2	0.0%
Ap-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		3	0.1%
Ap-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private sk		1	0.0%
Ap-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		2	0.0%
Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler ska		3	0.1%
Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		3	0.1%
Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		4	0.1%
Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		1	0.0%
Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		4	0.1%
Ap-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		5	0.1%
Ap-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Ap-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyk		2	0.0%
Ap-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinge		6	0.1%
Ap-topp vil gi mer til de rike		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Ap-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		2	0.0%
Ap-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Ap-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Ap-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske bye		2	0.0%
Ap-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
Denne restauranten er testet av våre anmeldere		16	0.3%
Denne restauranten må du prøve mener våre anmeldere		15	0.3%
Denne restauranten må du unngå mener våre anmeldere		13	0.3%
Dette er det beste for å gå ned i vekt		10	0.2%
Dette er det verste for å gå ned i vekt		9	0.2%
Dette er høstens treningstrend		17	0.4%
Dette kan du gjøre for å ned i vekt		20	0.4%
Disse TV-ene er med i vår nye test		11	0.2%
Disse TV-ene kommer best ut i vår nye test		17	0.4%
Disse TV-ene kommer verst ut i vår nye test		7	0.1%
Flere jubler: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået burde redus		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Frp-topp mener		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
at skattenivået burde redu			
Flere jubler: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		5	0.1%
Flere jubler: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået burde re		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke bur		4	0.1%
Flere jubler: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		3	0.1%
Flere jubler: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		4	0.1%
Flere jubler: Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		2	0.0%
Flere jubler: Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		2	0.0%
Flere jubler: Sp-topp mener at skattenivået burde redus		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Sp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		3	0.1%
Flere jubler: Sv-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået burde		2	0.0%
Flere jubler: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke b		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Flere stormer: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		4	0.1%
Flere stormer: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		3	0.1%
Flere stormer: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke bu		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået burde re		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Sp-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Sv-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Sv-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarl		65	1.4%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-poli		6	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-pol		5	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-p		8	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-pol		8	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-pol		4	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-po		7	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-poli		9	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-poli		6	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre		10	0.2%
Forslag fra Ap-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Ap-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Frp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Forslag fra Frp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjelle		3	0.1%
Forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Krf-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%
Forslag fra Krf-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%
Forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Sp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Sv-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjel		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag		6	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
som vil redusere in			
Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekt		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homof		6	0.1%
Frp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere o		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefe		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privati		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere in		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekt		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatis		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NS		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskeli		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være van		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kul		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kul		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		3	0.1%
Frp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vans		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere m		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjell		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke ka		3	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjø		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for hom		3	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere fo		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til s		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener flyktninger ikke		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
bør ha samme rett			
Frp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fle		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere ol		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiser		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få lik		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fler		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil steng		1	0.0%
Frp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		1	0.0%
Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vi		10	0.2%
Frp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger sk		6	0.1%
Frp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		9	0.2%
Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Nor		1	0.0%
Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Nor		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		2	0.0%
Frp-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sk		6	0.1%
Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priva		5	0.1%
Frp-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle s		7	0.1%
Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priv		3	0.1%
Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priv		2	0.0%
Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		4	0.1%
Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		2	0.0%
Frp-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sk		1	0.0%
Frp-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		2	0.0%
Frp-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		4	0.1%
Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler sk		3	0.1%
Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		1	0.0%
Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		1	0.0%
Frp-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Frp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Frp-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fly		4	0.1%
Frp-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykting		4	0.1%
Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike		2	0.0%
Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Frp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		2	0.0%
Frp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		4	0.1%
Frp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske by		5	0.1%
Frp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
Helgens konsert		19	0.4%
Her er helgens filmer		16	0.3%
Her er helgens filmer du bør se		10	0.2%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Her er helgens filmer du bør unngå		18	0.4%
Her er vinterens beste smarttelefoner		15	0.3%
Her er vinterens smarttelefoner		14	0.3%
Her er vinterens verste smarttelefoner		20	0.4%
Her går konserten fryktelig galt		12	0.3%
Her går konserten skikkelig bra		15	0.3%
Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi t		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke innte		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Hom		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere olje		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte priva		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofil		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke innte		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privat		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanske		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være v		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere k		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi t		6	0.1%
Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforsla		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være va		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfo		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke		6	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener alle		6	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
offentlige aktiviteter kan g			
Høyre-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for h		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer o		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer o		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til		8	0.2%
Høyre-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme ret		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne f		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatis		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NS		5	0.1%
Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få l		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like r		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fl		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ?		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
mener det er bedre med fæ			
Høyre-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		5	0.1%
Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpn		3	0.1%
Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ?		5	0.1%
Høyre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger		3	0.1%
Høyre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal		13	0.3%
Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i N		5	0.1%
Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i N		1	0.0%
Høyre-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		4	0.1%
Høyre-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		4	0.1%
Høyre-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle		5	0.1%
Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere pri		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre pri		5	0.1%
Høyre-profil møter proteststorm		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
- mener at kommersielle			
Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere pr		1	0.0%
Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre pr		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Høyre-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private		2	0.0%
Høyre-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen privat		3	0.1%
Høyre-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private s		1	0.0%
Høyre-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private		2	0.0%
Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler sk		2	0.0%
Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler		3	0.1%
Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massi		1	0.0%
Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mas		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		6	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massi		1	0.0%
Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mas		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Høyre-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske f		3	0.1%
Høyre-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykti		5	0.1%
Høyre-topp vil gi mer til de rike		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		4	0.1%
Høyre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		4	0.1%
Høyre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Høyre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		5	0.1%
Høyre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske		2	0.0%
Jubler for Ap-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle		1	0.0%
Jubler for Ap-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle no		3	0.1%
Jubler for Frp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		5	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Jubler for Frp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		2	0.0%
Jubler for Høyre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i a		1	0.0%
Jubler for Høyre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		3	0.1%
Jubler for Krf-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		1	0.0%
Jubler for Mdg-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		3	0.1%
Jubler for Rødt-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		2	0.0%
Jubler for Rødt-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		4	0.1%
Jubler for Sp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle no		1	0.0%
Jubler for Sv-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle		1	0.0%
Jubler for Sv-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle no		1	0.0%
Jubler for Venstre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i		2	0.0%
Kjendisfesten ble holdt i går		23	0.5%
Kjendisfesten gikk skikkelig galt		13	0.3%
Kjendisfesten var en stor suksess		17	0.4%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere in		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homof		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere o		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefe		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere N		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		6	0.1%
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere in		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekt		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NS		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskeli		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være van		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker hylles ? mener		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
det er bedre med flere kul			
Krf-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kul		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelig		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vans		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere m		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fl		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjell		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke ka		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjø		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener det er		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
bedre med flere kulturer og			
Krf-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til s		7	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fle		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere ol		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiser		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få lik		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like ret		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fler		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færr		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil steng		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		4	0.1%
Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vi		4	0.1%
Krf-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger sk		3	0.1%
Krf-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		7	0.1%
Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Nor		1	0.0%
Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Nor		2	0.0%
Krf-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		1	0.0%
Krf-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		2	0.0%
Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priva		2	0.0%
Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre priva		4	0.1%
Krf-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle s		6	0.1%
Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priv		1	0.0%
Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priv		1	0.0%
Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Krf-representant refses ? vil sikre		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
at ingen private sk			
Krf-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		4	0.1%
Krf-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		1	0.0%
Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler sk		2	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		2	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		3	0.1%
Krf-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Krf-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		3	0.1%
Krf-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fly		9	0.2%
Krf-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykting		11	0.2%
Krf-topp vil gi mer til de rike		1	0.0%
Krf-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Krf-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Krf-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Krf-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		4	0.1%
Krf-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske by		2	0.0%
Krf-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		1	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 nye		3	0.1%
Lokal Ap-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5		1	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktn		1	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar i		5	0.1%
Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye f		1	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 ny		3	0.1%
Lokal Frp-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		2	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot		2	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flykt		2	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar		3	0.1%
Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye		3	0.1%

⧣ **r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Lokal Høyre-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninge		1	0.0%
Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imo		1	0.0%
Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye fly		3	0.1%
Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi ta		3	0.1%
Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 ny		4	0.1%
Lokal Krf-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 ny		2	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		1	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot		3	0.1%
Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye		1	0.0%
Lokal Mdg-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 ny		2	0.0%
Lokal Mdg-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		3	0.1%
Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot		2	0.0%
Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flykt		1	0.0%
Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar		1	0.0%
Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye			
Lokal Rødt-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 n		2	0.0%
Lokal Rødt-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		3	0.1%
Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyk		2	0.0%
Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye		3	0.1%
Lokal Sp-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 nye		1	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		3	0.1%
Lokal Sp-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5		1	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktn		5	0.1%
Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar i		2	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye f		1	0.0%
Lokal Sv-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 nye		3	0.1%
Lokal Sv-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		4	0.1%
Lokal Sv-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5		1	0.0%
Lokal Sv-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktn		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar i		2	0.0%
Lokal Venstre-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 500		2	0.0%
Lokal Venstre-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktnin		3	0.1%
Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar i		4	0.1%
Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi		3	0.1%
Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000		3	0.1%
Mareritthistoriene om høstens treningstrend		13	0.3%
Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		6	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere in		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekt		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homof		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefe		5	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere N		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får skryt for		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
forslag: vil privatisere NS			
Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskeli		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være van		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kul		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kul		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelig		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vans		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere m		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker mener alle offentlige		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
aktiviteter ikke ka			
Mdg-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjø		5	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for hom		5	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til s		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		9	0.2%
Mdg-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fle		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere ol		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiser		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få lik		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fler		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færr		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil blir hedret etter		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
twittermelding ? vil steng			
Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		3	0.1%
Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vi		7	0.1%
Mdg-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger sk		8	0.2%
Mdg-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		6	0.1%
Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Nor		1	0.0%
Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Nor		3	0.1%
Mdg-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		1	0.0%
Mdg-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sk		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priva		1	0.0%
Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre priva		3	0.1%
Mdg-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle s		6	0.1%
Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priv		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priv		5	0.1%
Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Mdg-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sk		2	0.0%
Mdg-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		1	0.0%
Mdg-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		1	0.0%
Mdg-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		4	0.1%
Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		2	0.0%
Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler sk		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper mot		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
oljeboring i Lofoten			
Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		4	0.1%
Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		3	0.1%
Mdg-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fly		6	0.1%
Mdg-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykting		9	0.2%
Mdg-topp vil gi mer til de rike		3	0.1%
Mdg-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Mdg-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		4	0.1%
Mdg-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske by		3	0.1%
Norges store sangstjerne får refs i fersk anmeldelse		11	0.2%
Norges store sangstjerne får ros i fersk anmeldelse		13	0.3%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Norges store sangstjerne i fersk anmeldelse		13	0.3%
Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kr		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		5	0.1%
Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk k		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar ste		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		5	0.1%
Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk k		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar ster		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil øke		3	0.1%

#r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
formueskatten, mottar sterk			
Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kr		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kr		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar s		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar ste		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Refser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		5	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjelle		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil redusere lønnsfor		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjel		3	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		3	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjelle		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		4	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil redusere lønnsfors		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjell		3	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Sp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Sp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		6	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		5	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Venstre-topp		1	0.0%

⌗ **r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
som vil redusere lønnsf			
Refser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskj		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskje		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil redusere lønnsfors		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjell		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjelle		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Sp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskje		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Sp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Roser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskje		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil redusere lønnsfo		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskje		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tr		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntek		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homo		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljef		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privat		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privati		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforsla		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter		1	0.0%

 # r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
homovennlig lovforslag			
Rødt-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskel		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være va		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere ku		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre ku		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tr		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskeli		6	0.1%
Rødt-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være van		5	0.1%
Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke f		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfor		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjel		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Rødt-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke k		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gj		8	0.2%
Rødt-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for ho		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere f		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til		5	0.1%
Rødt-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		6	0.1%
Rødt-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere o		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatise		6	0.1%
Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like re		5	0.1%
Rødt-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fle		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fær		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil sten		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? v		5	0.1%
Rødt-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger s		9	0.2%
Rødt-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal f		6	0.1%
Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i No		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i No		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		5	0.1%
Rødt-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle s		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priv		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre priv		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle		5	0.1%
Rødt-profil møter		1	0.0%

#r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
proteststorm for forslag om flere pri			
Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre pri		6	0.1%
Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nor		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		2	0.0%
Rødt-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private s		4	0.1%
Rødt-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		7	0.1%
Rødt-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private		1	0.0%
Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler ska		3	0.1%
Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler s		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		4	0.1%
Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mass		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp kjemper mot		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv			
Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		4	0.1%
Rødt-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fl		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktin		6	0.1%
Rødt-topp vil gi mer til de rike		4	0.1%
Rødt-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		4	0.1%
Rødt-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske b		4	0.1%
Rødt-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere inn		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekts		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofi		7	0.1%
Sp-politiker får massiv støtte		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
- vil ikke åpne flere ol			
Sp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefel		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatis		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NS		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile p		8	0.2%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekts		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatise		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskelig		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være vans		6	0.1%
Sp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kult		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		5	0.1%
Sp-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sp-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vansk		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere mu		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fle		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsforsk		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjelle		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke kan		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjør		9	0.2%
Sp-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for homo		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og r		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere for		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til so		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett t		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fler		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiseri		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like		7	0.1%
Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rett		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med flere		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færre		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil stenge		2	0.0%
Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne f		2	0.0%
Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vil		3	0.1%
Sp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger ska		5	0.1%
Sp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		6	0.1%
Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
privatskoler i Norg			
Sp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norg		2	0.0%
Sp-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		4	0.1%
Sp-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		3	0.1%
Sp-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sko		1	0.0%
Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privat		2	0.0%
Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privat		2	0.0%
Sp-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle sk		7	0.1%
Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priva		1	0.0%
Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priva		2	0.0%
Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		2	0.0%
Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Sp-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		3	0.1%
Sp-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		1	0.0%
Sp-representant roses ? vil sikre		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
at ingen private skol			
Sp-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private sk		2	0.0%
Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		3	0.1%
Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler ska		2	0.0%
Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		6	0.1%
Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		2	0.0%
Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		1	0.0%
Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		1	0.0%
Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		2	0.0%
Sp-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Sp-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyk		10	0.2%
Sp-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinge		5	0.1%
Sp-topp vil gi mer til de rike		2	0.0%
Sp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Sp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		2	0.0%
Sp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Sp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske bye		3	0.1%
Sp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
Stjernespiller kampklar til morgendagens kamp		10	0.2%
Stjernespiller snakker om morgendagens kamp		15	0.3%
Stjernespiller ute med skade til morgendagens kamp		6	0.1%
Stormer mot Ap-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		4	0.1%
Stormer mot Ap-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		1	0.0%
Stormer mot Frp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		1	0.0%
Stormer mot Frp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		4	0.1%
Stormer mot Høyre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i		1	0.0%
Stormer mot Høyre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i all		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Krf-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		4	0.1%
Stormer mot Mdg-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Stormer mot Mdg-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		6	0.1%
Stormer mot Rødt-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i a		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Rødt-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		1	0.0%
Stormer mot Sp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Sp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		3	0.1%
Stormer mot Sv-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		1	0.0%
Stormer mot Sv-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		3	0.1%
Stormer mot Venstre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten		2	0.0%
Suksesshistoriene om høstens treningstrend		18	0.4%
Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere inn		5	0.1%
Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekts		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofi		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker får massiv støtte		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
- vil ikke åpne flere ol			
Sv-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefel		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NS		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile p		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere inn		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekts		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatise		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskelig		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være vans		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kult		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kult		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sv-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelige		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere mu		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjelle		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke kan		8	0.2%
Sv-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjør		7	0.1%
Sv-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for homo		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og r		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og r		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere for		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til so		8	0.2%
Sv-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett t		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sv-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere olj		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiseri		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rett		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med flere		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færre		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil stenge		3	0.1%
Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne f		2	0.0%
Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vil		6	0.1%
Sv-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger ska		6	0.1%
Sv-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		8	0.2%
Sv-profil lanserer forslag om flere		1	0.0%

#r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
privatskoler i Norg			
Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norg		2	0.0%
Sv-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		3	0.1%
Sv-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		1	0.0%
Sv-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sko		6	0.1%
Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privat		4	0.1%
Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privat		3	0.1%
Sv-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle sk		5	0.1%
Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priva		4	0.1%
Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priva		2	0.0%
Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		4	0.1%
Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Sv-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		1	0.0%
Sv-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		3	0.1%
Sv-representant roses ? vil sikre		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
at ingen private skol			
Sv-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private sk		3	0.1%
Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		3	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		4	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		3	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		1	0.0%
Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		2	0.0%
Sv-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Sv-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Sv-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyk		7	0.1%
Sv-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinge		6	0.1%
Sv-topp vil gi mer til de rike		3	0.1%
Sv-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		5	0.1%
Sv-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		2	0.0%
Sv-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sv-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		5	0.1%
Sv-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Sv-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske bye		1	0.0%
TV-profiler gifter seg		12	0.3%
TV-profiler skiller seg		11	0.2%
TV-profiler snakker om livet sammen		14	0.3%
Tror Norge spiller uavgjort i morgendagens fotballkamp		12	0.3%
Tror Norge taper i morgendagens fotballkamp		13	0.3%
Tror Norge vinner i morgendagens fotballkamp		11	0.2%
Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi		9	0.2%
Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil reduser		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inn		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «H		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne fle		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere ol		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homof		4	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får skryt for		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
forslag som vil reduser			
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inn		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte priv		7	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatiser		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovfor		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforsl		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vans		5	0.1%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovfors		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vansk		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger fle		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikk		4	0.1%
Venstre-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntekts		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforsk		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikk		7	0.1%
Venstre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer		5	0.1%
Venstre-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett t		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme r		7	0.1%
Venstre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne fler		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker på Facebook:		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
«Homofile par MÅ IKKE få			
Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like	1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med	3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord	5	0.1%
Venstre-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord	1	0.0%
Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil s	3	0.1%
Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil å	3	0.1%
Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding	5	0.1%
Venstre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinge	3	0.1%
Venstre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger ska	9	0.2%
Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i	4	0.1%
Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i	3	0.1%
Venstre-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersiell	3	0.1%
Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre p	1	0.0%
Venstre-profil møter proteststorm	2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
- mener at kommersiel			
Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i no		3	0.1%
Venstre-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen privat		2	0.0%
Venstre-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen priv		1	0.0%
Venstre-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private		2	0.0%
Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler		2	0.0%
Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skole		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får mas		4	0.1%
Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		6	0.1%
Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får mas		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp mener at		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_5: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 5. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
skattenivået burde reduseres			
Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Venstre-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske		8	0.2%
Venstre-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyk		7	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil gi mer til de rike		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Venstre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske b		4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news or entertainment article from a population of 1296 news articles and 36 entertainment articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		1606	34.3%
Ap-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere inn		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekts		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker får kritikk etter		5	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
facebook-status: «Homofi			
Ap-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefel		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatis		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NS		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile p		6	0.1%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere inn		6	0.1%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekts		5	0.1%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatise		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskelig		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være vans		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kult		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kult		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelige		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vansk		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere mu		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fle		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsforsk		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjelle		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke kan		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjør		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for homo		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og r		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og r		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til so		8	0.2%
Ap-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett t		10	0.2%
Ap-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fler		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere olj		4	0.1%
Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiseri		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rett		3	0.1%
Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med flere		1	0.0%
Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færre		2	0.0%
Ap-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Ap-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne f		1	0.0%
Ap-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vil		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger ska		3	0.1%
Ap-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		6	0.1%
Ap-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norg		1	0.0%
Ap-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Norg		1	0.0%
Ap-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		1	0.0%
Ap-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		4	0.1%
Ap-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sko		5	0.1%
Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privat		3	0.1%
Ap-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privat		3	0.1%
Ap-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle sk		2	0.0%
Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priva		4	0.1%
Ap-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priva		1	0.0%
Ap-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		3	0.1%
Ap-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		4	0.1%
Ap-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private skol		2	0.0%
Ap-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private sk		3	0.1%
Ap-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler ska		5	0.1%
Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		2	0.0%
Ap-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		2	0.0%
Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		3	0.1%
Ap-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		2	0.0%
Ap-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Ap-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Ap-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyk		7	0.1%
Ap-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinge		5	0.1%
Ap-topp vil gi mer til de rike		2	0.0%
Ap-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Ap-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Ap-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		2	0.0%
Ap-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		4	0.1%
Ap-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Ap-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske bye		3	0.1%
Ap-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
Denne restauranten er testet av våre anmeldere		10	0.2%
Denne restauranten må du prøve mener våre anmeldere		11	0.2%
Denne restauranten må du unngå mener våre anmeldere		11	0.2%
Dette er det beste for å gå ned i vekt		17	0.4%
Dette er det verste for å gå ned i vekt		17	0.4%
Dette er høstens treningstrend		10	0.2%
Dette kan du gjøre for å ned i vekt		20	0.4%
Disse TV-ene er med i vår nye test		14	0.3%
Disse TV-ene kommer best ut i vår nye test		10	0.2%
Disse TV-ene kommer verst ut i vår nye test		7	0.1%
Flere jubler: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået burde redus		3	0.1%
Flere jubler: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Flere jubler: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		3	0.1%
Flere jubler: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået burde re		2	0.0%
Flere jubler: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke bur		3	0.1%
Flere jubler: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		2	0.0%
Flere jubler: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		2	0.0%
Flere jubler: Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Sp-topp mener at skattenivået burde redus		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Sv-topp mener at skattenivået burde redus		1	0.0%
Flere jubler: Sv-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		5	0.1%
Flere jubler: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået burde		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Flere jubler: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke b		3	0.1%
Flere stormer: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Ap-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Frp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået burde r		3	0.1%
Flere stormer: Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke bu		3	0.1%
Flere stormer: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Krf-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået burde red		3	0.1%
Flere stormer: Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burd		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået burde re		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Sp-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		1	0.0%
Flere stormer: Sv-topp mener at skattenivået burde redu		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Flere stormer: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået burde		2	0.0%
Flere stormer: Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke		5	0.1%
Foreslår å la kommunen ta seg av eldreomsorgen, ansvarl		69	1.5%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Ap-poli		8	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Frp-pol		7	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Høyre-p		8	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Krf-pol		8	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Mdg-pol		9	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Rødt-po		5	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sp-poli		3	0.1%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Sv-poli		10	0.2%
Foreslår å privatisere eldreomsorgen, ansvarlig Venstre		5	0.1%
Forslag fra Ap-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Forslag fra Frp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Forslag fra Frp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjelle		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Krf-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Krf-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%
Forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Sp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Forslag fra Sp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		6	0.1%
Forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskjel		4	0.1%
Forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker får kjeft for forslag		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
som vil redusere in			
Frp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homof		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere o		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefe		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privati		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere N		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere in		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekt		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NS		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskeli		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være van		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kul		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kul		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelig		3	0.1%
Frp-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vans		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere m		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fl		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfors		6	0.1%
Frp-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjell		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke ka		6	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjø		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for hom		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere fo		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til s		6	0.1%
Frp-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		5	0.1%
Frp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fle		3	0.1%
Frp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere ol		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiser		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få lik		3	0.1%
Frp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like ret		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fler		1	0.0%
Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færr		4	0.1%
Frp-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Frp-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Frp-profil blir hedret etter		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
twittermelding ? vil steng			
Frp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vi		2	0.0%
Frp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger sk		8	0.2%
Frp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		5	0.1%
Frp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Nor		5	0.1%
Frp-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Nor		3	0.1%
Frp-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		3	0.1%
Frp-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sk		2	0.0%
Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priva		4	0.1%
Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre priva		2	0.0%
Frp-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle s		5	0.1%
Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priv		1	0.0%
Frp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priv		2	0.0%
Frp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Frp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sk		1	0.0%
Frp-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		1	0.0%
Frp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		2	0.0%
Frp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler sk		4	0.1%
Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		3	0.1%
Frp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%
Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		1	0.0%
Frp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		2	0.0%
Frp-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		3	0.1%
Frp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		4	0.1%
Frp-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fly		10	0.2%
Frp-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykting		8	0.2%
Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Frp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Frp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Frp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske by		3	0.1%
Frp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		1	0.0%
Helgens konsert		13	0.3%
Her er helgens filmer		21	0.4%
Her er helgens filmer du bør se		9	0.2%
Her er helgens filmer du bør unngå		15	0.3%
Her er vinterens beste smarttelefoner		13	0.3%
Her er vinterens smarttelefoner		13	0.3%
Her er vinterens verste smarttelefoner		16	0.3%
Her går konserten fryktelig galt		16	0.3%
Her går konserten skikkelig bra		9	0.2%
Høyre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi t		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Hom		5	0.1%
Høyre-politiker får massiv støtte		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
- vil ikke åpne flere			
Høyre-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere olje		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte priva		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofil		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke innte		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforsl		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanske		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være v		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere k		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre k		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi t		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforsla		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Høyre-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskel		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være va		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfo		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke		8	0.2%
Høyre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan g		5	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for h		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer o		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme ret		5	0.1%
Høyre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne f		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Høyre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NS		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få l		2	0.0%
Høyre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like r		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fl		3	0.1%
Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fæ		4	0.1%
Høyre-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Høyre-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil ste		1	0.0%
Høyre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpn		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ?		1	0.0%
Høyre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger		4	0.1%
Høyre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal		9	0.2%
Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i N		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i N		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Høyre-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle		7	0.1%
Høyre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere pri		3	0.1%
Høyre-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle		7	0.1%
Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere pr		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre pr		2	0.0%
Høyre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i no		1	0.0%
Høyre-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private		5	0.1%
Høyre-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen privat		1	0.0%
Høyre-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private s		4	0.1%
Høyre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler sk		1	0.0%
Høyre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massi		4	0.1%
Høyre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mas		5	0.1%
Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		4	0.1%
Høyre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mas		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Høyre-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske f		5	0.1%
Høyre-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykti		5	0.1%
Høyre-topp vil gi mer til de rike		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		1	0.0%
Høyre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Høyre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske		2	0.0%
Høyre-topp: Vil innføre		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
eiendomsskatt i alle norske bye			
Jubler for Ap-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle		1	0.0%
Jubler for Ap-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle no		1	0.0%
Jubler for Frp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		2	0.0%
Jubler for Frp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		3	0.1%
Jubler for Høyre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i a		3	0.1%
Jubler for Mdg-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		3	0.1%
Jubler for Mdg-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		3	0.1%
Jubler for Rødt-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		3	0.1%
Jubler for Rødt-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		2	0.0%
Jubler for Sp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle		1	0.0%
Jubler for Sv-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle		2	0.0%
Jubler for Sv-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle no		1	0.0%
Jubler for Venstre-topp:		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i			
Jubler for Venstre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i al		1	0.0%
Kjendisfesten ble holdt i går		10	0.2%
Kjendisfesten gikk skikkelig galt		10	0.2%
Kjendisfesten var en stor suksess		14	0.3%
Krf-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekt		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homof		5	0.1%
Krf-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere o		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefe		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privati		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere N		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		8	0.2%
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere in		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekt		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatis		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NS		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskeli		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være van		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kul		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kul		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vans		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere m		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfors		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker med forslag		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
som vil øke inntektsforskjell			
Krf-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Krf-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		5	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke ka		6	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjø		6	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for hom		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere fo		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til s		7	0.1%
Krf-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		8	0.2%
Krf-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fle		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere ol		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få lik		4	0.1%
Krf-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like ret		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færr		2	0.0%
Krf-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Krf-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil steng		1	0.0%
Krf-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		1	0.0%
Krf-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vi		6	0.1%
Krf-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger sk		2	0.0%
Krf-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		4	0.1%
Krf-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Nor		1	0.0%
Krf-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i Nor		1	0.0%
Krf-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		2	0.0%
Krf-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		2	0.0%
Krf-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sk		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priva		1	0.0%
Krf-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre priva		1	0.0%
Krf-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle s		2	0.0%
Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priv		3	0.1%
Krf-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priv		1	0.0%
Krf-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		3	0.1%
Krf-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		2	0.0%
Krf-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sk		3	0.1%
Krf-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		4	0.1%
Krf-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		1	0.0%
Krf-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		1	0.0%
Krf-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		1	0.0%
Krf-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler sk		2	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Krf-topp kjemper for		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv			
Krf-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		1	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		2	0.0%
Krf-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%
Krf-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Krf-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fly		4	0.1%
Krf-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykting		2	0.0%
Krf-topp vil gi mer til de rike		1	0.0%
Krf-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Krf-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		6	0.1%
Krf-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Krf-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Krf-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske by		1	0.0%
Krf-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker ? vil		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
hindre at vi tar imot 5000 nye			
Lokal Ap-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		2	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5		2	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktn		7	0.1%
Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar i		1	0.0%
Lokal Ap-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye f		1	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 ny		3	0.1%
Lokal Frp-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		2	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flykt		2	0.0%
Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar		5	0.1%
Lokal Frp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye		3	0.1%
Lokal Høyre-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000		5	0.1%
Lokal Høyre-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninge		3	0.1%
Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imo		2	0.0%
Lokal Høyre-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye fly		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi ta		2	0.0%
Lokal Høyre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 ny		1	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 ny		2	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		2	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot		1	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flykt		1	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar		2	0.0%
Lokal Krf-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye		2	0.0%
Lokal Mdg-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 ny		3	0.1%
Lokal Mdg-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		3	0.1%
Lokal Mdg-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flykt		3	0.1%
Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar		2	0.0%
Lokal Rødt-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 n		1	0.0%
Lokal Rødt-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		1	0.0%
Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles ?		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
vil hindre at vi tar imot			
Lokal Rødt-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyk		2	0.0%
Lokal Rødt-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar		2	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5000 nye		2	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		1	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5		2	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktn		2	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar i		1	0.0%
Lokal Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye f		1	0.0%
Lokal Sv-politiker ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger		5	0.1%
Lokal Sv-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 5		4	0.1%
Lokal Sv-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktn		3	0.1%
Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut ? vil hindre at vi tar i		2	0.0%
Lokal Sv-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000 nye f		4	0.1%
Lokal Venstre-politiker ? vil hindre at vi tar imot 500		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles ? vil hindre at vi tar i		1	0.0%
Lokal Venstre-politiker hylles ? vil ta imot 5000 nye f		3	0.1%
Lokal Venstre-politiker skjelles ut ? vil ta imot 5000		2	0.0%
Mareritthistoriene om høstens treningstrend		11	0.2%
Mdg-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere in		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekt		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homof		6	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefe		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privati		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere in		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekt		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NS		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
homofiendtlig lovforslag			
Mdg-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskeli		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være van		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kul		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kul		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tre		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		5	0.1%
Mdg-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelig		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vans		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fl		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfors		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjell		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Mdg-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke ka		9	0.2%
Mdg-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjø		6	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for hom		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere fo		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til s		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fle		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere ol		3	0.1%
Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiser		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Mdg-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like ret		4	0.1%
Mdg-politiker skjelles ut ?		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
mener det er bedre med fler			
Mdg-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færr		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Mdg-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil steng		1	0.0%
Mdg-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		3	0.1%
Mdg-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vi		6	0.1%
Mdg-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger sk		10	0.2%
Mdg-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		6	0.1%
Mdg-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Nor		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		4	0.1%
Mdg-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sk		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priva		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil møter begeistring for		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
forslag om færre priva			
Mdg-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle s		3	0.1%
Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priv		4	0.1%
Mdg-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priv		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		2	0.0%
Mdg-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Mdg-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sk		3	0.1%
Mdg-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		3	0.1%
Mdg-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		2	0.0%
Mdg-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		1	0.0%
Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler sk		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Mdg-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massi		6	0.1%
Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		5	0.1%
Mdg-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fly		6	0.1%
Mdg-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flykting		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp vil gi mer til de rike		3	0.1%
Mdg-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		4	0.1%
Mdg-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		1	0.0%
Mdg-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		3	0.1%
Mdg-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske by		2	0.0%
Mdg-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		3	0.1%
Norges store sangstjerne		13	0.3%

