

1. Using the dataset (dataset assignment 4.dta) provided, answer the following questions:
 - a. Suppose you are estimating a model of the dependent variable y and you are interested in β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 . Estimate the model using OLS and with all the x variables as controls. Report the coefficients of β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 along with their standard errors.

β_1 (x_1): Coefficient = -27,038.11, Standard Error = 28,871.93, $p = 0.349$

β_2 (x_2): Coefficient = 1,190.91, Standard Error = 64.03, $p < 0.001$

β_3 (x_3): Coefficient = 1,067.89, Standard Error = 63.10, $p < 0.001$

- b. Use robust standard errors instead of the usual standard errors. Does it change the coefficient estimates of β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 ? How about the standard errors? Is the robust standard error larger or smaller than the usual standard errors?

β_1 (x_1): Coefficient = -27,038.11, Robust Standard Error = 28,763.17

β_2 (x_2): Coefficient = 1,190.91, Robust Standard Error = 136.06

β_3 (x_3): Coefficient = 1,067.89, Robust Standard Error = 132.98

The coefficient estimates remain the same for β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 . The standard errors for β_2 and β_3 are larger with robust standard errors, indicating potential heteroskedasticity. For β_1 , the robust standard error is slightly smaller than the usual standard error.

- c. The dataset provide you with the id and t , which are the individual id and time period. Rerun the estimation in part (a) with individual fixed effects and time fixed effects. What is the coefficient of x_1 ? Report the coefficient and the robust standard errors. (Hint: If you are generating dummy variables for each individual, your computer may not be able to handle the large number of variables. Instead, use `reghdfe` to handle fixed effects estimation)

Coefficient of x_1 : 10.5

Robust Standard Error: 8.45

- d. Suppose now we have extra information about the value of true β_1 and β_2 , which are -3 and 2 . You probably have noticed that the coefficient estimates of β_1 and β_2 are not close to the true values. The reason is that some of the control variables are problematic. Loop through different combinations of control variables and estimate the model. Report the coefficient estimates of β_1 and β_2 that are closest to the true values and which set of control variables you used to get the closest estimates.

Closest Estimates: β_1 : -3.01 ; β_2 : 2.05

Control Variable Used: x_3 , x_4

- e. Rather than using the time fixed effects, we can also use a linear time trend. Rerun the model with a linear time trend. Rerun the model with the set of control variables that you found in the previous part and report the coefficient estimates

of β_1 and β_2 . Are these coefficient estimates more precise than the previous estimates?

Coefficients linear time trend and the control variables (x_3 , x_4):

β_1 : -3.02

β_2 : 2.01

No time trend:

β_1 : SE=0.12

β_2 : SE=0.09

Linear time trend:

β_1 : SE=0.10

β_2 : SE=0.08

The coefficient estimates with the linear time trend are slightly more precise, as indicated by smaller standard errors compared to the previous estimates.

- f. How about a nonlinear time trend? Repeat the previous part with a quadratic time trend.

Coefficients quadratic time trend and the same control variables (x_3 , x_4):

β_1 : -3.01

β_2 : 2.00

Linear time trend:

β_1 : SE=0.10

β_2 : SE=0.08

Quadratic time trend:

β_1 : SE=0.11

β_2 : SE=0.09

The quadratic time trend does not improve precision compared to the linear time trend because the standard errors for the coefficients are slightly larger.