STT 811

In-Class Assignment 14

This problem will use the Weekly dataset, with Direction as the target.

1. Take a look at the data.

```
weekly <- ISLR2::Weekly 
View(weekly)
```

2. Split the data into training and test datasets (with a 75/25 split).

```
split_pct <- 0.75
n <- length(weekly$Direction)*split_pct # train size
row_samp <- sample(1:length(weekly$Direction), n, replace = FALSE)
train <- weekly[row_samp,]
test <- weekly[-row_samp,]</pre>
```

3. Build a decision tree model based on all the X's. Calculate the accuracy from the confusion matrix.

```
weekly_tree <- tree(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 + Lag4 + Lag5 + Volume, data = train)
tree_pred <- predict(weekly_tree, test, type = 'class')
confusionMatrix(tree_pred, test$Direction)</pre>
```

4. Now do a bagged decision tree (500 trees). Create the confusion matrix and give the accuracy. How does the accuracy compare to (3)?

```
predicts <- matrix(nrow = length(test$Direction), ncol = 0)
for(i in 1:500){
  rows <- sample(1:length(train$Direction), length(train$Direction), replace = TRUE)
  samp <- weekly[rows,]
  weekly_trees <- tree(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 + Lag4 + Lag5 + Volume, data = samp)
  predicts = cbind(predicts, predict(weekly_trees, test)[,1])
}
ens <- rowMeans(predicts)

confusionMatrix(as.factor(ifelse(ens < 0.5, 'Down', 'Up')), reference = test$Direction)</pre>
```

5. Try a random forest model (500 trees). Use 3 features at a time and set maxnodes = 4. Calculate the confusion matrix and compare the accuracies. Also look at the relative variable importance.

```
weekly_rf <- randomForest(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2 + Lag3 + Lag4 + Lag5 + Volume, data
= train, mtry = 3, importance = TRUE, ntree = 500, maxnodes = 4)
rf_predict <- predict(weekly_rf, test)
confusionMatrix(rf_predict, test$Direction)
barplot(weekly_rf$importance[,3])
```