\# **r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
får refs i fersk anmeldelse			
Norges store sangstjerne får ros i fersk anmeldelse		13	0.3%
Norges store sangstjerne i fersk anmeldelse		12	0.3%
Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Ap vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Ap vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kr		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		5	0.1%
Politiker fra Frp vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Frp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk k		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil senke		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
formueskatten, blir roset			
Politiker fra Høyre vil senke formueskatten, mottar ste		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Høyre vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Krf vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Krf vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Mdg vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk k		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Politiker fra Rødt vil senke formueskatten, mottar ster		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Rødt vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Sp vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten		4	0.1%
Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Sp vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kr		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Sv vil senke formueskatten, mottar sterk		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Sv vil øke formueskatten, mottar sterk kr		3	0.1%
Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten		1	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, blir ros		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Politiker fra Venstre vil senke formueskatten, mottar s		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, blir roset		2	0.0%
Politiker fra Venstre vil øke formueskatten, mottar ste		1	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjelle		5	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil redusere lønnsfor		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Høyre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjel		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjelle		5	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		1	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil redusere lønnsfors		3	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Sp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Refser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		2	0.0%
Refser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil redusere lønnsf		4	0.1%
Refser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskj		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskje		4	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Ap-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Frp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		3	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Krf-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskj		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Mdg-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil redusere lønnsforsk		4	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Rødt-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjelle		4	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Sp-topp som		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
vil redusere lønnsforskje			
Roser forslag fra Sp-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		4	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil redusere lønnsforskje		2	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller		5	0.1%
Roser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil redusere lønnsfo		1	0.0%
Roser forslag fra Venstre-topp som vil øke lønnsforskje		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere i		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homo		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privat		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere i		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntek		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere N		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforsla		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		7	0.1%
Rødt-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskel		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være va		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere ku		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tr		5	0.1%
Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være van		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke f		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsfor		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjel		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker med		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
homovennlig lovforslag			
Rødt-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke k		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gj		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for ho		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker mener det ikke burde være vanskeligere f		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til		8	0.2%
Rødt-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fl		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatise		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		3	0.1%
Rødt-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like re		1	0.0%
Rødt-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med fle		2	0.0%
Rødt-politiker skjelles ut ?		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
mener det er bedre med fær			
Rødt-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		4	0.1%
Rødt-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil sten		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne		3	0.1%
Rødt-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? v		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger s		6	0.1%
Rødt-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal f		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i No		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i No		4	0.1%
Rødt-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle s		5	0.1%
Rødt-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere priv		5	0.1%
Rødt-profil møter begeistring for		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
forslag om færre priv			
Rødt-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle		4	0.1%
Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere pri		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre pri		2	0.0%
Rødt-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nor		1	0.0%
Rødt-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		3	0.1%
Rødt-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private s		2	0.0%
Rødt-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private		4	0.1%
Rødt-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private sk		3	0.1%
Rødt-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private		2	0.0%
Rødt-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler ska		2	0.0%
Rødt-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler s		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp kjemper for		1	0.0%

⌗ r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv			
Rødt-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mass		4	0.1%
Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter mass		6	0.1%
Rødt-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Rødt-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske fl		5	0.1%
Rødt-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktin		6	0.1%
Rødt-topp vil gi mer til de rike		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Rødt-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske b		1	0.0%
Rødt-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		5	0.1%
Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere inn		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sp-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofi		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere ol		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefel		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NS		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile p		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere inn		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekts		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatise		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være vans		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kult		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med færre kult		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker lovprises etter		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren			
Sp-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforslag		3	0.1%
Sp-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelige		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vansk		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere mu		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fle		5	0.1%
Sp-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsforsk		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjelle		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke kan		5	0.1%
Sp-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjør		10	0.2%
Sp-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for homo		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og r		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker mener det er		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
bedre med færre kulturer og r			
Sp-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett til so		5	0.1%
Sp-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett t		9	0.2%
Sp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fler		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere olj		4	0.1%
Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiseri		5	0.1%
Sp-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere NSB		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rett		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med flere		2	0.0%
Sp-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Sp-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		1	0.0%
Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil stenge		6	0.1%
Sp-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne f		1	0.0%
Sp-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vil		4	0.1%
Sp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger ska		5	0.1%

⚓ **r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sp-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		5	0.1%
Sp-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i Norg		5	0.1%
Sp-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		1	0.0%
Sp-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		3	0.1%
Sp-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sko		4	0.1%
Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privat		3	0.1%
Sp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privat		2	0.0%
Sp-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle sk		3	0.1%
Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priva		3	0.1%
Sp-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priva		2	0.0%
Sp-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Sp-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		3	0.1%
Sp-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		1	0.0%
Sp-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		1	0.0%
Sp-representant roses ? vil sikre		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
at ingen private skol			
Sp-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		2	0.0%
Sp-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler ska		1	0.0%
Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		4	0.1%
Sp-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		3	0.1%
Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Sp-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		2	0.0%
Sp-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		2	0.0%
Sp-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		4	0.1%
Sp-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyk		6	0.1%
Sp-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinge		3	0.1%
Sp-topp vil gi mer til de rike		2	0.0%
Sp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Sp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Sp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		2	0.0%
Sp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		3	0.1%
Sp-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		2	0.0%
Sp-topp: Vil avskaffe		5	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
eiendomsskatten i alle norske bye			
Sp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
Stjernespiller kampklar til morgendagens kamp		10	0.2%
Stjernespiller snakker om morgendagens kamp		17	0.4%
Stjernespiller ute med skade til morgendagens kamp		17	0.4%
Stormer mot Ap-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		3	0.1%
Stormer mot Frp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Frp-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		1	0.0%
Stormer mot Høyre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i		5	0.1%
Stormer mot Høyre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i all		5	0.1%
Stormer mot Krf-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		4	0.1%
Stormer mot Krf-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Mdg-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i al		3	0.1%
Stormer mot Mdg-topp:		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle			
Stormer mot Rødt-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i a		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Rødt-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle		3	0.1%
Stormer mot Sp-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Sv-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i all		2	0.0%
Stormer mot Sv-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle n		4	0.1%
Stormer mot Venstre-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten		4	0.1%
Stormer mot Venstre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i a		1	0.0%
Suksesshistoriene om høstens treningstrend		15	0.3%
Sv-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil redusere inn		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntekts		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «Homofi		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne flere ol		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sv-politiker får massiv støtte - vil åpne flere oljefel		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte privatis		5	0.1%
Sv-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatisere NS		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homofile p		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil redusere inn		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntekts		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte privatise		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatisere NSB		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vanskelig		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være vans		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere kult		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi tren		8	0.2%
Sv-politiker lovprises etter		3	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
homovennlig lovforslag			
Sv-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vanskelige		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være vansk		4	0.1%
Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere mu		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikke fle		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntektsforsk		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjelle		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		5	0.1%
Sv-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikke kan		6	0.1%
Sv-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan gjør		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for homo		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer og r		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer og r		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker mener flyktninger bør		5	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ha samme rett til so			
Sv-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett t		5	0.1%
Sv-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil ikke åpne fler		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne flere olj		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privatiseri		6	0.1%
Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få like		1	0.0%
Sv-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rett		3	0.1%
Sv-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med færre		2	0.0%
Sv-politiker vil ikke åpne flere oljefelt i nord		3	0.1%
Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil stenge		5	0.1%
Sv-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil åpne f		4	0.1%
Sv-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding ? vil		3	0.1%
Sv-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinger ska		6	0.1%
Sv-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger skal få		4	0.1%
Sv-profil lanserer forslag om færre		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
privatskoler i Norg			
Sv-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		3	0.1%
Sv-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillates		1	0.0%
Sv-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle sko		4	0.1%
Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privat		1	0.0%
Sv-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre privat		4	0.1%
Sv-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersielle sk		3	0.1%
Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere priva		5	0.1%
Sv-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre priva		4	0.1%
Sv-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Sv-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i nord		1	0.0%
Sv-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen private sko		1	0.0%
Sv-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen private s		3	0.1%
Sv-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private skol		1	0.0%
Sv-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen private sk		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sv-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler skal		8	0.2%
Sv-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler ska		2	0.0%
Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten		2	0.0%
Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		1	0.0%
Sv-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		6	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får massiv s		4	0.1%
Sv-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - møter massiv		4	0.1%
Sv-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Sv-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		1	0.0%
Sv-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyk		9	0.2%
Sv-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinge		5	0.1%
Sv-topp vil gi mer til de rike		3	0.1%
Sv-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Sv-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		4	0.1%
Sv-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Sv-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sv-topp: Vil avskaffe eiendomsskatten i alle norske bye		3	0.1%
Sv-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.		2	0.0%
TV-profiler gifter seg		18	0.4%
TV-profiler skiller seg		20	0.4%
TV-profiler snakker om livet sammen		15	0.3%
Tror Norge spiller uavgjort i morgendagens fotballkamp		19	0.4%
Tror Norge taper i morgendagens fotballkamp		17	0.4%
Tror Norge vinner i morgendagens fotballkamp		15	0.3%
Venstre-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil reduser		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inn		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får kritikk etter facebook-status: «H		6	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får massiv støtte - vil ikke åpne fle		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil nekte pri		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får pepper for forslag: vil privatise		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får ros etter facebook-status: «Homof		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil reduser		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inn		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil nekte priv		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker får skryt for forslag: vil privatiser		4	0.1%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homofiendtlig lovfor		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes etter homovennlig lovforsl		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes: mener det burde være vans		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker hudflettes: mener det ikke burde være		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker hylles ? mener det er bedre med flere		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker lovprises etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi		4	0.1%
Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homofiendtlig lovfors		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker lovprises etter homovennlig lovforsla		4	0.1%
Venstre-politiker lovprises: mener det burde være vansk		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker lovprises: mener det ikke burde være		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker med Facebook-		4	0.1%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
innlegg: «vi trenger fle			
Venstre-politiker med Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger ikk		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker med forslag som vil redusere inntekts		5	0.1%
Venstre-politiker med forslag som vil øke inntektsforsk		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker med homofiendtlig lovforslag		5	0.1%
Venstre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter ikk		4	0.1%
Venstre-politiker mener alle offentlige aktiviteter kan		5	0.1%
Venstre-politiker mener det burde være vanskeligere for		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener det er bedre med flere kulturer		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener det er bedre med færre kulturer		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker mener flyktninger bør ha samme rett t		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme r		10	0.2%
Venstre-politiker møter massiv motstand - vil åpne fler		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil nekte privat		1	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Venstre-politiker presenterer forslag: vil privatisere		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ IKKE få		3	0.1%
Venstre-politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like		1	0.0%
Venstre-politiker skjelles ut ? mener det er bedre med		2	0.0%
Venstre-politiker vil åpne flere oljefelt i nord		2	0.0%
Venstre-profil blir hedret etter twittermelding ? vil å		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil blir latterligjort etter twittermelding		4	0.1%
Venstre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil IKKE at flyktinge		8	0.2%
Venstre-profil i åpent brev: «jeg vil at flyktinger ska		6	0.1%
Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om flere privatskoler i		3	0.1%
Venstre-profil lanserer forslag om færre privatskoler i		5	0.1%
Venstre-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil mener at kommersielle skoler bør tillate		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersiell		3	0.1%
Venstre-profil møter		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
begeistring for forslag om flere p			
Venstre-profil møter begeistring for forslag om færre p		6	0.1%
Venstre-profil møter proteststorm - mener at kommersiel		7	0.1%
Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om flere		1	0.0%
Venstre-profil møter proteststorm for forslag om færre		2	0.0%
Venstre-profil på twitter: vil stenge for oljeboring i		2	0.0%
Venstre-profil på twitter: vil åpne for oljeboring i no		1	0.0%
Venstre-representant refses ? vil sikre at ingen privat		1	0.0%
Venstre-representant refses ? vil åpne for at noen priv		3	0.1%
Venstre-representant roses ? vil sikre at ingen private		5	0.1%
Venstre-representant roses ? vil åpne for at noen priva		1	0.0%
Venstre-representant vil sikre at ingen private skoler		1	0.0%
Venstre-representant vil åpne for at noen private skole		1	0.0%
Venstre-topp kjemper for oljeboring i Lofoten - får mas		2	0.0%

r7pad14_headlinesRan_6: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 6. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp kjemper mot oljeboring i Lofoten - får mas		2	0.0%
Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået burde reduseres		4	0.1%
Venstre-topp mener at skattenivået ikke burde reduseres		5	0.1%
Venstre-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske		7	0.1%
Venstre-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyk		9	0.2%
Venstre-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset		1	0.0%
Venstre-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike		4	0.1%
Venstre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir refset		2	0.0%
Venstre-topp vil ta mer fra de rike - blir roset		3	0.1%
Venstre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske b		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_selections: The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly selects, between 1 and 3, how many news articles the respondent may choose.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 selection	1516	32.5%
2	2 selections	1566	33.6%
3	3 selections	1577	33.8%

r7selexp2_selections: The number of articles the respondent can select. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sources01: Dichotomy whether the articles should be listed with sources, or not. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly decides whether the articles should be listed with source or not.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2339	50.2%
1	Yes	2320	49.8%
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_1: Randomly chosen source nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source nr. 1]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2369	50.5%
Aftenposten		326	7.0%
Dagbladet		307	6.5%
Dagens Næringsliv		341	7.3%
Klassekampen		302	6.4%
NRK		327	7.0%
TV2		358	7.6%
VG		359	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_2: Randomly chosen source nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source nr. 2]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2369	50.5%
Aftenposten		317	6.8%
Dagbladet		324	6.9%
Dagens Næringsliv		352	7.5%
Klassekampen		323	6.9%
NRK		326	7.0%
TV2		350	7.5%
VG		328	7.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_3: Randomly chosen source nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source nr. 3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2369	50.5%
Aftenposten		313	6.7%
Dagbladet		307	6.5%
Dagens Næringsliv		339	7.2%
Klassekampen		344	7.3%
NRK		340	7.3%
TV2		350	7.5%
VG		327	7.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_sourcesRan_4: Randomly chosen source nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[If sources are to be listed: source nr. 4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		2369	50.5%
Aftenposten		302	6.4%
Dagbladet		351	7.5%
Dagens Næringsliv		346	7.4%
Klassekampen		326	7.0%
NRK		316	6.7%
TV2		363	7.7%
VG		316	6.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_valanceandparty: Randomly decides whether the article headlines should appear with party and valence, or any combination of the two. Background variable conjunction experiment R7selexp2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4659 /-] [Invalid=30 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomly decides whether the respondent will be exposed to valance and party, or any combination of the two.]
Notes	Dikotom hvorvidt respondenten får overskrifter med valør og parti, og øvrige kombinasjoner.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	With party and valence	1184	25.4%
2	With party, without valence	1195	25.6%
3	Without party, with valence	1118	24.0%
4	Without party and valence	1162	24.9%
Sysmiss		30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_1: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 1. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_2: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 2. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_3: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 3. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7selexp2_headlinesRan_4: Randomly chosen article headline nr. 4. Background variable conjunction experiment R7PAD14.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores a randomly selected news article from a large population of articles. Contact Sveinung Arnesen or Mikael Johannesson for the complete list.]

r7pad14_4rows_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['1']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		831		17.7%
1	Article chosen by respondent	743		15.8%
97	No answer	2	0.0%	
98	Not asked	3113		66.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['2']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		803		17.1%
1	Article chosen by respondent	771		16.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%	
98	Not asked	3113		66.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['3']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		813		17.3%
1	Article chosen by respondent	761		16.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%	
98	Not asked	3113		66.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_4rows_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad14_4rows_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of 4 random news articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['4']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		768	16.4%
1	Article chosen by respondent	806	17.2%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	3113	66.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['1']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2043	43.6%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1036	22.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	1610	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by?

r7pad14_6rows_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

	[Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['2']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2112	45.0%
1	Article chosen by respondent	967	20.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['3']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2078	44.3%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1001	21.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides

r7pad14_6rows_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

	whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.]
	[Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['4']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2079	44.3%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1000	21.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_5: Article 5. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by?
	[Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.]
	[Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['5']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2147	45.8%
1	Article chosen by respondent	932	19.9%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad14_6rows_6: Article 6. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3 articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by?
	[Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if R7PAD14_version>1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed to a set of 6 random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. R7PAD14_version randomly decides whether the respondent should be exposed of 4 articles or 6 articles. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.]

r7pad14_6rows_6: Article 6. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

	[Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]		
Notes	^f('R7PAD14_body')['6']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2066	44.1%
1	Article chosen by respondent	1013	21.6%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	1606	34.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_1: Article 1. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')['1']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2415	51.5%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2236	47.7%
97	No answer	38	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')['2']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2364	50.4%

r7selexp2_2: Article 2. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Article chosen by respondent	2287	48.8%
97	No answer	38	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_3: Article 3. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')['3']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2395	51.1%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2256	48.1%
97	No answer	38	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp2_4: Article 4. List of randomly selected articles by which the respondent has to pick which ones to read. Conjunction experiment.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We wish to study what media items people read. Below you will find some imaginary items similar to those you may find in Norwegian online newspapers. Please read all the headlines carefully and imagine that this is real.
Literal question	If you had to read [1 article /2 articles /3articles], which [article /articles] would you have preferred to spend your time by? [Here follows a list of randomly chosen articles. See background variables]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are exposed of a set of random news and entertainment articles. The articles have a set of randomly drawn characteristics. The order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked, is randomized. See R7SELEXP_order.] [Contact Sveinung Arnesen (Sveinung.Arnesen@uib.no) or Mikael Johannesson (Mikael.Johannesson@uib.no) for questions and complete documentation]
Notes	^f('R7SELEXP2_body')['4']^ (Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget s

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2421	51.6%
1	Article chosen by respondent	2230	47.6%
97	No answer	38	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7Error_selexp: 36 respondents experienced an error in experiment r7pad14 and r7selexp2. The error stopped them from progressing in the questionnaire.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Did not experience an error	4653		99.2%
1	Did experience an error	36	0.8%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7selexp_order: The order of which r7pad14 and r7selexp is asked. The order is randomized.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores the order of which R7PAD14 and R7SELEXP2 is asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		42	0.9%	
R7PAD14,R7SEL		2330		49.7%
R7SELEXP2,R7P		2317		49.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_1: Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		3597		76.7%
22 år		162	3.5%	
30 år		153	3.3%	
40 år		150	3.2%	
50 år		135	2.9%	
60 år		169	3.6%	
70 år		169	3.6%	
80 år		154	3.3%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_2: Characteristic for profile 1: gender. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		3597		76.7%
Kvinne		539	11.5%	
Mann		553	11.8%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_3: Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

r7pad6_profile1_3: Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Midt-Norge		168	3.6%
Nord-Norge		189	4.0%
Oslo		168	3.6%
Sørlandet		186	4.0%
Vestlandet		186	4.0%
Østlandet		195	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_4: Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bor alene		358	7.6%
Gift		336	7.2%
Samboer		398	8.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_5: Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Doktorgrad		279	6.0%
Grunnskole		258	5.5%
Universitet		275	5.9%
Videregående		280	6.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_6: Characteristic for profile 1: religion. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Ingen		349	7.4%
Islam		360	7.7%
Kristendom		383	8.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile1_7: Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad6_profile1_7: Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad6.

Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bonde		175	3.7%
IT-konsulent		172	3.7%
Ingen		186	4.0%
Oljearbeider		183	3.9%
Omsorgsarbeider		208	4.4%
Selvstendig næringsdrivende		168	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_1: Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
22 år		147	3.1%
30 år		159	3.4%
40 år		146	3.1%
50 år		165	3.5%
60 år		166	3.5%
70 år		155	3.3%
80 år		154	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_2: Characteristic for profile 2: gender. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Kvinne		549	11.7%
Mann		543	11.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_3: Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Midt-Norge		190	4.1%
Nord-Norge		183	3.9%
Oslo		187	4.0%

r7pad6_profile2_3: Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sørlandet		180	3.8%
Vestlandet		171	3.6%
Østlandet		181	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_4: Characteristic for profile 2: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bor alene		380	8.1%
Gift		375	8.0%
Samboer		337	7.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_5: Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Doktorgrad		270	5.8%
Grunnskole		276	5.9%
Universitet		263	5.6%
Videregående		283	6.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_6: Characteristic for profile 2: religion. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Ingen		345	7.4%
Islam		380	8.1%
Kristendom		367	7.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6_profile2_7: Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad6.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bonde		184	3.9%

r7pad6_profile2_7: Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
IT-konsulent		191	4.1%
Ingen		165	3.5%
Oljearbeider		175	3.7%
Omsorgsarbeider		194	4.1%
Selvstendig næringsdrivende		183	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_1: Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
22 år		149	3.2%
30 år		159	3.4%
40 år		147	3.1%
50 år		188	4.0%
60 år		165	3.5%
70 år		130	2.8%
80 år		154	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_2: Characteristic for profile 1: gender. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Kvinne		528	11.3%
Mann		564	12.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_3: Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Midt-Norge		161	3.4%
Nord-Norge		196	4.2%
Oslo		195	4.2%
Sørlandet		174	3.7%
Vestlandet		178	3.8%
Østlandet		188	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_4: Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bor alene		368	7.8%
Gift		380	8.1%

r7pad7_profile1_4: Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Samboer		344	7.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_5: Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Doktorgrad		262	5.6%
Grunnskole		256	5.5%
Universitet		281	6.0%
Videregående		293	6.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_6: Characteristic for profile 1: religion. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Ingen		368	7.8%
Islam		376	8.0%
Kristendom		348	7.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile1_7: Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bonde		189	4.0%
IT-konsulent		171	3.6%
Ingen		166	3.5%
Oljearbeider		176	3.8%
Omsorgsarbeider		183	3.9%
Selvstendig næringsdrivende		207	4.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_1: Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

r7pad7_profile2_1: Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
22 år		164	3.5%
30 år		153	3.3%
40 år		166	3.5%
50 år		141	3.0%
60 år		149	3.2%
70 år		157	3.3%
80 år		162	3.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_2: Characteristic for profile 2: gender. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Kvinne		553	11.8%
Mann		539	11.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_3: Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Midt-Norge		182	3.9%
Nord-Norge		207	4.4%
Oslo		174	3.7%
Sørlandet		177	3.8%
Vestlandet		163	3.5%
Østlandet		189	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_4: Characteristic for profile 2: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bor alene		361	7.7%
Gift		364	7.8%
Samboer		367	7.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_5: Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Doktorgrad		227	4.8%
Grunnskole		274	5.8%
Universitet		301	6.4%
Videregående		290	6.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_6: Characteristic for profile 2: religion. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Ingen		358	7.6%
Islam		357	7.6%
Kristendom		377	8.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7_profile2_7: Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad7.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bonde		163	3.5%
IT-konsulent		188	4.0%
Ingen		158	3.4%
Oljearbeider		207	4.4%
Omsorgsarbeider		179	3.8%
Selvstendig næringsdrivende		197	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_1: Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
22 år		141	3.0%
30 år		176	3.8%
40 år		133	2.8%

r7pad8_profile1_1: Characteristic for profile 1: age. Background variable for r7pad8.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
50 år		146		3.1%
60 år		162		3.5%
70 år		177		3.8%
80 år		157		3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_2: Characteristic for profile 1: gender. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		3597		76.7%
Kvinne		564		12.0%
Mann		528		11.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_3: Characteristic for profile 1: from. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		3597		76.7%
Midt-Norge		199		4.2%
Nord-Norge		189		4.0%
Oslo		165		3.5%
Sørlandet		193		4.1%
Vestlandet		164		3.5%
Østlandet		182		3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_4: Characteristic for profile 1: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		3597		76.7%
Bor alene		383		8.2%
Gift		353		7.5%
Samboer		356		7.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_5: Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

r7pad8_profile1_5: Characteristic for profile 1: education. Background variable for r7pad8.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Doktorgrad		268	5.7%
Grunnskole		303	6.5%
Universitet		257	5.5%
Videregående		264	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_6: Characteristic for profile 1: religion. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Ingen		346	7.4%
Islam		377	8.0%
Kristendom		369	7.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile1_7: Characteristic for profile 1: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 1 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bonde		180	3.8%
IT-konsulent		210	4.5%
Ingen		180	3.8%
Oljearbeider		176	3.8%
Omsorgsarbeider		164	3.5%
Selvstendig næringsdrivende		182	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_1: Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected age for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
22 år		141	3.0%
30 år		156	3.3%
40 år		146	3.1%
50 år		158	3.4%
60 år		147	3.1%
70 år		164	3.5%
80 år		180	3.8%

r7pad8_profile2_1: Characteristic for profile 2: age. Background variable for r7pad8.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_2: Characteristic for profile 2: gender. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected sex for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Kvinne		550	11.7%
Mann		542	11.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_3: Characteristic for profile 2: from. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected geographical region for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Midt-Norge		179	3.8%
Nord-Norge		155	3.3%
Oslo		163	3.5%
Sørlandet		192	4.1%
Vestlandet		203	4.3%
Østlandet		200	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_4: Characteristic for profile 2: relationship status. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected relationship status for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bor alene		376	8.0%
Gift		355	7.6%
Samboer		361	7.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_5: Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected education level for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Doktorgrad		270	5.8%
Grunnskole		259	5.5%
Universitet		283	6.0%
Videregående		280	6.0%

r7pad8_profile2_5: Characteristic for profile 2: education. Background variable for r7pad8.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_6: Characteristic for profile 2: religion. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected religious affiliation for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Ingen		349	7.4%
Islam		393	8.4%
Kristendom		350	7.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8_profile2_7: Characteristic for profile 2: work experiencee. Background variable for r7pad8.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Background variable. Stores a randomly selected profession for profile 2 in conjunction experiment R7PAD8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3597	76.7%
Bonde		190	4.1%
IT-konsulent		198	4.2%
Ingen		173	3.7%
Oljearbeider		175	3.7%
Omsorgsarbeider		178	3.8%
Selvstendig næringsdrivende		178	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran34: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=3597 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	559	51.2%
2	2	533	48.8%
Sysmiss		3597	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad6a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined persons of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below. Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:
Literal question	"The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society."

#r7pad6a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"

	[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between profiles of two persons, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	1 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	290	6.2%
2	Person 2	259	5.5%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4130	88.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#r7pad6b: Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined politicians of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below. Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:
Literal question	"The government should contribute towards reducing income differences in society." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=2. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between two profiles of political representatives, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	1 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician 1	264	5.6%
2	Politician 2	256	5.5%
97	No answer	13	0.3%
98	Not asked	4156	88.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#r7pad7a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined persons of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below. Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:
Literal question	"Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between profiles of two persons, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	2 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

r7pad7a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	285	6.1%
2	Person 2	262	5.6%
97	No answer	12	0.3%
98	Not asked	4130	88.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad7b: Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined politicians of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.
	Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:
Literal question	"Refugees should have the same rights to social assistance as Norwegians have, even if they are not Norwegian citizens."
	[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=2. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between two profiles of political representatives, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	2 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician 1	247	5.3%
2	Politician 2	268	5.7%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	4156	88.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined persons of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below.
	Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:
Literal question	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad."
	[Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=1. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between profiles of two persons, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	3 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Person 1	259	5.5%
2	Person 2	283	6.0%
97	No answer	17	0.4%

r7pad8a: Attitudes related to background: Persons, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4130	88.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad8b: Attitudes related to background: Politicians, "Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad"

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	People have differing political opinions, and sometimes these opinions are related to their background. Below we have made up two imagined politicians of different background. We wish to know which of these you think will be mostly in agreement with the statement below. Please choose the person you believe would be most in agreement with the following statement:
Literal question	"Most of the reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases that Norway has committed to, should be carried out abroad." [Here follows a list of randomly chosen person characteristics. See background variables.]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran34=2. Conjunction experiment. Respondents are asked to choose between two profiles of political representatives, that randomly differs in characteristics, and determine which of the profiles that are most likely to agree with a given statement.]
Notes	3 av 3 Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Politician 1	259	5.5%
2	Politician 2	253	5.4%
97	No answer	21	0.4%
98	Not asked	4156	88.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_1: Volunteering: Art and culture, band and choir

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Art and culture, band and choir [Music, theatre and dance. Art, applied arts and architecture. History, literature and language. Museums, collections and libraries. Periodicals and media. Other cultural and artistic activities]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Kunst og kultur, korps og kor[Musikk, teater og dans. Kunst, brukskunst og arkitektur. Historie, litteratur og språk. Mu

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		971	20.7%
1	Art and culture, band and choir	199	4.2%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Athletics and sport [All types of athletics, sports and exercise, rifle club, company sports and disabled sports]

r7fri1_2: Volunteering: Athletics and sport

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Idrett og sport[Alle typer idrett, sport og trim, skytterlag, bedriftsidrett og idrett for funksjonshemmede] (Har du i l

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0		887	18.9%
1	Athletics and sport	283	6.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_3: Volunteering: Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity [Outdoor recreation and scouts. Car and boat clubs. Animal owner clubs. Pensioners' clubs. Student societies. Social clubs - Rotary, Lions etc. Other hobbies and recreational activities]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Hobby, fritid, utendørsaktivitet[Friluftsliv og speideren. Motor og båtforening. Dyreeierklubber. Pensjonistlag. Studen

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0		951	20.3%
1	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	219	4.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_4: Volunteering: Education, training and research

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Education, training and research [Primary and lower secondary schools including the parent council in state schools, but voluntary work only in private schools. Upper secondary schools. Folk high schools. Universities and university colleges. Vocational/technical education. Adult education and further education. Research and development]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Utdanning, opplæring og forskning[Barne- og ungdomsskoler inkludert FAU i offentlige skoler, men dugnader bare i private

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0		1051	22.4%
1	Education, training and research	119	2.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:

r7fri1_5: Volunteering: Health, nursing and rescue work

Literal question	Health, nursing and rescue work [Hospital. Rehabilitation. Nursing home. Sheltered housing and home care services. Psychiatric institutions. Out-patient health services. Alternative medicine. Rescue work and ambulance services]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Helse, pleie og redningsarbeid[Sykehus. Rehabilitering. Sykehjem. Omsorgsbolig og hjemmetjenestene. Psykiatriske institus

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1105	23.6%
1	Health, nursing and rescue work	65	1.4%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_6: Volunteering: Social services and substance abuse care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Social services and substance abuse care [Kindergartens. The Norwegian Red Cross. Blue Cross Norway. Norwegian People's Aid. Family counselling. Crisis centres and telephones. Services for the disabled. Services for the elderly. Self-help and volunteer centres]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Sosiale tjenester og rusmiddelomsorg[Barnehager. Røde kors. Blå kors. Norsk folkehjelp. Familierådgiving. Krisesentre

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1091	23.3%
1	Social services and substance abuse care	79	1.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_7: Volunteering: Nature, environmental and animal protection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Nature, environmental and animal protection [Nature and environmental protection. Area preservation and area management. Animal protection. Veterinary services]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Natur-, miljø- og dyrevern[Natur- og miljøvern. Områdevern og områdeforvaltning. Dyrevern. Veterinærtjenester] (Har

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1139	24.3%
1	Nature, environmental and animal protection	31	0.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7fri1_8: Volunteering: Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood

Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood [Meeting house]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Velforeninger, grendelag og nærmiljø[Forsamlingshus] (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønn

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1027	21.9%
1	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	143	3.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_9: Volunteering: Housing co-operatives and housing associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Housing co-operatives and housing associations [Homeowner associations]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Borettslag og boligbyggelag[Huseierforening] (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeids

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1034	22.1%
1	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	136	2.9%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_10: Volunteering: Rights, support and advocacy work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Rights, support and advocacy work [Disabled and ill. Women's rights. Language societies. Ethnic minorities. Weak/exposed groups, prisoners, the poor. Campaigns and lobbying]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Rettighets-, støtte- og påvirkningsarbeid[Funksjonshemmede og syke. Kvinnesak. Mållag. Etniske minoriteter. Svake/utsa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1146	24.4%
1	Rights, support and advocacy work	24	0.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_11: Volunteering: Political parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7fri1_11: Volunteering: Political parties

Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:	
Literal question	Political parties [Youth political parties]	
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]	
Notes	Politiske partier[Ungdomspartier] (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1152	24.6%
1	Political parties	18	0.4%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_12: Volunteering: International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:	
Literal question	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work [International friendship and exchange programmes. International relief and development assistance. Human rights and peace work]	
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]	
Notes	Internasjonal utveksling, nødhjelp, bistand og menneskerettighetsarbeid[Internasjonale vennskaps- og utvekslingsprogramm	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1125	24.0%
1	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	45	1.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_13: Volunteering: Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:	
Literal question	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations [Employee organisations. Employer organisations. Trade and industry associations. Producers co-operatives. Pupil and student organisations.]	
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]	
Notes	Yrkes-, bransje- og fagforeninger[Arbeidstakerorganisasjoner. Arbeidsgiverorganisasjoner. Bransje- og næringssammenslutn	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1099	23.4%
1	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	71	1.5%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	

r7fri1_14: Volunteering: Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway [Organisations linked to the Church of Norway. Mission. Protestant free church. Other religions. Belief organisations, also non-religious such as the Norwegian Humanist Association]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Religion og livssyn inkludert den norske kirke[Organisasjoner tilknyttet den norske kirke. Misjon. Protestantisk frikirke

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1089	23.2%
1	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	81	1.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_15: Volunteering: Immigrant organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Immigrant organisations [Organisations linked to country background, culture, identity outside Norway]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Innvandrerorganisasjoner[Organisasjoner knyttet til landbakgrunn, kultur, identitet utenfor Norge] (Har du i løpet av de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1154	24.6%
1	Immigrant organisations	16	0.3%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_16: Volunteering: Other, specify

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Annet, spesifiser (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige orga

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1139	24.3%
1	Other, specify	31	0.7%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_16_other: Volunteering: Other, specify [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	Other, specify
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Annet, spesifiser (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige orga

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1_17: No, not volunteering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations in any of the following areas:
Literal question	No
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Nei (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 måneder utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner på

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		795	17.0%
1	No	375	8.0%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri2: How much time spent volunteering during a week, last year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7fri2: How much time spent volunteering during a week, last year

Literal question	If you think about the last year as a whole, roughly how much time did you spend on voluntary work during an ordinary week?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_17!=1.]
Notes	Om du tenker på det siste året under ett, omtrent hvor mye tid brukte du på frivillig arbeid i en vanlig uke?

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Less than half an hour	274	5.8%
2	Between half an hour and one hour	153	3.3%
3	1 to 2 hours	157	3.3%
4	2 to 5 hours	110	2.3%
5	More than 5 hours	84	1.8%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3880	82.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1b_1: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You have answered that you have carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations within health, nursing and rescue work. Within which of these areas have you carried out voluntary work?
Literal question	Health
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_5=1.]
Notes	Helse (Du har svart at du har utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner innenfor helse, pl

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0		33	0.7%
1	Health	31	0.7%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4624	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1b_2: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Nursing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You have answered that you have carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations within health, nursing and rescue work. Within which of these areas have you carried out voluntary work?
Literal question	Nursing
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_5=1.]
Notes	Pleie (Du har svart at du har utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner innenfor helse, pl

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0		36	0.8%
1	Nursing	28	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4624	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri1b_3: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Emergency/Rescue work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

r7fri1b_3: Volunteered for what within health/nursing/rescue: Emergency/Rescue work

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You have answered that you have carried out voluntary, unpaid work for voluntary organisations within health, nursing and rescue work. Within which of these areas have you carried out voluntary work?
Literal question	Emergency/Rescue work
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI1_5=1.]
Notes	Beredskap/Redningsarbeid (Du har svart at du har utført frivillig, ulønnet arbeidsinnsats for frivillige organisasjoner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		51	1.1%
1	Emergency/Rescue work	13	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4624	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4_1: Knowledge of emergency work in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	When someone is missing, has been injured out in nature or when large accidents occur, an extensive apparatus of volunteers is activated to assist the police in searching for missing persons. These volunteers are members of different emergency response organisations and have undergone training in searching, tracking, first aid, navigation etc.
Literal question	How good is your knowledge of emergency work in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Hvor godt vil du si at du kjenner til beredskapsarbeidet i Norge? (Når noen er savnet, har blitt skadet ute i naturen el

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	41	0.9%
2	Good	432	9.2%
3	Slightly	532	11.3%
4	Very little	129	2.8%
5	Not at all	27	0.6%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4_2: Knowledge of voluntary emergency organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	When someone is missing, has been injured out in nature or when large accidents occur, an extensive apparatus of volunteers is activated to assist the police in searching for missing persons. These volunteers are members of different emergency response organisations and have undergone training in searching, tracking, first aid, navigation etc.
Literal question	How good is your knowledge of the voluntary emergency organisations?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Hvor godt vil du si at du kjenner til de frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene? (Når noen er savnet, har blitt skadet ute

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	30	0.6%
2	Good	442	9.4%
3	Slightly	544	11.6%
4	Very little	113	2.4%

r7fri4_2: Knowledge of voluntary emergency organisations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all	28	0.6%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_1: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: NAK air services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	NAK air services
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	NAKs flytjeneste (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1113	23.7%
1	NAK air services	55	1.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_2: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian rescue dogs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	Norwegian rescue dogs
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Norske redningshunder (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		326	7.0%
1	Norwegian rescue dogs	842	18.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_3: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		292	6.2%
1	Norsk Folkehjelp Sanitet (Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services)	876	18.7%
97	No answer	16	0.3%

r7fri4c_3: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian People's Aid First Aid and Rescue services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_4: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Speleological Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	Norwegian Speleological Society
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Norsk Grotteforbund (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1120	23.9%
1	Norwegian Speleological Society	48	1.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_5: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Norwegian Radio Relay League

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	Norwegian Radio Relay League (amateur radio organisation)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Norsk Radio Relæ Liga (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1076	22.9%
1	Norwegian Radio Relay League (amateur radio organisation)	92	2.0%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_6: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: Rovers response group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (The Norwegian Guide and Scout Association - Rovers response group)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1089	23.2%
1	Rovernes Beredskapsgruppe (The Norwegian Guide and Scout Association - Rovers response group)	79	1.7%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_7: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Red Cross

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	The Norwegian Red Cross
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Røde Kors Hjelpekorps (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		22	0.5%
1	The Norwegian Red Cross	1146	24.4%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4c_8: Aware of voluntary emergency organisations: The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of these voluntary emergency organisations are you aware of?
Literal question	The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Redningsselskapet (Hvilke av disse frivillige beredskapsorganisasjonene har du hørt om?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		74	1.6%
1	The Norwegian Society for Sea Rescue	1094	23.3%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4d: Member of an organisation which takes part in emergency response work in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Are you a member of an organisation which takes part in the emergency response work in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	154	3.3%
2	No	1004	21.4%
97	No answer	26	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4e: Participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Have you participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

r7fri4e: Participated as a volunteer in a search and rescue action

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	58	1.2%
2	Yes, once	102	2.2%
3	No	997	21.3%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4f: Has assisted the police/voluntary organisation as a volunteer during an accident

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Have you as a volunteer assisted the police or a voluntary organisation during an accident?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Har du som frivillig bistått politi eller frivillige organisasjoner ved en ulykke?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	61	1.3%
2	Yes, once	118	2.5%
3	No	962	20.5%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran38: [Randomizes if u=1 and R7FRI4d=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1004 /-] [Invalid=3685 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1 and R7FRI4d=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	503	50.1%
2	2	501	49.9%
Sysmiss		3685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4g: Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation? (Members of emergency organisations undergoes courses and must be ready at a moments notice).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Members of emergency response organisations must undergo several different training courses in areas like first aid, navigation, communication and wilderness tracking. These must also be ready for action at a moments notice.
Literal question	Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=1.]
Notes	Medlemmer i beredskapsorganisasjoner må gjennomføre flere ulike kurs innenfor områder som førstehjelp, navigasjon, sa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	112	2.4%
2	No	177	3.8%
3	Don't know	211	4.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%

r7fri4g: Are you interested in becoming a member of such an organisation? (Members of emergency organisations undergoes courses and must be ready at a moments notice).

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4186	89.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4g1: If no, why not? [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If no, why not?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=1 AND R7FRI4g=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4h: Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Are you interested in becoming a member of an emergency response organisation?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	90	1.9%
2	No	135	2.9%
3	Don't know	271	5.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4188	89.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4h1: If no, why not? [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If no, why not?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran38=2 AND R7FRI4h=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4i: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by the police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you were asked by the police to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Dersom du ble spurt av politiet om å bistå ved et søk og redningsoppdrag, hvor sannsynlig er det at du ville deltatt?

r7fri4i: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by the police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very likely	597		12.7%
2	Most likely	400		8.5%
3	Neither one way or the other	73		1.6%
4	Most unlikely	54		1.2%
5	Very unlikely	39		0.8%
97	No answer	21		0.4%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4j: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by voluntary organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you were asked by a voluntary organisation to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Dersom du ble bedt av en frivillig organisasjon om å bistå ved et søk og redningsoppdrag, hvor sannsynlig er det at du

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very likely	425		9.1%
2	Most likely	475		10.1%
3	Neither one way or the other	145		3.1%
4	Most unlikely	61		1.3%
5	Very unlikely	41		0.9%
97	No answer	37		0.8%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4k: Likelihood of participation in search and rescue operation: Asked by family/someone you know

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	If you were asked by your family or someone you know to participate in a search and rescue operation, how likely is it that you would have participated?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Dersom du ble bedt av familien din eller andre i din omgangskrets om å delta i et søk og redningsoppdrag, hvor sannsynl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very likely	851		18.1%
2	Most likely	241		5.1%
3	Neither one way or the other	21		0.4%
4	Most unlikely	22		0.5%
5	Very unlikely	16		0.3%
97	No answer	33		0.7%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_1: Statement: Voluntary organisation's role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7fri4l_1: Statement: Voluntary organisation's role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media

Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	The voluntary organisations' role in emergency work gets little coverage by the media.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	De frivillige organisasjonenes rolle i beredskapsarbeidet er lite synlig i media. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgend

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	117	2.5%
2	Somewhat agree	429	9.1%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	305	6.5%
4	Somewhat disagree	268	5.7%
5	Completely agree	39	0.8%
97	No answer	26	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_2: Statement: Important to my participation in rescue work that I personally know the leader/members

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	If I am to participate as a volunteer in rescue work it is important to me that I know the leader or other members of the organisation on a personal basis.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Hvis jeg skal delta som frivillig i redningsarbeid er det viktig at jeg kjenner ledere eller andre medlemmer av organisas

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	41	0.9%
2	Somewhat agree	224	4.8%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	400	8.5%
4	Somewhat disagree	324	6.9%
5	Completely agree	164	3.5%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_3: Statement: Emergency response work public responsibility limited to public institutions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Emergency response work should be the responsibility of the public authorities and limited to public institutions.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Beredskapsarbeid bør være et offentlig ansvar forbeholdt offentlige institusjoner. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely agree	64	1.4%
2	Somewhat agree	189	4.0%
3	Neither agree nor disagree	424	9.0%
4	Somewhat disagree	376	8.0%
5	Completely agree	102	2.2%

r7fri4l_3: Statement: Emergency response work public responsibility limited to public institutions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
97	No answer	29	0.6%	
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_4: Statement: Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	Voluntary organisations should have an important role in emergency response work in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Frivillige organisasjoner bør ha en viktig rolle i beredskapsarbeidet i Norge. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely agree	251	5.4%	
2	Somewhat agree	569	12.1%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	270	5.8%	
4	Somewhat disagree	50	1.1%	
5	Completely agree	14	0.3%	
97	No answer	30	0.6%	
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri4l_5: Statement: Essential for my participation as a volunteer that employer provides paid leave

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	If I should participate in voluntary rescue work it is essential that the employer provides paid leave during assignments.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Hvis jeg skal delta i frivillig redningsarbeid er det avgjørende at arbeidsgiver gir meg fri med lønn under oppdrag. (H

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely agree	177	3.8%	
2	Somewhat agree	285	6.1%	
3	Neither agree nor disagree	417	8.9%	
4	Somewhat disagree	146	3.1%	
5	Completely agree	113	2.4%	
97	No answer	46	1.0%	
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri11: How good is your health?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how good is your health?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

r7fri11: How good is your health?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	322	6.9%
2	Good	673	14.4%
3	Not very good	152	3.2%
4	Bad	15	0.3%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri12: Do you suffer from health problems expected to last for at least six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Do you suffer from any chronic illnesses or health problems that are expected to last for at least six months or more?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Har du noen former for kronisk sykdom eller helseproblem som er forventet å vedvare minst seks måneder eller lengre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	488	10.4%
2	No	617	13.2%
3	Do not wish to reply	49	1.0%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri13: Has, due to health problems, been prevented from undertaking usual activities during the last six months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	During the last six months have you been prevented due to health problems from undertaking any activities that people usually carry out?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	I løpet av de seks siste månedene, har du på grunn av helseproblemer vært hindret fra å utføre aktiviteter som folk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, very much prevented	59	1.3%
2	Yes, prevented	303	6.5%
3	No, not prevented	797	17.0%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri32: Extent of satisfaction with life at the moment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent are you satisfied with life at the moment?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Extremely satisfied	205	4.4%
2	Very satisfied	660	14.1%

r7fri32: Extent of satisfaction with life at the moment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	Somewhat	260		5.5%
4	Slightly	31		0.7%
5	Not at all	7		0.1%
97	No answer	21		0.4%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri33: To what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	All in all, to what extent do you think that what you are doing in life is meaningful?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	To a very great extent	219		4.7%
2	To a great extent	620		13.2%
3	Somewhat	263		5.6%
4	To a small extent	46		1.0%
5	Not at all	8		0.2%
97	No answer	28		0.6%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_1: How satisfied with: Your standard of living

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your standard of living
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	335		7.1%
2	Satisfied	659		14.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	128		2.7%
4	Not very satisfied	19		0.4%
5	Not satisfied	14		0.3%
6	Not satisfied at all	5		0.1%
97	No answer	24		0.5%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_2: How satisfied with: Your health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your health
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

r7fri36_2: How satisfied with: Your health

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	212	4.5%
2	Satisfied	572	12.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	245	5.2%
4	Not very satisfied	70	1.5%
5	Not satisfied	35	0.7%
6	Not satisfied at all	22	0.5%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_3: How satisfied with: What you are achieving in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	What you are achieving in life
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	141	3.0%
2	Satisfied	627	13.4%
3	Somewhat satisfied	284	6.1%
4	Not very satisfied	59	1.3%
5	Not satisfied	26	0.6%
6	Not satisfied at all	12	0.3%
97	No answer	35	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_4: How satisfied with: Personal relationships

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your personal relationships
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	221	4.7%
2	Satisfied	624	13.3%
3	Somewhat satisfied	243	5.2%
4	Not very satisfied	47	1.0%
5	Not satisfied	15	0.3%
6	Not satisfied at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_5: How satisfied with: Feeling secure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	How secure you feel
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	336		7.2%
2	Satisfied	638		13.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	135		2.9%
4	Not very satisfied	26		0.6%
5	Not satisfied	14		0.3%
6	Not satisfied at all	8		0.2%
97	No answer	27		0.6%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_6: How satisfied with: The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The feeling of being part of a neighbourhood community
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	111		2.4%
2	Satisfied	502		10.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	328		7.0%
4	Not very satisfied	122		2.6%
5	Not satisfied	33		0.7%
6	Not satisfied at all	25		0.5%
97	No answer	63		1.3%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_7: How satisfied with: The prospects for security in the future

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The prospects for security in the future
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	159		3.4%
2	Satisfied	588		12.5%
3	Somewhat satisfied	281		6.0%
4	Not very satisfied	72		1.5%
5	Not satisfied	31		0.7%

r7fri36_7: How satisfied with: The prospects for security in the future

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Not satisfied at all	20	0.4%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_8: How satisfied with: The amount of time to do things you like doing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The amount of time you have to do the things you like doing
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Mengden tid du har til å gjøre tingene du liker å gjøre (Hvor fornøyd er du med...)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	147	3.1%
2	Satisfied	502	10.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	297	6.3%
4	Not very satisfied	127	2.7%
5	Not satisfied	43	0.9%
6	Not satisfied at all	38	0.8%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_9: How satisfied with: Quality of nature and the environment in local area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	The quality of nature and the environment in your local area
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	454	9.7%
2	Satisfied	550	11.7%
3	Somewhat satisfied	116	2.5%
4	Not very satisfied	25	0.5%
5	Not satisfied	9	0.2%
6	Not satisfied at all	1	0.0%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri36_10: How satisfied with: Your job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How satisfied are you with ...
Literal question	Your job

r7fri36_10: How satisfied with: Your job

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	176		3.8%
2	Satisfied	429		9.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	160		3.4%
4	Not very satisfied	40		0.9%
5	Not satisfied	22		0.5%
6	Not satisfied at all	18		0.4%
7	Not relevant	300		6.4%
97	No answer	39		0.8%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7friend: Amount of friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Approximately how many friends do you have at the moment?
Post-question	These are people you can relax with, talk to about private topics or ask for help.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Omtrent hvor mange venner har du for tiden? Dette er mennesker du kan slappe av med, snakke med om private tema, eller sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	None	19		0.4%
2	1-2	157		3.3%
3	3-5	409		8.7%
4	6-10	350		7.5%
5	More than 10	224		4.8%
97	No answer	25		0.5%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7frnet_1: Are there any people you know personally who: Could help you find a job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible
Literal question	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Vil kunne hjelpe deg å finne en jobb, hvis det blir nødvendig? (Er det i omgangskretsen din personer som...)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		624		13.3%
1	Could help you to find a job, if necessary?	538		11.5%
97	No answer	22		0.5%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7frnet_2: Are there any people you know personally who: Could lend you a large amount of money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible
Literal question	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Vil kunne låne deg et større pengebeløp (f.eks. 20 000 kroner)? (Er det i omgangskretsen din personer som...)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		535	11.4%
1	Could lend you a large amount of money (e.g. 20,000 kroner)?	627	13.4%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7frnet_3: Are there any people you know personally who: Have a high position in business/public/politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible
Literal question	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Sitter i en høy stilling i næringslivet, i offentlig administrasjon eller i politikken? (Er det i omgangskretsen din pe

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		782	16.7%
1	Have a high position in business, public administration or politics?	380	8.1%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7frnet_4: No such people among those I know personally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Among the people you know personally, are there any who ... More than one answer possible
Literal question	There are no such people among the people I know personally
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Jeg har ingen slike personer i min omgangskrets (Er det i omgangskretsen din personer som...)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		850	18.1%
1	There are no such people among the people I know personally	312	6.7%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri5: How often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

r7fri5: How often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how often do you travel and stay overnight at least one night?		
Post-question	Take into account business travel, holiday trips and week-end trips etc.		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Weekly	34	0.7%
2	Monthly	345	7.4%
3	At least once every half year	551	11.8%
4	At least once a year	161	3.4%
5	Less often	47	1.0%
6	Never	15	0.3%
7	Don't know	7	0.1%
97	No answer	24	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri5b: Has been travelling continuously at least 3 weeks during the last year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	Have you been travelling continuously for at least 3 weeks during the last year?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and RANGE (R7FRI5,1,5).]		
Notes	Har du oppholdt deg på reise i minst 3 uker sammenhengende i løpet av det siste året?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	318	6.8%
2	No	817	17.4%
3	Don't know	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3551	75.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri5c_1: Length of longest lasting travel last year

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	How long was the period of your longest lasting travel during the last year?		
Post-question	Note number of weeks:		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI5b=1.]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4371	99.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri6: Time spent on hobbies and recreational activities a normal week

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Literal question	How much time do you spend on hobbies and recreational activities during a normal week?		

r7fri6: Time spent on hobbies and recreational activities a normal week

Post-question	For example participation in sporting activities, band, choir, outdoor activities, organisational meetings and gatherings. Take into account both organised and unorganised activities.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than 5 hours	302	6.4%
2	2-5 hours	385	8.2%
3	1-2 hours	195	4.2%
4	Less than 1 hour	97	2.1%
5	Do not participate in any hobby or recreational activities	150	3.2%
6	Don't know	32	0.7%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7_1: Assists: Relatives not living with you, neighbours/friends/colleagues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Relatives not living with you, neighbours, friends or colleagues (for example giving lifts, shopping, gardening, tidying and cleaning, supervision and attention, care or any other type of assistance).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Slektninger du ikke bor sammen med, naboer, venner eller kolleger (f.eks. hjelp til skyss, matinnkjøp, hagearbeid, ryddi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		627	13.4%
1	Relatives not living with you, neighbours, friends or colleagues (for example giving lifts, shopping, gardening, tidying and cleaning, supervision and attention, care or any other type of assistance).	535	11.4%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7_2: Assists: Someone in your home with special care requirements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Someone in your household that have special care requirements (for example assistance due to age, illness or disabilities).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Noen i din egen husholdning som har spesielle omsorgsbehov (f.eks. hjelp til eldre, syke og funksjonshemmede). (Gir du re

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1013	21.6%
1	Someone in your household that have special care requirements (for example assistance due to age, illness or disabilities).	149	3.2%
97	No answer	22	0.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7_3: Assists: No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible
Literal question	No
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		660		14.1%
1	No	502		10.7%
97	No answer	22		0.5%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7_4: Assists: Don't know

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Do you on a regular basis assist any of the following? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Don't know
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		1120		23.9%
1	Don't know	42		0.9%
97	No answer	22		0.5%
98	Not asked	3505		74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_1: Provides type of assistance: Visits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Visits, contact
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		222		4.7%
1	Visits, contact	389		8.3%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4078		87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_2: Provides type of assistance: Supervision

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible

r7fri7b_2: Provides type of assistance: Supervision

Literal question	Supervision or attention (for example, looking after children, regular telephone calls, other types of attention)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]
Notes	Pass eller tilsyn (f.eks. barnepass, regelmessig telefonkontakt, annet pass) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		329	7.0%
1	Supervision or attention (for example, looking after children, regular telephone calls, other types of attention)	282	6.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_3: Provides type of assistance: Personal care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Personal care (for example hygiene, assistance with medicines, mobility)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]
Notes	Personlig omsorg (f.eks. hygiene, hjelp til medisinering, forflytning) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		539	11.5%
1	Personal care (for example hygiene, assistance with medicines, mobility)	72	1.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_4: Provides type of assistance: Housework

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Housework (for example, washing, cooking, shopping for food)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		449	9.6%
1	Housework (for example, washing, cooking, shopping for food)	162	3.5%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_5: Provides type of assistance: Administrative

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Administrative tasks (for example contact authorities, health care or banking)

r7fri7b_5: Provides type of assistance: Administrative

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]
Notes	Administrative oppgaver (f.eks. kontakt med myndigheter, helsehjelp eller bank) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
0		447		9.5%
1	Administrative tasks (for example contact authorities, health care or banking)	164		3.5%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4078		87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_6: Provides type of assistance: Transport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Transport (for example giving lifts in your car, collect/deliver to kindergarten/to school)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]
Notes	Transport (f.eks. skyss med bil, hente/levere i barnehage/på skole) (Hvilken type hjelp utfører du?)

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
0		315		6.7%
1	Transport (for example giving lifts in your car, collect/deliver to kindergarten/to school)	296		6.3%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4078		87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_7: Provides type of assistance: Gardening and the like

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Gardening, repair work in the home and the like.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
0		375		8.0%
1	Gardening, repair work in the home and the like.	236		5.0%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4078		87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_8: Provides type of assistance: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Other
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]

r7fri7b_8: Provides type of assistance: Other

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		497	10.6%
1	Other	114	2.4%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7b_9: Provides type of assistance: Don't know

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	What type of assistance do you provide? More than one answer possible
Literal question	Don't know
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		609	13.0%
1	Don't know	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7c: Total time spent assisting during a normal week

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How much time in total do you spend doing this during a normal week?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than 5 hours	88	1.9%
2	2-5 hours	181	3.9%
3	1-2 hours	200	4.3%
4	Less than 1 hour	104	2.2%
5	Don't know	37	0.8%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri7d: Who do you assist the most?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Who do you assist the most?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1, R7FRI7_1=1 OR R7FRI7_2=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spouse, partner, or the like	80	1.7%
2	Children/grand children	210	4.5%
3	Parents/in laws	160	3.4%
4	Siblings	41	0.9%

r7fri7d: Who do you assist the most?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Grand parents	13	0.3%
6	Other relative or close relation	33	0.7%
7	Other relationship (for example neighbour or friend)	74	1.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4078	87.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_1: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Satisfactorily

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Satisfactorily
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Tilfredsstillende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	148	3.2%
2	Well	523	11.2%
3	Somewhat well	212	4.5%
4	Neither well nor badly	165	3.5%
5	Somewhat badly	13	0.3%
6	Badly	4	0.1%
7	Very badly	1	0.0%
97	No answer	118	2.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_2: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Rewarding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Rewarding
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Givende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passe

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	201	4.3%
2	Well	539	11.5%
3	Somewhat well	191	4.1%
4	Neither well nor badly	128	2.7%
5	Somewhat badly	9	0.2%
6	Badly	5	0.1%
7	Very badly	1	0.0%
97	No answer	110	2.3%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_3: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Exciting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Exciting
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Spennende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene pas

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	75	1.6%
2	Well	319	6.8%
3	Somewhat well	275	5.9%
4	Neither well nor badly	300	6.4%
5	Somewhat badly	56	1.2%
6	Badly	20	0.4%
7	Very badly	6	0.1%
97	No answer	133	2.8%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_4: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Important

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Important
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Viktig (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	356	7.6%
2	Well	489	10.4%
3	Somewhat well	139	3.0%
4	Neither well nor badly	91	1.9%
5	Somewhat badly	5	0.1%
6	Badly	3	0.1%
7	Very badly	2	0.0%
97	No answer	99	2.1%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_5: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Fun

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Fun
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Morsomt (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passe

r7fr31_5: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Fun

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	72	1.5%
2	Well	320	6.8%
3	Somewhat well	317	6.8%
4	Neither well nor badly	296	6.3%
5	Somewhat badly	35	0.7%
6	Badly	16	0.3%
7	Very badly	6	0.1%
97	No answer	122	2.6%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_6: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Challenging

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Challenging
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Utfordrende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	101	2.2%
2	Well	365	7.8%
3	Somewhat well	292	6.2%
4	Neither well nor badly	239	5.1%
5	Somewhat badly	34	0.7%
6	Badly	19	0.4%
7	Very badly	9	0.2%
97	No answer	125	2.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_7: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Disappointing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Disappointing
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Skuffende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene pas

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	7	0.1%
2	Well	31	0.7%
3	Somewhat well	60	1.3%
4	Neither well nor badly	340	7.3%
5	Somewhat badly	197	4.2%
6	Badly	256	5.5%

r7fr31_7: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Disappointing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Very badly	134	2.9%
97	No answer	159	3.4%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_8: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Emotionally exhausting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Emotionally exhausting
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Følelsesmessig utmattende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	17	0.4%
2	Well	92	2.0%
3	Somewhat well	157	3.3%
4	Neither well nor badly	357	7.6%
5	Somewhat badly	144	3.1%
6	Badly	176	3.8%
7	Very badly	93	2.0%
97	No answer	148	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_9: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Physically tiring

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Physically tiring
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Fysisk slitsomt (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	13	0.3%
2	Well	93	2.0%
3	Somewhat well	193	4.1%
4	Neither well nor badly	378	8.1%
5	Somewhat badly	133	2.8%
6	Badly	150	3.2%
7	Very badly	76	1.6%
97	No answer	148	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_10: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Frustrating

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

r7fr31_10: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Frustrating

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Frustrating
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Frustrerende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	7	0.1%
2	Well	52	1.1%
3	Somewhat well	146	3.1%
4	Neither well nor badly	359	7.7%
5	Somewhat badly	150	3.2%
6	Badly	193	4.1%
7	Very badly	113	2.4%
97	No answer	164	3.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_11: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Depressing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Depressing
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Deprimerende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	5	0.1%
2	Well	24	0.5%
3	Somewhat well	71	1.5%
4	Neither well nor badly	321	6.8%
5	Somewhat badly	148	3.2%
6	Badly	244	5.2%
7	Very badly	208	4.4%
97	No answer	163	3.5%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_12: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Time consuming

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Time consuming
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Tidkrevende (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	48	1.0%

r7fr31_12: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Time consuming

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Well	192	4.1%
3	Somewhat well	271	5.8%
4	Neither well nor badly	329	7.0%
5	Somewhat badly	79	1.7%
6	Badly	75	1.6%
7	Very badly	39	0.8%
97	No answer	151	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fr31_13: How well does description of voluntary work fit: Easy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	If you think about how you view voluntary work, how well or badly do you think these descriptions fit?
Literal question	Easy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Lett (Om du tenker på hvordan du ser på frivillig arbeid, hvor godt eller dårlig synes du disse beskrivelsene passer?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	30	0.6%
2	Well	164	3.5%
3	Somewhat well	196	4.2%
4	Neither well nor badly	454	9.7%
5	Somewhat badly	105	2.2%
6	Badly	57	1.2%
7	Very badly	26	0.6%
97	No answer	152	3.2%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri14: Have you participated in any protests the past year to improve society?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Have you participated in any protests or demonstrations during the past year in an attempt to bring about improvements in society?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1.]
Notes	Har du det siste året deltatt i protestaksjoner eller demonstrasjoner i et forsøk på å få til forbedringer i samfunn

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	116	2.5%
2	No	1036	22.1%
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3505	74.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri15: Who organised the most recent protest you participated in?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7fri15: Who organised the most recent protest you participated in?

Literal question	If you cast your mind back to the most recent protest or demonstration that you participated in, who was it organised by?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI14=1.]
Notes	Om du tenker tilbake på den siste aksjonen eller demonstrasjonen du deltok i, hvem var det som arrangerte denne?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	A voluntary organisation, union or party	76	1.6%	
2	Individuals or a non-organised group or network	39	0.8%	
97	No answer	1	0.0%	
98	Not asked	4573		97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri16: What type of organisation/union/party was it?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI15=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Art and culture, band and choir	7	0.1%	
2	Athletics and sport	1	0.0%	
3	Hobbies, recreation, outdoor activity	0		
4	Education, training and research	1	0.0%	
5	Health, nursing and rescue work	2	0.0%	
6	Social services and substance abuse care	2	0.0%	
7	Nature, environmental and animal protection	19	0.4%	
8	Residents' associations, community associations and local neighbourhood	2	0.0%	
9	Housing co-operatives and housing associations	0		
10	Rights, support and advocacy work	6	0.1%	
11	Political parties	12	0.3%	
12	International exchange, emergency help, assistance and human rights work	9	0.2%	
13	Vocational, industry and trade unions and associations	7	0.1%	
14	Religion and beliefs including the Church of Norway	1	0.0%	
15	Immigrant organisations	3	0.1%	
16	Other, specify	4	0.1%	
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4613		98.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri16_16_other: What type of organisation/union/party was it? Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What type of organisation, union or party was it?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI15=1.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		4689		100.0%

r7fri16_16_other: What type of organisation/union/party was it? Other [anonymized]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri17: How did you first hear about this protest?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How did you first hear about this protest?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI14=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Through an organisation, union or party of which I am a member	25	0.5%
2	Through colleagues at work or fellow students	3	0.1%
3	Through social networks, friends and acquaintances	54	1.2%
4	Through the media	28	0.6%
5	In a different way	5	0.1%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4573	97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7fri18: The way you first heard about this protest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In what way did you first hear about this protest?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and R7FRI14=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Talking face to face	15	0.3%
2	Telephone conversation	3	0.1%
3	SMS	3	0.1%
4	Posters/notices	1	0.0%
5	Newspapers/magazines	6	0.1%
6	Online newspaper	4	0.1%
7	E-mail	13	0.3%
8	Facebook	59	1.3%
9	Other social media	3	0.1%
10	TV/radio	3	0.1%
11	Other	4	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4573	97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km2: Concern about climate change

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How concerned are you about climate change?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

r7km2: Concern about climate change

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	149	3.2%
2	Concerned	418	8.9%
3	Somewhat concerned	382	8.1%
4	Slightly concerned	190	4.1%
5	Not concerned at all	44	0.9%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km3_2: Positive/negative: Tighten up regulations governing permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Tighten up the regulations governing the permitted industrial CO2 emissions in Norway and Europe.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Innskjerpe reglene for hvor mye CO2 industrien i Norge og Europa får slippe ut. (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	232	4.9%
2	Positive	464	9.9%
3	Somewhat positive	258	5.5%
4	Neither positive nor negative	150	3.2%
5	Somewhat negative	31	0.7%
6	Negative	24	0.5%
7	Very negative	12	0.3%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km3_3: Positive/negative: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed/underground

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Strengthen focus on capture and storage of CO2 beneath the seabed or underground.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Satse sterkere på fangst og lagring av CO2 under havbunnen eller jordoverflaten. (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	98	2.1%
2	Positive	256	5.5%
3	Somewhat positive	252	5.4%
4	Neither positive nor negative	371	7.9%
5	Somewhat negative	106	2.3%
6	Negative	53	1.1%
7	Very negative	29	0.6%

r7km3_3: Positive/negative: Storage of CO2 beneath the seabed/underground

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	34	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km3_4: Positive/negative: Increased funding for renewable energy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Increase funding for research on and development of renewable energy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Øke støtten til forskning på og utbygging av fornybar energi. (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til følgende tiltak:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	582	12.4%
2	Positive	407	8.7%
3	Somewhat positive	110	2.3%
4	Neither positive nor negative	62	1.3%
5	Somewhat negative	8	0.2%
6	Negative	5	0.1%
7	Very negative	2	0.0%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km3_5: Positive/negative: Tighten up fiscal regulations to oil exploration on Norwegian Continental Shelf

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How positive or negative are you about the following measures:
Literal question	Tighten up the fiscal regulations which apply to oil exploration on the Norwegian Continental Shelf
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Skjerpe skattereglene for oljeleting på norsk sokkel (Hvor positiv eller negativ er du til følgende tiltak:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	145	3.1%
2	Positive	205	4.4%
3	Somewhat positive	203	4.3%
4	Neither positive nor negative	388	8.3%
5	Somewhat negative	96	2.0%
6	Negative	94	2.0%
7	Very negative	36	0.8%
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km4: Discussion about cars, alternative closest to your view

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7km4: Discussion about cars, alternative closest to your view

Pre-question	A proposal that all new personal cars from 2025 should be powered by electricity or hydrogen is currently being debated in the Storting. If the proposal is adopted, it will not be possible to purchase new petrol or diesel cars after 2025.
Literal question	Which of the following alternatives is closest to your view?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Partiene på Stortinget diskuterer nå et forslag om at alle nye personbiler fra 2025 skal være elektriske eller bruke h

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In 2025 all new personal cars being sold should be powered by electricity or hydrogen.	468	10.0%
2	In 2025 it should still be possible to purchase new personal cars powered by diesel or petrol.	702	15.0%
97	No answer	29	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km4_comment: Further comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km5_1: Statement: Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?
Literal question	Technological innovations will resolve the climate problems.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Teknologiske nyvinninger kommer til å løse klimaproblemene. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. I hvor stor grad er du enig ell

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	46	1.0%
2	Agree	209	4.5%
3	Somewhat agree	429	9.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	222	4.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	150	3.2%
6	Disagree	88	1.9%
7	Strongly disagree	27	0.6%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km5_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7km5_2: Statement: Citizens of rich countries should cut their consumption

Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree/disagree with them?
Literal question	The citizens of rich countries like Norway should cut their consumption as part of the solution to the climate challenge.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Innbyggere i rike land som Norge bør redusere forbruket sitt som en del av løsningen på klimautfordringen. (Se på ut

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	220	4.7%
2	Agree	343	7.3%
3	Somewhat agree	339	7.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	109	2.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	80	1.7%
6	Disagree	51	1.1%
7	Strongly disagree	34	0.7%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km6: To what extent is it possible to prevent harmful climate change, statement closest to your viewpoint

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Let us assume that climate change can be a major problem for the world in the future if nothing is done about it. To what extent do you think it is possible to prevent harmful climate change?
Literal question	Choose the statement that best matches your viewpoint:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	La oss forutsette at klimaendringer kan bli et stort problem for verden i fremtiden dersom ingenting gjøres med dem. I h

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is impossible to prevent harmful climate change.	106	2.3%
2	It is possible, but very difficult, to prevent harmful climate change.	812	17.3%
3	It is possible and entirely realistic to prevent harmful climate change.	245	5.2%
4	It is easy to prevent harmful climate change.	5	0.1%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_1: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Housing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4022 /-] [Invalid=667 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Housing
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Boliger (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødvendige form

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		151	3.8%
2		194	4.8%
3		187	4.6%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	86.8%
Sysmiss		667	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_2: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Roads

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3838 /-] [Invalid=851 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Roads
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Vei (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødvendige formål.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		71	1.8%

r7km7_2: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Roads

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		111	2.9%
3		166	4.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	90.9%
Sysmiss		851	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_3: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Food production

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4253 /-] [Invalid=436 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Food production
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Matproduksjon (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødvendige

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		541	12.7%
2		125	2.9%
3		97	2.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	82.1%
Sysmiss		436	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_4: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Railway/ Underground/Tramway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4031 /-] [Invalid=658 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Railway/Underground/Tramway etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Jernbane/T-bane/bybane osv. (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til and

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		150	3.7%
2		226	5.6%
3		165	4.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	3490	86.6%
Sysmiss		658	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_5: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Commercial activity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3919 /-] [Invalid=770 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Commercial activity
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Næringsvirksomhet (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes til andre nødve

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		89		2.3%
2		173		4.4%
3		167		4.3%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	3490		89.1%
Sysmiss		770		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km7_6: How should your municipal authority prioritise the areas for food production next ten years: Public buildings

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4103 /-] [Invalid=586 /-]
Pre-question	Politicians must often choose whether areas that can be used to produce food should be used for other necessary purposes. How do you feel your municipal authority should prioritise the use of such areas for the next ten years? Please rank up to three alternatives where 1 is the most important:
Literal question	Public buildings: School, sports etc.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2. Respondent are asked to select three options in R7KM7, and rank these three from 1 -3.]
Notes	Offentlige bygg: Skole, idrett osv (Politikerne må ofte velge om arealer som kan benyttes til matproduksjon skal brukes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		123		3.0%
2		240		5.8%
3		250		6.1%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	3490		85.1%
Sysmiss		586		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km8: Would install solar panels if authorities cover half the cost

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Would you install solar panels/solar collectors if you could receive a grant from the authorities to cover half the cost?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Ville du installert solcellepanel/solfangere dersom du fikk offentlig støtte til å dekke halvparten av kostnadene?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	645		13.8%
2	No	203		4.3%

r7km8: Would install solar panels if authorities cover half the cost

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	I have already installed solar panels/solar collectors	10	0.2%
4	It is not possible for me to install solar panels/solar collectors in practice	304	6.5%
97	No answer	37	0.8%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km8_comment: Further comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Should you have any further comment you may write it here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran3: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1182 /-] [Invalid=3507 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	578	48.9%
2	2	604	51.1%
Sysmiss		3507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm1_10_1_1: Balance between using nature and desire not to harm it

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Our politicians often face difficult decisions. This certainly applies to how to achieve a balance in terms of the needs of society to use nature and the desire not to harm nature.
Literal question	Considering the current situation in Norway, how do you feel the balance is today?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much emphasis is placed on consideration to nature	16	0.3%
2	2	52	1.1%
3	3	130	2.8%
4	Good balance	452	9.6%
5	5	240	5.1%
6	6	173	3.7%
7	Too much emphasis is placed on consideration to society	67	1.4%
97	No answer	69	1.5%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm1_10_2: How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran3=1.]
Notes	Hvordan mener du at politikerne bør prioritere mellom naturhensyn og samfunnshensyn?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Consideration to nature should in general go before social considerations.	104	2.2%
2	Harming nature to a lesser degree can be acceptable, but only when absolutely necessary social considerations indicate this.	433	9.2%
3	Harming nature can be accepted, but only when absolutely necessary considerations for society indicate this.	35	0.7%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4111	87.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm1_10_2_flipped: How should politicians prioritise between consideration to nature and to society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel politicians should prioritise between consideration to nature and to society.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran3=2.] [Compared to r7pilotkm1_10_2, scale flipped]
Notes	Hvordan mener du at politikerne bør prioritere mellom naturhensyn og samfunnshensyn?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Harming nature can be accepted, but only when absolutely necessary considerations for society indicate this.	48	1.0%
2	Harming nature to a lesser degree can be acceptable, but only when absolutely necessary social considerations indicate this.	423	9.0%
3	Consideration to nature should in general go before social considerations.	123	2.6%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4085	87.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm1_comment: Further comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran4: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1182 /-] [Invalid=3507 /-]

r7ran4: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	262	22.2%
2	2	218	18.4%
3	3	229	19.4%
4	4	238	20.1%
5	5	235	19.9%
Sysmiss		3507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s1: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja opponents argue that an accident causing a major oil spillage will threaten the North Atlantic cod stocks that breed in this area, and that based on climate considerations and international obligations the speed of the extraction must be slowed down.
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=1 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer motstanderne for at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	21	0.4%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	33	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4633	98.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s2: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja the proponents argue that the oil and gas extraction is important for workplaces, and that security surrounding the oil industry today is excellent and the chance of an accident causing a major spillages is minimal.
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=2 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer forkjemperne med at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	25	0.5%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	25	0.5%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4636	98.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s3: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Opponent's argument, then proponent's argument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja opponents argue that an accident causing a major oil spillage will threaten the North Atlantic cod stocks that breed in this area, and that based on climate considerations and international obligations the speed of the extraction must be slowed down. However, the the proponents argue that the oil and gas extraction is important for workplaces, and that security surrounding the oil industry today is excellent and the chance of an accident causing a major spillages is minimal.
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=3 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer motstanderne for at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	26	0.6%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	27	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4633	98.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s4: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe: Proponent's argument, then opponent's argument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the debate about oil and gas extraction in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja the proponents argue that the oil and gas extraction is important for workplaces, and that security surrounding the oil industry today is excellent and the chance of an accident causing a major spillages is minimal. However, the opponents argue that an accident causing a major oil spillage will threaten the North Atlantic cod stocks that breed in this area, and that based on climate considerations and international obligations the speed of the extraction must be slowed down.
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=4 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	I debatten rundt olje- og gassutvinning i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja argumenterer forkjemperne med at

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	23	0.5%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	31	0.7%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4633	98.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_s5: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What do you think, should we start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja or should we let the oil and gas in this area remain where it is.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran4=5 AND ran40=4.]
Notes	Hva synes du, bør vi åpne opp for olje- og gassproduksjon i området utenfor Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja eller bør v

r7pilotkm2_s5: Start oil production or let the oil remain in LoVeSe

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	We should start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	29	0.6%
2	We should not start oil and gas production in the area off Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja.	33	0.7%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4623	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pilotkm2_comment: Comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran40=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_1: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Sinusitis

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Sinusitis
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Bihulebetennelse (For hvilke av de følgende sykdommer ville du ønsket antibiotika?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		657	14.0%
1	Sinusitis	524	11.2%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_2: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Bronchitis

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Bronchitis
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		787	16.8%
1	Bronchitis	394	8.4%
97	No answer	18	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_3: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: The common cold

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	The common cold
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		1166		24.9%
1	The common cold	15	0.3%	
97	No answer	18	0.4%	
98	Not asked	3490		74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_4: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Streptococci

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Throat infection (streptococci)
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Halsbetennelse (streptokokker) (For hvilke av de følgende sykdommer ville du ønsket antibiotika?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		449	9.6%	
1	Throat infection (streptococci)	732	15.6%	
97	No answer	18	0.4%	
98	Not asked	3490		74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_5: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Influenza

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics? It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Influenza
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		1080	23.0%	
1	Influenza	101	2.2%	
97	No answer	18	0.4%	
98	Not asked	3490		74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_6: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Ear infection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Which of the following illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics?

r7km10_6: Which illnesses would you like to be treated with antibiotics: Ear infection

	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Ear infection
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		641		13.7%
1	Ear infection	540		11.5%
97	No answer	18		0.4%
98	Not asked	3490		74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km10_comment: Comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		4689		100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_1: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms last longer than expected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That the symptoms last longer than I expect
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At plagene varer lenger enn jeg forventer (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		557		11.9%
1	That the symptoms last longer than I expect	623		13.3%
97	No answer	19		0.4%
98	Not asked	3490		74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_2: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms more severe than expected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That the symptoms are much more severe than I expect

r7km12_2: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Symptoms more severe than expected

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At plagene er kraftigere enn jeg forventer (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		521	11.1%
1	That the symptoms are much more severe than I expect	659	14.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_3: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Need a doctor's certificate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That I need a doctor's certificate
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At jeg trenger sykemelding (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksjon. Hva er de v

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		940	20.0%
1	That I need a doctor's certificate	240	5.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_4: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Encouraged by others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That others (family, friends) encourage me to visit the doctor
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At andre (familie, venner) oppfordrer meg til å oppsøke lege (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1010	21.5%
1	That others (family, friends) encourage me to visit the doctor	170	3.6%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_5: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Others I know with similar symptoms were helped

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7km12_5: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Others I know with similar symptoms were helped

Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	That others I know with similar symptoms were helped by the doctor
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	At andre jeg kjenner med lignende plager fikk hjelp av legen (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1152	24.6%
1	That others I know with similar symptoms were helped by the doctor	28	0.6%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_6: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Other, note
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Annet, skriv: (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksjon. Hva er de viktigste års

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1129	24.1%
1	Other, note	51	1.1%
97	No answer	19	0.4%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_6_other: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There might be several reasons for consulting a doctor when suffering from a respiratory infection. What is the most important reason for you? A respiratory infection is in a group of illnesses which for example can cause coughing, a bunged up/runny nose, fever, pains in the throat, pains in the ears, exhaustion etc. It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Literal question	Other, note
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Annet, skriv: (Det kan være flere årsaker til at folk oppsøker lege for en luftveisinfeksjon. Hva er de viktigste års

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	0	

r7km12_6_other: Most important reason for consulting doctor when respiratory infection: Other [anonymized]

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7km12_comment: Comments [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	You can write any comments you might have here:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvm1: Agree/disagree: A rejected adult asylum seeker should be allowed residence if the children are entitled to live in the country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Now we would like to know something about your views about society in general, and about the new diversity in Norway. Even if an adult asylum seeker has been rejected, the government may consider allowing residence if the children are entitled to live in the country.
Literal question	How much do you agree or disagree that an asylum seeker who has been rejected should be allowed to stay in such cases?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]
Notes	Vi ønsker nå å få vite noe om dine synspunkter på samfunnet generelt og det nye mangfoldet i Norge. Selv om en voks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	182	3.9%
2	Agree	354	7.5%
3	Somewhat agree	262	5.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	98	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	104	2.2%
6	Disagree	84	1.8%
7	Strongly disagree	85	1.8%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran11: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=631 /-] [Invalid=4058 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2, R4DV29_1=97/98 and the respondent is recruited in wave 3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	226	35.8%
2	2	195	30.9%
3	3	210	33.3%
Sysmiss		4058	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvm2a: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, Austrian Constitutional Court

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

r7dvm2a: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, Austrian Constitutional Court

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last three years the Constitutional Court (Austria) has ruled that a prohibition against begging was an illegal intervention on the basis of freedom of speech.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran11=1.]
Notes	I løpet av de siste tre årene har den østerrikske konstitusjonsdomstolen kommet til at et forbud mot tigging var et ul

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.9%
2	Agree	47	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	38	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	27	0.6%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4463	95.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvm2b: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway, US Federal Court

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last three years the US Federal Court has ruled that a prohibition against begging was an illegal intervention on the basis of freedom of speech.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran11=2.]
Notes	I løpet av de siste tre årene har den amerikanske føderale domstolen kommet til at et forbud mot tigging var et ulovli

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.9%
2	Agree	28	0.6%
3	Somewhat agree	46	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	24	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	13	0.3%
6	Disagree	24	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4494	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvm2c: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree that begging should be prohibited in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran11=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	51	1.1%

r7dvm2c: Agree/disagree: Begging should be prohibited in Norway

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	39	0.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	22	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	17	0.4%
6	Disagree	21	0.4%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.4%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4479	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran12: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1179 /-] [Invalid=3510 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	130	11.0%
2	2	136	11.5%
3	3	129	10.9%
4	4	138	11.7%
5	5	132	11.2%
6	6	134	11.4%
7	7	126	10.7%
8	8	119	10.1%
9	9	135	11.5%
Sysmiss		3510	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13a: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you know/respect

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 1 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you know and respect.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	80	1.7%
2	No	49	1.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4559	97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13b: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you don't agree with politically

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7dv13b: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed, people you don't agree with politically

Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 1 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you usually don't agree with politically.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	98		2.1%
2	No	34		0.7%
97	No answer	4		0.1%
98	Not asked	4553		97.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13c: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you know/respect

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 10 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you know and respect.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	80		1.7%
2	No	45		1.0%
97	No answer	4		0.1%
98	Not asked	4560		97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13d: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed, people you don't agree with politically

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 10 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you usually don't agree with politically.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	95		2.0%
2	No	41		0.9%
97	No answer	2		0.0%
98	Not asked	4551		97.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13e: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you know/respect

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

r7dv13e: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you know/respect

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 30 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you know and respect.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=5.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	88	1.9%
2	No	42	0.9%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4557	97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13f: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed, people you don't agree with politically

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 30 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition, and among them people you usually don't agree with politically.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	107	2.3%
2	No	26	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4555	97.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13g: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 1% signed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 1 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=7.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	71	1.5%
2	No	54	1.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4563	97.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13h: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

# r7dv13h: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 10% signed	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 10 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=8.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	70	1.5%
2	No	48	1.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4570	97.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

r7dv13i: Would you have signed: Petition against closing local casualty clinic, 30% signed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine the following situation: Politicians are planning to close the casualty clinic closest to you in order to extend the capacity at the nearest hospital. Meaning your journey will be longer should you be in need of immediate medical assistance. A petition against the closure has been established, and it has been published on the internet. Approximately 30 % of the inhabitants of your municipality have signed the petition.
Literal question	Would you have signed the petition?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran12=9.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	92	2.0%
2	No	40	0.9%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4554	97.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13_2: Probability of such an action succeeding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How do you assess the probability of such an action succeeding?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	18	0.4%
2	Likely	91	1.9%
3	Somewhat likely	416	8.9%
4	Slightly likely	562	12.0%
5	Not likely at all	79	1.7%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13_3: Has participated in a petition on the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Have you ever participated in a petition on the internet?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, several times	327	7.0%
2	Yes, once	184	3.9%
3	No	658	14.0%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3490	74.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13_3b: What was the last action you participated in about? [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What was the last action you participated in about?

r7dv13_3b: What was the last action you participated in about? [anonymized]

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and R7DV13_3=1.]
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv13_3c: What was the action you participated in about? [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What was the action you participated in about?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and R7DV13_3=2.]
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran6: [Randomizes if u=2.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1178 /-] [Invalid=3511 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=2.]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	1	187	15.9%
2	2	221	18.8%
3	3	199	16.9%
4	4	195	16.6%
5	5	185	15.7%
6	6	191	16.2%
Sysmiss		3511	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_1: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=1.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør skrives slik at de legger mer vekt på det

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Strongly agree	11	0.2%
2	Agree	38	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	47	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	34	0.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	16	0.3%
6	Disagree	25	0.5%

r7mi5_1: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	13		0.3%
97	No answer	3		0.1%
98	Not asked	4502		96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_2: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, reflect the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=2.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør skrives slik at de reflekterer det nye kul

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	Strongly agree	34		0.7%
2	Agree	65		1.4%
3	Somewhat agree	52		1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	30		0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	15		0.3%
6	Disagree	13		0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	12		0.3%
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4468		95.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_3: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=3.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør skrives slik at de legger mindre vekt på

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5		0.1%
2	Agree	11		0.2%
3	Somewhat agree	26		0.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	54		1.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	30		0.6%
6	Disagree	46		1.0%
7	Strongly disagree	24		0.5%
97	No answer	3		0.1%
98	Not asked	4490		95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_4: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, more emphasis on the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written in order to place more emphasis on the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=4.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør omskrives slik at de legger mer vekt på d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	5	0.1%
2	Agree	41	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	53	1.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	36	0.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	17	0.4%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4494	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_5: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, reflect the new cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written to reflect the new cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=5.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør omskrives slik at de reflekterer det nye k

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	17	0.4%
2	Agree	32	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	49	1.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	27	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	27	0.6%
6	Disagree	22	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	10	0.2%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4504	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7mi5_6: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	Text books for primary and lower secondary school should be re-written with less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran6=6.]

r7mi5_6: Agree/disagree: Text books for school should be re-written, less emphasis on new ideas about cultural diversity

Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn: Lærebøker i grunnskolen bør omskrives slik at de legger mindre vekt p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	0	
2	Agree	13	0.3%
3	Somewhat agree	25	0.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	75	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	18	0.4%
6	Disagree	37	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	20	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4498	95.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby1: How safe/unsafe do you feel your local area is?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Now we would like to know something about your views about society in general, and about the new diversity in Norway. Consider your local area.
Literal question	How safe or unsafe do you feel it is?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Vi ønsker nå å få vite noe om dine synspunkter på samfunnet generelt og det nye mangfoldet i Norge. Tenk på ditt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very safe	829	17.7%
2	Safe	1133	24.2%
3	Somewhat safe	158	3.4%
4	Neither safe nor unsafe	54	1.2%
5	Somewhat unsafe	71	1.5%
6	Unsafe	18	0.4%
7	Very unsafe	4	0.1%
97	No answer	39	0.8%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby2: Has your local area become safer/more unsafe/no change last couple of years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In the last couple of years would you say your local area has become safer, more unsafe or has there been no change to safety?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Vil du si at nærmiljøet ditt i de siste par årene har blitt tryggere, mer utrygt eller har det ikke vært noen endring

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Safer	98	2.1%
2	More unsafe	476	10.2%
3	No change to safety	1692	36.1%

r7dvby2: Has your local area become safer/more unsafe/no change last couple of years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	40	0.9%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv5: Advantage/disadvantage for Norway that immigrants come to live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion how great an advantage or disadvantage is it for Norway that immigrants come to live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Hvor stor fordel eller ulempe vil du si at det er for Norge at innvandrere kommer for å bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great advantage	26	0.6%
2	Great advantage	175	3.7%
3	An advantage to some extent	419	8.9%
4	Neither an advantage nor a disadvantage	189	4.0%
5	A disadvantage to some extent	241	5.1%
6	Great disadvantage	92	2.0%
7	Very great disadvantage	44	0.9%
97	No answer	17	0.4%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv6: How important is immigration policy to you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important is immigration policy to you personally?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	152	3.2%
2	Important	466	9.9%
3	Somewhat important	440	9.4%
4	Slightly important	113	2.4%
5	Not important at all	15	0.3%
97	No answer	17	0.4%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv7: Party with the best immigration policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	210	4.5%
2	The Progress Party	306	6.5%

r7dv7: Party with the best immigration policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	The Conservative Party	158	3.4%
4	The Socialist Left Party	92	2.0%
5	The Centre Party	29	0.6%
6	The Christian Democrats	68	1.5%
7	The Liberal Party	59	1.3%
8	The Red Party	21	0.4%
9	The Green Party	6	0.1%
10	Other	14	0.3%
11	None	195	4.2%
97	No answer	45	1.0%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv7_10_other: Party with the best immigration policy, other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which party do you think has the best immigration policy?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran7: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1192 /-] [Invalid=3497 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	196	16.4%
2	2	208	17.4%
3	3	199	16.7%
4	4	186	15.6%
5	5	198	16.6%
6	6	205	17.2%
Sysmiss		3497	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex1_1: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: You disagree with all standpoints

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party where you strongly disagree with all their standpoints wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=1.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som du er dypt uenig med i alle saker ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til

r7dvex1_1: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: You disagree with all standpoints

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	27	0.6%
2	No	167	3.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4493	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex1_2: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Promotes undemocratic values

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that promotes undemocratic values wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=2.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som fremmer udemokratiske verdier ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til høs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	94	2.0%
2	No	105	2.2%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4481	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex1_3: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect democratic ground rules

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that does not respect democratic ground rules wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=3.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som ikke respekterer demokratiske spilleregler ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	95	2.0%
2	No	99	2.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4490	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex1_4: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect Norwegian legislation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that does not respect Norwegian legislation wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=4.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som ikke respekterer norsk lov ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til høsten

r7dvex1_4: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Does not respect Norwegian legislation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	119	2.5%
2	No	65	1.4%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4503	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex1_5: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Used violence to achieve its goals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that has used violence to achieve its goals wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=5.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som har brukt vold for å oppnå sine mål ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	134	2.9%
2	No	61	1.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4491	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex1_6: Should party be prohibited from standing for election: Responsible for a terrorist attack

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party that is responsible for a terrorist attack wishes to stand for election to the Storting in Norway in the autumn.
Literal question	Do you feel that such a party should be prohibited from standing for election?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran7=6.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti som er ansvarlig for et terrorangrep ønsker å stille til Stortingsvalg i Norge til h

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	135	2.9%
2	No	68	1.5%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4484	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby5: How often do you spot persons you believe/know to be asylum seekers during a normal week

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How often do you spot persons you believe or know to be asylum seekers where you go during a normal week?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Hvor ofte ser du personer du antar eller vet er asylsøkere der du ferdes i løpet av en vanlig uke?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	181	3.9%
2	Once a week	436	9.3%

r7dvby5: How often do you spot persons you believe/know to be asylum seekers during a normal week

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	2-3 times a week	523	11.2%
4	4-6 times a week	289	6.2%
5	Daily	536	11.4%
6	Several times a day	278	5.9%
97	No answer	63	1.3%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby6: Amount of contact with asylum seekers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know how much contact you have had with asylum seekers.
Literal question	Would you say that you have had....
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i hvor mye kontakt du har hatt med asylsøkere. Vil du si at du har hatt...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	647	13.8%
2	Very little contact	785	16.7%
3	A little contact	454	9.7%
4	Some contact	200	4.3%
5	Quite a bit of contact	76	1.6%
6	A lot of contact	53	1.1%
7	Quite a lot of contact	27	0.6%
97	No answer	64	1.4%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby7: Contact with asylum seekers has been positive/negative

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what degree have you found the contact you have had to be negative or positive.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4, and if R7DVBY6>1.]
Notes	Samlet sett, i hvilken grad har du opplevd den kontakten du har hatt som negativ eller positiv?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Exclusively negative	12	0.3%
2	Mostly negative	52	1.1%
3	Somewhat more negative than positive	88	1.9%
4	A mixture of negative and positive	347	7.4%
5	Somewhat more positive than negative	237	5.1%
6	Mostly positive	622	13.3%
7	Exclusively positive	204	4.4%
97	No answer	453	9.7%
98	Not asked	2674	57.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8: Has asylum seekers' centre in local area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Recently more asylum seekers' centres have been both established and closed down in Norway.
Literal question	Do you have an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Det har den siste tiden blitt både opprettet og lagt ned flere asylmottak i Norge. Har du et asylmottak i ditt nærmilj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	698	14.9%
2	No	1164	24.8%
3	Don't know	391	8.3%
97	No answer	53	1.1%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8aja: When was the asylum seekers' centre established?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was established?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Recently (last half of 2016)	10	0.2%
2	Earlier this year (first half of 2016)	36	0.8%
3	In the Autumn last year (last half of 2015)	71	1.5%
4	In the Spring last year (first half of 2015)	35	0.7%
5	2 years ago (approximately 2014)	33	0.7%
6	3 years ago (approximately 2013)	10	0.2%
7	4 years ago (approximately 2012)	16	0.3%
8	5 years ago (approximately 2011)	19	0.4%
9	6 years or more ago (approximately 2010 or earlier)	77	1.6%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4380	93.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8bja: Distance from asylum seekers' centre to where you live

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Approximately what distance is it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Asylum seekers' centre is next door	15	0.3%
2	1 km	48	1.0%
3	2 km	46	1.0%
4	3 km	44	0.9%
5	4 km	30	0.6%
6	5 km	37	0.8%

r7dvby8bja: Distance from asylum seekers' centre to where you live

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	More than 5 km	89	1.9%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4380	93.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8anei: Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Have you had an asylum seekers' centre in your local area previously?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8>1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	133	2.8%
2	No	434	9.3%
3	Don't know	197	4.2%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3895	83.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8aneija1: When was the asylum seekers' centre closed down?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Are you able to estimate when the asylum seekers' centre was closed down?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8aNEI=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Recently (last half of 2016)	21	0.4%
2	Earlier this year (first half of 2016)	36	0.8%
3	In the Autumn last year (last half of 2015)	10	0.2%
4	In the Spring last year (first half of 2015)	6	0.1%
5	2 years ago (approximately 2014)	12	0.3%
6	3 years ago (approximately 2013)	7	0.1%
7	4 years ago (approximately 2012)	7	0.1%
8	5 years ago (approximately 2011)	5	0.1%
9	6 years or more ago (approximately 2010 or earlier)	26	0.6%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4556	97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8aneija2: Distance from closed down asylum seekers' centre to where you live

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Approximately what distance was it from the Asylum seekers' centre to where you live?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and R7DVBY8aNEI=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Asylum seekers' centre was next door	10	0.2%
2	1 km	26	0.6%

r7dvby8aneija2: Distance from closed down asylum seekers' centre to where you live

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	2 km	22	0.5%
4	3 km	20	0.4%
5	4 km	14	0.3%
6	5 km	13	0.3%
7	More than 5 km	27	0.6%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4556	97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8aja1: Opinion about having asylum seekers' centre in local area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about having an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4, and if R7DVBY8=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is OK	395	8.4%
2	It is not OK	140	3.0%
3	It doesn't matter	159	3.4%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	3991	85.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby8anei1: Opinion about getting asylum seekers' centre in local area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about getting an asylum seekers' centre in your local area?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4, and if R7DVBY8>1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	It is OK	563	12.0%
2	It is not OK	484	10.3%
3	It doesn't matter	494	10.5%
97	No answer	67	1.4%
98	Not asked	3081	65.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_1: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Said hello

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Said hello to some of the asylum seekers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Hilst på noen av asylsøkerne. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ulike erfaringer m

r7dvby9_1: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Said hello

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	400	8.5%
2	No	472	10.1%
3	Not relevant	171	3.6%
97	No answer	60	1.3%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_2: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Contact via the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Had contact via the internet with asylum seekers (for example Facebook or Finn).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Hatt kontakt på internett med asylsøkere (f.eks. på Facebook eller Finn). (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	52	1.1%
2	No	792	16.9%
3	Not relevant	178	3.8%
97	No answer	81	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_3: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become friends with some

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Become friends with some of the asylum seekers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Blitt venn med noen av asylsøkerne. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ulike erfarin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	80	1.7%
2	No	789	16.8%
3	Not relevant	158	3.4%
97	No answer	76	1.6%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_4: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Recieved assistance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:

r7dvby9_4: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Recieved assistance

Literal question	Received assistance from one or more asylum seekers.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Fått hjelp av en eller flere asylsøkere. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ulike e

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	43	0.9%
2	No	815	17.4%
3	Not relevant	163	3.5%
97	No answer	82	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_5: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Become member of support group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Become a member of a support group for asylum seekers (for example on the net).
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Blitt med i støttegruppe for asylsøkere (f.eks. på nett). (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	55	1.2%
2	No	833	17.8%
3	Not relevant	136	2.9%
97	No answer	79	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_6: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Expressed scepticism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Expressed scepticism to asylum seekers to others.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Uttrykt skepsis overfor asylsøkerne til andre. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort seg ul

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	200	4.3%
2	No	711	15.2%
3	Not relevant	114	2.4%
97	No answer	78	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_7: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Avoided as far as possible

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

r7dvby9_7: Experience of receiving many asylum seekers recently: Avoided as far as possible

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway has received many asylum seekers recently. People have had different experiences of this. What have your experiences been? I have:
Literal question	Avoided the asylum seekers as far as possible.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Unngått asylsøkerne så langt det lar seg gjøre. (Norge har fått mange asylsøkere den siste tiden. Folk har gjort se

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Yes	70	1.5%
2	No	770	16.4%
3	Not relevant	184	3.9%
97	No answer	79	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby9_comment: Other experiences [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	I have other experiences.
Literal question	Please write:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby10_1: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Admiration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Admiration
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Beundring (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelse

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	To a very great extent	28	0.6%
2	To a great extent	146	3.1%
3	Somewhat	388	8.3%
4	Slightly	295	6.3%
5	Not at all	151	3.2%
97	No answer	95	2.0%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby10_2: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Sympathy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

r7dvby10_2: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Sympathy

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Sympathy
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Medfølelse (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	164	3.5%
2	To a great extent	457	9.7%
3	Somewhat	337	7.2%
4	Slightly	62	1.3%
5	Not at all	35	0.7%
97	No answer	48	1.0%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby10_3: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Anxiety

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Anxiety
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Angst (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelser om

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	8	0.2%
2	To a great extent	35	0.7%
3	Somewhat	146	3.1%
4	Slightly	448	9.6%
5	Not at all	379	8.1%
97	No answer	87	1.9%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby10_4: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Fear

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are now some questions about what you personally feel about asylum seekers. To what extent do you have the following feelings about asylum seekers as a group?
Literal question	Fear
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Frykt (Nå kommer noen spørsmål om hva du personlig føler om asylsøkere. I hvilken grad har du følgende følelser om

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	20	0.4%
2	To a great extent	32	0.7%
3	Somewhat	157	3.3%

r7dvby10_4: Feeling about asylum seekers as a group: Fear

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Slightly	433	9.2%
5	Not at all	379	8.1%
97	No answer	82	1.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby11_1: To what extent have you imagined what it is like arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent have you thought about or imagined what it is like to arrive in Norway as an asylum seeker?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	R7DVBY11_1 (I hvilken grad har du tenkt på og forestilt deg hvordan det føles å komme til Norge som asylsøker?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	188	4.0%
2	To a great extent	539	11.5%
3	Somewhat	1044	22.3%
4	Slightly	345	7.4%
5	Not at all	130	2.8%
97	No answer	60	1.3%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7padpilot1: For/against: EU-membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are now a couple of questions regarding EU membership for Norway.
Literal question	Firstly: Are you for or against?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	I am in favour of EU membership for Norway	249	5.3%
2	I am against EU membership for Norway	651	13.9%
3	Don't know	175	3.7%
97	No answer	28	0.6%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-144] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1082 /-] [Invalid=3607 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. A vignette is randomly selected from a population of 145 vignettes. The vignette is used in R7PADPILOT2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	12	1.1%
2	2	4	0.4%
3	3	7	0.6%
4	4	4	0.4%
5	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.	5	0.5%
6	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.	8	0.7%
7	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.	7	0.6%
8	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.	8	0.7%
9	9	3	0.3%
10	10	9	0.8%
11	11	12	1.1%
12	12	10	0.9%
13	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.	4	0.4%
14	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.	6	0.6%
15	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.	11	1.0%
16	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.	12	1.1%
17	17	6	0.6%
18	18	7	0.6%
19	19	9	0.8%
20	20	4	0.4%
21	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok.	9	0.8%
22	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok.	13	1.2%
23	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok.	5	0.5%
24	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok.	10	0.9%
25	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
26	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
27	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
28	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
29	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	10	0.9%
30	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	4	0.4%
31	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	8	0.7%
32	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 51% av ste	11	1.0%
33	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
34	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
35	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
36	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
37	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	8	0.7%

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	5	0.5%
39	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	6	0.6%
40	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 55% av ste	5	0.5%
41	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	12	1.1%
42	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
43	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
44	La oss si at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
45	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	12	1.1%
46	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	8	0.7%
47	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	9	0.8%
48	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at den vinnende siden fikk 70% av ste	9	0.8%
49	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
50	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
51	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	11	1.0%
52	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
53	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
54	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
55	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
56	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	9	0.8%
57	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
58	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
59	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
60	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	1	0.1%
61	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
62	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
63	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
64	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
65	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
66	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	15	1.4%
67	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	2	0.2%
68	La oss si at ja-siden fikk flertall.	10	0.9%
69	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	3	0.3%
70	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
71	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
72	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
73	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	14	1.3%
74	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
75	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
76	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	3	0.3%
77	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	7	0.6%

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
79	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
80	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
81	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
82	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
83	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
84	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
85	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
86	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	13	1.2%
87	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
88	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
89	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
90	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
91	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
92	La oss si at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
93	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
94	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
95	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
96	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at ja-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
97	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
98	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
99	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
100	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	12	1.1%
101	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
102	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
103	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
104	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	9	0.8%
105	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	11	1.0%
106	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	2	0.2%
107	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
108	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
109	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
110	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
111	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	12	1.1%
112	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
113	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
114	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	7	0.6%
115	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	14	1.3%

r7padpilot2_ran: R7PADPILOT2_ran

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
116	La oss si at nei-siden fikk flertall.	8	0.7%
117	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	4	0.4%
118	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	5	0.5%
119	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	11	1.0%
120	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk flertall.	6	0.6%
121	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
122	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
123	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
124	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
125	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
126	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
127	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
128	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 51% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
129	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
130	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	8	0.7%
131	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
132	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
133	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
134	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
135	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
136	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 55% av stemmene.	11	1.0%
137	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
138	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	7	0.6%
139	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
140	La oss si at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	9	0.8%
141	La oss si at 35% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	6	0.6%
142	La oss si at 47% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	10	0.9%
143	La oss si at 53% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
144	La oss si at 85% av befolkningen deltok og at nei-siden fikk 70% av stemmene.	5	0.5%
Sysmiss		3607	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7padpilot2: Should the government act according to result of advisory referendum even if majority in Storting disagree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested in examining what mandate the government needs to make important choices on behalf of the people. Imagine a new debate regarding EU membership for Norway and that an advisory referendum was held regarding the issue.

r7padpilot2: Should the government act according to result of advisory referendum even if majority in Storting disagree?

	^f('R7PADPILOT2_ran')^
Literal question	In such a case the government must act according to the result of the referendum even if the majority in the Storting disagree?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3. Experiment using vignettes. The question wording varies in accordance with the vignette that is randomly selected in R7PADPILOT2_ran.]
Notes	Vi er interessert i å undersøke hvilket mandat regjeringen trenger for å ta viktige valg på vegne av folket. Se for d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	817	17.4%
2	No	248	5.3%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv29_1: Statement: Begging should be prohibited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Begging should be prohibited in Norway.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Tigging bør være forbudt i Norge. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. Hvor enig eller uenig er du i dem?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	288	6.1%
2	Agree	256	5.5%
3	Somewhat agree	209	4.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	147	3.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	99	2.1%
6	Disagree	128	2.7%
7	Strongly disagree	51	1.1%
97	No answer	25	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv29_2: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Norske muslimer er mer lojale overfor andre muslimer i verden enn overfor folk i dette landet. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	125	2.7%
2	Agree	191	4.1%
3	Somewhat agree	238	5.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	345	7.4%

r7dv29_2: Statement: Norwegian Muslims have greater loyalty to other Muslims in the world than to people in this country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	119	2.5%
6	Disagree	132	2.8%
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.5%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv29_3: Statement: Norway will lose its identity if more Muslims

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Please look at the statements below. To what extent do you agree or disagree with them?
Literal question	Norway will end up losing its identity if more Muslims are allowed to live here.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Norge kommer til å miste sin identitet hvis flere muslimer kommer for å bo her. (Se på utsagnene nedenfor. Hvor enig e

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	138	2.9%
2	Agree	135	2.9%
3	Somewhat agree	233	5.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	157	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	129	2.8%
6	Disagree	268	5.7%
7	Strongly disagree	112	2.4%
97	No answer	31	0.7%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dv39: How often do you think/read/talk about something related to 22. July?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	It's now been more than five years since the attacks on the government quarter (Regjeringskvartalet) and Utøya of 22 July 2011.
Literal question	As for you personally, how often would you say that you now think about, read or talk about something that is related to 22 July?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Nå har det gått mer enn fem år siden angrepene på regjeringskvartalet og Utøya 22. juli 2011. Når det gjelder deg p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	4	0.1%
2	Weekly	63	1.3%
3	A couple of times a month	261	5.6%
4	Less often, but it happens	732	15.6%
5	Never	113	2.4%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby3_1: Extent of fear of new terrorist attack in Norway

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you fear that there will be a new terrorist attack in Norway?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	R7DVBY3_1 (I hvor stor grad er du redd for at vi skal oppleve et nytt terrorangrep i Norge?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To a very great extent	94	2.0%
2	To a great extent	326	7.0%
3	Somewhat	948	20.2%
4	Slightly	769	16.4%
5	Not at all	117	2.5%
97	No answer	52	1.1%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvby4: Danger of terrorist attack become greater/less last couple of years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In your experience, has the danger of a terrorist attack become greater or less during the last couple of years, or has there been no change?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 OR u=4.]
Notes	Slik du opplever det, har terrorfaren i Norge blitt større eller mindre de siste par årene, eller har det ikke vært no

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Greater	1195	25.5%
2	Less	90	1.9%
3	No change to the danger of terror	961	20.5%
97	No answer	60	1.3%
98	Not asked	2383	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad9_1: Statement: Can in general rely on the Supreme Court taking the right decisions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	We can in general rely on the Supreme Court of Norway taking the right decisions.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Vi kan som regel stole på at Norges Høyesterett tar riktige avgjørelser. (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad er du enig ell

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	187	4.0%
2	Agree	609	13.0%
3	Somewhat agree	147	3.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	76	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	38	0.8%
6	Disagree	13	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	1	0.0%

r7pad9_1: Statement: Can in general rely on the Supreme Court taking the right decisions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	32	0.7%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad9_2: Statement: Power of the Supreme Court in controversial cases must be reduced

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	The power of the Supreme Court of Norway to decide some types of controversial cases must be reduced.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bør reduseres. (Generelt sett, i hvilken gra

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	19	0.4%
2	Agree	87	1.9%
3	Somewhat agree	138	2.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	351	7.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	104	2.2%
6	Disagree	284	6.1%
7	Strongly disagree	77	1.6%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad9_3: Statement: Supreme Court favours some groups

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	The decisions from the Supreme Court of Norway favour some groups more than others.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre. (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad er du eni

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	14	0.3%
2	Agree	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	142	3.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	450	9.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	79	1.7%
6	Disagree	259	5.5%
7	Strongly disagree	79	1.7%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad9_4: Statement: Abolish Supreme Court if it makes too many decisions most people disagree with

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad9_4: Statement: Abolish Supreme Court if it makes too many decisions most people disagree with

Pre-question	In general, to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	If the Supreme Court of Norway starts to make too many decisions most people disagree with, it might be an advantage to abolish the court altogether.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3.]
Notes	Dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, kan det være like greit å avsk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	18	0.4%
2	Agree	39	0.8%
3	Somewhat agree	112	2.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	213	4.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	141	3.0%
6	Disagree	328	7.0%
7	Strongly disagree	214	4.6%
97	No answer	38	0.8%
98	Not asked	3586	76.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran31: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=3609 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	177	16.4%
2	2	173	16.0%
3	3	184	17.0%
4	4	187	17.3%
5	5	214	19.8%
6	6	145	13.4%
Sysmiss		3609	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11a: Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided these children have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=1.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	18	0.4%
2	Acceptable	64	1.4%
3	Somewhat acceptable	38	0.8%
4	Not very acceptable	41	0.9%
5	Not acceptable at all	14	0.3%

r7pad11a: Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4512	96.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11b: Acceptable: Decision, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided these children do not have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=2.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	20	0.4%
2	Acceptable	51	1.1%
3	Somewhat acceptable	39	0.8%
4	Not very acceptable	52	1.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	11	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4516	96.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11c: Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that these children have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=3.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	33	0.7%
2	Acceptable	68	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	27	0.6%
4	Not very acceptable	36	0.8%
5	Not acceptable at all	15	0.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4505	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11d: Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

r7pad11d: Acceptable: Unanimous, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that these children do not have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=4.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	22	0.5%
2	Acceptable	57	1.2%
3	Somewhat acceptable	36	0.8%
4	Not very acceptable	50	1.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	19	0.4%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4502	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11e: Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that these children have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=5.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et kna

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	25	0.5%
2	Acceptable	98	2.1%
3	Somewhat acceptable	42	0.9%
4	Not very acceptable	27	0.6%
5	Not acceptable at all	17	0.4%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4475	95.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad11f: Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	There are many immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents in Norway. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that these children do not have a right to remain in Norway if the parents are deported.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran31=6.]
Notes	Det finnes flere innvandrerbarn som har foreldre som oppholder seg ulovlig i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et kna

r7pad11f: Acceptable: Bare majority, immigrant children of parents that are illegal residents not right to remain if parents deported

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	17	0.4%
2	Acceptable	49	1.0%
3	Somewhat acceptable	34	0.7%
4	Not very acceptable	36	0.8%
5	Not acceptable at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4544	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran32: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=3609 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	201	18.6%
2	2	164	15.2%
3	3	181	16.8%
4	4	186	17.2%
5	5	171	15.8%
6	6	177	16.4%
Sysmiss		3609	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12a: Acceptable: Decision, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that employers should be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=1.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at arb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	10	0.2%
2	Acceptable	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	33	0.7%
4	Not very acceptable	58	1.2%
5	Not acceptable at all	53	1.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4488	95.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12b: Acceptable: Decision, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad12b: Acceptable: Decision, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that employers are not to be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=2.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at arb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	51	1.1%
2	Acceptable	67	1.4%
3	Somewhat acceptable	13	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	17	0.4%
5	Not acceptable at all	10	0.2%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4525	96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12c: Acceptable: Unanimous, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that employers should be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=3.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig a

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	6	0.1%
2	Acceptable	40	0.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	23	0.5%
4	Not very acceptable	58	1.2%
5	Not acceptable at all	47	1.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4508	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12d: Acceptable: Unanimous, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that employers should not be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=4.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig a

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	66	1.4%
2	Acceptable	70	1.5%

r7pad12d: Acceptable: Unanimous, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat acceptable	15	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	19	0.4%
5	Not acceptable at all	12	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4503	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12e: Acceptable: Bare majority, employers allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that employers should be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=5.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg Høyesterett ved et knapt flerta

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	7	0.1%
2	Acceptable	40	0.9%
3	Somewhat acceptable	33	0.7%
4	Not very acceptable	42	0.9%
5	Not acceptable at all	45	1.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4518	96.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad12f: Acceptable: Bare majority, employers not allowed to read texts on phones issued by the company

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many employers give their employees mobile phones to use for work. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that employers should not be allowed to read all the text messages and e-mails contained on the telephones issued to the employees by the company.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran32=6.]
Notes	Flere arbeidsgivere gir sine ansatte mobiltelefon som skal brukes til arbeid. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et knapt fle

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	57	1.2%
2	Acceptable	72	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	15	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	14	0.3%
5	Not acceptable at all	12	0.3%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4512	96.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran33: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]

r7ran33: [Randomizes if u=3.]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=3609 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	189	17.5%
2	2	177	16.4%
3	3	156	14.4%
4	4	163	15.1%
5	5	194	18.0%
6	6	201	18.6%
Sysmiss		3609	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13a: Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=1.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at personer som er involv

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	4	0.1%
2	Acceptable	16	0.3%
3	Somewhat acceptable	19	0.4%
4	Not very acceptable	80	1.7%
5	Not acceptable at all	64	1.4%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4500	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13b: Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are not to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=2.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett besluttet at personer som er involv

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	69	1.5%
2	Acceptable	71	1.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	13	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	12	0.3%
5	Not acceptable at all	7	0.1%

r7pad13b: Acceptable: Decision, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4512	96.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13c: Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=3.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig avgjørelse beslutte

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	2	0.0%
2	Acceptable	16	0.3%
3	Somewhat acceptable	24	0.5%
4	Not very acceptable	65	1.4%
5	Not acceptable at all	44	0.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4533	96.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13d: Acceptable: Unanimous, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court unanimously decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are not to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=4.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett i en enstemmig avgjørelse beslutte

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	76	1.6%
2	Acceptable	57	1.2%
3	Somewhat acceptable	14	0.3%
4	Not very acceptable	6	0.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	5	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4526	96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13e: Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad13e: Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes allowed to go to court before mediation

Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=5.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et knapt flertall på tre mot t

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	3	0.1%
2	Acceptable	23	0.5%
3	Somewhat acceptable	28	0.6%
4	Not very acceptable	82	1.7%
5	Not acceptable at all	51	1.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4495	95.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad13f: Acceptable: Bare majority, neighbour disputes not allowed to go to court before mediation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Many thousands of neighbour disputes arise in Norway every year. Imagine that the Supreme Court by a bare majority of three against two judges decided that the people involved in neighbour disputes (for example the height of trees and fences) are not to be allowed to go directly to the courts without first having tried to resolve the conflict by mediation.
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think this would be an acceptable decision by the Supreme Court?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran33=6.]
Notes	Hvert år oppstår det tusenvis av nabokonflikter i Norge. Se for deg at Høyesterett ved et knapt flertall på tre mot t

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very acceptable	82	1.7%
2	Acceptable	76	1.6%
3	Somewhat acceptable	26	0.6%
4	Not very acceptable	7	0.1%
5	Not acceptable at all	3	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4488	95.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran17: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1184 /-] [Invalid=3505 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	235	19.8%
2	2	221	18.7%
3	3	245	20.7%
4	4	225	19.0%
5	5	258	21.8%
Sysmiss		3505	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1a: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Britain

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In Britain it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=1.]
Notes	I Storbritannia er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og dom

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	35	0.7%
2	Agree	46	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	67	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	20	0.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	22	0.5%
6	Disagree	27	0.6%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4454	95.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1b: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Denmark

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In Denmark it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=2.]
Notes	I Danmark er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstolsb

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	37	0.8%
2	Agree	42	0.9%
3	Somewhat agree	57	1.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	19	0.4%
5	Somewhat disagree	20	0.4%
6	Disagree	35	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	8	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4468	95.3%

r7pad1b: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Denmark

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1c: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in Germany

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In Germany it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=3.]
Notes	I Tyskland er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstols

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	32	0.7%
2	Agree	47	1.0%
3	Somewhat agree	66	1.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	29	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	27	0.6%
6	Disagree	25	0.5%
7	Strongly disagree	15	0.3%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4444	94.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1d: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in the USA

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the USA it has been suggested that the authorities should be given leave to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be potential terrorists. Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=4.]
Notes	I USA er det foreslått å gi myndighetene lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstolsbehan

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.7%
2	Agree	34	0.7%
3	Somewhat agree	59	1.3%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	25	0.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	23	0.5%
6	Disagree	32	0.7%

r7pad1d: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists, suggested in the USA

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4464	95.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad1e: Agree/disagree: Authorities given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that the authorities in Norway ask permission to detain (keep in detention without a formal charge and without trial) persons they believe might be involved in terrorist activity. Some are of the opinion that the authorities should be given leave because this might reduce the risk of terrorism, others are of the opinion that the authorities should not be given leave because this is a breach of the basic rule that everyone is innocent until proven guilty.
Literal question	To what extent do you agree or disagree to the authorities being given leave to detain persons they believe might be potential terrorists?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran17=5.]
Notes	Se for deg at myndighetene i Norge ber om lov til å internere (holde i forvaring uten formell siktelse og domstolsbehand

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	0.9%
2	Agree	53	1.1%
3	Somewhat agree	68	1.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	29	0.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	18	0.4%
6	Disagree	31	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4431	94.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_1: Done the last year to change society: Boycotted goods

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Boycotted goods.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Boikottet varer. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgende i et forsøk på å endre forhold i

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		911	19.4%
1	Boycotted goods.	269	5.7%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_2: Done the last year to change society: Purchased goods based on ethical/moral reasons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad2_2: Done the last year to change society: Purchased goods based on ethical/moral reasons

Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Purchased specific goods based on ethical, environmental or moral reasons.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Kjøpt bestemte varer av etiske, miljømessige eller moralske grunner. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort no

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		800	17.1%
1	Purchased specific goods based on ethical, environmental or moral reasons.	380	8.1%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_3: Done the last year to change society: Purchased Fairtrade products despite cheaper alternatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Purchased Fairtrade products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Kjøpt fairtrade-produkter selv om det fantes andre, billigere alternativer. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gj

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		815	17.4%
1	Purchased Fairtrade products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.	365	7.8%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_4: Done the last year to change society: Purchased organic products despite cheaper alternatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Chosen organic products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Valgt økologiske varer selv om det fantes andre, billigere alternativer. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		728	15.5%
1	Chosen organic products even though other cheaper alternatives were available.	452	9.6%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_5: Done the last year to change society: Reduced/cut the amount of meat in diet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]

r7pad2_5: Done the last year to change society: Reduced/cut the amount of meat in diet

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Reduced or cut the amount of meat in your diet.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Redusert eller kuttet kjøttforbruket ditt. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgende i et for

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		841	17.9%
1	Reduced or cut the amount of meat in your diet.	339	7.2%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_6: Done the last year to change society: Purchased fewer good than normally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Purchased fewer goods than you would as a norm.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Kjøpt færre varer enn du ellers ville. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgende i et forsø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		831	17.7%
1	Purchased fewer goods than you would as a norm.	349	7.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_7: Done the last year to change society: Purchased reusable products instead of new ones

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Purchased reusable products instead of new ones.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Handlet gjenbruksprodukter heller enn å kjøpe nytt. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av det følgend

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		832	17.7%
1	Purchased reusable products instead of new ones.	348	7.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_8: Done the last year to change society: Participated in protest against brand/shop

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7pad2_8: Done the last year to change society: Participated in protest against brand/shop

Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Participated in any protest actions against specific brands or shops.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Deltatt i aksjoner i protest mot bestemte varemerker eller forretninger. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1160	24.7%
1	Participated in any protest actions against specific brands or shops.	20	0.4%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad2_9: Done the last year to change society: Invested in company with a social profile you support

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	During the last 12 months have you performed any of the following in an attempt to change conditions in society. More than one answer possible
Literal question	Made investments in companies that have a social profile that you support.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Investert i selskaper som har en sosial profil som du støtter. (Har du i løpet av de siste 12 månedene gjort noe av de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1129	24.1%
1	Made investments in companies that have a social profile that you support.	51	1.1%
97	No answer	23	0.5%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad3_1: Statement: Politicians unable to do anything about problems in society, everyone must do their bit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	As politicians are unable to do anything about the problems in society, everyone must do their bit.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Siden politikerne ikke er i stand til å gjøre noe med samfunnsproblemene, må hver enkelt gjøre sitt. (I hvilken grad

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	33	0.7%
2	Agree	193	4.1%
3	Somewhat agree	363	7.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	242	5.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	111	2.4%
6	Disagree	179	3.8%
7	Strongly disagree	39	0.8%
97	No answer	43	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad3_2: Statement: Politicians' responsibility to ensure that our society is sustainable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	It is the politicians' responsibility, not individuals', to ensure that our society is sustainable.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Det er politikerne og ikke enkeltindividers ansvar at samfunnet vi lever i er bærekraftig. (I hvilken grad er du enig el

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	31	0.7%
2	Agree	124	2.6%
3	Somewhat agree	244	5.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	154	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	290	6.2%
6	Disagree	260	5.5%
7	Strongly disagree	58	1.2%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad3_3: Statement: Conscious decisions in our daily life can alter social development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	By making conscious decisions in our daily life, it is possible to alter social development.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Ved at hver enkelt tar bevisste valg i hverdagen, er det mulig å endre samfunnsutviklingen. (I hvilken grad er du enig e

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	2.6%
2	Agree	521	11.1%
3	Somewhat agree	366	7.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	93	2.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	39	0.8%
6	Disagree	14	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	9	0.2%
97	No answer	41	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad3_4: Statement: Naive to believe major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?
Literal question	It is naive to believe that major global challenges for society can be solved by single individuals' life choices.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]
Notes	Det er naivt å tro at store, globale samfunnsutfordringer kan løses gjennom enkeltindividers livsstilsvalg. (I hvilken

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	52	1.1%
2	Agree	175	3.7%
3	Somewhat agree	258	5.5%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	153	3.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	272	5.8%
6	Disagree	194	4.1%
7	Strongly disagree	50	1.1%
97	No answer	49	1.0%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran8: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=3513 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	232	19.7%
2	2	241	20.5%

r7ran8: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	239	20.3%
4	4	240	20.4%
5	5	224	19.0%
Sysmiss		3513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_1: Should party be banned: Abolish all production of oil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing all the production of oil along the Norwegian coast.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=1.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe all oljeproduksjon ved norskekysten.Sl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	51	1.1%
2	No	176	3.8%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4457	95.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_2: Should party be banned: Abolish free and fair elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing free and fair elections.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=2.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe frie og rettferdige valg. Slik du ser

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	175	3.7%
2	No	61	1.3%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4448	94.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_3: Should party be banned: Abolish freedom of the press

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing the freedom of the press.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=3.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe pressefriheten. Slik du ser det, bør

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	160	3.4%
2	No	75	1.6%

r7dvex2_3: Should party be banned: Abolish freedom of the press

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4450	94.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_4: Should party be banned: Abolish endorsement of the Convention on Human Rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing Norway's endorsement of the Convention on Human Rights.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=4.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe Norges tilslutning til Menneskerettigh

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	122	2.6%
2	No	110	2.3%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4449	94.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvex2_5: Should party be banned: Abolish the rights of minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Imagine that a political party desires to change Norway in a radical way by abolishing the rights of minorities.
Literal question	In your opinion, should such a party be banned?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran8=5.]
Notes	Forestill deg at et politisk parti ønsker å endre Norge radikalt ved å avskaffe minoriteters rettigheter.Slik du ser d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	120	2.6%
2	No	101	2.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4465	95.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran9: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=3513 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	282	24.0%
2	2	302	25.7%
3	3	313	26.6%
4	4	279	23.7%
Sysmiss		3513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvg_1: Statement: Believable that those who state sexual orientation as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state sexual orientation as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=1.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant når de oppgir seksue

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	7	0.1%	
2	Agree	39	0.8%	
3	Somewhat agree	52	1.1%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	104	2.2%	
5	Somewhat disagree	33	0.7%	
6	Disagree	31	0.7%	
7	Strongly disagree	13	0.3%	
97	No answer	3	0.1%	
98	Not asked	4407		94.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvg_2: Statement: Believable that the reason to seek asylum is true

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=2.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant om årsaken til at de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	8	0.2%	
2	Agree	68	1.5%	
3	Somewhat agree	74	1.6%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	48	1.0%	
5	Somewhat disagree	59	1.3%	
6	Disagree	29	0.6%	
7	Strongly disagree	13	0.3%	
97	No answer	3	0.1%	
98	Not asked	4387		93.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvg_3: Statement: Believable that those who state conversion to new religion as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:

r7dvg_3: Statement: Believable that those who state conversion to new religion as reason they are seeking asylum are telling the truth

Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state conversion to a new religion as the reason they have left their home country and are seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=3.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant når de oppgir konver

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	3	0.1%	
2	Agree	27	0.6%	
3	Somewhat agree	52	1.1%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	106	2.3%	
5	Somewhat disagree	50	1.1%	
6	Disagree	56	1.2%	
7	Strongly disagree	16	0.3%	
97	No answer	3	0.1%	
98	Not asked	4376		93.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvg_4: Statement: Believable that the asylum seekers who state that they are minors are telling the truth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement:
Literal question	There is reason to believe that most of those who state that they are minors when seeking asylum in Norway are telling the truth.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran9=4.]
Notes	Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende påstand: Det er grunn til å tro at de fleste snakker sant når de oppgir at de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	4	0.1%	
2	Agree	36	0.8%	
3	Somewhat agree	44	0.9%	
4	Neither agree nor disagree	69	1.5%	
5	Somewhat disagree	65	1.4%	
6	Disagree	36	0.8%	
7	Strongly disagree	23	0.5%	
97	No answer	2	0.0%	
98	Not asked	4410		94.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran10: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1175 /-] [Invalid=3514 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	1	182		15.5%
2	2	164		14.0%
3	3	170		14.5%
4	4	160		13.6%

r7ran10: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5	184	15.7%
6	6	166	14.1%
7	7	149	12.7%
Sysmiss		3514	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost1: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=1.]
Notes	Hvor stor kostnad eller gevinst vil du si at innvandring er for den norske velferdsstaten?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	15	0.3%
2	Large cost	42	0.9%
3	A certain cost	54	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	13	0.3%
5	A certain benefit	42	0.9%
6	Great benefit	14	0.3%
7	Very great benefit	0	
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4507	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost2: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the unemployment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 7%.
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=2.]
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger arbeidsledigheten blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 7%. Hvis du tar dette i betraktning, hvor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	22	0.5%
2	Large cost	30	0.6%
3	A certain cost	48	1.0%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	21	0.4%
5	A certain benefit	32	0.7%
6	Great benefit	8	0.2%
7	Very great benefit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4525	96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost3: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]

r7dvkost3: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the employment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 60%.
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=3.]
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger sysselsettingen blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 60%. Hvis du tar det i betraktning, hvor st

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	15	0.3%
2	Large cost	45	1.0%
3	A certain cost	58	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	8	0.2%
5	A certain benefit	30	0.6%
6	Great benefit	6	0.1%
7	Very great benefit	0	
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4519	96.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost4: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Expense

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the unemployment rate for immigrants means a significant expense for the Norwegian welfare state.
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=4.]
Notes	Som du kanskje vet fører arbeidsledighet blant innvandrere til en betydelig utgift for den norske velferdsstaten. Hvis d

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	18	0.4%
2	Large cost	50	1.1%
3	A certain cost	55	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	8	0.2%
5	A certain benefit	19	0.4%
6	Great benefit	5	0.1%
7	Very great benefit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4529	96.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost5: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the employment rate of immigrants means a significant income for the Norwegian welfare state.
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=5.]
Notes	Som du kanskje vet fører sysselsettingen av innvandrere i Norge til en betydelig inntekt for den norske velferdsstaten.

r7dvkost5: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	15	0.3%
2	Large cost	25	0.5%
3	A certain cost	46	1.0%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	33	0.7%
5	A certain benefit	43	0.9%
6	Great benefit	11	0.2%
7	Very great benefit	2	0.0%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4505	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost6: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Unemployment rate 7%, expense

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the unemployment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 7%. This means a significant expense for the Norwegian welfare state.
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=6.]
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger arbeidsledigheten blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 7%. Dette fører til en betydelig utgift

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	14	0.3%
2	Large cost	38	0.8%
3	A certain cost	56	1.2%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	20	0.4%
5	A certain benefit	28	0.6%
6	Great benefit	6	0.1%
7	Very great benefit	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4523	96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvkost7: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%, income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	You may well be aware that the employment rate for immigrants in Norway is around 60%. This means a significant income for the Norwegian welfare state.
Literal question	Taking this into account, how large would you say the cost or benefit of immigration is to the Norwegian welfare state?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran10=7.]
Notes	Som du kanskje vet ligger sysselsettingen blant innvandrere i Norge på rundt 60%. Dette fører til en betydelig inntekt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very large cost	13	0.3%
2	Large cost	27	0.6%
3	A certain cost	53	1.1%
4	Neither cost nor benefit	8	0.2%
5	A certain benefit	30	0.6%

r7dvkost7: How large cost/benefit is immigration to the welfare state? Employment rate 60%, income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Great benefit	13	0.3%
7	Very great benefit	2	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4540	96.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7dvinn: How mild/strict should Norway's immigration politics be?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How mild or strict should Norway's immigration politics be?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very mild	5	0.1%
2	Mild	55	1.2%
3	Somewhat mild	110	2.3%
4	Neither mild nor strict	194	4.1%
5	Somewhat strict	388	8.3%
6	Strict	293	6.2%
7	Very strict	116	2.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	3486	74.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nfc_1: Statement: Prefers complicated rather than easy tasks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I prefer complicated rather than easy tasks
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg foretrekker kompliserte fremfor lette oppgaver. (Nå kommer noen litt andre typer spørsmål.Hvor enig eller uenig er

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	54	1.2%
2	Agree	181	3.9%
3	Somewhat agree	224	4.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	163	3.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	70	1.5%
6	Disagree	37	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	88	1.9%
98	Not asked	3865	82.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nfc_2: Statement: Likes tasks where you come up with new solutions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7nfc_2: Statement: Likes tasks where you come up with new solutions

Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I really like tasks where the idea is to come up with new solutions.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg liker svært godt oppgaver som går ut på at man må komme opp med nye løsninger. (Nå kommer noen litt andre typer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	110	2.3%
2	Agree	289	6.2%
3	Somewhat agree	198	4.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	100	2.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	32	0.7%
6	Disagree	9	0.2%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	83	1.8%
98	Not asked	3865	82.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nfc_3: Statement: Would prefer a task that is intellectual rather than one needing little thought

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I would prefer a task that is intellectual and complex rather than one that needs little thought.
Interviewer's instructions	Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg ville foretrukket en oppgave som er intellektuell og innviklet fremfor en oppgave som krever lite tenking. (Nå komme

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	83	1.8%
2	Agree	235	5.0%
3	Somewhat agree	173	3.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	147	3.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	56	1.2%
6	Disagree	34	0.7%
7	Strongly disagree	5	0.1%
97	No answer	91	1.9%
98	Not asked	3865	82.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nte_1: Statement: Opinion about most things

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I have an opinion about most things
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NTE in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg har en mening om det aller meste. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	53	1.1%
2	Agree	190	4.1%

r7nte_1: Statement: Opinion about most things

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat agree	270	5.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	93	2.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	83	1.8%
6	Disagree	36	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	3	0.1%
97	No answer	76	1.6%
98	Not asked	3885	82.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7nte_2: Statement: Important to have a view on whether something is right/wrong

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements:
Literal question	I think it is important to have a view on whether something is right or wrong
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NTE in wave 5.]
Notes	Jeg synes det er viktig å mene om noe er rett eller galt. (Hvor enig eller uenig er du i følgende utsagn:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	108	2.3%
2	Agree	312	6.7%
3	Somewhat agree	179	3.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	77	1.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	36	0.8%
6	Disagree	15	0.3%
7	Strongly disagree	7	0.1%
97	No answer	70	1.5%
98	Not asked	3885	82.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k13: How often do you discuss politics with others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How often would you say that you discuss politics with others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	213	4.5%
2	Sometimes	413	8.8%
3	Rarely	196	4.2%
4	Never	24	0.5%
97	No answer	71	1.5%
98	Not asked	3772	80.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k33: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7k33: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week?

Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
Post-question	Mark one alternative.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.]
Notes	Hvilken av beskrivelsene under passer best på det du har gjort de siste 7 dagene?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Paid work (or temporarily absent)	541	11.5%
2	Studying (not paid for by an employer)	70	1.5%
3	Unemployed and actively seeking work	26	0.6%
4	Unemployed. Want a job, but not actively seeking work	10	0.2%
5	Permanently ill or disabled	32	0.7%
6	Retired	141	3.0%
7	Civilian or military service	1	0.0%
8	At home, looking after children or others	20	0.4%
9	Other	42	0.9%
97	No answer	83	1.8%
98	Not asked	3723	79.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k33_9_other: Which description best applies to what you have been doing the last week? Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following descriptions best applies to what you have been doing for the last 7 days.
Post-question	Mark one alternative.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R5NFC in wave 5.] [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]
Notes	Annet (Hvilken av beskrivelsene under passer best på det du har gjort de siste 7 dagene?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_1: What industry do you work in: Public central administration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
Notes	Offentlig sentralforvaltning/myndighet (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3406	72.6%
1	Public central administration/authority	275	5.9%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_2: What industry do you work in: Kindergarten/school/teaching

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
Notes	Barnehage/skole/undervisning (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3249	69.3%
1	Kindergarten/school/teaching	432	9.2%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_3: What industry do you work in: Health services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3259	69.5%
1	Health services	422	9.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_4: What industry do you work in: Social services/child protection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3600	76.8%
1	Social services/child protection	81	1.7%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

r7k34_5: What industry do you work in: Nursing and care services

Notes	Pleie- og omsorgstjenester (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3527	75.2%
1	Nursing and care services	154	3.3%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_6: What industry do you work in: Defence/police/judicial system/security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
Notes	Forsvar/politi/rettsvesen/vakthold (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3586	76.5%
1	Defence/police/judicial system/security	95	2.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_7: What industry do you work in: Agriculture/forestry/fishing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3560	75.9%
1	Agriculture/forestry/fishing	121	2.6%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3451	73.6%
1	Industry/technology	230	4.9%
97	No answer	42	0.9%

r7k34_8: What industry do you work in: Industry/technology

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_9: What industry do you work in: Building/construction

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3422	73.0%
1	Building/construction	259	5.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_10: What industry do you work in: Retailing/shop

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3512	74.9%
1	Retailing/shop	169	3.6%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_11: What industry do you work in: Transport/communications

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3515	75.0%
1	Transport/communications	166	3.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7k34_12: What industry do you work in: Culture/sport/organisations

	Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
	Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
	Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
	Notes	Kultur/idrett/organisasjoner (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3552	75.8%
1	Cultur/sport/organisations	129	2.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_13: What industry do you work in: Media/advertising

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
	Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
	Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
	Notes	Media/reklame/PR/informasjon (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3598	76.7%
1	Media/advertising/PR/information	83	1.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_14: What industry do you work in: Research/analysis

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
	Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
	Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3536	75.4%
1	Research/analysis	145	3.1%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
	Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
	Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
	Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

r7k34_15: What industry do you work in: Travel/hotels

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		3634		77.5%
1	Travels/hotels	47	1.0%	
97	No answer	42	0.9%	
98	Not asked	966	20.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_16: What industry do you work in: Restaurants/catering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		3645		77.7%
1	Restaurants/catering	36	0.8%	
97	No answer	42	0.9%	
98	Not asked	966	20.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_17: What industry do you work in: Telecommunications/IT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		3527		75.2%
1	Telecommunications/IT	154	3.3%	
97	No answer	42	0.9%	
98	Not asked	966	20.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_18: What industry do you work in: Banking/insurance/finance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0		3562		76.0%
1	Banking/insurance/finance	119	2.5%	
97	No answer	42	0.9%	
98	Not asked	966	20.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_19: What industry do you work in: Commercial services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
Notes	Forretningsmessig service-/tjenesteyting (Hvilken bransje arbeider du (din bedrift) innenfor?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3505	74.7%
1	Commercial services	176	3.8%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_20: What industry do you work in: Oil/gas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3517	75.0%
1	Oil/gas	164	3.5%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_21: What industry do you work in: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2790	59.5%
1	Other	891	19.0%
97	No answer	42	0.9%
98	Not asked	966	20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k34_21_other: What industry do you work in: Other [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	What industry do you (your company) work in?
Post-question	It is possible to mark more than one answer.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have answered on R6K33 in wave 6.]
	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7k35: Which description best fits the area you live in?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Which description best fits the area you live in?
Post-question	We are thinking about Norwegian conditions here.
Interviewer's instructions	[Only asked to respondents that have not answered on R6K35 in wave 5.]
Notes	Hvilken beskrivelse passer best på området du bor i? Vi tenker her på norske forhold.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A city	225	4.8%
2	A suburb or the outskirts of a city	185	3.9%
3	A small or medium sized town	240	5.1%
4	A village	137	2.9%
5	A sparsely inhabited area	103	2.2%
97	No answer	81	1.7%
98	Not asked	3718	79.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran24: [All respondents are randomized.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4581 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents are randomized.]

r7ran24: [All respondents are randomized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	789	17.2%
2	2	778	17.0%
3	3	748	16.3%
4	4	762	16.6%
5	5	743	16.2%
6	6	761	16.6%
Sysmiss		108	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran24_1: [Randomizes if ran24=1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=789 /-] [Invalid=3900 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if ran24=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	250	31.7%
2	2	259	32.8%
3	3	280	35.5%
Sysmiss		3900	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc1: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=1.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange.Norg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	41	0.9%
2	1	167	3.6%
3	2	37	0.8%
4	3	3	0.1%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4439	94.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc1a: Should Norway allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=1.]

ocr7matc1a: Should Norway allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	159	3.4%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	80	1.7%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4439	94.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc2: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=2.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	174	3.7%
2	1	462	9.9%
3	2	121	2.6%
4	3	11	0.2%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	3911	83.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc2a: Should Norway allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	428	9.1%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	316	6.7%
97	No answer	34	0.7%
98	Not asked	3911	83.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc3: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment

ocr7matc3: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=2.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. No

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	48	1.0%
2	1	164	3.5%
3	2	40	0.9%
4	3	4	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4430	94.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc3a: Should Norway allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=2.]
Notes	Synes du Norge bør la immigranter fra muslimske land komme for å bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	157	3.3%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	88	1.9%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4430	94.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc4: Agree with how many of these three statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following three statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=3.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de tre følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. No

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	44	0.9%
2	1	191	4.1%
3	2	43	0.9%
4	3	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4409	94.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matc4a: Should Norway allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

ocr7matc4a: Should Norway allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=1 AND ran24_1=3.]
Notes	Synes du Norge bør la flyktninger fra muslimske land komme for å bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Allow to come and live here	186	4.0%
2	Do not allow to come and live here	89	1.9%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4409	94.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt1: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Muslim countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=3.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	73	1.6%
2	1	288	6.1%
3	2	314	6.7%
4	3	47	1.0%
5	4	10	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	3941	84.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt2: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Eastern European countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow people from Eastern European countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=4.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	121	2.6%
2	1	338	7.2%

ocr7matt2: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, people from Eastern European countries live here

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	2	263		5.6%
4	3	30		0.6%
5	4	0		
97	No answer	10		0.2%
98	Not asked	3927		83.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt3: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, immigrants from Muslim countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow immigrants from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=5.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	0	103		2.2%
2	1	312		6.7%
3	2	283		6.0%
4	3	30		0.6%
5	4	4		0.1%
97	No answer	11		0.2%
98	Not asked	3946		84.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7matt4: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, refugees from Muslim countries live here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Of the following four statements, how many of them do you agree with? We don't want to know which statements, just how many.
Literal question	Norway should increase in assistance to the poor Norway should decrease the tax on petrol and diesel Norway should allow large corporations to pollute the environment Norway should allow refugees from Muslim countries to come and live here
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if ran24=6.]
Notes	Hvor mange av de fire følgende påstandene er du enig i? Vi ønsker ikke å vite hvilke påstander, bare hvor mange. N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	0	89		1.9%
2	1	281		6.0%
3	2	346		7.4%
4	3	32		0.7%

ocr7matt4: Agree with how many of these four statements: Increase assistance to the poor, decrease tax on fuel, allow large corporations to pollute, refugees from Muslim countries live here

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	4	10	0.2%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	3928	83.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran25: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=3611 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	207	19.2%
2	2	237	22.0%
3	3	211	19.6%
4	4	213	19.8%
5	5	210	19.5%
Sysmiss		3611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran26: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=3611 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	212	19.7%
2	2	216	20.0%
3	3	197	18.3%
4	4	220	20.4%
5	5	233	21.6%
Sysmiss		3611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran27: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=3611 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	202	18.7%
2	2	209	19.4%
3	3	235	21.8%
4	4	231	21.4%
5	5	201	18.6%
Sysmiss		3611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran28: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=3611 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	233	21.6%
2	2	205	19.0%
3	3	203	18.8%
4	4	213	19.8%
5	5	224	20.8%
Sysmiss		3611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran29: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=3611 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	223	20.7%
2	2	187	17.3%
3	3	229	21.2%
4	4	230	21.3%
5	5	209	19.4%
Sysmiss		3611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran30: [Randomizes if u=3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1078 /-] [Invalid=3611 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=3]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	199	18.5%
2	2	209	19.4%
3	3	224	20.8%
4	4	214	19.9%
5	5	232	21.5%
Sysmiss		3611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_1: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=1.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Mener du at denne etterforskningen av den russisk

ocr7marinove1_1: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	67	1.4%
2	Somewhat appropriate	33	0.7%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	15	0.3%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	5	0.1%
5	Not at all appropriate	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	81	1.7%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4482	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_2: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. This put the Russian energy group under pressure and gave its European competitors an advantage.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=2.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Dette satt det russiske energikonsernet under pr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	60	1.3%
2	Somewhat appropriate	51	1.1%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	27	0.6%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	7	0.1%
5	Not at all appropriate	8	0.2%
6	Do not know	74	1.6%
7	Not specified	5	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4452	94.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_3: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure according to Gazprom/ Russian media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. According to Gazprom and Russian media, this put the Russian energy group under pressure and gave its European competitors an advantage.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=3.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Ifølge Gazprom og russiske medier satte dette de

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	53	1.1%
2	Somewhat appropriate	44	0.9%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	22	0.5%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	1	0.0%

ocr7marinove1_3: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Put the business under pressure according to Gazprom/ Russian media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all appropriate	4	0.1%
6	Do not know	78	1.7%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4478	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_4: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. There are indications that Gazprom set unfair prices for Eastern European countries.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=4.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Det finnes indikasjoner på at Gazprom fastsatte

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	64	1.4%
2	Somewhat appropriate	37	0.8%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	19	0.4%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	4	0.1%
5	Not at all appropriate	4	0.1%
6	Do not know	74	1.6%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4476	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove1_5: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries according to antitrust authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In April 2015, the European Union opened an investigation into the business practices of Gazprom. According to the European antitrust authorities and other European institutions, there are indications that Gazprom set unfair prices for Eastern European countries.
Literal question	In your opinion, is this investigation into the Russian energy giant Gazprom appropriate?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran25=5.]
Notes	I april 2015 innledet EU etterforskning av Gazproms forretningspraksis. Ifølge EUs antitrustmyndigheter og andre EU-inst

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very appropriate	74	1.6%
2	Somewhat appropriate	48	1.0%
3	Neither appropriate, nor inappropriate	12	0.3%
4	Somewhat inappropriate	2	0.0%
5	Not at all appropriate	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	65	1.4%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%

ocr7marinove1_5: Appropriate to investigate Gazprom: Unfair prices for Eastern European countries according to antitrust authorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4479	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_1: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=1.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Hvordan vurderer du det øk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	20	0.4%
2	Somewhat positively	42	0.9%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	35	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	58	1.2%
5	Very negatively	27	0.6%
6	Do not know	26	0.6%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4477	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_2: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. Such a rapid increase may escalate small incidents into regional wars.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=2.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. En slik rask økning kan f

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	7	0.1%
2	Somewhat positively	39	0.8%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	36	0.8%
4	Somewhat negatively	62	1.3%
5	Very negatively	41	0.9%
6	Do not know	25	0.5%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4473	95.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_3: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: May escalate small incidents, pointed out by Russian experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. Russian experts point out that such a rapid increase could escalate even small incidents into regional wars.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=3.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Russiske eksperter peker p

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very positively	10	0.2%	
2	Somewhat positively	34	0.7%	
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	28	0.6%	
4	Somewhat negatively	53	1.1%	
5	Very negatively	51	1.1%	
6	Do not know	15	0.3%	
7	Not specified	1	0.0%	
97	No answer	5	0.1%	
98	Not asked	4492		95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_4: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. This action is designed to support NATO allies in the face of Russian aggression in Eastern Ukraine.
Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=4.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Denne aksjonen er utformet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very positively	23	0.5%	
2	Somewhat positively	58	1.2%	
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	38	0.8%	
4	Somewhat negatively	53	1.1%	
5	Very negatively	23	0.5%	
6	Do not know	19	0.4%	
7	Not specified	3	0.1%	
97	No answer	3	0.1%	
98	Not asked	4469		95.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove2_5: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies, pointed out by US State Department

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In the near future, NATO will increase troops and military equipment in Eastern Europe significantly. As the US State Department pointed out, this action is designed to support NATO allies in the face of Russian aggression in Eastern Ukraine.

ocr7marinove2_5: Rating larger military presence Eastern Europe: Designed to support NATO allies, pointed out by US State Department

Literal question	How would you rate the larger NATO military presence in Eastern Europe?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran26=5.]
Notes	I nær fremtid vil NATO øke militære styrker og militært utstyr i Øst-Europa betraktelig. Som det amerikanske utenrik

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	15	0.3%
2	Somewhat positively	56	1.2%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	34	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	58	1.2%
5	Very negatively	39	0.8%
6	Do not know	25	0.5%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4456	95.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_1: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=1.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Hvordan vil du vurdere protes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	25	0.5%
2	Somewhat positively	37	0.8%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	34	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	7	0.1%
5	Very negatively	5	0.1%
6	Do not know	79	1.7%
7	Not specified	8	0.2%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4487	95.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_2: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign. These protests and the subsequent events have been called a coup, using the guise of promoting democracy to expand the sphere of influence of the West.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=2.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Disse protestene og de etterf

ocr7marinove3_2: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	26	0.6%
2	Somewhat positively	39	0.8%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	35	0.7%
4	Somewhat negatively	18	0.4%
5	Very negatively	6	0.1%
6	Do not know	71	1.5%
7	Not specified	4	0.1%
97	No answer	10	0.2%
98	Not asked	4480	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_3: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Coup to expand the sphere of influence of the West, according to Russian leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign. The Russian leadership has called these protests and the subsequent events a coup, using the guise of promoting democracy to expand the sphere of influence of the West.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=3.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Det russiske lederskapet har k

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	33	0.7%
2	Somewhat positively	68	1.5%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	41	0.9%
4	Somewhat negatively	5	0.1%
5	Very negatively	10	0.2%
6	Do not know	65	1.4%
7	Not specified	4	0.1%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4454	95.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_4: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign. The protests against Yanukovych were undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective for Ukraine.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=4.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Disse protestene mot Yanukovyc

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	84	1.8%

ocr7marinove3_4: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat positively	70	1.5%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	20	0.4%
4	Somewhat negatively	5	0.1%
5	Very negatively	1	0.0%
6	Do not know	40	0.9%
7	Not specified	4	0.1%
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4458	95.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove3_5: Evaluation of protests that led to the resignation of Yanukovych: Undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective, noted by Norwegian/Western politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	In March 2014, mass demonstrations forced Ukrainian President Yanukovych to resign. Norwegian and other Western politicians have noted that the protests against Yanukovych were undertaken by the Ukrainian people, led to free elections and opened a European perspective for Ukraine.
Literal question	How would you evaluate the protests that led to the resignation of President Yanukovych?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran27=5.]
Notes	I mars 2014 tvang massedemonstrasjoner den ukrainske presidenten Yanukovych til å gå av. Norske og andre vestlige polit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positively	49	1.0%
2	Somewhat positively	54	1.2%
3	Neither positively, nor negatively	26	0.6%
4	Somewhat negatively	11	0.2%
5	Very negatively	6	0.1%
6	Do not know	47	1.0%
7	Not specified	3	0.1%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4488	95.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_1: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=1.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. Hvor troverdig mener du NATOs fo

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	13	0.3%
2	Rather reliable	70	1.5%
3	Partly reliable	70	1.5%
4	Rather unreliable	30	0.6%

ocr7marinove4_1: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all reliable	3	0.1%
6	Do not know	40	0.9%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4456	95.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_2: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. However, Western allies have been increasingly critical of the policies of the Turkish President Erdogan. NATO could therefore hesitate to intervene if Turkey gets in a military conflict with Russia over Syria.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=2.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. Vestlige allierte har imidlertid

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	11	0.2%
2	Rather reliable	57	1.2%
3	Partly reliable	52	1.1%
4	Rather unreliable	31	0.7%
5	Not at all reliable	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	41	0.9%
7	Not specified	5	0.1%
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4484	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_3: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies, argued by Russian government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. However, Western allies have been increasingly critical of the policies of the Turkish President Erdogan. The Russian government has argued that NATO could therefore hesitate to intervene if Turkey gets in a military conflict with Russia over Syria.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=3.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. Vestlige allierte har imidlertid

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	17	0.4%
2	Rather reliable	48	1.0%
3	Partly reliable	62	1.3%
4	Rather unreliable	12	0.3%
5	Not at all reliable	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	53	1.1%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%

ocr7marinove4_3: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Could hesitate because of Erdogans policies, argued by Russian government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4486	95.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_4: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. In the history of NATO, there has never been a case in which the Alliance has neglected its obligations and refused to support a member.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=4.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. I NATOs historie har det aldri v

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	25	0.5%
2	Rather reliable	66	1.4%
3	Partly reliable	56	1.2%
4	Rather unreliable	21	0.4%
5	Not at all reliable	3	0.1%
6	Do not know	38	0.8%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4476	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove4_5: Reliability commitment of NATO to assist Turkey: Alliance never neglected its obligations, pointed out by NATO officials

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Turkey relies on the support of NATO in case of a military conflict with Russia. NATO officials point out that, in the history of NATO, there has never been a case in which the Alliance has neglected its obligations and refused to support a member.
Literal question	How reliable do you think is the commitment of NATO to assist Turkey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran28=5.]
Notes	Tyrkia er avhengig av støtte fra NATO ved en eventuell militær konflikt med Russland. NATO-tjenestemenn påpeker at i N

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very reliable	27	0.6%
2	Rather reliable	66	1.4%
3	Partly reliable	66	1.4%
4	Rather unreliable	14	0.3%
5	Not at all reliable	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	46	1.0%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4465	95.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_1: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm.
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=1.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	10	0.2%
2	Often	49	1.0%
3	Sometimes	79	1.7%
4	Seldom	41	0.9%
5	Never	4	0.1%
6	Do not know	35	0.7%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4466	95.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_2: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. Because media publishing information on the issue can be accused of racism, press-freedom in Scandinavia has suffered.
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=2.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	3	0.1%
2	Often	43	0.9%
3	Sometimes	78	1.7%
4	Seldom	32	0.7%
5	Never	2	0.0%
6	Do not know	26	0.6%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	2	0.0%
98	Not asked	4502	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_3: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered, suggested by Sputnik

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. Sputnik, the news agency founded by the Russian

ocr7marinove5_3: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: Can be accused of racism, press-freedom has suffered, suggested by Sputnik

	government, has suggested that because media publishing information on the issue can be accused of racism, press-freedom in Scandinavia has suffered.
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=3.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	8	0.2%
2	Often	47	1.0%
3	Sometimes	98	2.1%
4	Seldom	37	0.8%
5	Never	8	0.2%
6	Do not know	26	0.6%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	5	0.1%
98	Not asked	4460	95.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_4: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	It can be difficult to find reporting in the media about the dramatic challenges of integrating refugees and foreigners, such as those visible in migrant-populated Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. The Norwegian channel NRK has sent a team and offered an honest look at the conditions in those areas.
Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=4.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om de dramatiske utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	7	0.1%
2	Often	54	1.2%
3	Sometimes	92	2.0%
4	Seldom	45	1.0%
5	Never	6	0.1%
6	Do not know	23	0.5%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	3	0.1%
98	Not asked	4459	95.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove5_5: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look, pointed out by media experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	It is sometimes difficult to find reporting in the media about the challenges faced when integrating refugees and foreigners, visible in places such as Rinkeby and Husby areas of Stockholm. Media experts point to the fact that the Norwegian channel NRK has sent a team and offered an honest look at the conditions in those areas, as proof that media reporting is free in Norway.

ocr7marinove5_5: How often does media in Norway self-censor so as not to cast migrants in a bad light: NRK offered an honest look, pointed out by media experts

Literal question	How often do you think media in Norway self-censors its reporting so as not to cast migrants in a bad light?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran29=5.]
Notes	Det kan være vanskelig å finne reportasjer i mediene om utfordringene med å integrere flyktninger og utlendinger, synl

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Always	5	0.1%
2	Often	45	1.0%
3	Sometimes	85	1.8%
4	Seldom	27	0.6%
5	Never	5	0.1%
6	Do not know	35	0.7%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	7	0.1%
98	Not asked	4480	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_1: How justified is an increase in military spending

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=1.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	68	1.5%
2	Somewhat justified	64	1.4%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	14	0.3%
4	Somewhat unjustified	19	0.4%
5	Not at all justified	7	0.1%
6	Do not know	23	0.5%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4490	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_2: How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. Such an increase may provoke a troop buildup by Russia on its Western borders as a defensive reaction to Norway's increased capabilities.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=2.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

 # ocr7marinove6_2: How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	49	1.0%
2	Somewhat justified	67	1.4%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	26	0.6%
4	Somewhat unjustified	25	0.5%
5	Not at all justified	15	0.3%
6	Do not know	25	0.5%
7	Not specified	1	0.0%
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4480	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_3: How justified is an increase in military spending: May provoke a russian troop buildup, warned by Lavrov

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. Russian foreign minister Lavrov has warned that such an increase may provoke a troop buildup by Russia on its Western borders as a defensive reaction to Norway's increased capabilities.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=3.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	52	1.1%
2	Somewhat justified	74	1.6%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	22	0.5%
4	Somewhat unjustified	29	0.6%
5	Not at all justified	12	0.3%
6	Do not know	34	0.7%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	1	0.0%
98	Not asked	4465	95.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_4: How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. The measures are a necessary response to Russia's growing military presence in the Baltic Sea region and to the deteriorating security situation.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=4.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	88	1.9%
2	Somewhat justified	60	1.3%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	11	0.2%
4	Somewhat unjustified	21	0.4%
5	Not at all justified	12	0.3%
6	Do not know	16	0.3%
7	Not specified	0	
97	No answer	6	0.1%
98	Not asked	4475	95.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ocr7marinove6_5: How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region, argued by Norwegian security experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

ocr7marinove6_5: How justified is an increase in military spending: Necessary response to the Baltic Sea region, argued by Norwegian security experts

Pre-question	Norway's Ministry of Defense has proposed a Long Term Plan for the country's military under which defense spending will increase by more than NOK 160 billion over the next two decades. Norwegian security experts have argued that such an increase is necessary to response to Russia's growing military presence in the Baltic Sea region and to the deteriorating security situation.
Literal question	In your view, how justified is such a big increase in military spending?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=3 and ran30=5.]
Notes	Norges forsvarsdepartement har foreslått en langsiktig plan for landets forsvar, der forsvarsutgiftene vil øke med mer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very justified	81	1.7%
2	Somewhat justified	85	1.8%
3	Neither justified, nor unjustified	21	0.4%
4	Somewhat unjustified	13	0.3%
5	Not at all justified	8	0.2%
6	Do not know	18	0.4%
7	Not specified	2	0.0%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4457	95.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Stores the order which OCR7MarinovE1-E6 is asked.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		3611	77.0%
E1,E2,E3,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E3,E4,E6,E		4	0.1%
E1,E2,E3,E5,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E2,E3,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E4,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E2,E4,E5,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E4,E6,E5,E		3	0.1%
E1,E2,E5,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E5,E3,E6,E		3	0.1%
E1,E2,E5,E4,E3,E		5	0.1%
E1,E2,E5,E4,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E5,E6,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E2,E5,E6,E4,E		4	0.1%
E1,E2,E6,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E6,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E6,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E1,E2,E6,E5,E4,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E2,E4,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E2,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E2,E5,E6,E		3	0.1%
E1,E3,E2,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E1,E3,E4,E2,E5,E		3	0.1%
E1,E3,E4,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E3,E4,E5,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E4,E6,E5,E		5	0.1%
E1,E3,E5,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E5,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E5,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E3,E5,E6,E4,E		2	0.0%
E1,E3,E6,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E3,E6,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E1,E3,E6,E5,E2,E		4	0.1%
E1,E4,E2,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E2,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E2,E5,E3,E		4	0.1%
E1,E4,E2,E5,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E3,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E3,E5,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E3,E5,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E3,E6,E2,E		3	0.1%
E1,E4,E3,E6,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E5,E2,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E5,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E5,E3,E2,E		3	0.1%
E1,E4,E5,E3,E6,E		3	0.1%
E1,E4,E5,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E5,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E6,E2,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E6,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E1,E4,E6,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E6,E5,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E4,E6,E5,E3,E		3	0.1%
E1,E5,E2,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E1,E5,E2,E3,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E2,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E5,E2,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E1,E5,E2,E6,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E5,E2,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E3,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E1,E5,E3,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E3,E4,E2,E		4	0.1%
E1,E5,E3,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E3,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E4,E2,E3,E		5	0.1%
E1,E5,E4,E3,E2,E		3	0.1%
E1,E5,E4,E3,E6,E		1	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E1,E5,E4,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E4,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E6,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E6,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E6,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E5,E6,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E2,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E2,E3,E5,E		4	0.1%
E1,E6,E2,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E3,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E3,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E3,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E3,E5,E2,E		6	0.1%
E1,E6,E3,E5,E4,E		5	0.1%
E1,E6,E4,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E4,E2,E5,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E4,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E4,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E4,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E4,E5,E3,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E5,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E1,E6,E5,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E5,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E1,E6,E5,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E1,E3,E4,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E1,E3,E4,E6,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E3,E5,E4,E		2	0.0%
E2,E1,E3,E5,E6,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E3,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E3,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E4,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E4,E3,E6,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E4,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E4,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E5,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E5,E3,E6,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E5,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E1,E5,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E6,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E6,E4,E3,E		4	0.1%
E2,E1,E6,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E1,E6,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E3,E1,E4,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E3,E1,E5,E4,E		4	0.1%
E2,E3,E1,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E2,E3,E4,E1,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E3,E4,E1,E6,E		2	0.0%
E2,E3,E4,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E2,E3,E4,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E2,E3,E5,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E3,E5,E1,E6,E		3	0.1%
E2,E3,E5,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E2,E3,E5,E6,E1,E		2	0.0%
E2,E3,E5,E6,E4,E		3	0.1%
E2,E3,E6,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E3,E6,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E2,E3,E6,E4,E5,E		3	0.1%
E2,E3,E6,E5,E1,E		4	0.1%
E2,E4,E1,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E1,E3,E6,E		3	0.1%
E2,E4,E1,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E1,E5,E6,E		3	0.1%
E2,E4,E1,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E1,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E3,E1,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E4,E3,E5,E6,E		2	0.0%
E2,E4,E3,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E5,E1,E6,E		2	0.0%
E2,E4,E5,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E5,E6,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E4,E6,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E2,E4,E6,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E6,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E2,E4,E6,E5,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E1,E3,E4,E		3	0.1%
E2,E5,E1,E4,E6,E		1	0.0%
E2,E5,E1,E6,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E3,E1,E6,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E3,E4,E1,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E3,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E2,E5,E3,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E5,E4,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E4,E1,E6,E		1	0.0%
E2,E5,E4,E3,E1,E		4	0.1%
E2,E5,E4,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E4,E6,E1,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E4,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E5,E6,E1,E4,E		4	0.1%
E2,E5,E6,E3,E1,E		2	0.0%
E2,E5,E6,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E2,E5,E6,E4,E1,E		3	0.1%
E2,E5,E6,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E6,E1,E3,E4,E		4	0.1%
E2,E6,E1,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E6,E1,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E6,E1,E5,E3,E		4	0.1%
E2,E6,E1,E5,E4,E		3	0.1%
E2,E6,E3,E1,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E6,E3,E4,E5,E		3	0.1%
E2,E6,E3,E5,E1,E		4	0.1%
E2,E6,E3,E5,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E6,E4,E1,E5,E		2	0.0%
E2,E6,E4,E5,E3,E		2	0.0%
E2,E6,E5,E1,E3,E		1	0.0%
E2,E6,E5,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E2,E6,E5,E3,E1,E		1	0.0%
E2,E6,E5,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E2,E6,E5,E4,E1,E		2	0.0%
E2,E6,E5,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E2,E4,E5,E		3	0.1%
E3,E1,E2,E4,E6,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E2,E5,E4,E		5	0.1%
E3,E1,E2,E5,E6,E		3	0.1%
E3,E1,E2,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E2,E6,E5,E		4	0.1%
E3,E1,E4,E2,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E4,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E4,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E1,E4,E5,E6,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E4,E6,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E1,E5,E2,E6,E		3	0.1%
E3,E1,E5,E4,E6,E		3	0.1%
E3,E1,E6,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E1,E6,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E3,E1,E6,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E1,E6,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E1,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E3,E2,E1,E5,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E1,E5,E6,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E1,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E1,E6,E5,E		2	0.0%
E3,E2,E4,E1,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E4,E1,E6,E		4	0.1%
E3,E2,E4,E5,E6,E		2	0.0%
E3,E2,E4,E6,E1,E		2	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E3,E2,E4,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E5,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E3,E2,E5,E1,E6,E		4	0.1%
E3,E2,E5,E4,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E5,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E6,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E3,E2,E6,E1,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E6,E4,E1,E		5	0.1%
E3,E2,E6,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E6,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E2,E6,E5,E4,E		3	0.1%
E3,E4,E1,E2,E5,E		3	0.1%
E3,E4,E1,E5,E6,E		3	0.1%
E3,E4,E1,E6,E2,E		3	0.1%
E3,E4,E2,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E4,E2,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E3,E4,E5,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E4,E5,E1,E6,E		4	0.1%
E3,E4,E5,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E4,E5,E2,E6,E		2	0.0%
E3,E4,E5,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E4,E6,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E4,E6,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E3,E4,E6,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E1,E2,E4,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E1,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E1,E4,E6,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E1,E6,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E1,E6,E4,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E2,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E5,E2,E4,E1,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E2,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E5,E2,E6,E4,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E4,E2,E1,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E4,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E3,E5,E4,E6,E1,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E4,E6,E2,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E6,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E3,E5,E6,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E6,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E3,E5,E6,E2,E4,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E6,E4,E1,E		3	0.1%
E3,E5,E6,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E1,E2,E4,E		4	0.1%
E3,E6,E1,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E3,E6,E1,E4,E5,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E1,E5,E2,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E1,E5,E4,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E2,E1,E5,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E2,E4,E1,E		3	0.1%
E3,E6,E2,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E2,E5,E4,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E4,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E4,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E3,E6,E4,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E4,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E4,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E4,E5,E2,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E5,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E5,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E3,E6,E5,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%
E3,E6,E5,E4,E1,E		4	0.1%
E3,E6,E5,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E2,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E2,E3,E6,E		3	0.1%
E4,E1,E2,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E2,E5,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E1,E2,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E2,E6,E5,E		3	0.1%
E4,E1,E3,E2,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E3,E2,E6,E		7	0.1%
E4,E1,E3,E5,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E3,E6,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E5,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E5,E2,E6,E		4	0.1%
E4,E1,E5,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E5,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E6,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E1,E6,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E4,E1,E6,E3,E5,E		3	0.1%
E4,E1,E6,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E2,E1,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E4,E2,E1,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E2,E1,E5,E3,E		4	0.1%
E4,E2,E1,E6,E3,E		3	0.1%
E4,E2,E3,E1,E6,E		4	0.1%
E4,E2,E3,E5,E1,E		4	0.1%
E4,E2,E3,E5,E6,E		1	0.0%
E4,E2,E3,E6,E1,E		3	0.1%
E4,E2,E3,E6,E5,E		2	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E4,E2,E5,E1,E6,E		1	0.0%
E4,E2,E5,E3,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E2,E5,E3,E6,E		1	0.0%
E4,E2,E5,E6,E3,E		3	0.1%
E4,E2,E6,E1,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E2,E6,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E4,E2,E6,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E2,E6,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E4,E2,E6,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E1,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E1,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E3,E1,E6,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E3,E1,E6,E5,E		3	0.1%
E4,E3,E2,E1,E5,E		4	0.1%
E4,E3,E2,E5,E1,E		4	0.1%
E4,E3,E2,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E5,E1,E2,E		4	0.1%
E4,E3,E5,E1,E6,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E5,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E5,E2,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E3,E5,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E5,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E6,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E3,E6,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E6,E2,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E6,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E3,E6,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E1,E2,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E1,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E5,E1,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E1,E6,E3,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E2,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E2,E1,E6,E		3	0.1%
E4,E5,E2,E3,E1,E		4	0.1%
E4,E5,E2,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E2,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E5,E2,E6,E3,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E3,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E3,E1,E6,E		3	0.1%
E4,E5,E3,E2,E1,E		3	0.1%
E4,E5,E3,E2,E6,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E3,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E5,E3,E6,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E6,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E5,E6,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E4,E5,E6,E2,E3,E		3	0.1%
E4,E5,E6,E3,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E5,E6,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E1,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E4,E6,E1,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E2,E1,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E2,E1,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E2,E3,E1,E		2	0.0%
E4,E6,E2,E5,E1,E		3	0.1%
E4,E6,E2,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E3,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E3,E1,E5,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E3,E2,E1,E		6	0.1%
E4,E6,E3,E2,E5,E		4	0.1%
E4,E6,E3,E5,E1,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E3,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E4,E6,E5,E1,E2,E		3	0.1%
E4,E6,E5,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%
E4,E6,E5,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E4,E6,E5,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E4,E6,E5,E3,E1,E		2	0.0%
E4,E6,E5,E3,E2,E		4	0.1%
E5,E1,E2,E3,E4,E		4	0.1%
E5,E1,E2,E3,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E2,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E2,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E2,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E2,E6,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E3,E2,E6,E		5	0.1%
E5,E1,E3,E4,E6,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E3,E6,E2,E		4	0.1%
E5,E1,E3,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E4,E2,E3,E		3	0.1%
E5,E1,E4,E2,E6,E		3	0.1%
E5,E1,E4,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E4,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E4,E6,E2,E		3	0.1%
E5,E1,E4,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E6,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E1,E6,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E6,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E6,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E1,E6,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E2,E1,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E2,E1,E3,E6,E		3	0.1%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E5,E2,E1,E4,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E2,E1,E6,E3,E		3	0.1%
E5,E2,E1,E6,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E2,E3,E1,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E2,E3,E4,E1,E		2	0.0%
E5,E2,E3,E4,E6,E		3	0.1%
E5,E2,E3,E6,E4,E		3	0.1%
E5,E2,E4,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E5,E2,E4,E6,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E2,E6,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%
E5,E2,E6,E1,E4,E		4	0.1%
E5,E2,E6,E3,E1,E		2	0.0%
E5,E2,E6,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E2,E6,E4,E1,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E1,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E1,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E1,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E3,E1,E4,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E1,E6,E2,E		4	0.1%
E5,E3,E1,E6,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E2,E1,E4,E		3	0.1%
E5,E3,E2,E4,E1,E		6	0.1%
E5,E3,E2,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E2,E6,E4,E		3	0.1%
E5,E3,E4,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E4,E1,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E4,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E5,E3,E4,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E4,E6,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E3,E6,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E3,E6,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E3,E6,E2,E1,E		5	0.1%
E5,E3,E6,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E3,E6,E4,E2,E		3	0.1%
E5,E4,E1,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E1,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E1,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E4,E1,E6,E2,E		4	0.1%
E5,E4,E2,E1,E3,E		3	0.1%
E5,E4,E2,E1,E6,E		3	0.1%
E5,E4,E2,E3,E6,E		2	0.0%
E5,E4,E2,E6,E3,E		4	0.1%
E5,E4,E3,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E3,E1,E6,E		2	0.0%
E5,E4,E3,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E5,E4,E3,E2,E6,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E3,E6,E1,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E3,E6,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E6,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E6,E1,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E6,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E5,E4,E6,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E6,E3,E1,E		1	0.0%
E5,E4,E6,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E1,E2,E3,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E1,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E1,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E1,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E1,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E2,E1,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E2,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E2,E4,E1,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E2,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E3,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E3,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E3,E2,E1,E		3	0.1%
E5,E6,E3,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E3,E4,E1,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E3,E4,E2,E		4	0.1%
E5,E6,E4,E1,E3,E		3	0.1%
E5,E6,E4,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E4,E2,E3,E		2	0.0%
E5,E6,E4,E3,E1,E		1	0.0%
E5,E6,E4,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E2,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E2,E4,E3,E		4	0.1%
E6,E1,E2,E4,E5,E		3	0.1%
E6,E1,E2,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E2,E5,E4,E		3	0.1%
E6,E1,E3,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E3,E2,E5,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E3,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E3,E4,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E3,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E3,E5,E4,E		3	0.1%
E6,E1,E4,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E4,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E4,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E4,E5,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E4,E5,E3,E		2	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E6,E1,E5,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E5,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E5,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E5,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E1,E5,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E1,E5,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E1,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E1,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E1,E4,E3,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E1,E4,E5,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E1,E5,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E3,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E3,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E6,E2,E3,E4,E1,E		5	0.1%
E6,E2,E3,E4,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E3,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E3,E5,E4,E		3	0.1%
E6,E2,E4,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E4,E3,E1,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E4,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E4,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E5,E1,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E2,E5,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E5,E3,E1,E		4	0.1%
E6,E2,E5,E3,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E2,E5,E4,E1,E		3	0.1%
E6,E2,E5,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E1,E2,E5,E		1	0.0%
E6,E3,E1,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E1,E4,E5,E		5	0.1%
E6,E3,E1,E5,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E3,E2,E1,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E2,E1,E5,E		1	0.0%
E6,E3,E2,E4,E1,E		3	0.1%
E6,E3,E2,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E2,E5,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E3,E4,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E3,E4,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E4,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E5,E1,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E5,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E3,E5,E2,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E3,E5,E4,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E1,E2,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E1,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%

r7randomizedblockorder: randomizedBlockOrder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E6,E4,E1,E3,E2,E		3	0.1%
E6,E4,E1,E3,E5,E		1	0.0%
E6,E4,E1,E5,E3,E		1	0.0%
E6,E4,E2,E1,E3,E		4	0.1%
E6,E4,E2,E1,E5,E		3	0.1%
E6,E4,E2,E3,E1,E		3	0.1%
E6,E4,E2,E3,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E2,E5,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E3,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E4,E3,E1,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E3,E2,E5,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E3,E5,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E3,E5,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E5,E2,E1,E		2	0.0%
E6,E4,E5,E2,E3,E		1	0.0%
E6,E4,E5,E3,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E1,E2,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E5,E1,E2,E4,E		3	0.1%
E6,E5,E1,E3,E2,E		2	0.0%
E6,E5,E1,E3,E4,E		3	0.1%
E6,E5,E1,E4,E2,E		3	0.1%
E6,E5,E1,E4,E3,E		2	0.0%
E6,E5,E2,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E2,E3,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E5,E2,E4,E1,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E2,E4,E3,E		3	0.1%
E6,E5,E3,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E3,E1,E4,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E3,E2,E4,E		2	0.0%
E6,E5,E3,E4,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E4,E1,E2,E		1	0.0%
E6,E5,E4,E2,E1,E		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm_mobile2: R7OCRECSM_mobile2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=3513 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Determines respondents device type.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Mobile - no	875	74.4%
1	Mobile - yes	301	25.6%
Sysmiss		3513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]

	# r7ocrecsm3a1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to ealier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.		
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?		
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3A1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]		
Notes	For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	31	0.7%
1	1	9	0.2%
2	2	7	0.1%
3	3	6	0.1%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	8	0.2%
6	6	8	0.2%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	9	0.2%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	6	0.1%
11	11	8	0.2%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	9	0.2%
14	14	3	0.1%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	0	
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	5	0.1%
25	25	44	0.9%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	5	0.1%
29	29	5	0.1%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	5	0.1%
33	33	6	0.1%
34	34	5	0.1%

r7ocrecsm3a1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	8	0.2%
38	38	4	0.1%
39	39	3	0.1%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	3	0.1%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	4	0.1%
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to ealier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3A1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	5	0.1%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	4	0.1%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	4	0.1%

r7ocrecsm3a1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	0	
25	25	15	0.3%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	2	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4603	98.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a2: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7ocrecsm3a2: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3A2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure posititition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	95	2.0%
1	1	8	0.2%
2	2	12	0.3%
3	3	10	0.2%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	11	0.2%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	6	0.1%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	0	
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	20	0.4%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	0	
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	4	0.1%

r7ocrecsm3a2: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
39	39	4	0.1%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	3	0.1%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	3	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a2_mobile: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3A2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	36	0.8%
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	5	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	2	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3a2_mobile: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	16	0.3%
26	26	0	
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	0	
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4602	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3A3_mobile.]

 # r7ocrecsm3a3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

	[Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]		
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	29	0.6%
1	1	5	0.1%
2	2	9	0.2%
3	3	10	0.2%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	6	0.1%
6	6	9	0.2%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	3	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	7	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	4	0.1%
13	13	5	0.1%
14	14	7	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	4	0.1%
20	20	4	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	3	0.1%
25	25	37	0.8%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	7	0.1%
30	30	8	0.2%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	13	0.3%
33	33	5	0.1%
34	34	9	0.2%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	5	0.1%
37	37	4	0.1%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	6	0.1%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	3	0.1%

r7ocrecsm3a3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
42	42	0	
43	43	4	0.1%
44	44	3	0.1%
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	2	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3a3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3A3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	0	
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3a3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	18	0.4%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	0	
29	29	0	
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	0	
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	3	0.1%
39	39	0	
40	40	3	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4602	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to ealier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3B1_mobile.]

r7ocrecsm3b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

	[Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]		
Notes	For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	10	0.2%
1	1	45	1.0%
2	2	2	0.0%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	8	0.2%
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	5	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	6	0.1%
17	17	6	0.1%
18	18	6	0.1%
19	19	6	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	5	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	16	0.3%
25	25	17	0.4%
26	26	5	0.1%
27	27	7	0.1%
28	28	6	0.1%
29	29	5	0.1%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	6	0.1%
32	32	7	0.1%
33	33	10	0.2%
34	34	6	0.1%
35	35	5	0.1%
36	36	8	0.2%
37	37	5	0.1%
38	38	5	0.1%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	3	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3b1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	43	3	0.1%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	2	0.0%
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	3	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	To help us improve our questions in later surveys, we would like to ask you to answer some questions that bears a resemblance to the ones you responded to ealier. Don't try to remember what you responded earlier, think of them as entirely new questions.
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3B1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	For å hjelpe oss med å forbedre spørsmålene våre i senere undersøkelser, vil vi til slutt be deg svare på noen sp

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	4	0.1%
1	1	26	0.6%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	0	
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	1	0.0%
14	14	5	0.1%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	4	0.1%
20	20	2	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3b1_mobile: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	1	0.0%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	0	
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	0	
36	36	2	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	1	0.0%
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	2	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3b2: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her? ^f('R7OCRECSM_skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	36	0.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
#r7ocrecsm3b2: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?			
1	1	112	2.4%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	5	0.1%
5	5	9	0.2%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	3	0.1%
12	12	6	0.1%
13	13	6	0.1%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	6	0.1%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	6	0.1%
25	25	12	0.3%
26	26	5	0.1%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	3	0.1%
30	30	5	0.1%
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	2	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	5	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3b2: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	46	2	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	5	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3b2_mobile: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	18	0.4%
1	1	49	1.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	0	
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	1	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	0	
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	2	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3b2_mobile: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	25	0	
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	0	
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	0	
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	0	
39	39	3	0.1%
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	2	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4581	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM3B3_mobile] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	5	0.1%
1	1	42	0.9%
2	2	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm3b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	2	0.0%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	4	0.1%
6	6	6	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	5	0.1%
9	9	10	0.2%
10	10	7	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	7	0.1%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	6	0.1%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	3	0.1%
17	17	4	0.1%
18	18	3	0.1%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	5	0.1%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	7	0.1%
25	25	10	0.2%
26	26	11	0.2%
27	27	9	0.2%
28	28	8	0.2%
29	29	11	0.2%
30	30	8	0.2%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	6	0.1%
33	33	13	0.3%
34	34	9	0.2%
35	35	8	0.2%
36	36	6	0.1%
37	37	6	0.1%
38	38	5	0.1%
39	39	9	0.2%
40	40	4	0.1%
41	41	2	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	

r7ocrecsm3b3: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47	47	4	0.1%
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM3B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	2	0.0%
1	1	21	0.4%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	0	
6	6	0	
7	7	2	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	5	0.1%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	3	0.1%

r7ocrecsm3b3_mobile: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
25	25	0		
26	26	0		
27	27	1	0.0%	
28	28	2	0.0%	
29	29	4	0.1%	
30	30	3	0.1%	
31	31	5	0.1%	
32	32	3	0.1%	
33	33	0		
34	34	3	0.1%	
35	35	1	0.0%	
36	36	1	0.0%	
37	37	0		
38	38	3	0.1%	
39	39	1	0.0%	
40	40	1	0.0%	
41	41	1	0.0%	
42	42	1	0.0%	
43	43	3	0.1%	
44	44	4	0.1%	
45	45	1	0.0%	
46	46	2	0.0%	
47	47	4	0.1%	
48	48	1	0.0%	
49	49	1	0.0%	
50	Completely	5	0.1%	
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4581		97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3c1_1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having good educational qualifications should be in deciding whether someone born, brought up and living outside Norway should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM3C1_1 (Hvor viktig synes du å ha en god utdanning bør være for å avgjøre om noen som er født, oppvokst og

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	122	2.6%
2	2	99	2.1%
3	3	109	2.3%
4	4	57	1.2%
5	Completely	16	0.3%
97	No answer	14	0.3%

r7ocrecsm3c1_1: How important is good education in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3c2_1: How important is a Christian backround in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think coming from a Christian background should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM3C2_1 (Hvor viktig synes du en kristen bakgrunn bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal få bosette seg her?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	264	5.6%
2	2	47	1.0%
3	3	51	1.1%
4	4	29	0.6%
5	Completely	10	0.2%
97	No answer	16	0.3%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm3c3_1: How important is having skills that Norway needs in deciding whether someone could come and live here?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	How important do you think having work skills that Norway needs should be in deciding whether someone should be able to come and live here?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM3C3_1 (Hvor viktig synes du kunnskaper og ferdigheter som Norge trenger bør være for å avgjøre om noen skal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	91	1.9%
2	2	96	2.0%
3	3	108	2.3%
4	4	82	1.7%
5	Completely	26	0.6%
97	No answer	14	0.3%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4a1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4A1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]

 # r7ocrecsm4a1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Notes		Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bø		
Value	**Label**		**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	Not at all		47	1.0%
1	1		9	0.2%
2	2		6	0.1%
3	3		8	0.2%
4	4		8	0.2%
5	5		3	0.1%
6	6		4	0.1%
7	7		4	0.1%
8	8		2	0.0%
9	9		5	0.1%
10	10		5	0.1%
11	11		4	0.1%
12	12		10	0.2%
13	13		3	0.1%
14	14		2	0.0%
15	15		11	0.2%
16	16		8	0.2%
17	17		10	0.2%
18	18		5	0.1%
19	19		5	0.1%
20	20		4	0.1%
21	21		1	0.0%
22	22		3	0.1%
23	23		0	
24	24		5	0.1%
25	25		72	1.5%
26	26		2	0.0%
27	27		3	0.1%
28	28		3	0.1%
29	29		4	0.1%
30	30		1	0.0%
31	31		4	0.1%
32	32		2	0.0%
33	33		1	0.0%
34	34		1	0.0%
35	35		1	0.0%
36	36		3	0.1%
37	37		2	0.0%
38	38		0	
39	39		1	0.0%
40	40		1	0.0%
41	41		0	
42	42		1	0.0%
43	43		1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm4a1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4a1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4A1.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	9	0.2%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	0	
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	1	0.0%
10	10	4	0.1%
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	0	
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	0	
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	

r7ocrecsm4a1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	24	0	
25	25	37	0.8%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	1	0.0%
31	31	0	
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	0	
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	1	0.0%
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4601	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4a2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4A2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCRECS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	37	0.8%
1	1	8	0.2%
2	2	5	0.1%
3	3	6	0.1%
4	4	9	0.2%

r7ocrecsm4a2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5	12	0.3%
6	6	7	0.1%
7	7	6	0.1%
8	8	7	0.1%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	6	0.1%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	5	0.1%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	5	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	7	0.1%
18	18	6	0.1%
19	19	0	
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	4	0.1%
25	25	87	1.9%
26	26	0	
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	7	0.1%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	3	0.1%
36	36	0	
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	1	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	

r7ocrecsm4a2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4412	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4a2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4A2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	9	0.2%
1	1	1	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	3	0.1%
4	4	0	
5	5	2	0.0%
6	6	5	0.1%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	0	
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	0	
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	0	
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	32	0.7%
26	26	1	0.0%
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	0	
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	5	0.1%

#r7ocrecsm4a2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	0	
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4601	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#r7ocrecsm4a3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4A3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	64	1.4%
1	1	11	0.2%
2	2	7	0.1%
3	3	7	0.1%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	8	0.2%
7	7	5	0.1%
8	8	4	0.1%
9	9	8	0.2%

 # r7ocrecsm4a3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	10	7	0.1%	
11	11	4	0.1%	
12	12	6	0.1%	
13	13	3	0.1%	
14	14	4	0.1%	
15	15	6	0.1%	
16	16	5	0.1%	
17	17	2	0.0%	
18	18	4	0.1%	
19	19	2	0.0%	
20	20	3	0.1%	
21	21	1	0.0%	
22	22	1	0.0%	
23	23	1	0.0%	
24	24	2	0.0%	
25	25	60	1.3%	
26	26	0		
27	27	3	0.1%	
28	28	1	0.0%	
29	29	5	0.1%	
30	30	4	0.1%	
31	31	6	0.1%	
32	32	2	0.0%	
33	33	0		
34	34	1	0.0%	
35	35	2	0.0%	
36	36	4	0.1%	
37	37	2	0.0%	
38	38	2	0.0%	
39	39	0		
40	40	1	0.0%	
41	41	1	0.0%	
42	42	0		
43	43	1	0.0%	
44	44	0		
45	45	0		
46	46	2	0.0%	
47	47	0		
48	48	0		
49	49	0		
50	Completely	2	0.0%	
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4412		94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#r7ocrecsm4a3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=1 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4A3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 25.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	15	0.3%
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	2	0.0%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	2	0.0%
13	13	0	
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	3	0.1%
16	16	2	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	1	0.0%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
25	25	26	0.6%
26	26	0	
27	27	1	0.0%
28	28	1	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	0	
31	31	2	0.0%
32	32	0	
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	

r7ocrecsm4a3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36	36	0	
37	37	0	
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	3	0.1%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	2	0.0%
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4601	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4B1_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	16	0.3%
1	1	76	1.6%
2	2	4	0.1%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	6	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	8	0.2%
8	8	11	0.2%
9	9	5	0.1%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	8	0.2%
12	12	11	0.2%
13	13	4	0.1%
14	14	7	0.1%

r7ocrecsm4b1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	15	6	0.1%
16	16	4	0.1%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	5	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%
21	21	4	0.1%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	4	0.1%
24	24	21	0.4%
25	25	38	0.8%
26	26	9	0.2%
27	27	3	0.1%
28	28	5	0.1%
29	29	0	
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	0	
32	32	0	
33	33	4	0.1%
34	34	0	
35	35	2	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	1	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4393	93.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?

r7ocrecsm4b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4B1.]
	[Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontroversielle saker bø

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1	0.0%
1	1	47	1.0%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	3	0.1%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	2	0.0%
10	10	2	0.0%
11	11	1	0.0%
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	1	0.0%
15	15	0	
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	2	0.0%
18	18	0	
19	19	1	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	2	0.0%
23	23	1	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	1	0.0%
26	26	0	
27	27	0	
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	1	0.0%
30	30	9	0.2%
31	31	3	0.1%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	3	0.1%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	2	0.0%
39	39	0	

r7ocrecsm4b1_mobile: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40	40	1	0.0%
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	2	0.0%
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	0	
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4580	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4B2_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure posititon of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre? ^f('R7OCRECS

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	6	0.1%
1	1	82	1.7%
2	2	1	0.0%
3	3	4	0.1%
4	4	3	0.1%
5	5	7	0.1%
6	6	4	0.1%
7	7	7	0.1%
8	8	6	0.1%
9	9	6	0.1%
10	10	9	0.2%
11	11	7	0.1%
12	12	5	0.1%
13	13	6	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	4	0.1%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	3	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	3	0.1%

r7ocrecsm4b2: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	21	6	0.1%
22	22	3	0.1%
23	23	3	0.1%
24	24	16	0.3%
25	25	40	0.9%
26	26	8	0.2%
27	27	8	0.2%
28	28	5	0.1%
29	29	7	0.1%
30	30	3	0.1%
31	31	7	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	4	0.1%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	0	
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	3	0.1%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	2	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	0	
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	0	
49	49	0	
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4392	93.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4B2.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andre?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	2	0.0%
1	1	39	0.8%

r7ocrecsm4b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%
4	4	0	
5	5	0	
6	6	2	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	0	
11	11	2	0.0%
12	12	0	
13	13	3	0.1%
14	14	2	0.0%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	2	0.0%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	1	0.0%
21	21	2	0.0%
22	22	4	0.1%
23	23	0	
24	24	2	0.0%
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	4	0.1%
27	27	2	0.0%
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	4	0.1%
32	32	2	0.0%
33	33	2	0.0%
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	1	0.0%
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	0	
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	1	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	

r7ocrecsm4b2_mobile: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
47	47	2	0.0%	
48	48	1	0.0%	
49	49	0		
50	Completely	2	0.0%	
97	No answer	0		
98	Not asked	4580		97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=0. Version for desktop and tablet users. Mobile users are asked R7OCRECSM4B3_mobile.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	19	0.4%
1	1	108	2.3%
2	2	2	0.0%
3	3	5	0.1%
4	4	7	0.1%
5	5	5	0.1%
6	6	3	0.1%
7	7	3	0.1%
8	8	2	0.0%
9	9	3	0.1%
10	10	3	0.1%
11	11	5	0.1%
12	12	3	0.1%
13	13	5	0.1%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	2	0.0%
16	16	1	0.0%
17	17	6	0.1%
18	18	4	0.1%
19	19	3	0.1%
20	20	5	0.1%
21	21	3	0.1%
22	22	4	0.1%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	15	0.3%
25	25	24	0.5%

r7ocrecsm4b3: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26	26	5	0.1%
27	27	5	0.1%
28	28	3	0.1%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	4	0.1%
31	31	5	0.1%
32	32	3	0.1%
33	33	1	0.0%
34	34	3	0.1%
35	35	4	0.1%
36	36	4	0.1%
37	37	2	0.0%
38	38	1	0.0%
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	1	0.0%
42	42	1	0.0%
43	43	0	
44	44	2	0.0%
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	
48	48	1	0.0%
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4392	93.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-50] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2, ran20=2 AND R7OCRECSM_mobile2=1. Version for mobile users due to layout. Desktop/tablet users are asked R7OCRECSM4B3.] [Slider is used to give answers. Departure positition of the marker is set to value 1.]
Notes	Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest er uenige i, ka

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	7	0.1%
1	1	41	0.9%
2	2	0	
3	3	1	0.0%

r7ocrecsm4b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4	1	0.0%
5	5	1	0.0%
6	6	1	0.0%
7	7	1	0.0%
8	8	1	0.0%
9	9	4	0.1%
10	10	6	0.1%
11	11	0	
12	12	1	0.0%
13	13	2	0.0%
14	14	4	0.1%
15	15	1	0.0%
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.0%
18	18	1	0.0%
19	19	2	0.0%
20	20	2	0.0%
21	21	5	0.1%
22	22	1	0.0%
23	23	2	0.0%
24	24	1	0.0%
25	25	2	0.0%
26	26	2	0.0%
27	27	0	
28	28	2	0.0%
29	29	2	0.0%
30	30	2	0.0%
31	31	1	0.0%
32	32	1	0.0%
33	33	0	
34	34	1	0.0%
35	35	0	
36	36	1	0.0%
37	37	1	0.0%
38	38	0	
39	39	1	0.0%
40	40	0	
41	41	0	
42	42	0	
43	43	1	0.0%
44	44	0	
45	45	0	
46	46	0	
47	47	0	

r7ocrecsm4b3_mobile: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48	48	0	
49	49	1	0.0%
50	Completely	4	0.1%
97	No answer	0	
98	Not asked	4580	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4c1_1: To what extent should the Supreme Court's power in controversial issues be reduced?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	In general, to what extent do you think the Norwegian Supreme Court's power to decide certain types of controversial issues should be reduced?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM4C1_1 (Generelt sett, i hvilken grad mener du at Norges Høyesteretts makt til å avgjøre visse typer kontrover

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	154	3.3%
2	2	92	2.0%
3	3	116	2.5%
4	4	19	0.4%
5	Completely	6	0.1%
97	No answer	30	0.6%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4c2_1: To what extent does the Supreme Court favor certain groups?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you think the decisions of the Norwegian Supreme Court favor certain groups more than others?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM4C2_1 (I hvilken grad mener du at avgjørelsene til Norges Høyesterett favoriserer enkelte grupper mer enn andr

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	124	2.6%
2	2	107	2.3%
3	3	104	2.2%
4	4	44	0.9%
5	Completely	2	0.0%
97	No answer	36	0.8%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ocrecsm4c3_1: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7ocrecsm4c3_1: To what extent could the Supreme Court be dissolved, if it makes several decisions most people disagree with?

Literal question	And to what extent do you think that If the Norwegian Supreme Court starts to make several decisions that most people disagree with, the institution might just as well be dissolved?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=2 and ran20=3.]
Notes	R7OCRECSM4C3_1 (Og i hvilken grad mener du at dersom Norges Høyesterett begynner å ta mange avgjørelser som folk flest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all	173	3.7%
2	2	86	1.8%
3	3	84	1.8%
4	4	34	0.7%
5	Completely	7	0.1%
97	No answer	33	0.7%
98	Not asked	4272	91.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran37: [Randomizes if u=1 OR u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=2366 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1 OR u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1140	49.1%
2	2	1183	50.9%
Sysmiss		2366	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7qreview2a_1: Satisfied with: Questions in this survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:
Literal question	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=4, and if ran37=1.]
Notes	Spørsmålene du har svart på så langt i denne undersøkelsen? (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som delta

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	82	1.7%
2	Satisfied	637	13.6%
3	Somewhat satisfied	366	7.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	34	0.7%
5	Not satisfied at all	8	0.2%
97	No answer	47	1.0%
98	Not asked	3515	75.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7qreview2a_2: Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:

r7qreview2a_2: Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Literal question	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 OR u=4, and if ran37=1.]
Notes	Å være deltaker i Norsk medborgerpanel. (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som deltaker oppfatter undersøk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	159	3.4%
2	Satisfied	618	13.2%
3	Somewhat satisfied	292	6.2%
4	Slightly satisfied	45	1.0%
5	Not satisfied at all	9	0.2%
97	No answer	51	1.1%
98	Not asked	3515	75.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran18: [Randomizes if u=1.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1149 /-] [Invalid=3540 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	591	51.4%
2	2	558	48.6%
Sysmiss		3540	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad4a: Contribution to joint kitty: Random person excluded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We now ask you to make a decision whereby three persons will be selected to receive money. The following situation is the background for the decision you must make: Three participants of the Norwegian Citizens Panel are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. Each person has to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. A person is drawn at random to be excluded from the group and is rewarded with 1,750 kroner. The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two. The final cash prize paid to the participants selected to receive money will be the individuals share of the joint kitty and the money being kept.
Literal question	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran18=1.]
Notes	Vi ber deg nå om å ta en beslutning hvor tre personer vil trekkes ut til å motta penger. Følgende situasjon er bakgru

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	42	0.9%
2	100	15	0.3%
3	200	33	0.7%
4	300	49	1.0%
5	400	22	0.5%
6	500	199	4.2%
7	600	17	0.4%
8	700	9	0.2%
9	800	9	0.2%

r7pad4a: Contribution to joint kitty: Random person excluded

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	900	5	0.1%
11	1000	157	3.3%
97	No answer	34	0.7%
98	Not asked	4098	87.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad4b: Contribution to joint kitty: Person who contributes the least excluded

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We now ask you to make a decision whereby three persons will be selected to receive money. The following situation is the background for the decision you must make: Three participants of the Norwegian Citizens Panel are put together in a group. Every person in the group receives 1,000 kroner to begin with. Each person has to choose how much of his or her 1,000 kroner will be put into a joint kitty for the group. The person in the group who puts in the least is excluded from the group and is rewarded with 1,750 kroner. The two remaining people's contribution to the kitty is increased by 50 per cent and then divided equally between the two. The final cash prize paid to the participants selected to receive money will be the individuals share of the joint kitty and the money being kept.
Literal question	If you are one of the participants drawn out to win 1,000 kroner, how much would you put into the joint kitty?
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=1 and ran18=2.]
Notes	Vi ber deg nå om å ta en beslutning hvor tre personer vil trekkes ut til å motta penger. Følgende situasjon er bakgru

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0	76	1.6%
2	100	16	0.3%
3	200	22	0.5%
4	300	38	0.8%
5	400	24	0.5%
6	500	161	3.4%
7	600	14	0.3%
8	700	13	0.3%
9	800	15	0.3%
10	900	7	0.1%
11	1000	145	3.1%
97	No answer	27	0.6%
98	Not asked	4131	88.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7ran19: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1173 /-] [Invalid=3516 /-]
Interviewer's instructions	[Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	213	18.2%
2	2	206	17.6%
3	3	180	15.3%
4	4	198	16.9%
5	5	198	16.9%
6	6	178	15.2%

r7ran19: [Randomizes if u=4.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		3516	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5a1_1: Choice between yellow/blue box

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box. If both choose the yellow box, you get 1,000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.
Literal question	Please take a decision.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=1.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	122	2.6%
2	Blue box	87	1.9%
97	No answer	4	0.1%
98	Not asked	4476	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5b1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box. If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.
Literal question	We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=1.] [Anonymized.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5b2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Please take a decision:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=2.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	124	2.6%
2	Blue box	73	1.6%
97	No answer	9	0.2%

r7pad5b2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
98	Not asked	4483		95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5c1_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box. If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.
Literal question	The other person has chosen the yellow box. Please take a decision:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=3.]
Notes	R7PAD5C1_1 (Den andre personen har valgt den gule boksen. Vennligst ta en beslutning:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yellow box	105		2.2%
2	Blue box	69		1.5%
97	No answer	6		0.1%
98	Not asked	4509		96.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5d1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box. If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.
Literal question	The other person has chosen the yellow box. We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=4.] [Anonymized.]
Notes	Den andre personen har valgt den gule boksen. Før du tar beslutningen ønsker vi at du begrunner valget ditt.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
		4689		100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5d2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Please take a decision:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=4.]

r7pad5d2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose yellow

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	123	2.6%
2	Blue box	66	1.4%
97	No answer	9	0.2%
98	Not asked	4491	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5e1_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box. If both choose the yellow box, you get 1000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.
Literal question	The other person has chosen the blue box. Please take a decision:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=5.]
Notes	R7PAD5E1_1 (Den andre personen har valgt den blå boksen. Vennligst ta en beslutning:)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	74	1.6%
2	Blue box	116	2.5%
97	No answer	8	0.2%
98	Not asked	4491	95.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5f1: Justify choice of yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue [anonymized]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We would like to understand how people behave when facing financial choices. We therefore ask that you make an anonymous decision where you may be selected to receive money. You and another random person can choose between a yellow or blue box. If both choose the yellow box, you get 1,000 kroner each. If you choose the blue and the other the yellow, you receive 1,500 kroner and the other gets zero kroner. If both choose blue, you get 500 kroner each. Regardless of what the other person chooses, you earn most by choosing blue. We are selecting ten individuals who will receive money.
Literal question	The other person has chosen the blue box. We would like you to justify your choice before you take your decision.
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=6.] [Anonymized.]
Notes	Den andre personen har valgt den blå boksen. Før du tar beslutningen ønsker vi at du begrunner valget ditt.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
		4689	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7pad5f2_1: Choice between yellow/blue box: The other person chose blue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Literal question	Please take a decision:
Interviewer's instructions	[Asked if u=4 and ran19=6.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yellow box	70	1.5%
2	Blue box	97	2.1%
97	No answer	11	0.2%
98	Not asked	4511	96.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7qreview1_1: Satisfied with: Questions in this survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:
Literal question	The questions you have answered this far in this survey?
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Spørsmålene du har svart på så langt i denne undersøkelsen? (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som delta

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	311	6.6%
2	Satisfied	2719	58.0%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1304	27.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	159	3.4%
5	Not satisfied at all	34	0.7%
97	No answer	162	3.5%
98	Not asked	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7qreview1_2: Satisfied with: Participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Pre-question	We are interested to know more about how you as a participant perceive our surveys. All in all, how satisfied are you with:
Literal question	Being a participant in the Norwegian Citizen Panel.
Interviewer's instructions	[All respondents asked.]
Notes	Å være deltaker i Norsk medborgerpanel. (Vi er interessert i å vite mer om hvordan du som deltaker oppfatter undersøk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	664	14.2%
2	Satisfied	2582	55.1%
3	Somewhat satisfied	1020	21.8%
4	Slightly satisfied	181	3.9%
5	Not satisfied at all	58	1.2%
97	No answer	184	3.9%
98	Not asked	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7municipalSize: Size of municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<10 000	818	17.4%
2	10 001 - 20 000	670	14.3%
3	20 001 - 60 000	1198	25.5%
4	60 000+	2003	42.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P1: Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2355	50.2%
2	Female	2334	49.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P2: Region

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Oslo/Akershus	1335	28.5%
2	Østlandet	1133	24.2%
3	Sørlandet	216	4.6%
4	Vestlandet	1235	26.3%
5	Trøndelag	425	9.1%
6	Nord-Norge	345	7.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P3: County

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Akershus	582	12.4%
2	Oslo	753	16.1%
3	Østfold	240	5.1%
4	Vestfold	242	5.2%
5	Hedmark	131	2.8%
6	Oppland	137	2.9%
7	Buskerud	244	5.2%
8	Telemark	139	3.0%
9	Vest-Agder	141	3.0%
10	Aust-Agder	75	1.6%
11	Rogaland	409	8.7%
12	Hordaland	550	11.7%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	82	1.7%

r7P3: County

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Møre og Romsdal	194	4.1%
15	Sør-Trøndelag	333	7.1%
16	Nord-Trøndelag	92	2.0%
17	Nordland	185	3.9%
18	Troms	117	2.5%
19	Finmark	43	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P4_1: Highest level of completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No education/elementary school	428	9.1%
2	Upper secondary eduction	1378	29.4%
3	University/university college	2695	57.5%
97	No answer	188	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P4_2: Highest level of completed education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	18	0.4%
2	Primary school (first part of compulsory education)	32	0.7%
3	Elementary school (primary, 7 year elementary school, continuation school, secondary school)	378	8.1%
4	College certificate	43	0.9%
5	Completed upper secondary education, general studies/preparatory education programme, one-year post admission	597	12.7%
6	Completed upper secondary eduction, vocational subjects/education programmes (qualifying examination, apprenticeship examination)	566	12.1%
7	Pre-university/college course that does not give credits	52	1.1%
8	Diploma from addition to upper secondary education (vocational, technical college)	163	3.5%
9	University/university college, less than three years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ year)	451	9.6%
10	Completed 3-4 year education from university college (Bachelor, teacher's college, nursing college, pre-school teacher, engineer, business economist, etc.)	948	20.2%
11	Completed 3-4 year education from University (Bachelor)	301	6.4%
12	Completed 5-6 year education from university college (Master)	344	7.3%
13	Completed 5-6 year education from university (master, graduate), further professional education (theologist, psychologist, physician, veterinarian, engineer, architect, senior business economist)	553	11.8%
14	Research level (Ph.D.)	98	2.1%
15	None of these	116	2.5%
97	No answer	29	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P5_1: Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-25 years	273	5.8%
2	26-35 years	562	12.0%
3	36-45 years	724	15.4%
4	46-55 years	925	19.7%
5	56-65 years	1116	23.8%
6	66-75 years	872	18.6%
7	75 and above	217	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7P5_2: Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	18-29 years	498	10.6%
2	30-59 years	2409	51.4%
3	60 and above	1782	38.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

r7Weight1: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2 and P1. See documentation report

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

r7Weight2: Weight based on variables P3, P5_2, P4_1 and P1. See documentation report

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4689 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Appendix

R7PAD14_6rows – with endorsements

NORSK MEDBORGERPANEL

Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som minner om de man kan finne i norske nettaviser. Vennligst les alle overskriftene nøye og se for deg at sakene er ekte.

Hvis du var nødt til å lese 3 saker, hvilke saker ville du foretrukket å bruke tiden din på?

	Kilde	Anbefalinger i sosiale medier	Overskrift
☐	Aftenposten	0 personer anbefaler	Disse TV-ene kommer verst ut i vår nye test
☐	Dagbladet	1211 personer anbefaler	Frp-politiker fordømmes etter Facebook-innlegg: «vi trenger flere muslimer i norske skoler»
☐	Dagens Næringsliv	1054 personer anbefaler	Tror Norge spiller uavgjort i morgendagens fotballkamp
☐	Klassekampen	106 personer anbefaler	Lokal Mdg-politiker – vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger
☐	Aftenposten	0 personer anbefaler	Sp-politiker får kjeft for forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjellene
☐	Dagens Næringsliv	98 personer anbefaler	Lokal Mdg-politiker skjelles ut – vil ta imot 5000 nye flyktninger

R7PAD14_4rows – without endorsements

NORSK MEDBORGERPANEL

Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som minner om de man kan finne i norske nettaviser. Vennligst les alle overskriftene nøye og se for deg at sakene er ekte.

Hvis du var nødt til å lese 3 saker, hvilke saker ville du foretrukket å bruke tiden din på?

	Kilde	Overskrift
☐	VG	Roser forslag fra Sv-topp som vil øke lønnsforskjeller
☐	Dagens Næringsliv	Venstre-topp presser på for å gi NAV-penger til Syriske flyktinger, får refs
☐	Dagens Næringsliv	Mdg-representant vil åpne for at noen private skoler skal kunne ta ut profitt
☐	Aftenposten	Rødt-politiker får skryt for forslag som vil øke inntektsforskjellene

Neste

R7selexp2 – without source

NORSK MEDBORGERPANEL

Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som minner om de man kan finne i norske nettaviser. Vennligst les alle overskriftene nøye og se for deg at sakene er ekte.

Hvis du var nødt til å lese 2 saker, hvilke saker ville du foretrukket å bruke tiden din på?

Overskrift

☐ Parti-profil møter begeistring - mener at kommersielle skoler bør forbys

☐ Høyre-politiker med homovennlig lovforslag

☐ Politiker på Facebook: «Homofile par MÅ få like rettigheter som heterofile»

☐ Venstre-topp: Vil innføre eiendomsskatt i alle norske byer.

Neste

R7selexp2 – without source

NORSK MEDBORGERPANEL

Vi ønsker å studere hvilke mediesaker folk leser. Under er noen tenkte saker vi har laget som minner om de man kan finne i norske nettaviser. Vennligst les alle overskriftene nøye og se for deg at sakene er ekte.

Hvis du var nødt til å lese 1 sak, hvilken sak ville du foretrukket å bruke tiden din på?

	Kilde	Overskrift
☐	Dagens Næringsliv	Frp-topp vil gi mer til de rike - blir refset
☐	TV2	Sp-topp truer med å ta NAV-penger fra Syriske flyktinger, får refs
☐	Dagbladet	Mdg-politiker mener flyktninger ikke bør ha samme rett til sosialhjelp som nordmenn, blir skjelt ut
☐	VG	Frp-profil møter begeistring for forslag om flere privatskoler i Norge

Neste

R7PAD6B – example

NORSK MEDBORGERPANEL

1 av 3

Folk har ulike politiske holdninger, og noen ganger er deres holdninger relatert til deres bakgrunn. Under har vi laget to tenkte politikere med forskjellig bakgrunn. Vi ønsker å vite hvilken av disse to du tipper vil være mest enig i påstanden under.

	Politiker 1	Politiker 2
Alder	60 år	50 år
Kjønn	Mann	Mann
Bosted	Sørlandet	Oslo
Sivilstatus	Bor alene	Bor alene
Utdanning	Universitet	Grunnskole
Religion	Ingen	Ingen
Arbeidserfaring	Bonde	Bonde

Vennligst velg hvem du tror er mest enig i følgende påstand:
«Staten bør bidra til å redusere inntektsforskjeller i samfunnet.»

[Politiker 1] [Politiker 2]

[Neste]

R7PAD5 – exemplified with R7PAD5B

NORSK MEDBORGERPANEL

Vi er opptatt av å forstå hvordan folk oppfører seg i økonomiske valgsituasjoner. Vi vil derfor be deg om å ta én anonym beslutning hvor du kan trekkes til å motta penger.

Du og en annen tilfeldig person kan velge mellom en gul eller blå boks.

Hvis begge velger den gule boksen får dere 1000 kroner hver. Hvis du velger blå og den andre gul får du 1500 kroner og den andre får 0 kroner. Hvis begge velger blå får dere 500 kroner hver. Uansett hva den andre gjør tjener du mest på å velge blå. Vi trekker ut ti personer som mottar penger.

[Neste]

R7PAD5 – exemplified with R7PAD5B1

Før du tar beslutningen ønsker vi at du begrunner valget ditt.

Neste

R7PAD5 – exemplified with R7PAD5B2

Vennligst ta en beslutning:

Neste